2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

REC NOV 03 2005 FILING WINDOW

REC'D

NOV 0.3 5002

EITING MINDON

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

AMERICAN ENVIRONMENTAL SAFETY INSTITUTE, a CALIFORNIA non-profit corporation,

Plaintiff,

v.

HUCK SPAULDING ENTERPRISES, INC., a NEW YORK corporation; et al.

Defendants.

Case No. BC 319440

[Hon. Irving S. Feffer]

[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT ONLY AS TO DEFENDANTS HUCK SPAULDING ENTERPRISES, INC., AND SPAULDING COLOR CORP.

1st Amended Complaint Filed: July 18, 2004 Dept. 51, Room 511

This Consent Judgment is entered into by and between the AMERICAN ENVIRONMENTAL SAFETY INSTITUTE, plaintiff in this matter (hereinafter "Plaintiff" or "the Institute"), and defendants HUCK SPAULDING ENTERPRISES, INC., AND SPAULDING COLOR CORP. (hereinafter "Defendants" or "Spaulding Companies").

- 1. <u>Definitions</u>. As used in this Consent Judgment, the following definitions shall apply:
- 1.1 "Products" includes all tattoo inks and/or pigment products made by or on behalf of the Spaulding Companies, including but not limited to the Spaulding Companies "VooDoo" and "Spaulding" brands of tattoo inks.
- 1.2 "Products" shall also include any future tattoo inks and/or pigment products that are sold by or on behalf of the Spaulding Companies to California consumers under any product name or brand, whether a current or new name and/or brand.

- 1.3 "Antimony" means the chemicals Antimony oxide and Antimony trioxide (collectively referred to herein as "Antimony"), listed as subject to Proposition 65 regulation in Title 22, California Code of Regulations, section 12000.
- 1.4 "Arsenic" means the chemical Arsenic (inorganic arsenic compounds/inorganic oxides), listed as subject to Proposition 65 regulation in Title 22, California Code of Regulations, section 12000.
- 1.5 "Beryllium" means the chemicals Beryllium and Beryllium compounds (collectively referred to herein as "Beryllium"), listed as subject to Proposition 65 regulations in Title 22, California Code of Regulations, section 12000.
- 1.6 "Chromium" means the chemical Chromium (hexavalent compounds), listed as subject to Proposition 65 regulations in Title 22, California Code of Regulations, section 12000.
- 1.7 "Cobalt" means the chemicals Cobalt metal powder and Cobalt (II) oxide (collectively referred to herein as "Cobalt"), listed as subject to Proposition 65 regulations in Title 22, California Code of Regulations, section 12000.
- 1.8 "Lead" means the chemicals lead and lead compounds listed as subject to Proposition 65 regulation in Title 22, California Code of Regulations, section 12000.
- 1.9 "Nickel" means the chemicals Nickel (Metallic), Nickel acetate, Nickel carbonate, Nickel carbonyl, Nickel hydroxide, Nickelocene, Nickel oxide, and Nickel subsulfide (collectively referred to herein as "Nickel"), listed as subject to Proposition 65 regulations in Title 22, California Code of Regulations, section 12000.
- 1.10 "Selenium" means the chemical Selenium sulfide ("Selenium"), listed as subject to Proposition 65 regulations in Title 22, California Code of Regulations, section 12000.
- 1.11 "Heavy Metals" means Antimony, Arsenic, Beryllium, Chromium, Cobalt, Lead, Nickel, and Selenium.
 - 1.12 "ppm" means parts-per-million in concentration.
- 1.13 Plaintiff and Defendants will be referred to collectively as the "Parties" or individually as a "Party."

2.1 Plaintiff American Environmental Safety Institute ("Institute") is a non-profit California corporation dedicated to investigating environmental and public health hazards affecting children and adults in their regular daily lives. The Institute is based in Palo Alto, California, and was incorporated under the laws of the State of California in 1998. The Institute is a "person" within the meaning of Health & Safety Code ("H&S Code") §25249.11(a), and brought this enforcement action in the public interest pursuant to H&S Code §25249.7(d).

- 2.2 The Spaulding Companies are both New York corporations whose headquarters and principal place of business are both located at Route 85, New Scotland Road, Voorheesville, NY, 12186.
- 2.3 On or about July 24, 2003 and January 14, 2005, the Institute served 60-Day "Notices of Violation of Proposition 65" (the "Notices") on the California Attorney General, the District Attorneys of every county in California, the City Attorneys of every California city with a population greater than 750,000, and on the Defendants, alleging that Defendants was in violation of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, Health and Safety Code §§ 25249.5 et seq. ("Proposition 65") for failing to warn purchasers of the Spaulding Companies' Products sold in California that use of these Products expose users to Antimony, Arsenic, Beryllium, Cobalt, Lead and Lead compounds, Nickel and Selenium (collectively "Heavy Metals"). No public prosecutor has commenced an action regarding the matters raised in the Notices.
- 2.4 On August 2, 2004, the Institute filed its complaint entitled *American*Environmental Safety Institute v. Huck Spaulding Enterprises, Inc., et al, in the Los Angeles

 County the Spaulding Companies Court, No. BC 319440 (the "Complaint"). On July 7, 2005, the Court permitted the Institute to file the now-operative First Amended Complaint ("Complaint").
- 2.5 For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of the violations contained in the Notice and the Complaint, and personal jurisdiction over Defendants as to the acts or omissions alleged in the Complaint;

that venue is proper in the County of Los Angeles; and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment.

- 2.6 Defendants deny that the Products have been or are in violation of Proposition 65 or any other law, and further contend that all Products have been and are safe for use as directed. However, Defendants wishes to resolve this matter without further litigation or cost.
- 2.7 The Parties enter into this Consent Judgment to settle certain disputed claims as alleged in the Notice and the Complaint, to avoid prolonged and costly litigation, and to promote the public interest. By executing and complying with this Consent Judgment, no Party admits any facts or conclusions of law including, but not limited to, any facts or conclusions of law regarding any violations of Proposition 65, the Unfair Competition Law or any other statutory, common law or equitable claim or requirement relating to or arising from Defendants' Products. This Consent Judgment shall not be construed as an admission by Defendants as to any of the allegations in the Notice or the Complaint.

3. <u>Injunctive Relief.</u>

3.1 Sales of Tattoo Ink Require a Warning.

(a) Effective December 1, 2005, the Spaulding Companies shall place the following warning prominently on the label of each of its Products shipped for sale by the Spaulding Companies into California:

"WARNING: Tattoo inks and pigments contain toxic metals, including Arsenic, Lead, Nickel and others, all of which are known to the State of California to cause cancer or birth defects and other reproductive harm."

(b) On or before December 1, 2005, the Spaulding Companies shall send a letter on its business letterhead and a poster containing the text as set forth in Exhibit A of this Consent Judgment to each of its customers who has purchased a Product between July 1, 2005 and November 30, 2005. The Spaulding Companies will instruct its customers to sign and return an acknowledgement stating that they will post the warning. The Spaulding Companies will

15 16

17

18 19

2021

2223

2425

26

2728

inform the Institute if a customer does not return the acknowledgement within 60 days of the mailing by the Spaulding Companies.

(c) After December 1, 2005, the Spaulding Companies shall send a letter on its business letterhead and a poster containing the text as set forth in Exhibit A of this Consent Judgment to each new customer when that customer first purchases a Product. The Spaulding Companies will instruct each of these new customers to sign and return an acknowledgment stating that this new customer will post the warning. The Spaulding Companies will inform the Institute if a new customer does not return the acknowledgment within 60 days of the mailing by the Spaulding Companies. The Spaulding Companies will send a copy of this letter and poster at least once per year thereafter to each of its customers who continue to purchase Products subject to this Consent Judgment. The mailing may be coordinated with mailing required above in Section 3(b).

3.2 Suspension of Sales of Products in California Permitted.

- (a) The Spaulding Companies may elect to stop selling any of its current or future Products as defined herein into the California market, including but not limited to stopping such sales via direct consumer purchase, sales to wholesalers or distributors specifically for resale into California, or sales via mail-order catalog, telephone order or Internet sales.
- (b) If the Spaulding Companies elects to stop selling any of its current or future Products as defined herein into the California market, the Spaulding Companies shall give written notice to the Institute thirty (30) days prior to ending such sales.

3.3 Future Tattoo Ink Sales Require a Warning.

- (a) If the Spaulding Companies exercises its rights under paragraph 3.2 above, but thereafter decides to recommence selling its Products as defined herein in any form or fashion into California, the Spaulding Companies shall do the following:
- 1. Give written notice to the Institute thirty (30) days prior to recommencing such sales; and
 - 2. Comply fully with the requirements of paragraph 3.1 above.

- 3.4 Defendants may reformulate one or more of their Products ("Reformulated Product) to come into compliance with Proposition 65 (Health & Safety Code §25249.5 et seq.) and it's implementing regulations at any time in the future, provided that:
- (a) If Defendants develop such a Reformulated Product for sale in or into California, they shall give the Plaintiff written notice of that fact thirty (30) days prior to offering for sale in or into California such Reformulated Product, and Defendants shall include within that written notice scientific test results that each Reformulated Product complies with Proposition 65 (Health & Safety Code §25249.5 et seq.) and it's implementing regulations in effect at that time. If Plaintiff disputes the written scientific test results, Plaintiff may seek to stop the sale of a Reformulated Product by filing a noticed motion pursuant to paragraph 7 of this Consent Judgment.
- (b) If there is no dispute as to a Reformulated Product pursuant to paragraph 3.4 (a) above, then the Reformulated Product may be sold by Defendants in or into California without complying with the terms of paragraph 3.1 of this Consent Judgment.
- 4. <u>Settlement and Attorney's Fees Payments</u>. In keeping with the concept of, but in lieu of, the statutory penalties and/or restitution required under the statutes set forth in the Complaint, Defendants shall pay to the Trust Account of the Carrick Law Group P.C., by wire transfer, certified or bank check in immediately available funds, the sum of \$375,000.00. This settlement amount shall be due and payable within five calendar days after the date of entry of this Consent Judgment. This sum of \$375,000.00 shall be disbursed by the Carrick Law Group P.C. as follows:
- 4.1 To The Institute: \$375,000.00, to be used by the Institute for its costs of litigation in this matter, for the on-going compliance monitoring costs of this Consent Judgment, and to enable the Institute to provide funds for future research, public education and/or advocacy regarding exposure of individuals to toxic chemicals on behalf of the public interest and the general public in conformity with Health and Safety Code §25192(a)(2) and its own non-profit articles of incorporation.

///

26

27

28

4.2 Attorneys Fees and Costs: The parties shall each bear their own attorneys' fees and costs.

5. Termination of All Claims.

- Claims Covered and Release. This Consent Judgment includes the resolution of 5.1 actual and potential claims that were considered or could have been brought by the Institute on behalf of the public interest and the general public regarding Heavy Metals in Defendants' Products. This Consent Judgment is a final and binding resolution between the Institute, on behalf of the public interest and the general public, and Defendants, of any and all alleged violations of Proposition 65 that was or could have been asserted in the Notice or Complaint by the Institute on behalf of the public interest and the general public against Defendants or purchasers or sellers of Defendants' Products arising from or related to Defendants' Products up through the date of entry of this Consent Judgment, including, but not limited to, any claims for attorneys' fees and costs. The Institute, on behalf of the public interest and the general public, hereby releases Defendants from and against the claims described in this paragraph to the extent such claims do, did, or could arise from or relate to Defendants' Products; however, the Institute cannot and does not release any claims, including specifically any personal injury or directly related claims, that could be brought by any individual or organization. Defendants hereby release the Institute from and against any claims arising out of the Institute's filing or prosecution of this action. Each Party respectively waives any right to appeal or other review of this Consent Judgment, except as expressly provided in this Consent Judgment.
- Míg., Inc. To the extent that California Civil Code section 1542 or similar provisions of law are deemed to apply to the releases by the Institute and the Spaulding Companies set forth above, both the Institute and the Spaulding Companies each acknowledges and agrees that the release set forth above applies to all claims for injuries, damages, restitution, penalties or losses related to or arising from Defendants' Products, whether those for injuries, damages, restitution, penalties or losses are known or unknown, foreseen or unforeseen, or patent or latent. The Institute and the Spaulding Companies each certifies that it has read California Civil Code

section 1542. The Institute hereby knowingly and expressly waives its rights, on behalf itself, the public interest and the general public, and the Spaulding Companies hereby knowingly and expressly waives its rights, respectively, under California Civil Code Section 1542, which provides as follows:

A general release does not extend to claims which the creditor does not know or suspect to exist in his favor at the time of executing the release which, if known by him must have materially affected his settlement with the debtor.

To the extent that California Civil Code § 1542 or similar provisions of law are deemed to apply to the release by Defendants set forth above, Defendants separately acknowledges and agrees that the release set forth above applies to any claim for malicious prosecution, abuse of process, damages, or other similar claim related to or arising out of the Institute's filing or prosecution of this action. Defendants hereby knowingly and expressly waives any rights under California Civil Code § 1542, the text of which is set forth above.

- 5.3 Based on the agreement executed between the Institute and defendant SPAULDING & ROGERS MFG., INC. as set forth in Exhibit B to this Consent Judgment, the Institute agrees to dismiss defendant SPAULDING & ROGERS MFG., INC. with prejudice within ten calendar days after the date of entry of this Consent Judgment.
- 6. Covenant Not To Sue. The Institute and Defendants covenant and agree that with regard to those matters that the Institute has herein released and that are described above, neither the Institute nor Defendants will ever institute a lawsuit or administrative proceedings against another Party, nor shall any Party assert any claim of any nature against any person or entity hereby released with regard to any such matters which have been released. However, nothing in this paragraph shall be interpreted to preclude enforcement of this Consent Judgment pursuant to Section 7 below.
- 7. Enforcement of Consent Judgment. Any Party may, by noticed motion or order to show cause before the Superior Court of Los Angeles, enforce this Consent Judgment. To enforce this Consent Judgment, any Party must first give written notice of any violation of this Consent Judgment alleged to have occurred to the Party alleged to be in violation. The Parties

 shall meet and confer in good faith and attempt to resolve the alleged violation. If a resolution is not reached within thirty (30) days of the date of the notice, the aggrieved Party may move the Court to hear and resolve the dispute. The prevailing Party in any proceeding brought to enforce this Consent Judgment shall be entitled to recover from the other Party the prevailing Party's reasonable attorneys' fees and costs incurred in the investigation and prosecution of such an enforcement proceeding.

- 8. Application of Consent Judgment. Sections 5 and 6 of this Consent Judgment shall apply to, be binding upon and inure to the benefit of, the Parties, their divisions, subdivisions, subsidiaries, affiliates, successors, predecessors and assigns, and the directors, officers, employees, legal counsel, and agents of each of them, as applicable, and will inure to the benefit of the Parties' parent companies, all suppliers, distributors, wholesalers, retailers and contract manufacturers, and all of their respective directors, officers, employees, legal counsel, and agents.
- 9. Modification/Termination of Consent Judgment. This Consent Judgment may be modified upon written agreement of Defendants and the Institute, as to Defendants, with approval of the Court, or upon noticed motion for good cause shown. Such "good cause" shall include, but not be limited to, any change in applicable law relating to Proposition 65 within the State of California that, should its terms be applicable to Products similar to Defendants' Products or to ingredients of Defendants' Products, would materially alter the obligations of Defendants hereunder. If any of the statutes at issue in this action are individually or collectively amended by the California Legislature in the future, or if regulations implementing these statutes are lawfully adopted and/or amended by the appropriate administrative agency, the Parties shall comply with that provision of law or regulation as then-amended. If a final judgment against another defendant in this matter establishes alternative relief injunctive relief, Defendants may file a motion to comply with the terms of that alternative relief in lieu of the requirements of this Consent Judgment.
- 10. <u>Governing Law</u>. This Consent Judgment shall be governed by, and construed in accordance with, the laws of the State of California.

2.1

- 11. Entire Agreement. The Parties declare and represent that no promise, inducement or other agreement has been made conferring any benefit upon any party except those contained herein and that this Consent Judgment contains the entire agreement pertaining to the subject matter hereof. This Consent Judgment supersedes any prior or contemporaneous negotiations, representations, agreements and understandings of the Parties with respect to such matters, whether written or oral. Parol evidence shall be inadmissible to show agreement by, between or among the Parties to any term or condition contrary to or in addition to the terms and conditions contained in this Consent Judgment, The Parties acknowledge that each has not relied on any promise, representation or warranty, expressed or implied, not contained in this Consent Judgment except for those contained in the Confidentiality Undertaking except with regard to that certain declaration executed under penalty of perjury by the Spaulding Companies providing information that induced Plaintiff to enter into the financial terms of this Consent Judgment, which declaration may be used solely as evidence in any future enforcement proceeding brought pursuant to Section 7 above.
- Challenges. Subject to their rights to apply for a modification of this Consent Judgment for good cause shown under Section 9 hereof, the Parties agree that they, individually or collectively, will not seek to challenge or to have determined invalid, void or unenforceable any provision of this Consent Judgment or this Consent Judgment itself. The Parties understand that this Consent Judgment contains the relinquishment of legal rights and each Party has, as each has deemed appropriate, sought the advice of legal counsel, which each of the Parties has encouraged the other to seek. Further, no Party has reposed trust or confidence in any other Party so as to create a fiduciary, agency or confidential relationship.
- 13. <u>Construction</u>. This Consent Judgment has been jointly negotiated and drafted. The language of this Consent Judgment shall be construed as a whole according to its fair meaning and not strictly for or against any Party.
- 14. <u>Authority to Stipulate to Consent Judgment</u>. Each signatory to this Consent Judgment represents and warrants that each signatory has all requisite power, authority and legal right necessary to execute and deliver this Consent Judgment and to perform and carry out the

1	transactions contemplated by this Consent Judgment. Each signatory to this Consent Judgment				
2	represents that each has been duly authorized to execute this Consent Judgment. No other or				
3	further authorization or approval from any person will be required for the validity and				
4	enforceabil	enforceability of the provisions of this Consent Judgment.			
5	15. <u>Cooperation and Further Assurances</u> . The Parties hereby will execute such other				
6	documents and take such other actions as may be necessary to further the purposes and fulfill the				
7	terms of this Consent Judgment.				
8	16. <u>Counterparts</u> . This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and has the				
9	same force and effect as if all the signatures were obtained in one document.				
10	17. Notices.				
11	17.1	All correspondence and notices required by this Consent Judgment to Plaintiff the			
12	Institute shall be sent to:				
13		Roger Lane Carrick The Carrick Law Group, P.C.			
14		350 S. Grand Avenue, Suite 2930 Los Angeles, CA 90071-3406			
15		Tel: (213) 346-7930 Fax: (213) 346-7931			
16		E-mail: roger@carricklawgroup.com			
17	17.2	All correspondence and notices required by this Consent Judgment to Defendants			
18	the Spauldi	ng Companies shall be sent to Defendants as follows:			
19		Mr. Darwin Spaulding With a copy to: HUCK SPAULDING			
20		ENTERPRISES, INC., and Laurie L. Largent, Esq. SPAULDING COLOR CORP. Kolod, Wager & Nolan, PC			
21		Route 85, New Scotland Road, 222 W. Second Avenue Voorheesville, NY, 12186. Escondido, CA 92025			
22		Tel.: (888) 982-8866 Tel.: (760) 480-8100 Fax: (518) 768-2240. Fax: (760) 480-4999			
23		E-mail: llargent@kolodwager.com			
24	18. <u>Ent</u>	ry of Stipulation For Entry of Consent Judgment Required. This Consent			
25	Judgment shall be null and void, and without any force or effect, unless fully approved as				
26	required by law and entered by the Court. If the Court does not enter this Consent Judgment, the				
27	execution thereof by Defendants or the Institute shall not be construed as an admission by				
28	Defendants or the Institute of any fact, issue of law or violation of law.				

		in the distinction of this matter to implement this Consent				
1	1 19. Jurisdiction. This Court shall reta	in jurisdiction of this matter to implement this Consent				
2	Judgment.					
3		20. Compliance with Renorting Requirements. The Institute shall comply with the				
4		Health and Safety Code section 25249,7(f) and				
5	5 established in 11 California Code of Kegu	liations §§ 3000-3008. Copies of all such reports shall				
6	6 be supplied to the Spaulding Companies	s provided in Section 17-2.				
7	21. Non-Interference in Settlement Approval Process. The Parties will cooperate, as well					
8	8 as use their best efforts, to secure the Atto	as use their best efforts, to secure the Attorney General's approval of this Consent Judgment, and				
9	9 not to seek his disapproval of any portion	of this Consent Judgment.				
10	10					
11	IT IS SO STIPULATED.					
12	12 Date: October 3/, 2005	HUCK SPAULDING ENTERPRISES, INC., AND SPAULDING COLOR CORP.				
13	13	C C				
14	14	Darwin Spaulding				
15		Darwin Spaulding				
16	16	·				
17	17	AMERICAN ENVIRONMENTAL SAFETY				
18	Date: October, 2005	INSTITUTE, a non-profit California corporation				
19	19					
20	20	By: Deborah A Sives				
21	21	President and CEO				
22	2					
23	23					
24	24	·				
25	25	·				
26	26					
27						
28	28	•				
	Printed on Recycled Paper	12 [PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGHENT SPAULDING COMPANIES				
10JZ	MED) MOA - S SOOP 11:18/21 11:15/MO: PREPSIO	FROM KOLOD WAGER, APC				

17/03/2002 08:02

. 1	19. <u>Jurisdiction</u> . This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement this Consent					
2	Judgment.					
3	3 20. Compliance with Reporting Requir	20. Compliance with Reporting Requirements. The Institute shall comply with the				
4	4 reporting form requirements referenced in H	reporting form requirements referenced in Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(f) and				
5	5 established in 11 California Code of Regulat	ions §§ 3000-3008. Copies of all such reports shall				
6	be supplied to the Spaulding Companies as provided in Section 17.2.					
7	21. Non-Interference in Settlement Approval Process. The Parties will cooperate, as well					
8	8 as use their best efforts, to secure the Attorne	as use their best efforts, to secure the Attorney General's approval of this Consent Judgment, and				
9	9 not to seek his disapproval of any portion of	this Consent Judgment.				
0	0					
11	1 IT IS SO STIPULATED.					
12		IUCK SPAULDING ENTERPRISES, INC., AND PAULDING COLOR CORP.				
13	A .	.,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,				
14	4 F	sy:				
15	I	Darwin Spaulding CEO				
16	6					
17	7 Date: October 1, 2005 A	MERICAN ENVIRONMENTAL SAFETY				
18		NSTITUTE, a non-profit California corporation				
19	9	-1) // Same				
20	.0	Deborah A. Sivas				
21	-	President and CEO				
22						
23	3					
24						
25						
26	·					
27		•				
28	8					
	TI CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTO					

EXHIBIT A

Dear Customer:

.12 .13

HUCK SPAULDING ENTERPRISES INC. LETTERHEAD

I am writing to alert you to the new warning language you will see on our tattoo ink and/or pigment products. This warning language results from a California lawsuit we recently settled. In the summer of 2004, the American Environmental Safety Institute sued a wide array of tattoo ink and/or pigment manufacturers, alleging violations of California's unique public health and consumer protection law, Proposition 65. This law requires that individuals be provided with a clear and reasonable warning before being exposed to chemicals listed by the State of California as causing cancer or birth defects and other reproductive harm.

The lawsuit alleged that tattoo inks and/or pigment products contain Antimony, Arsenic, Beryllium, Cobalt, Lead and Lead compounds, Nickel and Selenium (collectively "Toxic Metals"), each of which is a dangerous toxic chemical that is known to the State of California to cause cancer and/or reproductive harm. The lawsuit alleged that individuals in California are exposed to these Toxic Metals when tattoo artists use tattoo inks and/or pigment products in the application of tattoos on or under a person's skin.

In settling this lawsuit, the manufacturers of tattoo inks and/or pigments did not admit any violation of law, but did agree to put the new warning language on their products to avoid further litigation. This warning information must be passed on to your retail customers who are tattooed with this tattoo ink and/or pigment product. The Spaulding Companies request that you put up the enclosed poster in a prominent place in your place of business in order to give the following warning to your customers:

WARNING: Tattoo inks and pigments contain many heavy metals, including Lead, Arsenic and others. All of these heavy metals have been scientifically determined by the State of California to cause cancer or birth defects and other reproductive harm. Pregnant women and women of childbearing age in particular should consult with their doctor before getting any tattoo. A person is exposed to tattoo inks and/or pigments when they get a tattoo because they are injected with tattoo ink under their skin or the tattoo ink is applied on their skin.

Please acknowledge receipt of this letter and your commitment to com	ply with its terms
by checking here, filling in your business name as follows:	
	hen faxing a copy
of this letter back to us at () Thank you for your attention to thirequirement.	is new legal

POSTER SIZE AND TEXT

(Size of poster must be no less than 20" by 24")

WARNING: Tattoo inks and pigments contain many heavy metals, including Lead, Arsenic and others. All of these heavy metals have been scientifically determined by the State of California to cause cancer or birth defects and other reproductive harm. Pregnant women and women of childbearing age in particular should consult with their doctor before getting any tattoo. A person is exposed to tattoo inks and/or pigments when they get a tattoo because they are injected with tattoo ink under their skin or the tattoo ink is applied on their skin.

EXHIBIT B

AGREEMENT

This Agreement ("Agreement") is made as of this day of October 2005, by and between AMERICAN ENVIRONMENTAL SAFETY INSTITUTE, (hereinafter "the Institute"), plaintiff in American Environmental Safety Institute v. Huck Spaulding Enterprises, Inc., et al, Los Angeles Superior Court Case No. BC 319440 (hereinafter "the Litigation"), and a defendant SPAULDING & ROGERS MFG., INC. (hereinafter "Spaulding Mfg."), in order to resolve the parties' dispute in the Litigation.

FOR AND IN CONSIDERATION of the mutual covenants set forth herein, the receipt and adequacy of which are hereby acknowledged, the parties ("Parties") to this Agreement agree as follows:

- 1. Relationship to the Litigation: The parties agree that this Agreement shall be made an exhibit to the Consent Judgment in the Litigation entered into by the Institute and defendants HUCK SPAULDING ENTERPRISES, INC., AND SPAULDING COLOR CORP.
- 2. <u>Condition for Agreement to Take Effect</u>: The parties agree that if the Consent Judgment in the Litigation entered into by the Institute and defendants HUCK SPAULDING ENTERPRISES, INC., AND SPAULDING COLOR CORP. is not entered by the Los Angeles Superior Court, then this Agreement is void, unenforceable and shall no effect.
- 3. Representation and Warranty by Spaulding Mfg.: Spaulding Mfg. represents and warrants that Spaulding Mfg. does not sell tattoo inks and/or pigment products, which are herein defined as "Products" are defined in the First Amended Complaint in the Litigation.
- 4. Future Sale of Products by Spaulding Mfg.: If at any time after the date of execution of this Agreement, Spaulding Mfg. engages in the sale of Products directly or indirectly into California, Spaulding Mfg. agrees to comply immediately with paragraphs 3.1, 3. 2 and 3.3 of the Consent Judgment in the Litigation entered into by the Institute and defendants HUCK SPAULDING ENTERPRISES, INC., AND SPAULDING COLOR CORP. as if those terms and conditions were incorporated herein by reference as though set out in full.

- 5. <u>Dismissal with Prejudice</u>: The Parties agree that when the Consent Judgment in the Litigation entered into by the Institute and defendants HUCK SPAULDING ENTERPRISES, INC., AND SPAULDING COLOR CORP. is entered by the Los Angeles Superior Court, the Institute shall dismiss defendant SPAULDING & ROGERS MFG., INC. with prejudice within ten calendar days after the date of entry by the court of this Consent Judgment.
- 6. Specific Performance Required: Spaulding Mfg. and the Institute acknowledge and agree that they are entering into this Agreement to settle their disputes in the Litigation, and that there is no other adequate remedy at law beyond this Agreement by which to achieve this settlement, and that as a result, the Institute or Spaulding Mfg. may seek specific performance of this Agreement by noticed motion filed in the court retaining jurisdiction of the Litigation, and all Parties agree that such a motion is appropriately filed as to venue and jurisdiction.
- 7. Attorneys' Fees and Costs: If either the Institute or Spaulding Mfg. seeks to enforce this Agreement and prevails in that motion, the losing party agrees to pay the prevailing party's reasonable attorneys' fees and costs in filing and prosecuting that motion.
- 8. <u>Interpretation of Agreement</u>: This Agreement has been jointly negotiated and drafted. The language of this Agreement shall be construed as a whole according to its fair meaning and not strictly for or against any Party.
- 9. <u>Authority of Signatories</u>: Each signatory to this Agreement represents and warrants that each signatory has all requisite power, authority and legal right necessary to execute and deliver this Agreement and to perform and carry out the transactions contemplated by this Agreement. Each signatory to this Agreement represents that each has been duly authorized to execute this Agreement. No other or further authorization or approval from any person will be required for the validity and enforceability of the provisions of this Agreement.
- 10. <u>Counterparts</u>: This Agreement may be executed in counterparts and has the same force and effect as if all the signatures were obtained in one document.

28 ///

III

ing Draft Carpert Judgment of periffing 17-3-05 Printed on Recycled Paper

[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDOMEN'I SPAIJI DING COMPANIES

ZZS84Z0<u>Z</u>Z

8 | 9 8670738868 .ON/St:71.T2/0S:71 2005 \$ YON(@3W).

FROM KOLOD WAGER APC

1	11.	11. Notices: Any and all correspondence and notices required by this Agreement to				
2	the Institute shall be sent to:					
3		Roger Lane Carrick	~			
4		The Carrick Law Group, P.C. 350 S. Grand Avenue, Suite 2930				
5		Los Angeles, CA 90071-3406 Tel: (213) 346-7930				
6	Fax: (213) 346-7931 E-mail: roger@carricklawgroup.com					
7	Any and all correspondence and notices required by this Agreement to Spaulding Mfg.					
8	shall be sent	to Spaulding Mfg. as follows	:			
9		Mr. Darwin Spaulding	150	With a copy to:		
10		SPAULDING & ROGERS NINC.		Laurie L. Largent, Esq.		
11		Route 85, New Scotland Roa Voorheesville, NY, 12186.	a,	Kolod, Wager & Nolan, PC 222 W. Second Avenue		
12		Tel.: (888) 982-8866 Fax: (518) 768-2240.		Escondido, CA 92025 Tel.: (760) 460-8100		
13				Fax: (760) 460-4999 E-mail: llargent@kolodwager.com		
14						
15	12. <u>Jurisdiction</u> : The Los Angeles Superior Court shall have sole jurisdiction to hear					
16	any motion re	egarding the interpretation or	implemer	ntation of this Agreement		
17						
18	IT IS	SO AGREED.		. •		
19	Date: Octobe	er, 2005	SPAULD	DING & ROGERS MFG., INC.		
20						
21			Ву:	Danie Capulding		
22				Darwin Spaulding CEO		
23						
24	Date: Octobe	er <u>31</u> , 2005		CAN ENVIRONMENTAL SAFETY		
25			ווופווו	JTE, a non-profit California corporation		
26			By:	200 Spra		
27				Deborah A. Sivas President and CEO		
28				r rogidoni and CEO		
- 11						

DATED:

THE COURT HEREBY FINDS:

- 1. The warning required by the foregoing stipulated Consent Judgment complies with the provisions of Health & Safety Code §§ 25249.5-25249.13.
- 2. The Parties' agreement that no civil penalties are warranted is in accord with the criteria set forth in Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b)(2), in that payments totaling \$375,000.00 in lieu of such penalties to American Environmental Safety Institute are to be used by the Institute for its costs of litigation in this matter, for the on-going compliance monitoring costs of this Consent Judgment, and to enable the Institute to provide funds for future research, public education and/or advocacy regarding exposure of individuals to toxic chemicals on behalf of the public interest and the general public in conformity with Health and Safety Code §25192(a)(2) and its own non-profit articles of incorporation, thus furthering the remedial purposes established under the Proposition 65 statute as set forth in the Complaint, in a manner that is consistent with the private enforcement mechanism and funds allocation scheme established by Health & Safety Code § 25192 and § 25249.7 et. seq.
- 4. This Consent Judgment is hereby adopted as the ORDER and JUDGMENT of this Court.

IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED.

IRVING S. FEFFER
JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT