



2355 WESTWOOD BLVD, SUITE 218
LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90064
TELEPHONE (310) 925-5189
arya@365lawfirm.com
litigation@365lawfirm.com

October 15, 2026

Via Certified Mail

Prince Of Peace Enterprises, Inc. C/O Kenneth Yeung 751 North Canyons Parkway Livermore, CA 94551	Prince of Peace® Group 751 North Canyons Parkway Livermore, CA 94551	Tawa Supermarket, Inc. DBA 99 Ranch Market C/O Jonson Chen 6338 Regio Ave Buena Park, CA 90620
--	--	--

Re: 60-Day Notice of Violation of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986 (Proposition 65) & Demand for Supply Chain Identification

To Whom It May Concern:

This firm represents Consumer Health Initiative LLC, an organization in the State of California acting in the interest of the general public seeking to further, among other causes, the protection of the environment, toxics reduction, the promotion and improvement of human health, the improvement of workers and consumer rights, environmental education and corporate accountability.

This letter serves as notice that the parties listed above are in violation California's Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, which is codified at California Health & Safety Code section 25249.5, et seq. ("Proposition 65"). In particular, the violations alleged by this notice consist of types of harm that may potentially result from exposures to the toxic chemical lead. Lead was listed as a developmental and reproductive toxin on February 27, 1987 and listed as a carcinogen on October 1, 1992.

The parties listed above have violated and continue to violate the warning requirement at section 25249.6 of the California Health & Safety Code, which provides, "No person in the course of doing business shall knowingly and intentionally expose any individual to a chemical known to the state to cause cancer or reproductive toxicity without first giving clear and reasonable warning to such individual[.]" Without proper warnings regarding the toxic effects of exposures to the Listed Chemical resulting from use of the Product, California citizens lack the information necessary to make informed decisions on whether and/or how to eliminate (or reduce) the risk of exposure to the Listed Chemical from the reasonably foreseeable use of the Product.

Pursuant to California Health & Safety Code section 25249.7, subdivision (d), the Noticing Party, as defined in that section, intends to bring an enforcement action against the Violator sixty (60) days after effective service of this notice unless the public enforcement agencies listed in the attached Certificate of Service have commenced and are diligently prosecuting an action to rectify these violations. A summary of Proposition 65 and its implementing regulations, prepared by the Office of

Environmental Health Hazard Assessment, the lead agency designated under Proposition 65, is enclosed with the copy of this notice served to the Violator. The specific details of the violations that are the subject of this Notice are provided below.

Identified below is a specific example of Covered Products recently purchased and witnessed as being available for purchase in California (the “Exemplar Product”). Based on publicly available information, the retailers, distributors, importers, and/or manufacturers of the Exemplar Product are also provided. The Exemplar Product is identified for the Notice Recipients’ benefit to assist in their investigation of the allegations set forth in this Notice. The Exemplar Product is not meant to be an exhaustive or comprehensive identification of products falling within the specific category of the Covered Products. The Exemplar Product is a representative of a class of products called “Organic Green Tea”. It is the Consumer Health Initiative LLC’s position that the Violators are obligated to conduct a good-faith investigation into other products falling within the category of the Covered Products, including variations thereof, which have been manufactured, imported, distributed, shipped, stored, or sold in the State of California.

<u>Product Name</u>	<u>Manufacturer</u>	<u>Distributor/Retailer</u>
Organic Green Tea	Prince Of Peace Enterprises, Inc.	Prince of Peace® Group

A copy of the Proposition 65 summary prepared by the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment is attached to the copy of this letter served to the Violators.

Because of this lack of a warning, consumers were exposed to the following chemicals without the proper required Proposition 65 warnings: Lead. The route of exposure for this chemical is through ingestion. Such exposure can cause cancer, birth defects, and other reproductive harm. Exposures to the listed chemical from the use of each Product have been occurring without the clear and reasonable warning required by Proposition 65, dating as far back as October 2025 and will continue every day henceforth until clear and reasonable warnings are provided to product purchasers and users or until this known toxic chemical is removed from the Products. Without proper warnings regarding the toxic effect of exposure to the listed chemical resulting from ingestion of the Products, California citizens lack the information necessary to make informed decisions on whether and how to eliminate (or reduce) the risk of exposure to the listed chemical from use of the Products.

Consumer Health Initiative LLC intends to file a private enforcement action as provided for in the Act for the alleged violations by the Violators, unless Violators agree in an enforceable written instrument to: (1) recall the listed products so as to eliminate further exposures to the identified chemicals; or (2) affix clear and reasonable Proposition 65 warning labels for the products sold in the future or reformulate such products to eliminate the exposures; and (3) pay applicable civil penalties and the costs of bringing this action.

Consistent with the public interest goals of Proposition 65, Consumer Health Initiative LLC is interested in seeking a constructive resolution to this matter, and invites Violators, should they seek early resolution of this matter, to communicate directly Consumer Health Initiative LLC’s attorneys. Such resolution will avoid further unwarned consumer exposures, as well as resource intensive litigation.

Resolution of Claims Being Noticed

Based on the allegations set forth in this Notice, the Noticing Party intends to file a citizen enforcement lawsuit against the Violator unless it agrees to remedy the violations set forth above. If the Violator is interested in resolving this dispute without resort to expensive and time-consuming litigation, please contact the Noticing Party through its counsel identified below.

Preservation of Relevant Evidence

This Notice also serves as a demand that the Violator preserve and maintain all relevant evidence, including all electronic documents and data, pending resolution of this matter. Such relevant evidence includes but is not limited to all documents relating to mercury released from or during the storage, shipment, and use of the Products; purchases and sales information for the Products; communications with any person relating to actual or potential exposures to mercury from the use of the Products; representative exemplars of each unit of any of the brands listed above in Table 1 sold since three years prior to the date of this Notice; the content of internet displays for the Products causing the violations; and all communications regarding the alleged violations.

Consumer Health Initiative LLC identifies Soroush Tahour as a responsible individual within the entity, 2355 Westwood Blvd #611, Los Angeles, CA 90064, 310-500-5682. Mr. Tahour requests all communications be sent to Consumer Health Initiative LLC's attorneys.

Please direct all communication regarding this notice to Consumer Health Initiative LLC's attorney, Arya Afighom (arya@365lawfirm.com), 365 Law Firm PC, 2355 Westwood Blvd., Suite 218, Los Angeles, CA 90064, 310-925-5189.

Demand for Supply Chain Contacts

Pursuant to California Code of Regulations title 27, article 6, section 25600.2, subdivision (g) you must promptly provide Mercury Policy Project (through its counsel) with the names and contact information for each of the manufacturers, producers, packagers, importers, suppliers, and distributors of each Product, including those listed above in Table 1 and those included in Exhibit A. We expect to receive this information within a week.

Sincerely,



Arya Afighom
365 LAW FIRM PC
Cc: see attached distribution list

Enclosures:

1. Certificate of Merit (All Recipients)
2. Certificate of Service (All Recipients)
3. *The Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986 (Proposition 65): A Summary* (“Summary”) (Violator Only)
4. Certificate of Merit (Attorney General Copy); Factual information sufficient to establish the basis of the certificate of merit (only sent to Attorney General)

CERTIFICATE OF MERIT

California Health & Safety Code Section 25249.7, Subdivision (d)

I, Arya Afghom, hereby declare:

- 1) This Certificate of Merit accompanies the attached sixty-day notice(s) in which it is alleged the parties identified in the notices have violated Health and Safety Code section 25249.6 by failing to provide clear and reasonable warnings.
- 2) I am the attorney for the noticing party.
- 3) I have consulted with one or more persons with relevant and appropriate experience or expertise who have reviewed facts, studies, or other data regarding the exposure to the listed chemical that is the subject of the action.
- 4) Based on the information obtained through those consultations, and on all other information in my possession, I believe there is a reasonable and meritorious case for the private action. I understand that "reasonable and meritorious case for the private action" means that the information provides a credible basis that all elements of the plaintiff's case can be established and the information did not prove that the alleged violators will be able to establish any of the affirmative defenses set forth in the statute.
- 5) The copy of this Certificate of Merit served on the Attorney General attaches to it factual information sufficient to establish the basis for this certificate, including the information identified in Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(h)(2), i.e., (1) the identity of the persons consulted with and relied on by the certifier, and (2) the facts, studies, or other data reviewed by those persons.

Dated: October 15, 2026



By: _____

Arya Afghom
365 LAW FIRM PC

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Arya Afghom, declare that I am over the age of 18 years, and am not a party to the within action. I am employed in the County of Los Angeles, California, where the mailing occurs; and my business address is 2355 Westwood Blvd, Ste 218, Los Angeles, CA 90064.

On October 15, 2026, I served the following documents:

1. 60-Day Notice of Intent to Sue Under Health and Safety Code section 25249.6
2. Certificate of Merit; Health and Safety Code Section 25249.7 (d)
3. Certificate of Merit (Attorney General Copy); Factual information sufficient to establish the basis of the certificate of merit (only sent to Attorney General)
4. The Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986 (Proposition 65): A Summary on the alleged violator (s) listed below via First Class Mail through the United States Postal Service by placing a true and correct copy in a sealed envelope, addressed to the entity listed below and providing such envelope to a United States Postal Service Representative:

Prince Of Peace Enterprises, Inc. C/O Kenneth Yeung 751 North Canyons Parkway Livermore, CA 94551	Prince of Peace® Group 751 North Canyons Parkway Livermore, CA 94551	99 Ranch Market #1255 1360 Westwood Blvd Los Angeles, CA 90024
--	--	--

as well as by filing electronically a true and correct copy thereof as permitted through the website of the California Office of the Attorney General via link at oag.ca.gov/prop65:

State of California Department of Justice
Office of the Attorney General of California
Filing link: oag.ca.gov/prop65

Copies of the notice were provided to the public enforcers by placing a true and correct copy thereof in a sealed envelope, addressed to each of the District Attorney and City Attorney offices the parties listed on the attached Distribution List. The District Attorney and City Attorney offices that have requested electronic service only were served electronically via the email addresses listed on the Distribution List.

See Attached Service List

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on October 15, 2026, at Los Angeles, California.



Arya Afghom

APPENDIX A

OFFICE OF ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH HAZARD ASSESSMENT CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

THE SAFE DRINKING WATER AND TOXIC ENFORCEMENT ACT OF 1986 (PROPOSITION 65): A SUMMARY

The following summary has been prepared by the California Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment (OEHHA), the lead agency for the implementation of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986 (commonly known as “Proposition 65”). A copy of this summary must be included as an attachment to any notice of violation served upon an alleged violator of the Act. The summary provides basic information about the provisions of the law, and is intended to serve only as a convenient source of general information. It is not intended to provide authoritative guidance on the meaning or application of the law. The reader is directed to the statute and OEHHA implementing regulations (see citations below) for further information.

FOR INFORMATION CONCERNING THE BASIS FOR THE ALLEGATIONS IN THE NOTICE RELATED TO YOUR BUSINESS, CONTACT THE PERSON IDENTIFIED ON THE NOTICE.

The text of Proposition 65 (Health and Safety Code Sections 25249.5 through 25249.13) is available online at: <http://oehha.ca.gov/prop65/law/P65law72003.html>. Regulations that provide more specific guidance on compliance, and that specify procedures to be followed by the State in carrying out certain aspects of the law, are found in Title 27 of the California Code of Regulations, sections 25102 through 27001.¹ These implementing regulations are available online at: <http://oehha.ca.gov/prop65/law/P65Regs.html>.

WHAT DOES PROPOSITION 65 REQUIRE?

The “Proposition 65 List.” Under Proposition 65, the lead agency (OEHHA) publishes a list of chemicals that are known to the State of California to cause cancer and/or reproductive toxicity. Chemicals are placed on the Proposition 65 list if they are known to cause cancer and/or birth defects or other reproductive harm, such as damage to female or male reproductive systems or to the developing fetus. The list must be updated at least once a year. The current Proposition 65 list of chemicals is available on the OEHHA website at: http://www.oehha.ca.gov/prop65/prop65_list/Newlist.html

¹ All further regulatory references are to sections of Title 27 of the California Code of Regulations unless otherwise indicated. The statute, regulations and relevant case law are available on the OEHHA website at: <http://www.oehha.ca.gov/prop65/law/index.html>.

Only those chemicals that are on the list are regulated under Proposition 65. Businesses that produce, use, release or otherwise engage in activities involving listed chemicals must comply with the following:

Clear and reasonable warnings. A business is required to warn a person before “knowingly and intentionally” exposing that person to a listed chemical unless an exemption applies. The warning given must be “clear and reasonable.” This means that the warning must: (1) clearly make known that the chemical involved is known to cause cancer, or birth defects or other reproductive harm; and (2) be given in such a way that it will effectively reach the person before he or she is exposed to that chemical. Some exposures are exempt from the warning requirement under certain circumstances discussed below.

Prohibition from discharges into drinking water. A business must not knowingly discharge or release a listed chemical into water or onto land where it passes or probably will pass into a source of drinking water. Some discharges are exempt from this requirement under certain circumstances discussed below.

DOES PROPOSITION 65 PROVIDE ANY EXEMPTIONS?

Yes. You should consult the current version of the statute and regulations (<http://www.oehha.ca.gov/prop65/law/index.html>) to determine all applicable exemptions, the most common of which are the following:

Grace Period. Proposition 65 warning requirements do not apply until 12 months after the chemical has been listed. The Proposition 65 discharge prohibition does not apply to a discharge or release of a chemical that takes place less than 20 months after the listing of the chemical.

Governmental agencies and public water utilities. All agencies of the federal, state or local government, as well as entities operating public water systems, are exempt.

Businesses with nine or fewer employees. Neither the warning requirement nor the discharge prohibition applies to a business that employs a total of nine or fewer employees. This includes all employees, not just those present in California.

Exposures that pose no significant risk of cancer. For chemicals that are listed under Proposition 65 as known to the State to cause cancer, a warning is not required if the business causing the exposure can demonstrate that the exposure occurs at a level that poses “no significant risk.” This means that the exposure is calculated to result in not more than one excess case of cancer in 100,000 individuals exposed over a 70-year lifetime. The Proposition 65 regulations identify specific “No Significant Risk Levels” (NSRLs) for many listed carcinogens. Exposures below

these levels are exempt from the warning requirement. See OEHHA's website at: <http://www.oehha.ca.gov/prop65/getNSRLs.html> for a list of NSRLs, and Section 25701 *et seq.* of the regulations for information concerning how these levels are calculated.

Exposures that will produce no observable reproductive effect at 1,000 times the level in question. For chemicals known to the State to cause reproductive toxicity, a warning is not required if the business causing the exposure can demonstrate that the exposure will produce no observable effect, even at 1,000 times the level in question. In other words, the level of exposure must be below the “no observable effect level” divided by 1,000. This number is known as the Maximum Allowable Dose Level (MADL). See OEHHA's website at: <http://www.oehha.ca.gov/prop65/getNSRLs.html> for a list of MADLs, and Section 25801 *et seq.* of the regulations for information concerning how these levels are calculated.

Exposures to Naturally Occurring Chemicals in Food. Certain exposures to chemicals that naturally occur in foods (i.e., that do not result from any known human activity, including activity by someone other than the person causing the exposure) are exempt from the warning requirements of the law. If the chemical is a contaminant² it must be reduced to the lowest level feasible. Regulations explaining this exemption can be found in Section 25501.

Discharges that do not result in a “significant amount” of the listed chemical entering any source of drinking water. The prohibition from discharges into drinking water does not apply if the discharger is able to demonstrate that a “significant amount” of the listed chemical has not, does not, or will not pass into or probably pass into a source of drinking water, and that the discharge complies with all other applicable laws, regulations, permits, requirements, or orders. A “significant amount” means any detectable amount, except an amount that would meet the “no significant risk” level for chemicals that cause cancer or that is 1,000 times below the “no observable effect” level for chemicals that cause reproductive toxicity, if an individual were exposed to that amount in drinking water.

HOW IS PROPOSITION 65 ENFORCED?

Enforcement is carried out through civil lawsuits. These lawsuits may be brought by the Attorney General, any district attorney, or certain city attorneys. Lawsuits may also be brought by private parties acting in the public interest, but only after providing notice of the alleged violation to the Attorney General, the appropriate district attorney and city attorney, and the business accused of the violation. The notice must provide adequate information to allow the recipient to assess the nature of the alleged violation. The notice must comply with the information and procedural requirements specified in Section 25903 of Title 27 and sections 3100-3103 of Title 11. A private party may not pursue an independent enforcement action under Proposition 65 if one of the governmental officials noted above initiates an enforcement action within sixty days of the notice.

² See Section 25501(a)(4).

A business found to be in violation of Proposition 65 is subject to civil penalties of up to \$2,500 per day for each violation. In addition, the business may be ordered by a court to stop committing the violation.

A private party may not file an enforcement action based on certain exposures if the alleged violator meets specific conditions. For the following types of exposures, the Act provides an opportunity for the business to correct the alleged violation:

- An exposure to alcoholic beverages that are consumed on the alleged violator's premises to the extent onsite consumption is permitted by law;
- An exposure to a Proposition 65 listed chemical in a food or beverage prepared and sold on the alleged violator's premises that is primarily intended for immediate consumption on- or off-premises. This only applies if the chemical was not intentionally added to the food, and was formed by cooking or similar preparation of food or beverage components necessary to render the food or beverage palatable or to avoid microbiological contamination;
- An exposure to environmental tobacco smoke caused by entry of persons (other than employees) on premises owned or operated by the alleged violator where smoking is permitted at any location on the premises;
- An exposure to listed chemicals in engine exhaust, to the extent the exposure occurs inside a facility owned or operated by the alleged violator and primarily intended for parking non-commercial vehicles.

If a private party alleges that a violation occurred based on one of the exposures described above, the private party must first provide the alleged violator a notice of special compliance procedure and proof of compliance form.

A copy of the notice of special compliance procedure and proof of compliance form is included in Appendix B and can be downloaded from OEHHA's website at: <http://oehha.ca.gov/prop65/law/p65law72003.html>.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION ABOUT THE LAW OR REGULATIONS:

Contact the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment's Proposition 65 Implementation Office at (916) 445-6900 or via e-mail at P65Public.Comments@oehha.ca.gov.

Revised: May 2017

NOTE: Authority cited: Section 25249.12, Health and Safety Code. Reference: Sections 25249.5, 25249.6, 25249.7, 25249.9, 25249.10 and 25249.11, Health and Safety Code.

E-Mail Service List

The Honorable Lori Frugoli Marin County, District Attorney 3501 Civic Center Drive, Room 145 San Rafael, CA 94903 consumer@marincounty.gov	The Honorable Ursula Jones Dickson Alameda County, District Attorney 7677 Oakport Street, Suite 650 Oakland, CA 94621 CEPDProp65@acgov.org	The Honorable Barbara Yook Calaveras County, District Attorney 891 Mountain Ranch Rd. San Andreas, CA 95249 Phone: 209-754-6330 Prop65Env@co.calaveras.ca.us	The Honorable Brian Tierney Contra Costa County, Deputy District Attorney 900 Ward Street Martinez, CA 94553 btierney@contracostada.org
The Honorable Dana Crom Inyo County, District Attorney 168 North Edwards Street Independence, CA 93526 Phone: 760.878.0282 inyoda@inyocounty.us	The Honorable Devin Chandler Lassen County, Program Coordinator 2950 Riverside Drive Susanville, CA 96130 Phone: 530-251-8284 dchandler@co.lassen.ca.us	The Honorable Walter W. Wall Mariposa County, District Attorney P.O. Box 730 Mariposa, CA 95338 Phone: (209) 966-3626 mcda@mariposacounty.org	The Honorable Nicole Silveira Merced County, District Attorney 550 West Main Street Merced, CA 95340 Phone: (209) 385-7381 Prop65@countyofmerced.com
The Honorable Jeannine M. Pacioni Monterey County, District Attorney 1200 Aguajito Road Monterey, CA 93940 Prop65DA@co.monterey.ca.us	The Honorable Allison Haley Napa County, District Attorney 1127 First Street, Suite C Napa, CA 94559 CEPD@countyofnapa.org	The Honorable Jesse Wilson Nevada County, District Attorney 201 Commercial Street Nevada City, CA 95959 DA.Prop65@co.nevada.ca.us	The Honorable Morgan Briggs Gire Placer County, District Attorney 10810 Justice Center Drive Roseville, CA 95678 Phone: 916-543-8000 prop65@placer.ca.gov
The Honorable David Hollister Plumas County, District Attorney 520 Main St. Quincy, CA 95971 Phone: (530) 283-6303 davidhollister@countyofplumas.com	The Honorable Michael Hestrin Riverside County, District Attorney 3060 Orange Street Riverside, CA 92501 Prop65@rivcoda.org	The Honorable Thien Ho Sacramento County, District Attorney 901 G Street Sacramento, CA 95814 Prop65@sacda.org	The Honorable Mark Ankcorn City of San Diego, Deputy City Attorney 1200 Third Avenue San Diego, CA 92101 CityAttyProp65@sandiego.gov
The Honorable Summer Stephan San Diego County, District Attorney 330 West Broadway San Diego, CA 92101 SanDiegoDAProp65@sdcdca.org	The Honorable Brooke Jenkins San Francisco County, District Attorney 350 Rhode Island Street San Francisco, CA 94103 Prop65@sfgov.org	The Honorable Henry Lifton City of San Francisco, Deputy City Attorney 1390 Market Street, 7th Floor San Francisco, CA 94102 Prop65@sfcityatty.org	The Honorable Ronald J. Freitas San Joaquin County, District Attorney P.O. Box 990 Stockton, CA 95201 DAConsumer.Environmental@sjcda.org
The Honorable Eric J. Dobroth San Luis Obispo County, Deputy District Attorney County Government Center Annex, 4th Fl San Luis Obispo, CA 93408 Phone: 805-781-5800 edobroth@co.slo.ca.us	The Honorable Christopher Dalbey Santa Barbara County, Deputy District Attorney 1112 Santa Barbara St. Santa Barbara, CA 93101 Phone: 805-568-2300 DAProp65@co.santa-barbara.ca.us	The Honorable Bud Porter Santa Clara County, Supervising Deputy District Attorney 70 W Hedding St San Jose, CA 95110 EPU@da.sccgov.org	The Honorable Jeffrey S. Rosell Santa Cruz County, District Attorney 701 Ocean Street Santa Cruz, CA 95060 Phone: 831-454-2400 Prop65DA@santacruzcounty.us
The Honorable Carla Rodriguez Sonoma County, District Attorney 600 Administration Drive Santa Rosa, CA 95403 ECLD@sonoma-county.org	The Honorable Tim Ward Tulare County, District Attorney 221 S Mooney Blvd Visalia, CA 95370 TCDAProp65@tularecounty.ca.gov	The Honorable Erik Nasarenko Ventura County, District Attorney 800 S Victoria Ave Ventura, CA 93009 daspecialops@ventura.org	The Honorable Jeff W. Reisig Yolo County, District Attorney 301 Second Street Woodland, CA 95695 cfepd@yolocounty.org
The Honorable Nora V. Frimann City of San Jose, City Attorney 200 E. Santa Clara Street, 16th Floor San Jose, CA 96113 Proposition65notices@sanjoseca.gov	The Honorable Lisa A. Smittcamp Fresno County, District Attorney 2100 Tulare Street Fresno, CA 93721 Phone: (559) 600-3141 consumerprotection@fresnocountyca.gov	District Attorney of Roseville prop65@placer.ca.gov	

MAIL SERVICE LIST

The Honorable Robert Priscaro Alpine County, District Attorney P.O. Box 248 Markleeville, CA 96120	The Honorable Susan Krones Lake County, District Attorney 255 N. Forbes Street Lakeport, CA 95453	The Honorable Sandra Groven Sierra County, District Attorney P.O. Box 457 Downieville, CA 95936
The Honorable Todd Riebe Amador County, District Attorney 708 Court Street, #202 Jackson, CA 95642	The Honorable Nathan J. Hochman Los Angeles County, District Attorney 211 W. Temple Street, Suite 1200 Los Angeles, CA 90012	The Honorable James Kirk Andrus Siskiyou County, District Attorney 311 Fourth Street, Room 204 Yreka, CA 96097
The Honorable Michael L. Ramsey Butte County, District Attorney 25 County Center Drive, Suite 245 Oroville, CA 95965	The Honorable Sally O. Moreno Madera County, District Attorney 209 West Yosemite Avenue Madera, CA 93637	The Honorable Krishna A. Abrams Solano County, District Attorney 675 Texas Street, Suite 4500 Fairfield, CA 94533
The Honorable Matthew R. Beauchamp Colusa County, District Attorney 310 6th Street Colusa, CA 95932	The Honorable Sarah Hacker Kings County, District Attorney 1400 West Lacey Blvd. Hanford, CA 93230	The Honorable Jeff Laugero Stanislaus County, District Attorney 832 12th Street, Suite 300 Modesto, CA 95354
The Honorable Katherine Micks Del Norte County, District Attorney 450 H Street, Room 171 Crescent City, CA 95531	The Honorable C. David Eyster Mendocino County, District Attorney P.O. Box 1000 Ukiah, CA 95482	The Honorable Jennifer Dupre Sutter County, District Attorney 446 Second Street Yuba City, CA 95991
The Honorable James Clinchard El Dorado County, Assistant District Attorney 778 Pacific Street Placerville, CA 95667	The Honorable Nina Salarno Modoc County, District Attorney 204 S. Court Street, Room 202 Alturas, CA 96101	The Honorable Matthew Rogers Tehama County, District Attorney P.O. Box 519 Red Bluff, CA 96080
The Honorable David Anderson Mono County, District Attorney P.O. Box 617 Bridgeport, CA 93517	The Honorable Todd Spitzer Orange County, District Attorney 300 N. Flower Street Santa Ana, CA 92703	The Honorable David Brady Trinity County, District Attorney P.O. Box 310 Weaverville, CA 96093
The Honorable Dwayne Stewart Glenn County, District Attorney P.O. Box 430 Willows, CA 95988	The Honorable Joel Buckingham San Benito County, District Attorney 419 4th Street Hollister, CA 95023	The Honorable Cassandra Jenecke Tuolumne County, District Attorney 423 North Washington St. Sonora, CA 95370
The Honorable Stacey Eads Humboldt County, District Attorney 825 5th Street, 4th Floor Eureka, CA 95501	The Honorable Jason Anderson San Bernardino County, District Attorney 316 N. Mountain View Avenue San Bernardino, CA 92415	The Honorable Clint Curry Yuba County, District Attorney 215 Fifth Street, Suite 152 Marysville, CA 95901
The Honorable George Marquez Imperial County, District Attorney 940 West Main Street, Suite 102 El Centro, CA 92243	The Honorable Stephen M. Wagstaffe San Mateo County, District Attorney 400 County Center, Third Floor Redwood City, CA 94063	The Honorable Hydeec Feldstein City of Los Angeles, City Attorney City Hall East, 200 N. Main Street, Suite 800 Los Angeles, CA 90012
The Honorable Cynthia Zimmer Kern County, District Attorney 1215 Truxtun Avenue Bakersfield, CA 93301	The Honorable Stephanie A. Bridgett Shasta County, District Attorney 1355 West Street Redding, CA 96001	