Law Office of Francis J. Flynn, Jr., Esq.

6057 Metropolitan Plz ~ Los Angeles, California 90036-3173 ~ T: 323-207-8144
prop65@prop65flynnlaw.com

Amended Notice of Violation
This Amendment Relates to Notice 2025-3609

California Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act
California Health & Safety Code Section 25249.5 et seq. (Proposition 65)

This Amended Notice of Violation (the "Notice") is provided pursuant to California Health & Safety Code Section 25249.7(d). The noticing party hereby provides this Notice to the Attorney General and all appropriate public enforcement agencies. This Notice is provided by Sarah Hale, a private California citizen. All contact with the noticing party should be directed to her attorney at the above-listed information.

The original Notice of Violation 2025-3609 is amended to remove the allegations of violation due to the presence of BPA as it relates to Neiman Marcus (fully removed from the Notice), Erewhon (fully removed from the Notice), Francesca's (claim for BPA presence removed; BPS claims remain); Bobboi Natural Gelato (claim for BPA presence removed; BPS claims remain); Maybourne Hotel (Café) (claim for BPA presence removed; BPS claims remain).

Description of Violation:

(1) The names and address of the violators are identified on the attached Exhibit 1.
(2) The violations have been occurring since at least December 29, 2024 and are continuing to this day.
(3) This Notice covers the exposure/warning provision of Proposition 65.
(4) The names of the listed chemical involved in these violations are Bisphenol A ("BPA") and/or Bisphenol S ("BPS"). Exposures to BPA and/or BPS occur from dermal contact, absorption by physical contact and incidental ingestion with the products identified in this Notice.
(5) The specific products and product types causing these violations are thermal receipt paper.
(6) This Notice addresses consumer exposures to BPA and/or BPS. Use of the products identified in this Notice results in human exposures to BPA and/or BPS. Thermal receipt paper is treated with a surface coating of BPA and/or BPS. The routes of exposure for the violations are dermal absorption directly through the skin when consumers touch or handle the products, and ingestion via hand-to-mouth contact after consumers touch or handle the products. Exposure to BPS can lead to endocrine disruption, impaired thyroid function and negative reproductive effects. No clear and reasonable Proposition 65 warning is provided with these products regarding the presence of BPA and/or BPS in the products. The noticing party has tested the identified products and confirm the contain BPA and/or BPS at levels above the MADL.

Resolution of Noticed Claims:

Based on the allegations set forth in this Notice, the noticing party intends to file a citizen enforcement lawsuit against the alleged violators unless such violators agree in a binding written instrument to: (1) provide clear and reasonable warnings for products sold or otherwise provided to consumers in the future or reformulate such products to eliminate BPA and/or BPS exposures; and (2) pay an appropriate civil penalty pursuant to Health & Safety Code §25249.7(b). If the violators wish to resolve this matter they can contact the noticing attorney via email and the telephone number listed above. It should be noted that the noticing party cannot finalize any settlement until after the 60-day notice period has expired; nor (2) speak for the Attorney General or any District or City Attorney who received the 60 day notice.

Preservation of Relevant Evidence:

This Notice also serves as a demand that the alleged violators preserve and maintain all relevant evidence, including electronic documents and data, pending resolution of this matter. Such relevant evidence includes but is not limited to all documents relating to the presence or potential presence of BPA and/or BPS in thermal receipt paper; purchase and usage information for such products; efforts to comply with Proposition 65 with respect to such products; communications with any person relating to the presence or potential presence of BPS in such products; and representative exemplars of any such products provided to customers or consumers by the alleged violator in the year preceding this Notice through the date of any trial of the claims alleged in this Notice.

CERTIFICATE OF MERIT
Health & Safety Code §25249.7(d)

I, Francis "Casey" Flynn, hereby declare:

1. This Certificate of Merit accompanies the attached sixty-day notice in which it is alleged that the party identified in the notice has violated Health & Safety Code §25249.6 by failing to provide clear and reasonable warnings.

2. I am an attorney with the Law Office of Francis J. Flynn, and I represent the noticing party, Sarah Hale.

3. Members of my firm and I have consulted with one or more persons with relevant and appropriate experience or expertise who have reviewed facts, studies, or other data regarding the exposures to the listed chemical that is the subject of the action.

4. Based on the information obtained through these consultations, and on other information in my possession, I believe there is a reasonable and meritorious case for this private action. I understand that "reasonable and meritorious case for the private action" means that the information provides a credible basis that all elements of the plaintiff's case can be established and the information did not prove that the alleged violators will be able to establish any of the affirmative defenses set forth in the statute.

5. The copy of the Certificate of Merit served on the Attorney General attaches to it factual information sufficient to establish the basis for this certificate, including the information identified in Health & Safety Code §25249.7(h)(2), i.e., (1) the identity of the persons consulted with and relied on by the certifier, and (2) the facts, studies, or other data reviewed by those persons.

October 17, 2025

Casey Flynn
Francis "Casey" Flynn

EXHIBIT 1

Names and Addresses of Responsible Parties	Non-Exclusive Examples of the Products	Further Description of Non-Exclusive Exemplars
Dedy Shwartzberg or current CEO Edikted 1327 Willow Street Los Angeles, CA 90013	Edikted 7007 Friars Road San Diego CA 92108 Edikted Receipt (dated September 1, 2025)	Receipt #2-21730 Contains BPA
Andrew Clarke or current CEO Francesca's 8760 Clay Road, Suite 100 Houston, TX 77080	Francesca's 7886 Girard Avenue La Jolla, CA 92037 Francesca's Receipt (dated August 30, 2025)	Receipt # 86051 Contains BPS
Reinold Geiger or current CEO L'Occitane, Inc. 1430 Broadway, 2nd Floor New York, NY 10018	L'Occitane 7007 Friars Road San Diego, CA 92108 L'Occitane Receipt (dated September 1, 2025)	Receipt # 169053 Contains BPA
Andrea Racca or current CEO Bobboi Natural Gelato 8008 Girard Avenue La Jolla, CA 92037	Bobboi Natural Gelato 8008 Girard Ave Suite 150 La Jolla, CA 92037 Bobboi Gelato Receipt (dated August 30, 2025)	Receipt Code: pMq3 Contains BPS
Marc Socker, Co-CEO Maybourne Hotel Group 400 Madison Avenue, Suite 7C New York, New York 10017	Maybourne Hotel (Café) Café Receipt 225 N. Canon Drive Beverly Hills, CA 90210 (dated September 3, 2025)	Auth. Code 09444Q Reference 1064 Contains BPS

APPENDIX A

OFFICE OF ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH HAZARD ASSESSMENT CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

THE SAFE DRINKING WATER AND TOXIC ENFORCEMENT ACT OF 1986 (PROPOSITION 65): A SUMMARY

The following summary has been prepared by the California Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment (OEHHA), the lead agency for the implementation of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986 (commonly known as "Proposition 65"). A copy of this summary must be included as an attachment to any notice of violation served upon an alleged violator of the Act. The summary provides basic information about the provisions of the law, and is intended to serve only as a convenient source of general information. It is not intended to provide authoritative guidance on the meaning or application of the law. The reader is directed to the statute and OEHHA implementing regulations (see citations below) for further information.

FOR INFORMATION CONCERNING THE BASIS FOR THE ALLEGATIONS IN THE NOTICE RELATED TO YOUR BUSINESS, CONTACT THE PERSON IDENTIFIED ON THE NOTICE.

The text of Proposition 65 (Health and Safety Code Sections 25249.5 through 25249.13) is available online at: http://oehha.ca.gov/prop65/law/P65law72003.html. Regulations that provide more specific guidance on compliance, and that specify procedures to be followed by the State in carrying out certain aspects of the law, are found in Title 27 of the California Code of Regulations, sections 25102 through 27001.[1] These implementing regulations are available online at: http://oehha.ca.gov/prop65/law/P65Regs.html.

WHAT DOES PROPOSITION 65 REQUIRE?

The "Proposition 65 List." Under Proposition 65, the lead agency (OEHHA) publishes a list of chemicals that are known to the State of California to cause cancer and/or reproductive toxicity. Chemicals are placed on the Proposition 65 list if they are known to cause cancer and/or birth defects or other reproductive harm, such as damage to female or male reproductive systems or to the developing fetus. This list must be updated at least once a year. The current Proposition 65 list of chemicals is available on the OEHHA website at: http://www.oehha.ca.gov/prop65/prop65_list/Newlist.html.

Only those chemicals that are on the list are regulated under Proposition 65. Businesses that produce, use, release or otherwise engage in activities involving listed chemicals must comply with the following:

Clear and reasonable warnings. A business is required to warn a person before "knowingly and intentionally" exposing that person to a listed chemical unless an exemption applies. The warning given must be "clear and reasonable." This means that the warning must: (1) clearly

[1] All further regulatory references are to sections of Title 27 of the California Code of Regulations unless otherwise indicated. The statute, regulations and relevant case law are available on the OEHHA website at: http://www.oehha.ca.gov/prop65/law/index.html.

make known that the chemical involved is known to cause cancer, or birth defects or other reproductive harm; and (2) be given in such a way that it will effectively reach the person before he or she is exposed to that chemical. Some exposures are exempt from the warning requirement under certain circumstances discussed below.

Prohibition from discharges into drinking water. A business must not knowingly discharge or release a listed chemical into water or onto land where it passes or probably will pass into a source of drinking water. Some discharges are exempt from this requirement under certain circumstances discussed below.

DOES PROPOSITION 65 PROVIDE ANY EXEMPTIONS?

Yes. You should consult the current version of the statute and regulations (http://www.oehha.ca.gov/prop65/law/index.html) to determine all applicable exemptions, the most common of which are the following:

Grace Period. Proposition 65 warning requirements do not apply until 12 months after the chemical has been listed. The Proposition 65 discharge prohibition does not apply to a discharge or release of a chemical that takes place less than 20 months after the listing of the chemical.

Governmental agencies and public water utilities. All agencies of the federal, state or local government, as well as entities operating public water systems, are exempt.

Businesses with nine or fewer employees. Neither the warning requirement nor the discharge prohibition applies to a business that employs a total of nine or fewer employees. This includes all employees, not just those present in California.

Exposures that pose no significant risk of cancer. For chemicals that are listed under Proposition 65 as known to the State to cause cancer, a warning is not required if the business causing the exposure can demonstrate that the exposure occurs at a level that poses “no significant risk.” This means that the exposure is calculated to result in not more than one excess case of cancer in 100,000 individuals exposed over a 70-year lifetime. The Proposition 65 regulations identify specific “No Significant Risk Levels” (NSRLs) for many listed carcinogens. Exposures below these levels are exempt from the warning requirement. See OEHHA's website at: http://www.oehha.ca.gov/prop65/getNSRLs.html for a list of NSRLs, and Section 25701 et seq. of the regulations for information concerning how these levels are calculated.

Exposures that will produce no observable reproductive effect at 1,000 times the level in question. For chemicals known to the State to cause reproductive toxicity, a warning is not required if the business causing the exposure can demonstrate that the exposure will produce no observable effect, even at 1,000 times the level in question. In other words, the level of exposure must be below the “no observable effect level” divided by 1,000. This number is known as the Maximum Allowable Dose Level (MADL). See OEHHA's website at: http://www.oehha.ca.gov/prop65/getNSRLs.html for a list of MADLs, and Section 25801 et seq. of the regulations for information concerning how these levels are calculated.

Exposures to Naturally Occurring Chemicals in Food. Certain exposures to chemicals that naturally occur in foods (i.e., that do not result from any known human activity, including

activity by someone other than the person causing the exposure) are exempt from the warning requirements of the law. If the chemical is a contaminant[2] it must be reduced to the lowest level feasible. Regulations explaining this exemption can be found in Section 25501.

Discharges that do not result in a "significant amount" of the listed chemical entering any source of drinking water. The prohibition from discharges into drinking water does not apply if the discharger is able to demonstrate that a "significant amount" of the listed chemical has not, does not, or will not pass into or probably pass into a source of drinking water, and that the discharge complies with all other applicable laws, regulations, permits, requirements, or orders. A "significant amount" means any detectable amount, except an amount that would meet the "no significant risk" level for chemicals that cause cancer or that is 1,000 times below the "no observable effect" level for chemicals that cause reproductive toxicity, if an individual were exposed to that amount in drinking water.

HOW IS PROPOSITION 65 ENFORCED?

Enforcement is carried out through civil lawsuits. These lawsuits may be brought by the Attorney General, any district attorney, or certain city attorneys. Lawsuits may also be brought by private parties acting in the public interest, but only after providing notice of the alleged violation to the Attorney General, the appropriate district attorney and city attorney, and the business accused of the violation. The notice must provide adequate information to allow the recipient to assess the nature of the alleged violation. The notice must comply with the information and procedural requirements specified in Section 25903 of Title 27 and sections 3100-3103 of Title 11. A private party may not pursue an independent enforcement action under Proposition 65 if one of the governmental officials noted above initiates an enforcement action within sixty days of the notice.

A business found to be in violation of Proposition 65 is subject to civil penalties of up to $2,500 per day for each violation. In addition, the business may be ordered by a court to stop committing the violation.

A private party may not file an enforcement action based on certain exposures if the alleged violator meets specific conditions. For the following types of exposures, the Act provides an opportunity for the business to correct the alleged violation:

- An exposure to alcoholic beverages that are consumed on the alleged violator's premises to the extent onsite consumption is permitted by law;

- An exposure to a Proposition 65 listed chemical in a food or beverage prepared and sold on the alleged violator's premises that is primarily intended for immediate consumption on- or off-premises. This only applies if the chemical was not intentionally added to the food, and was formed by cooking or similar preparation of food or beverage components necessary to render the food or beverage palatable or to avoid microbiological contamination;

[2] See Section 25501(a)(4).

- An exposure to environmental tobacco smoke caused by entry of persons (other than employees) on premises owned or operated by the alleged violator where smoking is permitted at any location on the premises;

- An exposure to listed chemicals in engine exhaust, to the extent the exposure occurs inside a facility owned or operated by the alleged violator and primarily intended for parking non-commercial vehicles.

If a private party alleges that a violation occurred based on one of the exposures described above, the private party must first provide the alleged violator a notice of special compliance procedure and proof of compliance form.

A copy of the notice of special compliance procedure and proof of compliance form is included in Appendix B and can be downloaded from OEHHA's website at: http://oehha.ca.gov/prop65/law/p65law72003.html.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION ABOUT THE LAW OR REGULATIONS...

Contact the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment's Proposition 65 Implementation Office at (916) 445-6900 or via e-mail at P65Public.Comments@oehha.ca.gov.

Revised: May 2017

NOTE: Authority cited: Section 25249.12, Health and Safety Code. Reference: Sections 25249.5, 25249.6, 25249.7, 25249.9, 25249.10 and 25249.11, Health and Safety Code

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, David Bauman, declare that I am over the age of 18 years, and am not a party to the within action. I am a resident of the County of San Diego, California, where the mailing occurs; and my business address is 6057 Metropolitan Plz., Los Angeles, California 90036-3173.

On October 17, 2025, I served the following documents:

(1) 60-DAY NOTICE OF VIOLATION SENT IN COMPLIANCE WITH HEALTH & SAFETY CODE SECTION 25249.7(d);
(2) CERTIFICATE OF MERIT;
(3) PROPOSITION 65: A SUMMARY; and
(4) CERTIFICATE OF MERIT ATTACHMENT (served only on the Attorney General)

on the parties listed below by placing a true and correct copy thereof in a sealed envelope, addressed to each party and depositing it on October 17, 2025 with the U.S. Postal Service for delivery via certified mail return with the postage thereon fully prepaid:

Dedy Shwartzberg or current CEO Edikted 1327 Willow Street Los Angeles, CA 90013	Marc Metrick, CEO or current CEO Saks Global Operations One Marcus Square Dallas, TX 75201	Tony Antoci or current CEO Erewhon Market 2430 East 11th Street Los Angeles, CA 90021
Andrew Clarke or current CEO Francesca's 8760 Clay Road, Suite 100 Houston, TX 77080	Reinold Geiger or current CEO L'Occitane, Inc. 1430 Broadway, 2nd Floor New York, NY 10018	Andrea Racca or current CEO Bobboi Natural Gelato 8008 Girard Avenue La Jolla, CA 92037
Marc Socker, Co-CEO Maybourne Hotel Group 400 Madison Avenue, Suite 7C New York, New York 10017		

On October 17, 2025, I served the California Attorney General (via website Portal) by uploading a true and correct copy thereof as a PDF file via the California Attorney General's website.

On October 17, 2025, I transmitted via electronic mail the above-listed documents to the electronic mail addresses of the City and/or District Attorneys who have specifically authorized e-mail service and the authorization appears on the Attorney General's web site. See Attached Electronic Service List.

On October 17, 2025, I served the following persons and/or entities at the last known address by placing a true and correct copy thereof in a sealed envelope and depositing with the U.S. Postal Service for delivery with the postage thereon fully prepaid, and addressed as follows: See Attached U.S. Mail Service List.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on October 17, 2025, at Carlsbad, California.

David Bauman

David Bauman

EMAIL SERVICE LIST

The Honorable Pamela Price Alameda County, District Attorney 7677 Oakport Street, Suite 650 Oakland, CA 94621 CEPDProp65@acgov.org	The Honorable Barbara Yook Calaveras County, 891 Mountain Ranch Rd. San Andreas, CA 95249 Phone: 209-754-6330 Prop65Env@co.calaveras.ca.us	The Honorable Stacey Grassini Contra Costa County, Deputy District Attorney 900 Ward Street Martinez, CA 94553 sgrassini@contracostada.org	The Honorable George Marquez Imperial County, District Attorney 940 West Main Street, Suite 102 El Centro, CA 92243 teresasolis@co.imperial.ca.us
The Honorable James Clinchard El Dorado County, Assistant District Attorney 778 Pacific Street Placerville, CA 95667 EDCDAPROP65@edcda.us	The Honorable Lisa A. Smittcamp Fresno County, District Attorney 2100 Tulare Street Fresno, CA 93721 Phone: (559) 600-3141 consumerprotection@fresnocountyca.gov	The Honorable Thomas L. Hardy Inyo County, District Attorney 168 North Edwards Street Independence, CA 93526 Phone: 760.878.0282 inyoda@inyocounty.us	The Honorable Sarah Hacker Kings County, District Attorney 1400 West Lacey Blvd. Hanford, CA 93230 eservice@co.kings.ca.us
The Honorable Michelle Latimer Lassen County, Program Coordinator 220 S. Lassen Street Susanville, CA 96130 Phone: 530-251-8284 mlatimer@co.lassen.ca.us	The Honorable Lori Frugoli Marin County, District Attorney 3501 Civic Center Drive, Room 145 San Rafael, CA 94903 consumer@marincounty.gov	The Honorable Walter W. Wall , Mariposa County, District Attorney P.O. Box 730 Mariposa, CA 95338 Phone: (209) 966-3626 mcda@mariposacounty.org	The Honorable Susan Krones Lake County, District Attorney 255 N. Forbes Street Lakeport, CA 95453 countycounsel@lakecountyca.gov
The Honorable Kimberly Lewis Merced County, District Attorney 550 West Main Street Merced, CA 95340 Phone: (209) 385-7381 Prop65@countyofmerced.com	The Honorable Jeannine M. Pacioni Monterey County, District Attorney 1200 Aguajito Road Monterey, CA 93940 Prop65DA@co.monterey.ca.us	The Honorable Allison Haley Napa County, District Attorney 1127 First Street, Suite C Napa , CA 94559 CEPD@countyofnapa.org	The Honorable Sally O. Moreno, District Attorney 300 South G Street, Suite 300 Madera, CA 93637 mariaElena.leyva@maderacounty.com
The Honorable Clifford H. Newell Nevada County, District Attorney 201 Commercial Street Nevada City, CA 95959 DA.Prop65@co.nevada.ca.us	The Honorable Morgan Briggs Gire Placer County, District Attorney 10810 Justice Center Drive Roseville, CA 95678 Phone: 916-543-8000 prop65@placer.ca.gov	The Honorabble David Hollister Plumas County, District Attorney 520 Main St. Quincy, CA 95971 Phone: (530) 283-6303 davidhollister@countyofplumas.com	The Honorable C. David Eyster Mendocino County, District Attorney P.O. Box 1000 Ukiah, CA 95482 DA@mendocinocounty.gov
The Honorable Paul E. Zellerbach Riverside County, District Attorney 3072 Orange Street Riverside, CA 92501 Prop65@rivcoda.org	The Honorable Anne Marie Schubert Sacramento County, District Attorney 901 G Street Sacramento, CA 95814 Prop65@sacda.org	The Honorable Summer Stephan San Diego County, District Attorney 330 West Broadway San Diego, CA 92101 SanDiegoDAProp65@sdcda.org	The Honorable Cynthia Campbell Modoc County, District Attorney 204 S. Court Street, Room 202 Alturas, CA 96101 DA@co.modoc.ca.us
The Honorable Alexander Grayner San Francisco County, Asst. District Attorney 350 Rhode Island Street San Francisco, CA 94103 alexandra.grayner@sfgov.org	The Honorable Tori Verber Salazar San Joaquin County, District Attorney 222 E. Weber Avenue, Room 202 Stockton, CA 95202 DAConsumer.Environmental@sjcda.org	The Honorable Eric J. Dobroth San Luis Obispo County, Deputy District Attorney County Government Center Annex, 4th Floor San Luis Obispo, CA 93408 Phone: 805-781-5800 edobroth@co.slo.ca.us	The Honorable David Anderson Mono County, District Attorney P.O. Box 2053 Mammoth Lakes, CA 93546 districtattorney@mono.ca.gov
The Honorable Christopher Dalbey Santa Barbara County, Deputy District Attorney 1112 Santa Barbara St. Santa Barbara, CA 93101 Phone: 805-568-2300 DAProp65@co.santa-barbara.ca.us	The Honorable Bud Porter Santa Clara County, Supervising Deputy District Attorney 70 W Hedding St San Jose, CA 95110 EPU@da.sccgov.org	The Honorable Jeffrey S. Rosell Santa Cruz County, District Attorney 701 Ocean Street Santa Cruz, CA 95060 Phone: 831-454-2400 Prop65DA@santacruzcounty.us	The Honorable Todd Spitzer Orange County, District Attorney 300 N. Flower Street Santa Ana, CA 92703 prop65notice@ocdapa.org
The Honorable Jill Ravitch Sonoma County, District Attorney 600 Administration Drive Santa Rosa, CA 95403 ECLD@sonoma county.org	The Honorable Phillip J. Cline Tulare County, District Attorney 221 S Mooney Blvd Visalia, CA 95370 Prop65@co.tulare.ca.us	The Honorable Gregory D. Totten Ventura County, District Attorney 800 S Victoria Ave Ventura, CA 93009 daspecialops@ventura.org	The Honorable Stephen M. Wagstaffe San Mateo County, District Attorney 400 County Center, Third Floor Redwood City, CA 94063 DA_info@smcgov.org
The Honorable Jeff W. Resig Yolo County, District Attorney 301 Second Street Woodland, CA 95695 cfepd@yolocounty.gov	The Honorable Mark Ankcorn City of San Diego, Deputy City Attorney 1200 Third Avenue San Diego, CA 92101 CityAttyProp65@sandiego.gov	The Honorable Henry Lifton City of San Francisco, Deputy City Attorney 1390 Market Street, 7th Floor San Francisco, CA 94102 Prop65@sfcityatty.org	The Honorable Sandra Groven Sierra County, District Attorney 100 Courthouse Square Downieville, CA 95936 smarshall@sierracounty.ca.gov
The Honorable Nora V. Frimann City of Santa Clara, City Attorney 200 E. Santa Clara Street, 16th Floor San Jose, CA 96113 Proposition65notices@sanjoseca.gov	The Honorable Michael L. Ramsey Butte County, District Attorney 25 County Center Drive - Administrative Building Oroville, CA 95965 DA@buttecounty.net	The Honorable Krishna A. Abrams Solano County, District Attorney 675 Texas Street, Suite 4500 Fairfield, CA 94533 DACEPU@solanocounty.gov	The Honorable Mike Feuer City of Los Angeles, City Attorney 200 N. Main Street Los Angeles, CA 90012 Cityatty.help@lacity.org

U.S. MAIL SERVICE LIST

The Honorable Robert Priscaro Alpine County, District Attorney P.O. Box 248 Markleeville, CA 96120	The Honorable Todd Riebe Amador County, District Attorney 708 Court Street, #202 Jackson, CA 95642	The Honorable Brenden Farrell Colusa County, District Attorney 310 6th Street Colusa, CA 95932
The Honorable Katherine Micks Del Norte County, District Attorney 450 H Street, Room 171 Crescent City, CA 95531	The Honorable Dwayne Stewart Glenn County, District Attorney P.O. Box 430 Willows, CA 95988	The Honorable Stacey Eads Humboldt County, District Attorney 825 5th Street Eureka, CA 95501
The Honorable Cynthia Zimmer Kern County, District Attorney 1215 Truxtun Avenue Bakersfield, CA 93301	The Honorable George Gascon Los Angeles County, District Attorney 211 W. Temple Street, Suite 1200 Los Angeles, CA 90012	The Honorable Joel Buckingham San Benito County, District Attorney 419 4th Street Hollister, CA 95023
The Honorable Jason Anderson San Bernardino County, District Attorney 303 W. Third Street San Bernardino, CA 92415	The Honorable Stephanie A. Bridgett Shasta County, District Attorney 1355 West Street Redding, CA 96001	The Honorable James Kirk Andrus Siskiyou County, District Attorney P.O. Box 986 Yreka, CA 96097
The Honorable Jeff Laugero Stanislaus County, District Attorney 832 12th Street, Suite 300 Modesto, CA 95353	The Honorable Jennifer Dupre Sutter County, District Attorney 463 2nd Street, Suite 102 Yuba City, CA 95991	The Honorable Matthew Rogers Tehama County, District Attorney P.O. Box 519 Red Bluff, CA 96080
The Honorable David Brady Trinity County, District Attorney P.O. Box 310 Weaverville, CA 96093	The Honorable Cassandra Jenecke Tuolumne County, District Attorney 2 S. Green St. Sonora, CA 95370	The Honorable Clint Curry Yuba County, District Attorney 215 Fifth Street, Suite 152 Marysville, CA 95901