1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Laralei S. Paras, State Bar No. 203319 Daniel Bornstein, State Bar No. 181711 PARAS LAW GROUP 655 Redwood Hwy., Suite 216 Mill Valley, CA 94941 Tel: (415) 380-9222 Fax: (415) 380-9223 Clifford A. Chanler, State Bar No. 135534 CHANLER LAW GROUP 71 Elm Street, Suite 8 New Canaan, CT 06840 Tel: (203) 966-9911 Fax: (203) 801-5222 Attorneys for Plaintiff RUSSELL BRIMER		
10			
11	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
12	FOR THE COUNTY OF ALAMEDA – HAYWARD BRANCH		
13	UNLIMITED CIVIL JURISDICTION		
14			
15	RUSSELL BRIMER) No. HG04188878	
16	Plaintiff,	PROPOSITION 65 SETTLEMENT	
16 17	Plaintiff, v.	PROPOSITION 65 SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT RE: ATTORNEYS' FEES AND COSTS	
		AGREEMENT RE: ATTORNEYS'	
17	v.	AGREEMENT RE: ATTORNEYS'	
17 18	v. GOTTSCHALKS, INC.; and DOES 1 through	AGREEMENT RE: ATTORNEYS'	
17 18 19	v. GOTTSCHALKS, INC.; and DOES 1 through 150.	AGREEMENT RE: ATTORNEYS'	
17 18 19 20	v. GOTTSCHALKS, INC.; and DOES 1 through 150.	AGREEMENT RE: ATTORNEYS'	
17 18 19 20 21	v. GOTTSCHALKS, INC.; and DOES 1 through 150.	AGREEMENT RE: ATTORNEYS'	
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	v. GOTTSCHALKS, INC.; and DOES 1 through 150.	AGREEMENT RE: ATTORNEYS'	
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	v. GOTTSCHALKS, INC.; and DOES 1 through 150.	AGREEMENT RE: ATTORNEYS'	
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	v. GOTTSCHALKS, INC.; and DOES 1 through 150.	AGREEMENT RE: ATTORNEYS'	
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	v. GOTTSCHALKS, INC.; and DOES 1 through 150.	AGREEMENT RE: ATTORNEYS'	

This Proposition 65 Settlement Agreement Re: Attorneys' Fees and Costs ("Agreement") is entered into by and between plaintiff Russell Brimer ("Plaintiff"), a California citizen, on the one hand, and defendant Gottschalks, Inc., a corporation ("Gottschalks"), on the other hand (collectively referred to herein as the "Parties"), as of December 15, 2005, (the "Effective Date") with reference to the following:

WHEREAS,

- A. Russell Brimer represents that he is an individual residing in Northern California. who seeks to promote awareness of exposures to toxic chemicals and improve human health by reducing or eliminating hazardous substances contained in consumer products.
- B. Gottschalks represents that it is a company that has sold suncatchers plaintiff alleged contained lead and/or lead compounds.
- C. On or about July 30, 2004, Russell Brimer first served Gottschalks and certain public enforcement agencies with a document entitled "60-Day Notice of Violation" ("Notice") which provided notice that Russell Brimer considered Gottschalks to be in violation of Proposition 65 for allegedly failing to warn purchasers that certain products they manufacture, distribute and/or offer for sale in California expose users to the listed chemical. Specifically, the Notice alleged that Gottschalks manufactures, distributes and/or offers for retail sale in California suncatchers that contain one or more chemicals listed pursuant to California Health & Safety Code §25249.5 *et seq.* ("Proposition 65") including lead and lead compounds (the "Listed Chemicals"). Further alleged in the Notice is that Gottschalks has manufactured, distributed and/or sold these suncatchers for use in California since at least December 17, 2003.
- D. On December 10, 2004, Russell Brimer filed a Complaint entitled *Russell Brimer v. Gottschalks, Inc., et al.*, Case No. HG04188878, in the Alameda County Superior Court against Gottschalks, regarding the Proposition 65 claims described in the 60-Day Notice ("Action").

 /////

/////

E. In order to avoid the costs and expense of litigation, and without admitting liability or wrongdoing by any Party, the Parties have elected to resolve this matter by settlement on the terms set forth in the Stipulation and Order Re: Consent Judgment ("Consent Judgment") approved by the Court on November 11, 2005 and this Proposition 65 Settlement Agreement Re: Attorneys' Fees and Costs.

BASED ON THE FOREGOING AND THE MUTUAL PROMISES AND COVENANTS SET FORTH BELOW, THE PARTIES AGREE AS FOLLOWS:

1. Reimbursement of Fees and Costs. The Parties acknowledge they agreed to resolve the dispute without reaching an agreement on the amount of attorneys' fees and costs to be reimbursed to Russell Brimer, thereby leaving open this issue to be resolved after the material terms of the settlement had been reached, and the agreement signed. Following the execution of the Consent Judgment and entry of Judgment based on the terms of the Consent Judgment, the Parties then attempted to (and did) reach an accord on the amount of reimbursement for attorneys' fees and costs incurred by Russell Brimer and his counsel under the private attorney general doctrine codified at Code of Civil Procedure §1021.5 for all work performed through the Effective Date of the Agreement and reasonably to be performed in connection with the terms set forth in this Agreement after the Effective Date.

Under the private attorney general doctrine codified at Code of Civil Procedure §1021.5, Gottschalks shall reimburse Russell Brimer and his counsel for fees and costs, incurred as a result of investigating, bringing this matter to the parties' attention, litigating and negotiating a settlement in the public interest in the amount of \$25,225 for all attorneys' fees, expert and investigation fees, and litigation costs incurred through the Effective Date (and including those efforts through the Court's approval of this agreement and monitoring Gottschalks' compliance with the terms of this agreement) on or before December 30, 2005. Payment should be made payable to "Chanler Law Group."

/////

/////

/////

27

28

1111

////

1	8. Authorization . Each of the undersigned represents and warrants that he or she is		
2	authorized to execute this Agreement on behalf of the respective Parties for which they are		
3	signing and have read, understood and agree to all of the terms and conditions of this Agreement.		
4			
5	AGREED TO:	AGREED TO:	
6	Date: December 2, 2005	Date: December, 2005	
7			
8	By: Cassell la	By:	
9	Plaintiff Russell Brimer	Defendant Gottschalks, Inc.	
10	APPROVED AS TO FORM:	APPROVED AS TO FORM:	
11			
12	Date: December 27, 2005	Date: December, 2005	
13	PARAS LAW GROUP	THE LAW FIRM OF WEAKLEY, RATLIFF, ARENDT & McGUIRE, LLP	
14	006		
15	By: Jellan	By:	
16	Laralet S./Paras Attorneys for Plaintiff	Rosemary T. McGuire Attorneys for Defendant	
17	RUSSELL BRIMER	GOTTSCHALKS, INC.	
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

1	8. Authorization. Each of the undersigned represents and warrants that he or she is		
2	authorized to execute this Agreement on behalf of the respective Parties for which they are		
3	signing and have read, understood and agree to all of the terms and conditions of this Agreement.		
4	orbiting and they by the desired and all	2.00 10 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 1	
5	AGREED TO:	AGREED TO:	
6	Date: December, 2005	Date: December 21, 2005	
7			
8	Ву:	By: Lacing of Aurlin	
9	Plaintiff Russell Brimer	Defendant Gottschalks Inc.	
lo			
11	APPROVED AS TO FORM:	APPROVED AS TO FORM:	
12	Date: December, 2005	Date: December <u>A</u> , 2005	
13	PARAS LAW GROUP	THE LAW FIRM OF WEAKLEY, RATLIFF, ARENDT & McGUIRE, LLP	
14			
15	Ву:	By: Keremery T. Me Bruce	
16	Laralei S. Paras Attorneys for Plaintiff	Rosemary T. McGuire Attorneys for Defendant	
17	RUSSELL BRIMER	GOTTSCHALKS, INC.	
18			
9			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
1	·····		