1	ROBERT L. FALK (BAR NO. 142007) Email: RFalk@mofo.com	
2	ANGELA L. PADILLA (BAR NO. 154863) Email: APadilla@mofo.com	
3	MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP 425 Market Street	
4	San Francisco, California 94105-2482 Telephone: 415.268.7000	
5	Facsimile: 415.268.7522	
6	Attorneys for Defendant J.C. PENNEY COMPANY, INC.	
7	, ,	
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE	E STATE OF CALIFORNIA
9	COUNTY OF SA	N FRANCISCO
10		
11	MICHAEL DIPIRRO,	Case No. 407150 (Consolidated with No. 407458)
12	Plaintiff,	110. 407436)
13	v.	UNLIMITED JURISDICTION
14	J.C. PENNEY COMPANY, INC. and DOES 1	STIPULATION TO TERMS OF
15	through 150,	MODIFIED JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO SETTLEMENT
16	Defendant(s).	AGREEMENT; [PROPOSED] ORDER AND MODIFIED JUDGMENT
17		Department: 503
18		Judge: Hon. A. James Robertson II
19		Action Filed: April 25, 2002
20		
21	WHEREAS, on February 9, 2005 and Nove	mber 22, 2005, this Court issued final Statements
22	of Decision in the above-captioned action and subse	equently entered judgment thereon ("Judgment");
23	WHEREAS, the Judgment entered by this C	ourt provided that Defendant J.C. Penney Co.,
24	Inc. ("J.C. Penney") pay \$347,560 in statutory pena	lties pursuant to Health and Safety Code section
25	25249.5 et seq. ("Proposition 65");	
26	WHEREAS, the Judgment entered by this C	ourt further required J.C. Penney to abide by
27	certain terms of injunctive relief with regard to its f	uture sales in California of certain glassware
28	containing colored decorations on its exterior ("Dec	corated Glassware Products");

sf-2238060

WHEREAS, the Judgment entered by this Court did not impose injunctive relief terms on J.C. Penney with respect to the identified cosmetic kits at issue;

WHEREAS, J.C. Penney filed a Memorandum of Costs in the amount of \$14,086 with regard to its defense of cosmetics-related claims;

WHEREAS, co-defendant Macy's West also filed a Memorandum of Costs in the amount of \$26,900 with regard to its defense of cosmetics-related claims;

WHEREAS, pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 1021.5, counsel to Plaintiff Michael DiPirro ("Plaintiff") intended to bring a motion before this Court for recovery of in excess of \$5,000,000 for attorneys fees and costs allegedly incurred with respect to litigation of the glasswarerelated claims against J.C. Penney in the above-captioned action;

WHEREAS, Plaintiff avers that this intended fee application included a reasonable multiplier of Plaintiff's lodestar to compensate his counsel for the risk of litigation, complexity of the case, skill with which the claims were prosecuted, the delayed revenue stream and significant public benefit achieved through the litigation and that the amount sought would not have included all of the fees and costs incurred in the conduct of extensive settlement negotiations with J.C. Penney or significant fees and costs Plaintiff and his attorneys have incurred in the Court of Appeal related to J.C. Penney's review of the Judgment;

WHEREAS, J.C. Penney timely filed a Notice of Appeal of the Judgment the Court entered in the above-captioned action with respect to the glassware claims that were filed against it and that appeal has not yet been adjudicated;

WHEREAS, Plaintiff timely filed a Notice of (Cross) Appeal of the Judgment the Court entered in the above-captioned action with respect to the cosmetics claims in the above-referenced action and that appeal has not yet been adjudicated;

WHEREAS, Plaintiff and J.C. Penney (collectively, the "Parties") acknowledge that significant motion practice and other work has been completed on the appellate record thus far;

WHEREAS, settlement discussions between the Parties have recently resulted in an agreement by the Parties to forego appellate litigation and seek a modification of the non-injunctive relief terms of the Judgment as previously entered by the Court in the above-captioned action;

28

WHEREAS, the agreement reached between the Parties provides for a monetary payment by J.C. Penney totaling \$2,000,000;

WHEREAS, the agreement reached between the Parties further provides that the abovereferenced monetary payment be apportioned as follows:

- 1. \$1,017,500 to either the Sheffer Law Firm, or an identified financial institution to be named, as reimbursement for The Sheffer Law Firm's portion of Plaintiff's attorneys' fees and costs:
- 2. \$832,500 to the Chanler Law Group as reimbursement for its portion of Plaintiff's attorneys' fees and costs;
- 3. \$150,000 to the Chanler Law Group Trust Account for Michael DiPirro to be further disbursed as follows:
 - a. \$120,000 shall immediately be disbursed as civil penalties pursuant to Proposition 65, such that 75% of this amount will be forwarded to the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment and 25% of it will be disbursed to the Plaintiff; and
 - b. An additional \$30,000 will be held to potentially satisfy the above-referenced award of costs with regard to the cosmetics-related claims for Macy's West and any statutory interest owed thereon; should such a cost award be invalidated by the action of the Court of Appeal or due to a future settlement, the \$30,000 amount shall instead be disbursed as civil penalties pursuant to Proposition 65, such that 75% of this amount will be forwarded to the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment and 25% of it will be disbursed to the Plaintiff.

WHEREAS, the agreement reached between the Parties requires the Parties to withdraw their respective notices of appeal against each other and will thereby avoid the risk of this Court's Judgment as to J.C. Penney being reversed in whole or in part;

WHEREAS, under the agreement reached between the Parties, J.C. Penney has agreed to give Plaintiff its copy of all of the transcripts from the trial or appellate courts ordered for purposes of the

appeal that it either has in its possession or has ordered within thirty (30) days of this Stipulation or, if received by J.C. Penney thereafter, within ten (10) days of its receipt; J.C. Penney avers that the cost of such transcripts is at least \$45,900 exclusive of any fees or costs incurred by J.C. Penney in association with their procurement;

WHEREAS, the agreement reached between the Parties will preserve in its current form the injunctive relief contained in the Judgment with respect to Decorated Glassware Products offered for sale by J.C. Penney in California and continue to require J.C. Penney to make a sizeable statutory penalty payment notwithstanding the arguments for reversal of the Judgment it could have raised on appeal;

WHEREAS, the agreement reached between the Parties will provide counsel to Plaintiff with reimbursement of a portion of the fees and costs they incurred in acting as private attorney generals in prosecuting the above-captioned matter, but will not fully reimburse Plaintiff's counsel for all of the fees and costs they intended to seek even without the use of a multiplier or the inclusion of fees and costs associated with settlement negotiations or appellate work performed; and

WHEREAS, this Court's approval of the agreement reached between the Parties and entry of a [Proposed] Modified Judgment reflecting the terms set forth herein will, by avoiding the need for continued litigation, conserve the resources of the Parties, this Court and the Court of Appeal; address any potentially applicable requirements of Proposition 65, including with respect to injunctive relief, penalties, and plaintiff's attorneys fee reimbursement; and is otherwise appropriate and in the interest of justice under these circumstances;

WHEREAS Plaintiff, his counsel, J.C. Penney and its counsel agree to employ best efforts to support each term of this Stipulation and to secure the prompt entry of the [Proposed] Modified Judgment in the above-captioned action forthwith. In this regard, J.C. Penney shall file and serve a motion to approve this [Proposed] Modified Judgment on or before December 15, 2006, Plaintiff's counsel shall submit supporting declarations to the motion with regard to the reasonableness of the attorneys' fee and costs payments to be received hereunder, and the Parties shall appear *ex-parte* on or before December 15, 2006, in Department 503 of this Court, to submit an application for an order shortening time to have heard such motion to approve this Stipulation and [Proposed] Modified

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Judgment. J.C. Penney shall further request and provide support for setting a hearing date, on such motion to approve, on January 3, 2007, or, if the Court does not then elect to make that date available to the Parties, at the Court's next earliest available date.

THE PARTIES, BY AND THROUGH THEIR RESPECTIVE COUNSEL OF RECORD, DO HEREBY STIPULATE AND AGREE AND REQUEST THAT THE COURT MODIFY ITS PRIOR JUDGMENT AS FOLLOWS:

- 1. J.C. Penney shall, within three (3) business days of entry of a modified judgment in the above-captioned matter by the Court pay a total of \$1,017,500 to either The Sheffer Law Firm or a financial institution of its choice (to be determined and confirmed in writing prior to the time for payment) as reimbursement for The Sheffer Law Firm's portion of Plaintiff's attorneys' fees and costs. (If the Court does not enter the [Proposed] Modified Judgment on January 3, 2007, J.C. Penney shall instead arrange to electronically transfer these funds into the Morrison & Foerster LLP Client Trust Account within seven (7) additional business days, where such funds shall be held pending the Parties further mutual best efforts to obtain approval and entry of the [Proposed] Modified Judgment; such funds then shall be provided to The Sheffer Law Firm within three (3) business days of entry of a modified judgment in the abovecaptioned action by the Court or, if, as set forth below, the Parties mutually conclude that, despite their further mutual best efforts, no such approval and entry by the Court will be forthcoming and that their agreement is therefore null and void, the funds shall then be returned from the Morrison & Foerster LLP Client Trust to J.C. Penney.) Instructions for the format of such payment shall be confirmed in a separate writing to J.C. Penney prior to the time for such payment. Payment shall not be made by J.C. Penney any earlier than the receipt of such express directions from The Sheffer Law Firm; this term shall in no way change or affect J.C. Penney's obligation to make this payment as directed by The Sheffer Law Firm;
- 2. J.C. Penney shall, within three (3) business days of entry of a modified judgment in the above-captioned matter by the Court pay a total of \$832,500 to the Chanler Law

Group as reimbursement for Plaintiff's attorneys' fees and costs. (If the Court does not enter the [Proposed] Modified Judgment on January 3, 2007, J.C. Penney shall instead arrange to electronically transfer these funds into the Morrison & Foerster LLP Client Trust Account within seven (7) additional business days, where such funds shall be held pending the Parties further mutual best efforts to obtain approval and entry of the [Proposed] Modified Judgment; such funds then shall be provided to The Chanler Law Group within three (3) business days of entry of a modified judgment in the above-captioned action by the Court or, if, as set forth below, the Parties mutually conclude that, despite their further mutual best efforts, no such approval and entry by the Court will be forthcoming and that their agreement is therefore null and void, the funds shall then be returned from the Morrison & Foerster LLP Client Trust to J.C. Penney.) Such payment shall be made payable to the "Clifford Chanler c/o Chanler Law Group" and sent to Clifford Chanler, c/o Chanler Law Group, 71 Elm Street, Suite 8, New Canaan, CT 06840;

- 3. J.C. Penney shall, within three (3) business days of entry of a modified judgment in the above-captioned matter by the Court, in lieu of addressing or appealing the Court's prior civil penalty award as reflected in the Judgment, instead pay a total of \$150,000 to the "Chanler Law Group Trust Account for Michael DiPirro" (mailed to Clifford Chanler, c/o Chanler Law Group, 71 Elm Street, Suite 8, New Canaan, CT 06840) to be further disbursed by the Chanler Law Group as follows:
 - a) \$120,000 shall immediately be disbursed by the Chanler Law Group as civil penalties pursuant to Proposition 65, such that 75% of this amount will be forwarded to the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment and 25% of it will be disbursed to the Plaintiff; and
 - b) An additional \$30,000 will be held in the Chanler Law Group's trust account to potentially satisfy the above-referenced award of costs with regard to the cosmetics-related claims for Macy's West and any statutory interest owed thereon; should such cost awards with regard to the cosmetics-related claims

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

be invalidated by the action of the Court of Appeal or due to a future settlement between Plaintiff and Macy's West, the \$30,000 amount shall instead be disbursed by the Chanler Law Group as civil penalties pursuant to Proposition 65, such that 75% of this amount will be forwarded to the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment and 25% of it will be disbursed to the Plaintiff. (If the Court does not enter the [Proposed] Modified Judgment on January 3, 2007, J.C. Penney shall instead arrange to electronically transfer these funds into the Morrison & Foerster LLP Client Trust Account within seven (7) additional business days, where such funds shall be held pending the Parties further mutual best efforts to obtain approval and entry of the [Proposed] Modified Judgment; such funds then shall be provided to the Chanler Law Group Trust Account for Michael DiPirro within three (3) business days of entry of a modified judgment in the above-captioned action by the Court or, if, as set forth below, the Parties mutually conclude that, despite their further mutual best efforts, no such approval and entry by the Court will be forthcoming and that their agreement is therefore null and void, the funds shall then be returned from the Morrison & Foerster LLP Client Trust to J.C. Penney.)

- 4. Plaintiff and J.C. Penney shall, upon entry of a Modified Judgment by the Court, notify the Court of Appeal and Macy's West that Plaintiff and J.C. Penney are withdrawing their Notices of Appeal against each other with respect to the above-captioned action;
- 5. J.C. Penney shall, within thirty (30) days of this Stipulation or thirty (30) days of J.C. Penney's receipt of any such transcript (whichever is earlier), give Plaintiff its copy of all of the transcripts from the trial or appellate courts ordered for purposes of the appeal that it either has in its possession or has ordered within thirty (30) days of this Court's entry of a Modified Judgment based on this Stipulation or, if received by J.C. Penney thereafter, within ten (10) days of its receipt;

1 6. J.C. Penney shall continue to implement the injunctive relief contained in the 2 Judgment with respect to Decorated Glassware Products offered for sale in California 3 on an ongoing basis; 4 7. Plaintiff and J.C. Penney shall not engage in further litigation with respect to above-5 captioned action or any fees or costs associated therewith (except as necessary to 6 jointly support entry of the [Proposed] Modified Judgment as described herein); 7 8. Unless the [Proposed] Modified Judgment is rejected by the Court, Plaintiff shall 8 abandon his intent to move this Court for reimbursement of his attorneys' fees and 9 costs related to his glassware claims against J.C. Penney; however, should the 10 [Proposed] Modified Judgment not ultimately be approved by the Court despite the 11 Parties' best efforts, then the agreement reached between Plaintiff and J.C. Penney 12 ///// 13 ///// 14 ///// 15 ///// 16 ///// 17 ///// 18 ///// ///// 19 20 ///// 21 ///// 22 ///// 23 ///// ///// 24 ///// 25 26 ///// 27 ///// 28

1	shall be null and void up	oon receipt of notice thereof and Plaintiff shall have up to
2	twenty (20) days after receipt of such notice to file and serve such an application for	
3	recovery of fees and costs against J.C. Penney, and J.C. Penney shall be free to contest	
4	the application in whole or in part.	
5	RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED AN	ND APPROVED AS TO FORM:
6		
7	Dated: December 7, 2006	ROBERT L. FALK
8		ANGELA L. PADILLA MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP
9		
10		By:Robert L. Falk
11		Robert L. Falk Attorneys for Defendant
12		J.C. PENNEY COMPANY, INC.
13	Dated: December, 2006	GREGORY M. SHEFFER THE SHEFFER LAW FIRM
14		THE SHEFT EX EXTV TIXIVI
15		
16		By: Gregory M. Sheffer
17		Attorneys for Plaintiff
18		MICHAEL DIPIRRO
19	Dated: December 7, 2006	CLIFFORD A. CHANLER CHANLER LAW GROUP
20		1 • • •
21		By: Child Clu
22		Clifford A. Chanler
23		Attorneys for Plaintiff MICHAEL DIPIRRO
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

1	AGREED UPON AND APPROVED AS TO CONTENT:
2	
3	Dated: December, 2006
4	
5	By: Joanne L. Bober
6	Executive Vice President, General
7	Counsel and Secretary J.C. PENNEY COMPANY, INC.
8	Dated: December
9	\mathcal{O}
10	By: Plaintiff, Michael Divirro
11	Tiemoti, Wichael Die IIIo
12	
13	
	•
14	BASED ON THE STIPULATION OF THE PARTIES AND OTHER CONSIDERATIONS IN
14 15	
	THE INTEREST OF JUSTICE, THE FOREGOING SHALL HEREBY BE THE ORDER OF
15	
15 16	THE INTEREST OF JUSTICE, THE FOREGOING SHALL HEREBY BE THE ORDER OF THIS COURT AND ITS PRIOR JUDGMENT IN THE ABOVE-CAPTIONED ACTION IS
15 16 17	SO MODIFIED.
15 16 17 18	THE INTEREST OF JUSTICE, THE FOREGOING SHALL HEREBY BE THE ORDER OF THIS COURT AND ITS PRIOR JUDGMENT IN THE ABOVE-CAPTIONED ACTION IS
15 16 17 18 19	THE INTEREST OF JUSTICE, THE FOREGOING SHALL HEREBY BE THE ORDER OF THIS COURT AND ITS PRIOR JUDGMENT IN THE ABOVE-CAPTIONED ACTION IS SO MODIFIED. Dated: December, 2006
15 16 17 18 19 20	THE INTEREST OF JUSTICE, THE FOREGOING SHALL HEREBY BE THE ORDER OF THIS COURT AND ITS PRIOR JUDGMENT IN THE ABOVE-CAPTIONED ACTION IS SO MODIFIED. Dated: December, 2006
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	THE INTEREST OF JUSTICE, THE FOREGOING SHALL HEREBY BE THE ORDER OF THIS COURT AND ITS PRIOR JUDGMENT IN THE ABOVE-CAPTIONED ACTION IS SO MODIFIED. Dated: December, 2006
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	THE INTEREST OF JUSTICE, THE FOREGOING SHALL HEREBY BE THE ORDER OF THIS COURT AND ITS PRIOR JUDGMENT IN THE ABOVE-CAPTIONED ACTION IS SO MODIFIED. Dated: December, 2006
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	THE INTEREST OF JUSTICE, THE FOREGOING SHALL HEREBY BE THE ORDER OF THIS COURT AND ITS PRIOR JUDGMENT IN THE ABOVE-CAPTIONED ACTION IS SO MODIFIED. Dated: December, 2006
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	THE INTEREST OF JUSTICE, THE FOREGOING SHALL HEREBY BE THE ORDER OF THIS COURT AND ITS PRIOR JUDGMENT IN THE ABOVE-CAPTIONED ACTION IS SO MODIFIED. Dated: December, 2006
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	THE INTEREST OF JUSTICE, THE FOREGOING SHALL HEREBY BE THE ORDER OF THIS COURT AND ITS PRIOR JUDGMENT IN THE ABOVE-CAPTIONED ACTION IS SO MODIFIED. Dated: December, 2006
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	THE INTEREST OF JUSTICE, THE FOREGOING SHALL HEREBY BE THE ORDER OF THIS COURT AND ITS PRIOR JUDGMENT IN THE ABOVE-CAPTIONED ACTION IS SO MODIFIED. Dated: December, 2006