

Kevin J. Cole, Esq. e-Mail: kevin@kjclawgroup.com

April 25, 2025

# VIA CERTIFIED MAIL, RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED & E-MAIL

Nestle USA, Inc. c/o Leanne Geale, Esq. Executive Vice President & Group General Counsel 1812 N. Moore Street Arlington, VA 22209 Nestle USA, Inc. c/o C T Corporation System 330 N. Brand Blvd. Glendale, CA 91203

Ralphs Grocery Company c/o CSC – Lawyers Incorporating Service 2710 Gateway Oaks Dr., Suite 150 N Sacramento, CA 95883 Ralphs Grocery Company c/o General Counsel 1014 Vine Street Cincinnati, OH 45202

Re: <u>Proposition 65 Notice of Violation</u>

To Whom It May Concern:

We represent Zachary Stein ("Plaintiff"), a citizen of the State of California acting in the interest of the general public. Although Plaintiff shall only be contacted through his counsel, his contact information is as follows: 1246 N. Orange Dr, Unit 7, Los Angeles, CA 90038; (248) 225-3096. *See* 27 C.C.R. § 25903(b)(2)(A)(1).

This letter serves as Notice that Nestle USA, Inc. ("Nestle," as the manufacturer) and Ralphs Grocery Company ("Ralphs," as the distributor and retailer) are in violation of Proposition 65, the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act, commencing with section 25249.5 of the Health and Safety Code ("Proposition 65"). In particular, the violation alleged by this Notice consists of types of harm that may potentially result from exposures to the toxic chemical Nitrous Oxide. This chemical was listed as causing developmental and reproductive toxicity on August 1, 2008.

The specific type of product that is causing exposures in violation of Proposition 65 is "Nestle Coffee Mate French Vanilla Cold Foam Creamer" (the "Product"). The reasonably foreseeable use of the Product results in exposures to Nitrous Oxide. The routes of exposure include (i) direct ingestion and/or inhalation when consumers ingest, inhale, or otherwise use the Product for its intended and/or unintended but foreseeable use, (ii) ingestion via hand to mouth contact after consumers touch, use, or handle the Product, (iii) ingestion when consumers place their hands in their mouths while using the Product, and (iv) inhalation when Nitrous Oxide from the Product accumulates in ambient airborne particles (e.g., dust) in the air in or around the Product that consumers breathe. The sales of this Product have been occurring since at least the past year, are continuing to this day, and will continue to occur as long as the Product subject to this Notice is sold to and used by consumers.

Proposition 65 requires that a clear and reasonable warning be provided regarding exposures to Nitrous Oxide caused by ordinary use of the Product. Nestle and Ralphs are in violation of Proposition 65 by failing to



provide such warnings to consumers. As a result of the sales of this Product, California consumers have been exposed to Nitrous Oxide without proper warnings.

Based on the allegations set forth in this Notice, Plaintiff intends to file a citizen enforcement lawsuit against Nestle and Ralphs unless they agree in a binding written instrument to: (i) immediately cease causing unwarned exposures to Nitrous Oxide; (ii) provide clear and reasonable warnings for past and ongoing exposures to Nitrous Oxide from the Product; and (iii) pay appropriate civil penalties based on the factors enumerated in California Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(b). If Nestle and Ralphs are interested in resolving this dispute without resort to litigation, please feel free to contact me. However, the parties cannot: (i) finalize any settlement until after the 60-day notice period has expired, nor (ii) speak for the Attorney General or any District or City Attorney who received the 60-day Notice. Therefore, while reaching an agreement with Plaintiff will resolve these claims, such agreement may not satisfy the public prosecutors.

Plaintiff can be contacted through his counsel, whose contact information is as follows:

Kevin J. Cole, Esq. **KJC Law Group, A.P.C.** 9701 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 1000 Beverly Hills, CA 90212 Telephone: (310) 861-7797 e-Mail: kevin@kjclawgroup.com

This Notice also serves as a demand that Nestle and Ralphs preserve and maintain all relevant evidence, including all electronic documents and data, pending resolution of this matter. Such relevant evidence includes but is not limited to all documents relating to the use of Nitrous Oxide in the Product; efforts to comply with Proposition 65 with respect to the use of Nitrous Oxide in the Product; communications with any person relating to Nitrous Oxide in the Product; and the length of time at which Nestle and Ralphs sold the Product into the California marketplace.

If you have any questions or wish to discuss any of the above, please contact me.

Sincerely,

Kevin J. Cole, Esq.

Lugen

KJC Law Group, A Professional Corporation

See attached distribution list

Attachments:

Certificate of Merit
Certificate of Service
Proposition 65 Summary (to the alleged violator only)



Additional Supporting Information for Certificate of Merit (to the California Attorney General only)

#### **CERTIFICATE OF MERIT**

# I, Kevin J. Cole, hereby declare:

- 1. This Certificate of Merit accompanies the attached sixty-day notice in which it is alleged the party identified in the notice has violated Health and Safety Code section 25249.6 by failing to provide clear and reasonable warnings.
  - 2. I am an attorney for the noticing party.
- 3. I have consulted with one or more persons with relevant and appropriate experience or expertise who has reviewed facts, studies, or other data regarding the alleged exposure to the listed chemical that is the subject of the action.
- 4. Based on the information obtained through those consultations, and on all other information in my possession, I believe there is a reasonable and meritorious case for the private action. I understand that "reasonable and meritorious case for the private action" means that the information provides a credible basis that all elements of the Plaintiff's case can be established, and the information did not prove that the alleged violator will be able to establish any of the affirmative defenses set forth in the statute.
- 5. The copy of this Certificate of Merit served on the Attorney General attaches to it factual information sufficient to establish the basis for this certificate, including the information identified in Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(h)(2), i.e., (1) the identity of the persons consulted with and relied on by the certifier, and (2) the facts, studies, or other data reviewed by those persons.

Dated: April 25, 2025

Kevin J. Cole, Esq.

Kin gen

KJC Law Group, A Professional Corporation

# **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I, Chen Wang, declare that I am over the age of 18 years, and am not a party to the within action. I am employed in the County of Los Angeles, California, where the mailing occurs; and my business address is 9701 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 1000, Beverly Hills, CA 90212.

On April 25, 2025, I served the following documents: (1) 60-DAY NOTICE OF VIOLATION SENT IN COMPLIANCE WITH HEALTH & SAFETY CODE SECTION 25249.7(d); (2) CERTIFICATE OF MERIT; (3) PROPOSITION 65: A SUMMARY; and (4) CERTIFICATE OF MERIT ATTACHMENT (served only on the Attorney General) on the parties listed below by placing a true and correct copy thereof in a sealed envelope, addressed to the parties listed below and depositing it at my business address with the U.S. Postal Service for delivery by Certified Mail with the postage thereon fully prepaid:

# **Via Certified Mail**

Nestle USA, Inc. c/o Leanne Geale, Esq. Executive Vice President & Group General Counsel 1812 N. Moore Street Arlington, VA 22209 Nestle USA, Inc. c/o C T Corporation System 330 N. Brand Blvd. Glendale, CA 91203

Ralphs Grocery Company c/o CSC – Lawyers Incorporating Service 2710 Gateway Oaks Dr., Suite 150 N Sacramento, CA 95883 Ralphs Grocery Company c/o General Counsel 1014 Vine Street Cincinnati, OH 45202

On April 25, 2025, I served the California Attorney General (via website Portal) by uploading a true and correct copy thereof as a PDF file via the California Attorney General's website.

On April 25, 2025, I transmitted via electronic mail the above-listed documents to the electronic mail addresses of the City and/or District Attorneys who have specifically authorized email service and the authorization appears on the Attorney General's website.

# **See Attached Service List**

On April 25, 2025, I served the following persons and/or entities at the last known address by placing a true and correct copy thereof in a sealed envelope and depositing it at my business address with the U.S. Postal Service for delivery with the postage thereon fully prepaid, and addressed as follows:

# **See Attached Service List**

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on April 25, 2025 in Los Angeles, Cal	ifornia.	
	then Wang -	

Chen Wang	
Chen Wang	

Barclays California Code of Regulations
Title 27. Environmental Protection
Division 4. Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment
Chapter 1. Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986
Article 9. Miscellaneous

27 CCR Div. 4 Ch. 1 Art. 9 App. A

# Appendix A OFFICE OF ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH HAZARD ASSESSMENT CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY THE SAFE DRINKING WATER AND TOXIC ENFORCEMENT ACT OF 1986 (PROPOSITION 65): A SUMMARY

#### Currentness

The following summary has been prepared by the California Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment (OEHHA), the lead agency for the implementation of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986 (commonly known as "Proposition 65"). A copy of this summary must be included as an attachment to any notice of violation served upon an alleged violator of the Act. The summary provides basic information about the provisions of the law, and is intended to serve only as a convenient source of general information. It is not intended to provide authoritative guidance on the meaning or application of the law. The reader is directed to the statute and OEHHA implementing regulations (see citations below) for further information.

FOR INFORMATION CONCERNING THE BASIS FOR THE ALLEGATIONS IN THE NOTICE RELATED TO YOUR BUSINESS, CONTACT THE PERSON IDENTIFIED ON THE NOTICE.

The text of Proposition 65 (Health and Safety Code Sections 25249.5 through 25249.13) is available online at: http://oehha.ca.gov/prop65/law/P65law72003.html. Regulations that provide more specific guidance on compliance, and that specify procedures to be followed by the State in carrying out certain aspects of the law, are found in Title 27 of the California Code of Regulations, sections 25102 through 27001. These implementing regulations are available online at: http://oehha.ca.gov/prop65/law/P65Regs.html.

# WHAT DOES PROPOSITION 65 REQUIRE?

*The "Proposition 65 List."* Under Proposition 65, the lead agency (OEHHA) publishes a list of chemicals that are known to the State of California to cause cancer and/or reproductive toxicity. Chemicals are placed on the Proposition 65 list if they are known to cause cancer and/or birth defects or other reproductive harm, such as damage to female or male reproductive systems or to the developing fetus. This list must be updated at least once a year. The current Proposition 65 list of chemicals is available on the OEHHA website at: http://www.oehha.ca.gov/prop65/prop65 list/Newlist.html.

Only those chemicals that are on the list are regulated under Proposition 65. Businesses that produce, use, release or otherwise engage in activities involving listed chemicals must comply with the following:

Clear and reasonable warnings. A business is required to warn a person before "knowingly and intentionally" exposing that person to a listed chemical unless an exemption applies. The warning given must be "clear and reasonable." This means that the warning must: (1) clearly say that the chemical involved is known to cause cancer, or birth defects or other reproductive harm; and (2) be given in such a way that it will effectively reach the person before he or she is exposed to that chemical. Some exposures are exempt from the warning requirement under certain circumstances discussed below.

**Prohibition from discharges into drinking water.** A business must not knowingly discharge or release a listed chemical into water or onto land where it passes or probably will pass into a source of drinking water. Some discharges are exempt from this requirement under certain circumstances discussed below.

#### DOES PROPOSITION 65 PROVIDE ANY EXEMPTIONS?

Yes. You should consult the current version of the statute and regulations (http://www.oehha.ca.gov/prop65/law/index.html) to determine all applicable exemptions, the most common of which are the following:

Grace Periods. Proposition 65 warning requirements do not apply until 12 months after the chemical has been listed. The Proposition 65 discharge prohibition does not apply to a discharge or release of a chemical that takes place less than 20 months after the listing of the chemical.

Governmental agencies and public water utilities. All agencies of the federal, state or local government, as well as entities operating public water systems, are exempt.

Businesses with nine or fewer employees. Neither the warning requirement nor the discharge prohibition applies to a business that employs a total of nine or fewer employees. This includes all full and part-time employees, not just those present in California.

Exposures that pose no significant risk of cancer. For chemicals that are listed under Proposition 65 as known to the State to cause cancer, a warning is not required if the business causing the exposure can demonstrate that the exposure occurs at a level that poses "no significant risk." This means that the exposure is calculated to result in not more than one excess case of cancer in 100,000 individuals exposed over a 70-year lifetime. The Proposition 65 regulations identify specific "No Significant Risk Levels" (NSRLs) for many listed carcinogens. Exposures below these levels are exempt from the warning requirement. See OEHHA's website at: http://www.oehha.ca.gov/prop65/getNSRLs.html for a list of NSRLs, and Section 25701 et seq. of the regulations for information concerning how these levels are calculated.

Exposures that will produce no observable reproductive effect at 1,000 times the level in question. For chemicals known to the State to cause reproductive toxicity, a warning is not required if the business causing the exposure can demonstrate that the exposure will produce no observable effect, even at 1,000 times the level in question. In other words, the level of exposure must be below the "no observable effect level" divided by 1,000. This number is known as the Maximum Allowable Dose Level (MADL). See OEHHA's website at: http://www.oehha.ca.gov/prop65/getNSRLs.html for a list of MADLs, and Section 25801 et seq. of the regulations for information concerning how these levels are calculated.

Exposures to Naturally Occurring Chemicals in Food. Certain exposures to chemicals that naturally occur in foods (i.e., that do not result from any known human activity, including activity by someone other than the person causing the exposure) are exempt from the warning requirements of the law. If the chemical is a contaminant it must be reduced to the lowest level feasible. Regulations explaining this exemption can be found in Section 25501.

Discharges that do not result in a "significant amount" of the listed chemical entering any source of drinking water. The prohibition from discharges into drinking water does not apply if the discharger is able to demonstrate that a "significant amount" of the listed chemical has not, does not, or will not pass into or probably pass into a source of drinking water, and that the discharge complies with all other applicable laws, regulations, permits, requirements, or orders. A "significant amount" means any detectable amount, except an amount that would meet the "no significant risk" level for chemicals that cause cancer or that is 1,000 times below the "no observable effect" level for chemicals that cause reproductive toxicity, if an individual were exposed to that amount in drinking water.

# HOW IS PROPOSITION 65 ENFORCED?

Enforcement is carried out through civil lawsuits. These lawsuits may be brought by the Attorney General, any district attorney, or certain city attorneys. Lawsuits may also be brought by private parties acting in the public interest, but only after providing notice of the alleged violation to the Attorney General, the appropriate district attorney and city attorney, and the business accused of the violation. The notice must provide adequate information to allow the recipient to assess the nature of the alleged violation. The notice must comply with the information and procedural requirements specified in Section 25903 of Title 27 and sections 3100-3103 of Title 11. A private party may not pursue an independent enforcement action under Proposition 65 if one of the governmental officials noted above initiates an enforcement action within sixty days of the notice.

A business found to be in violation of Proposition 65 is subject to civil penalties of up to \$2,500 per day for each violation. In addition, the business may be ordered by a court to stop committing the violation.

A private party may not file an enforcement action based on certain exposures if the alleged violator meets specific conditions. For the following types of exposures, the Act provides an opportunity for the business to correct the alleged violation:

- An exposure to alcoholic beverages that are consumed on the alleged violator's premises to the extent onsite consumption is permitted by law;
- An exposure to a Proposition 65 listed chemical in a food or beverage prepared and sold on the alleged violator's premises that is primarily intended for immediate consumption on- or off-premises. This only applies if the chemical was not intentionally added to the food, and was formed by cooking or similar preparation of food or beverage components necessary to render the food or beverage palatable or to avoid microbiological contamination;
- An exposure to environmental tobacco smoke caused by entry of persons (other than employees) on premises owned or operated by the alleged violator where smoking is permitted at any location on the premises;
- An exposure to listed chemicals in engine exhaust, to the extent the exposure occurs inside a facility owned or operated by the alleged violator and primarily intended for parking non-commercial vehicles.

If a private party alleges that a violation occurred based on one of the exposures described above, the private party must first provide the alleged violator a notice of special compliance procedure and proof of compliance form.

A copy of the notice of special compliance procedure and proof of compliance form is included in Appendix B and can be downloaded from OEHHA's website at: http://oehha.ca.gov/prop65/law/p65*l*aw72003. html.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION ABOUT THE LAW OR REGULATIONS...

Contact the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment's Proposition 65 Implementation Office at (916) 445-6900 or via e-mail at P65Public.Comments@oehha.ca.gov. Revised: May 2017

### Credits

Note: Authority cited: Section 25249.12, Health and Safety Code. Reference: Sections 25249.5, 25249.6, 25249.7, 25249.9, 25249.10 and 25249.11, Health and Safety Code.

History

1. New Appendix A filed 4-22-97; operative 4-22-97 pursuant to Government Code section 11343.4(d) (Register 97, No. 17).

- 2. Amendment filed 1-7-2003; operative 2-6-2003 (Register 2003, No. 2).
- 3. Change without regulatory effect renumbering title 22, section 12903 and Appendix A to title 27, section 25903 and Appendix A, including amendment of appendix, filed 6-18-2008 pursuant to section 100, title 1, California Code of Regulations (Register 2008, No. 25).
- 4. Amendment filed 11-19-2012; operative 12-19-2012 (Register 2012, No. 47).
- 5. Amendment of appendix and Note filed 11-19-2014; operative 1-1-2015 (Register 2014, No. 47).
- 6. Amendment of Appendix A filed 8-23-2017; operative 10-1-2017 (Register 2017, No. 34).
- 7. Editorial correction of first paragraph (Register 2019, No. 17).

This database is current through 4/11/25 Register 2025, No. 15.

# Footnotes

- All further regulatory references are to sections of Title 27 of the California Code of Regulations unless otherwise indicated. The statute, regulations and relevant case law are available on the OEHHA website at: http://www.oehha.ca.gov/prop65/law/index.html.
- 2 See Section 25501(a)(4).

Cal. Admin. Code tit. 27, Div. 4 Ch. 1 Art. 9 App. A, 27 CA ADC Div. 4 Ch. 1 Art. 9 App. A

**End of Document** 

© 2025 Thomson Reuters. No claim to original U.S. Government Works.

# E-Mail Service List

Stacey Grassini, Deputy District Attorney CONTRA COSTA COUNTY 900 Ward Street Martinez, CA 94553 sgrassini@contracostada.org

Michelle Latimer, Program Coordinator LASSEN COUNTY 220 S. Lassen Street Susanville, CA 96130 mlatimer@co.lassen.ca.us

Jeannine M. Pacioni, District Attorney MONTEREY COUNTY 1200 Aguajito Road Monterey ,CA 93940 Prop65DA@co.monterey.ca.us

Allison Haley, District Attorney NAPA COUNTY 1127 First Street, Suite C Napa, CA 94559 CEPD@countyofnapa.org

Paul E. Zellerbach, District Attorney RIVERSIDE COUNTY 3072 Orange Street Riverside, CA 92501 Prop65@rivcoda.org

Barbara Yook, District Attorney CALAVERAS COUNTY 891 Mountain Ranch Rd. San Andreas, CA 95249 Prop65Env@co.calaveras.ca.us

Alethea M. Sargent, Assistant District Attorney SAN FRANCISCO COUNTY 350 Rhode Island Street San Francisco, CA 94103 alethea.sargent@sfgov.org

Summer Stephan, District Attorney SAN DIEGO COUNTY 330 West Broadway San Diego, CA 92101 SanDiegoDAProp65@sdcda.org

Mark Ankcorn, Deputy City Attorney CITY OF SAN DIEGO 1200 Third Avenue San Diego, CA 92101 CityAttyCrimProp65@sandiego.gov

Valerie Lopez, Deputy City Attorney CITY OF SAN FRANCISCO 1390 Market Street, 7th Floor San Francisco, CA 94102 Valerie.Lopez@sfcityatty.org Eric J. Dobroth, Deputy District Attorney SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY County Government Center Annex, 4th Floor San Luis Obispo, CA 93408 edobroth@co.slo.ca.us

Bud Porter, Supervising Deputy District Attorney SANTA CLARA COUNTY 70 W Hedding St San Jose, CA 95110\_ EPU@da.sccgov.org

Stephan R. Passalacqua, District Attorney SONOMA COUNTY 600 Administration Drive Sonoma, CA 95403 jbarnes@sonoma-county.org

Phillip J. Cline, District Attorney TULARE COUNTY 221 S Mooney Blvd Visalia, CA 95370\_ Prop65@co.tulare.ca.us

Gregory D. Totten, District Attorney VENTURA COUNTY 800 S Victoria Ave Ventura, CA 93009 daspecialops@ventura.org

Jeff W. Reisig, District Attorney YOLO COUNTY 301 Second Street Woodland, CA 95695 cfepd@yolocounty.org

Tori Verber Salazar, District Attorney SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY 222 E. Weber Avenue, Room 202 Stockton, CA 95202 DAConsumer.Environmental@sjcda.org

Christopher Dalbey, Deputy District Attorney SANTA BARBARA COUNTY 1112 Santa Barbara St.
Santa Barbara, CA 93101
DAProp65@co.santa-barbara.ca.us

Nancy O'Malley, District Attorney ALAMEDA COUNTY 7776 Oakport Street, Suite 650 Oakland, CA 94621 CEPDProp65@acgov.org

Barbara M. Yook, District Attorney CALAVERAS COUNTY 891 Mountain Ranch Road San Andreas CA 95249 Prop65Env@co.calaveras.ca.us David Hollister, District Attorney PLUMAS COUNTY 520 Main St. Quincy, CA 95971 davidhollister@countyofplumas.com

Anne Marie Schubert, District Attorney SACRAMENTO COUNTY 901 G Street Sacramento, CA 95814 Prop65@sacda.org

Jeffrey S. Rosell, District Attorney SANTA CRUZ COUNTY 701 Ocean Street Santa Cruz, CA 95060 Prop65DA@santacruzcounty.us

Kimberly Lewis, District Attorney MERCED COUNTY 550 West Main Street Merced, CA 95340 Prop65@countyofmerced.com

Clifford H. Newell, District Attorney NEVADA COUNTY 201 Commercial Street Nevada City, CA 95959 DA.Prop65@co.nevada.ca.us

Thomas L. Hardy, District Attorney INYO COUNTY 168 North Edwards Street Independence, CA 93526 inyoda@inyocounty.us

Walter W. Wall, District Attorney MARIPOSA COUNTY P.O. Box 730 Mariposa, CA 95338 mcda@mariposacounty.org

Morgan Briggs Gire, District Attorney PLACER COUNTY 10810 Justice Center Drive Roseville, CA 95678 prop65@placer.ca.gov

District Attorney ORANGE COUNTY 700 Civic Center Drive West Santa Ana, CA 92701 Prop65Notice@da.ocgov.com

# **SERVICE LIST**

The Honorable Nancy O'Mailey	The Honorable Stacey Montgomery	The Honorable Candice Hooper	The Honorable Gregg Cohen
Alameda County District Attorney	Lassen County District Attorney	San Benito County District Altorney	Tehama County District Attorney
1225 Fallon Street, Room 900	220 South Lassen Street, Ste. 8	419 4th Street, Second Floor	444 Oak Street, Room L
Oakland, CA 94612	Susanville, CA 96130	Hollister, CA 95203	Red Bluff, CA 96080
The Honorable Terese Drabec	The Honorable Jackie Lacey	The Honorable Michael Ramos	The Honorable Eric Heryford
Alpine County District Attorney	Los Angeles County District Attorney	San Bernardino County District Attorney	Trinity County District Attorney
270 Laramie Street, PO BOX 248	211 West Temple Street, Suite 1200	303 West 3rd Street, 6th Floor	P.O. Box 310
Markieeville, CA 96120	Los Angeles, CA 90012	San Bernardino, CA 92415-0502	Weaverville, CA 96093
The Honorable Todd Riebe	The Honorable David Linn	The Honorable Bonnie Dumanis	The Honorable Tim Ward
Amador County District Attorney	Madera County District Attorney	San Diego County District Attorney	Tulare County District Attorney
708 Court Street	209 West Yosernite Avenue	330 W. Broadway Street	221 South Mooney Boulevard, Rm 224
Jackson, CA 95642	Madera, CA 93637	San Diego, CA 92101	Visalia, CA 93291-4593
The Honorable Michael Ramsay	The Honorable Edward Berberian	The Honorable George Gascon	The Honorable Laura Krieg
Butte County District Attorney	Marin County District Attorney	San Francisco County District Attorney	Tuolumne County District Attorney
25 County Center Drive	3501 Civic Center Drive, Room 130	850 Bryant Street, Room 322	423 North Washington Street
Oroville, CA 95965	San Rafael, CA 94903	San Francisco, CA 94103	Sonora, CA 95370
The Honorable Barbara Yook	The Honorable Thomas Cooke	The Honorable Tori Verber Salazar	The Honorable Gregory Totten Ventura County District Attorney 800 South Victona Avenue Ventura, CA 93009
Calaveras County District Attorney	Mariposa County District Attorney	San Joaquin County District Attorney	
991 Mountain Ranch Road	5101 Jones Street, P.O. Box 730	222 East Weber Avenue, Room 202	
San Andreas, CA 95249	Mariposa, CA 95338	Stockton, CA 95201	
The Honorable John Poyner	The Honorable C. David Eyster	The Honorable Dan Dow	The Honorable Jeff Reisig
Colusa County District Attorney	Mendocino County District Attornay	San Luis Obispo County District Alty	Yofo County District Attorney
346 Filth Street	100 North State Street, P.O. Box 1000	1035 Palm Street, 4th Floor	301 Second Street
Colusa, CA 95932	Ukiah, CA 95482	San Luis Obispo, CA 93408	Woodland, CA 95695
The Honorable Mark Peterson	The Honorable Larry Morse II Marced County District Attorney 550 W. Main Street Merced, CA 95340	The Honorable Stephen Wagstalfe	The Honorable Patrick McGrath
Contra Costa County District Attorney		San Mateo County District Attorney	Yuba County District Attorney
900 Ward Street		400 County Center, Third Floor	215 Fifth Street
Martinez, CA 94553		Redwood City, CA 94063	Marysville, CA 95901
The Honorable Dale Trigg	The Honorable Jordan Funk	The Honorable Joyce Dudley	The Honorable Mike Feuer Office of the City Attorney, Los Angeles 800 City Hall East 200 North Main Street Los Angeles, CA 90012
Oet Norte County District Attorney	Modoc County District Attorney	Santa Barbara County District Attorney	
450 H Street, Room 171	204 S. Court Street, Suite 202	1112 Santa Barbara Street	
Crescent City, CA 95531	Alturas, CA 96101	Santa Barbara, CA 93101	
The Honorable Vern Pierson El Dorado County District Attorney 778 Pacific Street Placerville, CA 95667	The Honorable Tim Kendall	The Honorable Jeffrey Rosen	The Honorable James Sanchez
	Mono County District Attorney	Santa Clara County District Attorney	Office of the City Attorney, Sacramento
	P.O. Box 617	70 West Hedding Street, West Wing	915 I Street, 4th Floor
	Bridgeport, CA 93517	San Jose, CA 95110	Sacramento, CA 95814
The Honorable Lisa Smittcamp	The Honorable Dean Flippo	The Honorable Jeff Rosell	The Honorable Jan Goldsmith
Fresno County District Altorney	Monterey County District Attorney	Santa Cruz County District Attorney	Office of the City Attorney, San Diego
2220 Tulare Street, #1000	P.O. Box 1131	701 Ocean Street, Room 200	1200 Third Avenue, Suite 1620
Fresno, CA 93721	Salinas, CA 93902	Santa Cruz, CA 95060	San Diego, CA 92101
The Honorable Dwayne Stewart Glenn County District Attorney P.O. Box 430 Willows, CA 95988	The Honorable Allison Haley Napa County District Attornay 1127 First Street, Suite C Napa, CA 94559	The Honorable Stephen Carlton Shasta County District Attorney 1355 West Street ReddIng, CA 96001	The Honorable Dennis Herrera Office of the City Attorney, San Francisco 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102
The Honorable Maggie Fleming	The Honorable Clifford Newell	The Honorable Lawrence Allen	The Honorable Richard Doyle
Humboldt County District Attorney	Nevada County District Attorney	Sierra County District Attorney	Office of the City Altorney, San Jose
825 5th Street, Fourth Floor	201 Commercial Street	100 Courthouse Square	200 East Santa Clara Street, 16th Floor
Eureka, CA 95501	Nevada City, CA 95959	Downieville, CA 95936	San Jose, CA 95113
The Honorable Gilbert Otero Imperial County District Attorney 940 West Main Street, Suite 102 El Centro, CA 92243	The Honorable Tony Rackauckas Orange County District Attorney 401 Civic Center Drive West Santa Ana, CA 92701	The Honorable James Kirk Andrus Siskiyou County District Attorney P.O. Box 986 Yreka, CA 96097	Office of the California Attorney General Proposition 65 Enforcement Reporting ATTN: Prop 65 Coordinator 1515 Clay Street, Suite 2000 Oakland, CA 94612-0550
The Honorable Thomas Hardy	The Honorable R. Scott Owens	The Honorable Krishna Abrams	
Inyo County District Attorney	Placer County District Attorney	Solano County District Attorney	
P.O. Drawer D	10810 Justice Center Drive, Suite 240	675 Texas Street, Suile 4500	
Independence, CA 93526	Roseville, CA 95678	Fairfield, CA 94533	
The Honorable Lisa Green	The Honorable David Hollister	The Honorable Jill Ravitch	
Kern County District Attorney	Plumas County District Attorney	Sonoma County District Attorney	
1215 Truxtun Avenue	520 Main Street, Room 404	600 Administration Drive, Room 212J	
Bakersfield, CA 93301	Quincy, CA 95971	Santa Rosa, CA 95403	
The Honorable Keilh Fagundas	The Honorable Michael Hestrin	The Honorable Birgit Fladager	
Kings County District Attorney	Riverside County District Attorney	Stanislaus County District Attorney	
1400 West Lacey Boulevard	3960 Orange Street	832 12th Street, Suite 300	
Hanford, CA 93230	Riverside, CA 92501	Modesto, CA 95354	
The Honorable Donald Anderson	The Honorable Anne Marie Schubert	The Honorable Amanda Hopper	
Lake County District Attorney	Sacramento County District Attorney	Sutter County District Attorney	
255 North Forbes Street	901 G Street	463 Second Street, Suite 102	
Lakeport CA 95453	Sacramento CA 95814	Yuba City CA 95991	