60-Day Notice of Violation

Cal. Health & Safety Code § 25249.5, *et seq.* (Proposition 65)

DATE:	July 28, 2025
TO:	Nestlé USA, Inc.; Nestlé Holdings, Inc.; NIIMCO US, INC. California Attorney General's Office, District Attorney's Office for All California Counties; and City Attorneys for San Francisco, San Diego, San Jose, Sacramento, and Los Angeles
FRAM	

FROM: Consumer Rights Advocates, LLC

I. INTRODUCTION

Consumer Rights Advocates, LLC ("CRA") is an organization dedicated to protecting the public from environmental health hazards and toxic exposures. Acting in the public interest, CRA seeks to safeguard the health of Californians, protect the environment and consumer rights, and hold corporations accountable. CRA has identified violations of California's Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986 ("Proposition 65"), codified in California Health & Safety Code § 25249.5 et seq., with respect to the product(s) identified below.

CRA serves this Notice of Violation ("Notice") on **Nestlé USA**, **Inc.; Nestlé Holdings**, **Inc.; NIIMCO US**, **INC.** ("Alleged Violators"), and the appropriate public enforcement agencies pursuant to California Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 et seq. CRA intends to bring a citizen enforcement lawsuit in the public interest 60 days after the effective service of this Notice unless the public enforcement agencies have commenced and are diligently prosecuting an action to redress these violations."

Product Exposure:	See Sections VI
Listed Chemical:	Lead
Routes of Exposure:	Ingestion
Type of Harm:	Developmental Toxicity, Birth Defect, and other Reproductive Harm

II. PROPOSITION 65 INFORMATION – SUMMARY

A summary of Proposition 65 and its implementing regulations, prepared by the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment, the lead agency designated under Proposition 65, is enclosed as Appendix A and served on the Alleged Violators. For more information concerning the provisions of Proposition 65, please feel free to contact the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment's ("OEHHA") Proposition 65 Implementation Office at (916) 445-6900.

Specifically, on February 27, 1987, the State of California officially listed lead as a chemical known to cause developmental and reproductive toxicity (California Health & Safety Code § 25249.8). Lead became subject to the Act's "clear and reasonable warning" requirements 12 months after its listing, on February 27, 1988 (California Health & Safety Code § 25249.10(b)). Lead is referred to hereinafter as the "Listed Chemical."

III. STATEMENT OF VIOLATIONS

The Exemplar Product, which causes consumer exposure in violation of Proposition 65, is identified in Section VI ("Covered Product"), along with the specific toxin or carcinogen listed therein. Consumers have been subjected to exposure to the Listed Chemical without receiving the "clear and reasonable warning" required by Proposition 65. In the absence of such warnings, California consumers are deprived of their right to make informed decisions regarding the reduction or elimination of their exposure to the Listed Chemical.

IV. NUMBER AND DURATION OF VIOLATIONS

Every sale of the Covered Product in California, whether in-store, online, or through catalogs, constitutes a violation in the absence of a clear and reasonable warning. These violations have occurred daily since at least July 28, 2023, and will continue until such warnings are provided before exposing California consumers to the Listed Chemical. It should be noted that CRA may continue to investigate other products that may reveal further violations and result in subsequent notices of violations.

V. ROUTE OF EXPOSURE

The consumer exposures outlined in this Notice stem from the intended use of the product. The primary route of exposure to the Listed Chemical has been and continues to be through ingestion.

VI. COVERED PRODUCT

The product identified below ("Exemplar Product") was recently purchased and observed as being available for sale in California. Publicly available information regarding its retailers, distributors, importers, and/or manufacturers is provided. The Exemplar Product is provided to assist the Alleged Violators in investigating the consumer exposure outlined in this Notice. It is not intended to be the sole product within the category of the Covered Product; rather, it represents the class of products identified as "ABUELITA MEXICAN STYLE INSTANT HOT CHOCOLATE MIX."

	<u>Toxin/Listed Chemical</u>	Alleged Violators
Abuelita Mexican Style Instant Hot Chocolate Mix UPC No.: 0-28000 52553-8	Lead	Nestlé USA, Inc.; Nestlé Holdings, Inc.; NIIMCO US, INC.

VII. NOTICE OF DUTY TO PRESERVE EVIDENCE

"The duty to preserve evidence is triggered when litigation is pending or reasonably foreseeable, at which time a party is required to preserve all relevant evidence and put into place a litigation hold to preserve relevant documents." *Net-Com Servs. v. Eupen Cable USA, Inc.*, 2013 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 109810, at *6-7 (C.D. Cal. Aug. 5, 2013).

We accordingly notify the Alleged Violators of their duty to preserve evidence relevant to the potential litigation our client may initiate if the Alleged Violators do not undertake the steps demanded herein. This includes, but is not limited to, documents, tangible things, and electronically stored information (ESI) that are potentially relevant to the anticipated lawsuit, as well as efforts to comply with Proposition 65 from July 28, 2023, through the date of any trial on the claims alleged in this Notice.

VIII. DEMAND TO IDENTIFY MANUFACTURER, PRODUCER, PACKAGER, IMPORTER, SUPPLIER, AND DISTRIBUTOR

Pursuant to 27 C.C.R. 25600.2(g), "[t]he retail seller of a product that may cause a consumer product exposure shall promptly provide the name and contact information for the manufacturer, producer, packager, importer, supplier, and distributor of the product to ... [a]ny person who has served notice under Section 25249.7(d)(1) of the Act alleging that the consumer product causes an exposure that requires a warning under the Act." This notice includes the description of the specific product type that is subject to this notice, as well as one or more specific examples of the product in Section VI.

Please accept this Notice as a formal demand for any non-manufacturing seller or distributor receiving this notice to provide the name and contact information for the manufacturer, producer, packager, importer, supplier, promoter, and distributor of the Covered Product.

IX. RESOLUTION OF NOTICED CLAIM

Based on the allegations outlined in this Notice, CRA intends to bring a citizen enforcement lawsuit against the Alleged Violators unless the Alleged Violators agree, in a binding written instrument, to (1) either reformulate the Covered Product to eliminate exposure to the Listed Chemical, or if reformulation is not possible or feasible, to provide clear and reasonable warnings for the Covered Product sold or otherwise provided to California consumers in the future, and (2) pay the appropriate civil penalty, fees and costs based on the factors enumerated in California Health and Safety Code Section 25249.7(b). Should the Alleged Violators wish to resolve this matter without engaging in a protracted litigation, please contact CRA's counsel, specified below.

X. CONTACT INFORMATION

The entity giving this Notice is Consumer Rights Advocates, LLC, with a principal address at 856 S. Robertson Blvd. Los Angeles, CA 90035; (424) 355-8505. CRA identifies Faraz Adjnasian as a responsible individual within the entity and requests that all communications be directed to CRA's attorneys at Cornerstone Law Firm, PC.

In furtherance of Proposition 65's public interest purpose of reducing or eliminating consumer exposure to the Listed Chemical, CRA remains open to resolving these ongoing violations of California law in a constructive and amicable manner. If you have any questions or wish to discuss the resolution of this matter, please contact me at <u>david@davarlaw.com</u> or direct your correspondence to our office: Cornerstone Law Firm, P.C., 357 S. Robertson Blvd., 2nd Floor, Beverly Hills, CA 90211. Tel: (310) 444-0055 | Fax: (310) 444-0066.

Davar Danialpour, Esq. Cornerstone Law Firm, PC – July 28, 2025

Attachments: Certificate of Merit; Certificate of Service; The Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986 (Proposition 65): A Summary; Confidential Information in Support of Certificate of Merit (Attorney General Only)

CERTIFICATE OF MERIT Health and Safety Code Section 25249.7(d)

- I, Davar Danialpour, hereby declare:
- 1. This Certificate of Merit accompanies the attached sixty-day notice in which it is alleged that the parties identified in the notice have violated Health and Safety Code § 25249.6 by failing to provide clear and reasonable warnings;
- 2. I am the attorney for the noticing party;
- 3. I have consulted with one or more persons with relevant and appropriate experience or expertise who has reviewed facts, studies, or other data regarding the exposure to the Listed Chemical that is the subject of this action;
- 4. Based on the information obtained through those consultations and on all other information in my possession, I believe there is a reasonable and meritorious case for the private action. I understand that "reasonable and meritorious case for the private action" means that the information provides a credible basis that all elements of the plaintiff's case can be established, and the information did not prove that the alleged Violators will be able to establish any of the affirmative defenses set forth in the statute;
- 5. The copy of this Certificate of Merit served on the Attorney General attaches to it factual information sufficient to establish the basis for this certificate, including information identified in Health and Safety Code § 25249.7(h)(2) (*i.e.*, (1) the identity of the persons consulted with and relied on by the certifier, and (2) the facts, studies, or other data reviewed by those persons).

Dated: 07/28/2025

Davar Danialpour

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE PURSUANT TO 27 CCR § 25903

I, the undersigned, declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the following is true and correct. I am over the age of 18 and not a party to this case. I am a resident or employed in the county where the mailing occurred. My business address is 357 S. Robertson Blvd. 2ND Floor Beverly Hills, CA 90211.

ON THE DATE SHOWN BELOW, I SERVE THE FOLLOWING DOCUMENTS:

- 1) 60-Day Notice of Violation of Health & Safety Code § 25249.5, ET SEQ.
- 2) The Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986 (Proposition 65): A Summary
- 3) Certificate of Merit pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(d)

on the following parties by placing a true and correct copy thereof in a sealed envelope addressed to each of the parties listed below and depositing it at a U.S. Postal Service Office by Certified Mail:

Attn: Nestlé USA, Inc.; NIMCO US, Inc.	Nestlé Holdings, Inc.
1812 N Moore Street	383 Main Avenue
Arlington, VA 22209	Norwalk, CT 06851
Nestle USA, Inc.	NIIMCO US, INC.
c/o CT Corporation System	1209 North Orange Street
818 W Seventh Street, Suite 930	Wilmington, Delaware 19801
Los Angeles, CA 90017	-

Additionally,

ON THE DATE SHOWN BELOW, I SERVE THE FOLLOWING DOCUMENTS:

- 1) 60-Day Notice of Violation of Health & Safety Code § 25249.5, ET SEQ.
- 2) The Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986 (Proposition 65): A Summary
- **3)** Certificate of Merit pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(d)
- **4)** Supporting Factual Information Sufficient to establish the basis for Certificate of Merits

on the following party when a true and correct copy thereof was uploaded on the California Attorney

General's website at: <u>https://oag.ca.gov/prop65/add-60-day-notice</u>

Office of the California Attorney General

Proposition 65 Enforcement 1515 Clay Street, Suite 2000 Oakland, CA 94612-0550

Additionally,

ON THE DATE SHOWN BELOW, I SERVE THE FOLLOWING DOCUMENTS:

- 1) 60-Day Notice of Violation of Health & Safety Code § 25249.5, ET SEQ.
- 2) Certificate of Merit pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(d)

on each of the parties on the "Distribution List" attached and depositing it at a U.S. Postal Service office with postage fully prepaid for delivery by First Class Mail, and on each of the parties listed on the "Electronic Service" when a true and correct copy thereof was sent via electronic mail.

Executed on 07/28/2025 in Beverly Hills, California.

Davar Danialpour

Distribution List

	Distribution List	
Honorable Robert Priscaro	Honorable Susan J. Krones	Honorable Nora Frimann
Alpine County District Attorney	Lake County District Attorney	San Jose City Attorney's Office
PO Box 248	255 N. Forbes Street	200 East Santa Clara Street, 16th Floor
Markleeville, CA 96120	Lakeport, CA 95453	San Jose, CA 95113
Honorable Todd Riebe	Honorable George Gascon	Honorable Clint Curry
Amador County District Attorney	Los Angeles County District Attorney	Yuba County District Attorney
708 Court Street, Suite 202	211 West Temple Street, Suite 1200	215 Fifth Street, Suite 152
Jackson, CA 95642	Los Angeles, CA 90012	Marysville, CA 95901
Honorable Michael L. Ramsey	Honorable Hydee Feldstein Soto	Honorable Stephanie A. Bridgett
Butte County District Attorney	Los Angeles City Attorney's Office	Shasta County District Attorney
25 County Center Drive	200 N. Main Street Suite 800	1355 West Street
Oroville, CA 95965	Los Angeles, CA 90012	Redding, CA 96001
Honorable Brendan Farrell	Honorable Sally O. Moreno	Honorable Sandra Groven
Colusa County District Attorney	Madera County District Attorney	Sierra County District Attorney
346 Fifth Street Suite 101	300 S. G Street, Suite 300	PO Box 457
Colusa, CA 95932	Madera, CA 93637	Downieville, CA 95936
Honorable Katherine Nell Micks	Honorable Cassandra Jenecke	Honorable Kirk Andrus
Del Norte County District Attorney	Tuolumne County District Attorney	Siskiyou County District Attorney
450 H Street, Suite 171	423 N. Washington Street	PO Box 986
Crescent City, CA 95531	Sonora, CA 95370	Yreka, CA 96097
		Honorable Krishna A. Abrams
Honorable David M. Brady	Honorable David C. Eyster	
Trinity County District Attorney	Mendocino County District Attorney	Solano County District Attorney
PO Box 310	PO Box 1000	675 Texas Street, Suite 4500
Weaverville, CA 96093	Ukiah, CA 95482	Fairfield, CA 94533
Honorable Dwayne R. Stewart	Honorable Cynthia Louise Campbell	Honorable Jeff Laugero
Glenn County District Attorney	Modoc County District Attorney	Stanislaus County District Attorney
PO Box 430	204 S. Court Street, Suite 202	832 12 th Street, Suite 300
Willows, CA 95988	Alturas, CA 96101	Modesto, CA 95354
Honorable Stacey Eads	Honorable Jason Anderson	Honorable Jennifer Dupre-Tokos
Humboldt County District Attorney	San Bernardino County District Attorney	Sutter County District Attorney
825 5 th Street 4 th Floor	303 West Third Street	463 Second Street
Eureka, CA 95501	San Bernardino, CA 92415	Yuba City, CA 95991
Honorable George Marques	Honorable Tim Kendall	Honorable Matt Rogers
Imperial County District Attorney	Mono County District Attorney	Tehama County District Attorney
940 West Main Street Suite 102	PO Box 617	PO Box 519
El Centro, CA 92243	Bridgeport, CA 93517	Red Bluff, CA 96080
Honorable Cynthia Zimmer	Honorable Todd Spitzer	Honorable Farimah Faiz Brown
Kern County District Attorney	Orange County District Attorney	Berkeley City Attorney's Office
1215 Truxtun Avenue, 4 th Floor	PO Box 808	2180 Milvia St, 4 th Floor
Bakersfield, CA 93301	Santa Ana, CA 92702	Berkely, CA 94704
Honorable Eric Gonzalez	Honorable Joel Buckingham	Honorable Barbara J. Parker
Kings County District Attorney	San Benito County District Attorney	Oakland City Attorney's Office
1400 West Lacey Boulevard, Building # 4	419 Fourth Street, 2 nd Floor	1 Frank Ogawa Plaza 6 th FL
Hanford, CA 93230	Hollister, CA 95023	Oakland, CA 94612
Honorable Pamela Y. Price	Honorable Allison Haley	Honorable Eric J. Dobroth
	Napa County District Attorney	San Luis Obispo Deputy District Attorney
Alameda County District Attorney		1 1 2
CEPDProp65@acgov.org	CEPD@countyofnapa.org	edobroth@co.slo.ca.us
Honorable Barbara Yook	Honorable Clifford H. Newell	Honorable Christopher Dalbey
Calaveras County District Attorney	Nevada District Attorney	Santa Barbara Deputy District Attorney
Prop65Env@co.calaveras.ca.us	DA.Prop65@co.nevada.ca.us	DAProp65@co.santa-barbara.ca.us
Honorable Stacey Grassini	Honorable Morgan Briggs Gire	Honorable Nora V. Frimann
Contra Costa Deputy District Attorney	Placer County District Attorney	Santa Clara City Attorney
sgrassini@contracostada.org	Prop65@placer.ca.gov	Proposition65notices@sanjoseca.gov
Honorable James Clinchard	Honorable David Hollister	Honorable Bud Porter
El Dorado District Attorney	Plumas County District Attorney	Santa Clara Supervising Deputy District Attorney
EDCDAPROP65@edcda.us	davidhollister@countyofplumas.com	EPU@da.sccgov.org
Honorable Lisa A. Smittcamp	Honorable Paul E. Zellerbach	Honorable Jeffrey S. Rosell
Fresno District Attorney	Riverside County District Attorney	Santa Cruz County District Attorney
consumerprotection@fresnocountyca.gov	Prop65@rivcoda.org	Prop65DA@santacruzcounty.us
Honorable Thomas L. Hardy	Honorable Anne Marie Schubert	Honorable Carla Rodriguez
Inyo County District Attorney	Sacramento County District Attorney	Sonoma District Attorney
inyoda@inyocounty.us	Prop65@sacda.org	ECLD@sonoma-county.org
Honorable Devin Chandler	Honorable Summer Stephan	Honorable Phillip J. Cline
Lassen County Program Coordinator	San Diego County District Attorney	Tulare District Attorney
DChandler@co.lassen.ca.us	SanDiegoDAProp65@sdcda.org	Prop65@co.tulare.ca.us
Honorable Lori E. Frugoli	Honorable Mark Ankcorn	Honorable Gregory D. Totten
i ionorable don El Tragon		
Marin County District Attorney		Ventura County District Attorney
Marin County District Attorney	San Diego Deputy City Attorney	Ventura County District Attorney
consumer@marincounty.gov	San Diego Deputy City Attorney CityAttyProp65@sandiego.gov	daspecialops@ventura.org
consumer@marincounty.gov Honorable Walter W. Wall	San Diego Deputy City Attorney CityAttyProp65@sandiego.gov Honorable Henry Lifton	daspecialops@ventura.org Honorable Jeff W. Reising
consumer@marincounty.gov Honorable Walter W. Wall Mariposa County District Attorney	San Diego Deputy City Attorney CityAttyProp65@sandiego.gov Honorable Henry Lifton San Francisco Deputy City Attorney	daspecialops@ventura.org Honorable Jeff W. Reising Yolo County District Attorney
consumer@marincounty.gov Honorable Walter W. Wall Mariposa County District Attorney mcda@mariposacounty.org	San Diego Deputy City Attorney CityAttyProp65@sandiego.gov Honorable Henry Lifton San Francisco Deputy City Attorney Prop65@sfcityatty.org	daspecialops@ventura.org Honorable Jeff W. Reising Yolo County District Attorney cfepd@yolocounty.org
consumer@marincounty.gov Honorable Walter W. Wall Mariposa County District Attorney mcda@mariposacounty.org Honorable Kimberly Lewis	San Diego Deputy City Attorney CityAttyProp65@sandiego.gov Honorable Henry Lifton San Francisco Deputy City Attorney Prop65@sfcityatty.org Honorable Alexandra Grayner	daspecialops@ventura.org Honorable Jeff W. Reising Yolo County District Attorney cfepd@yolocounty.org Honorable Stephen M. Wagstaffe
consumer@marincounty.gov Honorable Walter W. Wall Mariposa County District Attorney mcda@mariposacounty.org Honorable Kimberly Lewis Merced County District Attorney	San Diego Deputy City Attorney CityAttyProp65@sandiego.gov Honorable Henry Lifton San Francisco Deputy City Attorney Prop65@sfcityatty.org Honorable Alexandra Grayner San Francisco Assistant District Attorney	daspecialops@ventura.org Honorable Jeff W. Reising Yolo County District Attorney cfepd@yolocounty.org Honorable Stephen M. Wagstaffe San Mateo District Attorney
consumer@marincounty.gov Honorable Walter W. Wall Mariposa County District Attorney mcda@mariposacounty.org Honorable Kimberly Lewis Merced County District Attorney Prop65@countyofmerced.com	San Diego Deputy City Attorney CityAttyProp65@sandiego.gov Honorable Henry Lifton San Francisco Deputy City Attorney Prop65@sfcityatty.org Honorable Alexandra Grayner San Francisco Assistant District Attorney alexandra.grayner@sfgov.org	daspecialops@ventura.org Honorable Jeff W. Reising Yolo County District Attorney cfepd@yolocounty.org Honorable Stephen M. Wagstaffe San Mateo District Attorney 500 County Center 3rd Floor
consumer@marincounty.gov Honorable Walter W. Wall Mariposa County District Attorney mcda@mariposacounty.org Honorable Kimberly Lewis Merced County District Attorney Prop65@countyofmerced.com Honorable Jeannine M. Pacioni	San Diego Deputy City Attorney CityAttyProp65@sandiego.gov Honorable Henry Lifton San Francisco Deputy City Attorney Prop65@sfcityatty.org Honorable Alexandra Grayner San Francisco Assistant District Attorney	daspecialops@ventura.org Honorable Jeff W. Reising Yolo County District Attorney cfepd@yolocounty.org Honorable Stephen M. Wagstaffe San Mateo District Attorney
consumer@marincounty.gov Honorable Walter W. Wall Mariposa County District Attorney mcda@mariposacounty.org Honorable Kimberly Lewis Merced County District Attorney Prop65@countyofmerced.com	San Diego Deputy City Attorney CityAttyProp65@sandiego.gov Honorable Henry Lifton San Francisco Deputy City Attorney Prop65@sfcityatty.org Honorable Alexandra Grayner San Francisco Assistant District Attorney alexandra.grayner@sfgov.org	daspecialops@ventura.org Honorable Jeff W. Reising Yolo County District Attorney cfepd@yolocounty.org Honorable Stephen M. Wagstaffe San Mateo District Attorney 500 County Center 3rd Floor

APPENDIX A

OFFICE OF ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH HAZARD ASSESSMENT CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

THE SAFE DRINKING WATER AND TOXIC ENFORCEMENT ACT OF 1986 (PROPOSITION 65): A SUMMARY

The following summary has been prepared by the California Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment (OEHHA), the lead agency for the implementation of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986 (commonly known as "Proposition 65"). A copy of this summary must be

included as an attachment to any notice of violation served upon an alleged violator of the Act. The summary provides basic information about the provisions of the law, and is intended to serve only as a convenient source of general information. It is not intended to provide authoritative guidance on the meaning or application of the law. The reader is directed to the statute OEHHA implementing regulations (see citations below) for further information.

FOR INFORMATION CONCERNING THE BASIS FOR THE ALLEGATIONS IN THE NOTICE RELATED TO YOUR BUSINESS, CONTACT THE PERSON IDENTIFIED ON THE NOTICE.

The text of Proposition 65 (Health and Safety Code Sections 25249.5 through 25249.13) is available online at: http://oehha.ca.gov/prop65/law/P65law72003.html

Regulations that provide more specific guidance on compliance, and that specify procedures to be followed by the State in carrying out certain aspects of the law, are found in Title 27 of the California Code of Regulations, sections 25102 through 27001. These implementing regulations are available online at: http://oehha.ca.gov/prop65/law/P65Regs.html

WHAT DOES PROPOSITION 65 REQUIRE?

The "Proposition 65 List." Under Proposition 65, the lead agency (OEHHA) publishes a list of chemicals that are known to the State of California to cause cancer and/or reproductive toxicity. Chemicals are placed on the Proposition 65 list if they are known to cause cancer and/or birth defects or other reproductive harm, such as damage to female or male reproductive systems or to the developing fetus. This list must be updated at least once a year. The current Proposition 65 list of chemicals is available on the OEHHA website at:

http://www.oehha.ca.gov/prop65/prop65_list/Newlist.html.

Only those chemicals that are on the list are regulated under Proposition 65. Businesses that produce, use, release or otherwise engage in activities involving listed chemicals must comply with the following:

Clear and reasonable warnings. A business is required to warn a person before "knowingly and intentionally" exposing that person to a listed chemical unless an exemption applies. The warning given must be "clear and reasonable." This means that the warning must: (1) clearly make known that the chemical involved is known to cause cancer, or birth defects or other reproductive harm; and (2) be given in such a way that it will effectively reach the person before he or she is exposed to that chemical. Some exposures are exempt from the warning requirement under certain circumstances discussed below.

Prohibition from discharges into drinking water. A business must not knowingly discharge or release a listed chemical into water or onto land where it passes or probably will pass into a source of drinking water.

Some discharges are exempt from this requirement under certain circumstances discussed below.

DOES PROPOSITION 65 PROVIDE ANY EXEMPTIONS?

Yes. You should consult the current version of the statute and regulations

(http://www.oehha.ca.gov/prop65/law/index.html) to determine all applicable exemptions, the most common of which are the following: *Grace Period.* Proposition 65 warning requirements do not apply until 12 months after the chemical has been listed. The Proposition 65 discharge prohibition does not apply to a discharge or release of a chemical that takes place less than 20 months after the listing of the chemical.

Governmental agencies and public water utilities. All agencies of the federal, state or local government, as well as entities operating public water systems, are exempt.

Businesses with nine or fewer employees. Neither the warning requirement nor the discharge prohibition applies to a business that employs a total of nine or fewer employees. This includes all employees, not just those present in California.

Exposures that pose no significant risk of cancer. For chemicals that are listed under Proposition 65 as known to the State to cause cancer, a warning is not required if the business causing the exposure can demonstrate that the exposure occurs at a level that poses "no significant risk." This means that the exposure is calculated to result in not more than one excess case of cancer in 100,000 individuals exposed over a 70-year lifetime. The Proposition 65 regulations identify specific "No Significant Risk Levels" (NSRLs) for many listed carcinogens. Exposures below these levels are exempt from the warning requirement. See OEHHA's website at:

http://www.oehha.ca.gov/prop65/getNSRLs.html for a list of NSRLs, and Section 25701 *et seq.* of the regulations for information concerning how these levels are calculated.

Exposures that will produce no observable reproductive effect at 1,000 times the level in question. For chemicals known to the State to cause reproductive toxicity, a warning is not required if the business causing the exposure can demonstrate that the exposure will produce no observable effect, even at 1,000 times the level in question. In other words, the level of exposure must be below the "no observable effect level" divided by 1,000. This number is known as the Maximum Allowable Dose Level (MADL). See OEHHA's website at:

http://www.oehha.ca.gov/prop65/getMADLs.html for a list of MADLs, and Section 25801 *et seq.* of the regulations for information concerning how these levels are calculated.

Exposures to Naturally Occurring Chemicals in Food. Certain exposures to chemicals that naturally occur in foods (i.e., that do not result from any known human activity, including activity by someone other than the person causing the exposure) are exempt from the warning requirements of the law. If the chemical is a contaminant it must be reduced to the lowest level feasible. Regulations explaining this exemption can be found in Section 25501.

Discharges that do not result in a "significant amount" of the listed chemical entering any source of drinking water. The prohibition from discharges into drinking water does not apply if the discharger is able to demonstrate that a "significant amount" of the listed chemical has not, does not, or will not pass into or probably pass into a source of drinking water, and that the discharge complies with all other applicable laws, regulations, permits, requirements, or orders. A "significant amount" means any detectable amount, except an amount that would meet the "no significant risk" level for chemicals that cause cancer or that is 1.000 times below the "no observable effect" level for chemicals that cause reproductive toxicity, if an individual were exposed to that amount in drinking water.

HOW IS PROPOSITION 65 ENFORCED?

Enforcement is carried out through civil lawsuits. These lawsuits may be brought by the Attorney General, any district attorney, or certain city attorneys. Lawsuits may also be brought by private parties acting in the public interest, but only after providing notice of the alleged violation to the Attorney General, the appropriate district attorney and city attorney, and the business accused of the violation. The notice must provide adequate information to allow the recipient to assess the nature of the alleged violation. The notice must comply with the information and procedural requirements specified in Section 25903 of Title 27 and sections 3100-3103 of Title 11. A private party may not pursue an independent enforcement action under Proposition 65 if one of the governmental officials noted above initiates an enforcement action within sixty days of the notice.

A business found to be in violation of Proposition 65 is subject to civil penalties of up to \$2,500 per day for each violation. In addition, the business may be ordered by a court to stop committing the violation.

A private party may not file an enforcement action based on certain exposures if the alleged violator meets specific conditions. For the following types of exposures, the Act provides an opportunity for the business to correct the alleged violation:

- An exposure to alcoholic beverages that are consumed on alleged violator's premises to extent onsite consumption is permitted by law
- An exposure to a Proposition 65 listed chemical in a food or beverage prepared and sold on the alleged violator's premises that is primarily intended for immediate consumption on- or off-premises. This only applies if the chemical was not intentionally added to the food, and was formed by cooking or similar preparation of food or beverage components necessary to render the food or beverage palatable or to avoid microbiological contamination;
- An exposure to environmental tobacco smoke caused by entry of persons (other than employees) on premises owned or operated by the alleged violator where smoking is permitted at any location on the premises;
- An exposure to listed chemicals in engine exhaust, to the extent the exposure occurs inside a facility owned or operated by the alleged violator and primarily intended for parking non-commercial vehicles.

If a private party alleges that a violation occurred based on one of the exposures described above, the private party must first provide the alleged violator a notice of special compliance procedure and proof of compliance form.

A copy of the notice of special compliance procedure and proof of compliance form is included in Appendix B and can be downloaded from OEHHA's website at: http://oehha.ca.gov/prop65/law/p65law72003.html.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION ABOUT THE LAW OR REGULATIONS:

Contact the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment's Proposition 65 Implementation Office at (916) 445-6900 or via e-mail at <u>P65Public.Comments@oehha.ca.gov.</u>

NOTE: Authority cited: Section 25249.12, Health and Safety Code. Reference: Sections 25249.5, 25249.6, 25249.7, 25249.9, 25249.10 and 25249.11, Health and Safety Code.