1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Laralei S. Paras (State Bar No. 203319) Daniel Bornstein (State Bar No. 181711) PARAS LAW GROUP 2560 Ninth Street, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710-2565 Telephone: (510) 848-8880 Facsimile: (510) 848-8118 Clifford A. Chanler (State Bar No. 135534) CHANLER LAW GROUP 71 Elm Street, Suite 8 New Canaan, CT 06840 Telephone: (203) 966-9911 Facsimile: (203) 801-5222 Attorneys for Plaintiff Russell Brimer	ENDORSED FILE D San Francisco County Superior Court JAN 0 6 2006 GORDON PARK-LI, Clerk BY: ERICKA LARNAUTI Deputy Clerk
11	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
12	IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO	
13	UNLIMITED CIVIL JURISDICTION	
14		
15	RUSSELL BRIMER,	Case No. CGC-04-435213
16	Plaintiff,	2. .
17	v.	[PROPOSED] ORDER PURSUANT TO STIPULATION AND ORDER RE:
18 19	SMITH NOVELTY COMPANY, SMITH NEWS COMPANY, INC., POSTER SOURCE, INC. and DOES 1 through 50,	CONSENT JUDGMENT
20		Date: December 13, 2005 Time: 9:30 A.M.
21	Defendants.	Dept: 301 Judge: Hon. James L. Warren
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	-[PROPUSED] ORDER PURSUANT TO STIPULATIO Case No. CGC-04 1	N AND ORDER RE: CONSENT JUDGMENT -435213

In the above-entitled action, Plaintiff RUSSELL BRIMER and Defendant SMITH NEWS COMPANY, INC., dba SMITH NOVELTY COMPANY, ("Defendant"), having agreed through their respective counsel that judgment be entered pursuant to the terms of the Consent Judgment entered into by the above-referenced parties and attached hereto as **Exhibit A**; and after consideration of the papers submitted and the arguments presented, the Court finds that the settlement agreement set out in the attached Consent Judgment meets the criteria established by Senate Bill 471, in that:

- 1. The health hazard warning that is required by the Consent Judgment complies with Health & Safety Code section 25249.7 (as amended by Senate Bill 471);
- 2. The reimbursement of fees and costs to be paid pursuant to the parties' Consent Judgment is reasonable under California law; and
- 3. The civil penalty amount to be paid pursuant to the parties' Consent Judgment is reasonable,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that judgment be entered in this case, in accordance with the terms of the Consent Judgment, attached hereto as **Exhibit A**.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: December 13, 2005

JAMES L. WARREN

Hon. James L. Warren
JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT

1 2	Clifford A. Chanler (State Bar No. 135534) CHANLER LAW GROUP 71 Elm Street, Suite 8 New Canaan, CT 06840			
3 4	Telephone: (203) 966-9911 Facsimile: (203) 801-5222			
5	Attorneys for Plaintiff			
6	T C. D /Carda Dom No. 114641)			
7	Lawrence S. Bazel (State Bar No. 114641) Shaye Diveley (State Bar No. 215602) STOEL RIVES LLP 111 Sutter Street, Suite 700 San Francisco, CA 94104 Telephone: (415) 617-8900 Facsimile: (415) 676-3000			
8				
9				
10				
11	Attorneys for Defendant			
12				
13	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA FOR THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO UNLIMITED JURISDICTION			
14				
15				
16				
17	RUSSELL BRIMER,	Case No. CGC 04-435213		
18	Plaintiff,			
19	v.	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE: CONSENT JUDGMENT		
20	SMITH NOVELTY COMPANY; SMITH NEWS			
21	COMPANY, INC; POSTER SOURCE, INC.; and DOES 1 through 150,			
22	Defendants.			
23				
24	1. INTRODUCTION			
25	1.1 Plaintiff and Settling Defendant.	This Consent Judgment is entered into by and		
26	between plaintiff Russell Brimer (hereafter "Brimer" or "Plaintiff") and Smith News Company,			
27	Inc. dba Smith Novelty Company (hereafter collectively referred to as "Smith Novelty"), with			
28				

STIPULATION AND (PROPOSED) ORDER RE: CONSENT JUDGMENT - SFSC CASE NO. CGC CGC 04-435213

Plaintiff and Smith Novelty collectively referred to as the "Parties" and Brimer and Smith Novelty each being a "Party."

- Plaintiff. Brimer is an individual residing in Alameda, California, who seeks to promote awareness of exposures to toxic chemicals and improve human health by reducing or eliminating hazardous substances contained in consumer and industrial products.
- General Allegations. Plaintiff alleges that Smith Novelty has manufactured, distributed and/or sold in the State of California mugs, shot glasses and other tableware products with colored artwork, designs or markings on the exterior surface with materials in that colored artwork, designs or markings that contain lead and/or lead compounds and cadmium, which are listed pursuant to the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California Health & Safety Code §§ 25249.5 et seq., also known as Proposition 65, to cause birth defects and other reproductive harm. Lead (and/or lead compounds) and cadmium shall be referred to herein as the "Listed Chemicals."
- 1.4 **Product Descriptions**. The products that are covered by this Consent Judgment are defined as follows: mugs and shot glasses and other tableware products manufactured, sold and/or distributed by Smith Novelty with colored artwork, designs or markings on the exterior surface (containing lead or cadmium), including, by way of example and without limitation, the products listed on Exhibit A hereto. Such products collectively are referred to herein as the "Products."
- 1.5 Notices of Violation. Beginning on July 30, 2004, Brimer served Smith Novelty and various public enforcement agencies with documents, entitled "60-Day Notice of Violation" ("Notice") that provided Smith Novelty and such public enforcers with notice that alleged that Smith Novelty was in violation of Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 for failing to warn purchasers that certain products that it sold expose users in California to lead and lead compounds and to cadmium. On January 3, 2005, Brimer served Smith Novelty (and the public enforcement agencies) with a second "60-Day Notice of Violation" that provided Smith Novelty (and the public enforcers) with notice that Smith Novelty was in violation of Health & Safety Code

Section 25249.6 for failing to warn purchasers that it sold shot glasses that expose users in California to lead and lead compounds and to cadmium.

- California, filed a complaint (hereafter referred to as the "Complaint" or the "Action") in the Superior Court for the City and County of San Francisco against Smith Novelty Company, Smith News Company, Inc., Poster Source, Inc., and Does 1 through 150, alleging violations of Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 based on the alleged exposures to one or more of the Listed Chemicals contained in certain products sold by Smith Novelty.
- 1.7 No Admission. Smith Novelty denies the material factual and legal allegations contained in Plaintiff's Notices and Complaint and maintains that all products that it has sold and distributed in California, including the Products, have been and are in compliance with all laws. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission by Smith Novelty of any fact, finding, issue of law, or violation of law, nor shall compliance with this Agreement constitute or be construed as an admission by Smith Novelty of any fact, finding, conclusion, issue of law or violation of law. However, this section shall not diminish or otherwise affect the obligations, responsibilities and duties of Smith Novelty under this Consent Judgment.
- 1.8 Consent to Jurisdiction. For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the Notices and in the Complaint and personal jurisdiction over Smith Novelty as to the acts alleged in the Notices and in the Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of San Francisco, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment and to enforce the provisions thereof.
- 1.9 Effective Date. For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the "Effective Date" shall be April 30, 2005.

2. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF: WARNINGS AND REFORMULATION

2.1 Warning Obligations for Products

(a) Required Warnings. After the Effective Date, Smith Novelty shall not transmit to any retailer (or any other entity) to sell or offer for sale in California any Products

containing the Listed Chemicals, unless warnings are given in accordance with one or more provisions in subsection 2.2 below.

- (b) Exceptions. The warning requirements set forth in subsections 2.1(a) and 2.2 below shall not apply to:
 - (i) any Products manufactured before the Effective Date, or
 - (ii) Reformulated Products.

2.2 Clear and Reasonable Warnings

(a) Product Labeling. A warning is affixed to the packaging, labeling or directly to or on a Product by Smith Novelty, its agent, or the manufacturer, importer, or distributor of the Product that states:

WARNING: This product will expose you to lead and cadmium, chemicals known [to the State of California] to cause birth defects and other reproductive harm.

The language in brackets may be omitted.

Warnings issued for Products pursuant to this subsection shall be prominently placed with such conspicuousness as compared with other words, statements, designs, or devices as to render it likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual under customary conditions of use or purchase. Any changes to the language or format of the warning required by this subsection shall only be made following: (1) approval of Plaintiff; (2) approval from the California Attorney General's Office, provided that written notice of at least fifteen (15) days is given to Plaintiff for the opportunity to comment; or (3) Court approval.

- (b) **Point-of-Sale Warnings**. Smith Novelty may execute its warning obligations, where applicable, through arranging for the posting of signs at retail outlets in the State of California at which Products are sold, in accordance with the terms specified in subsections 2.2(b)(i), 2.2(b)(ii) and 2.2(b)(iii).
- (i) Point of Sale warnings may be provided through one or more signs posted at or near the point of sale or display of the Products that state:

WARNING: The materials used as colored decorations on the exterior of this product contain lead and cadmium, chemicals known to the State of California to cause birth defects or other reproductive harm.

or

WARNING: The materials used as colored decorations on the exterior of the following tableware products sold in this store contain lead and cadmium, chemicals known to the State of California to cause birth defects or other reproductive harm:

shall be prominently placed with such conspicuousness as compared with other words, statements, designs, or devices as to render it likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual under customary conditions of use or purchase and shall be placed or written in a manner such that the consumer understands to which *specific* Products the warnings apply so as to minimize if not eliminate the chances that an over-warning situation will arise. Any change to the language or format of the warning required for Products by this subsection shall only be made following: (1) approval of Plaintiff; (2) approval from the California Attorney General's Office, provided that written notice of at least fifteen (15) days is given to Plaintiff for the opportunity to comment; or (3) Court approval.

(iii) If Smith Novelty intends to utilize point of sale warnings to comply with this Consent Judgment, it must provide notice as required by this Consent Judgment to each retailer to whom Smith Novelty ships the Products for sale in California and obtain the written consent of such retailer before shipping the Products. Such notice shall include a copy of this Consent Judgment and any required warning materials (including, as appropriate, signs and/or stickers). If Smith Novelty has obtained the written consent of a retailer, Smith Novelty shall not be found to have violated this Consent Judgment if it has complied with the terms of this Consent Judgment and has proof that it transmitted the requisite warnings in the manner provided herein.

- 2.3 Reformulation Standards. Products satisfying the conditions of Section 2.3(a) or 2.3(b) are referred to as "Reformulated Products."
- (a) If the colored artwork, designs or markings on the exterior surface of the Product does not extend into the top 20 millimeters of the ware (i.e., only appears below the exterior portion of the lip and rim area as defined by American Society of Testing and Materials Standard Test Method C927-99, hereinafter the "Lip and Rim Area"), produce a test result no higher than 1.0 micrograms ("ug") of lead or 4.0 ug of cadmium using a Ghost WipeTM or equivalent test applied on all painted portions of the surface of the Product performed as outlined in NIOSH Method No. 9100, or equivalent, such Product is a Reformulated Product; or
- (b) If the Product utilizes paints for all colored artwork, designs or markings containing six one-hundredths of one percent (0.06%) lead or twenty-four one-hundredths of one percent (0.24%) cadmium by weight or less as measured at Smith Novelty's option, either before or after the material is fired onto (or otherwise affixed to) the Product, using EPA Test Method 3050b, such Product is a Reformulated Product;
- 2.4 Reformulation Commitment. By entering into this Stipulation and Consent Judgment, Smith Novelty hereby commits that as a continuing matter of corporate policy, Smith Novelty intends to undertake good faith efforts, taking into consideration Smith Novelty's operational and product licensing restrictions to ensure that as many Products as commercially reasonable shall qualify as Reformulated Products.

3. MONETARY PAYMENTS.

3.1 Payments. Pursuant to Health & Safety Code Section 25249.7(b), Smith Novelty shall pay \$100,400 in civil penalties in two installments. The first penalty of \$20,400 shall be made payable to "Chanler Law Group in Trust For Russell Brimer," and shall be transmitted to Plaintiff's counsel by overnight delivery on or before April 9, 2005, at the following address:

CHANLER LAW GROUP Attn: Clifford A. Chanler 71 Elm Street, Suite 8 New Canaan, CT 06840

The second payment of \$80,000 shall be paid on or before October 31, 2006. This second payment, however, shall be waived if Smith Novelty certifies in writing to Brimer on or before October 15, 2006, that beginning October 31, 2006 at least 75% of its Products manufactured for sale in California will be Reformulated Products. The second payment, if it is not waived, shall be made payable to "Chanler Law Group in Trust For Russell Brimer."

4. REIMBURSEMENT OF FEES AND COSTS

dispute without reaching terms on the amount of fees and costs to be reimbursed to them, thereby leaving this fee issue to be resolved after the material terms of the agreement had been settled. Smith Novelty then expressed a desire to resolve the fee and cost issue shortly after the other settlement terms had been finalized. The Parties then attempted to (and did) reach an accord on the compensation due to Plaintiff and his counsel under the private attorney general doctrine codified at Code of Civil Procedure § 1021.5 for all work performed through the Effective Date of the Agreement. Under the private attorney general doctrine, Smith Novelty shall reimburse Plaintiff and his counsel for fees and costs, incurred as a result of investigating, bringing this matter to Smith Novelty's attention, litigating, and negotiating a settlement in the public interest. Smith Novelty shall pay Plaintiff and his counsel \$89,600 for all attorneys' fees, expert and investigation fees, and litigation costs. The payment shall be made payable to the "Chanler Law Group" and shall be transmitted to Plaintiff's counsel by overnight delivery on or before April 9, 2005, at the following address:

CHANLER LAW GROUP Attn: Clifford A. Chanler 71 Elm Street, Suite 8 New Canaan, CT 06840

Except as specifically provided in this Consent Judgment, Smith Novelty shall have no further obligation with regard to reimbursement of Plaintiff's attorney's fees and costs with regard to the Products covered in this Action.

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2223

24

25

26

27

28

Plaintiff's Release of Smith Novelty. In further consideration of the promises 5.1 and agreements herein contained, and for the payments to be made pursuant to sections 3 and 4, Plaintiff, on behalf of himself, his past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors and/or assignees, and in the interest of the general public, hereby waives all rights to institute or participate in, directly or indirectly, any form of legal action and releases all claims, including, without limitation, all actions, causes of action, in law or in equity, suits, liabilities, demands, obligations, damages, costs, fines, penalties, losses or expenses (including, but not limited to, investigation fees, expert fees and attorneys' fees) of any nature whatsoever, whether known or unknown, fixed or contingent (collectively "Claims"), against Smith Novelty and each of its auctioneers, retailers, dealers, customers, owners, purchasers, users, parent companies, corporate affiliates, subsidiaries and their respective officers, directors, attorneys, representatives, shareholders, agents, and employees, including but not limited to Smith News Company, Inc. and Poster Source, Inc. (collectively, "Smith Novelty Releasees") arising under Proposition 65, Business & Professions Code § 17200 et seq., and Business & Professions Code § 17500 et seq., related to Smith Novelty's or the Smith Novelty Releasees' alleged failures to warn about exposures to or identification of Listed Chemicals contained in the Products sold by Smith Novelty.

The Parties further agree and acknowledge that this Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution of any violation of Proposition 65, Business & Professions Code §§ 17200 et seq. and Business & Professions Code §§ 17500 et seq., that have been or could have been asserted in the Complaint against Smith Novelty for its alleged failure to provide clear and reasonable warnings of exposure to or identification of Listed Chemicals in the Products sold by Smith Novelty.

In addition, Plaintiff, on behalf of himself, his attorneys, and their agents, waive all rights to institute or participate in, directly or indirectly, any form of legal action and releases all Claims against the Smith Novelty Releasees arising under Proposition 65, Business & Professions Code §§ 17200 et seq. and Business & Professions Code §§ 17500 et seq., related to each of the Smith

Novelty Releasees' alleged failures to warn about exposures to or identification of Listed Chemicals contained in the Products and for all actions or statements made by Smith Novelty or its attorneys or representatives, in the course of responding to alleged violations of Proposition 65, Business & Professions Code §§ 17200 et seq. or Business & Professions Code §§ 17500 et seq. by Smith Novelty; provided however, that Plaintiff shall remain free to institute any form of legal action to enforce the provisions of this Consent Judgment.

It is specifically understood and agreed that the Parties intend that Smith Novelty's compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment resolves all issues and liability, now and in the future (so long as Smith Novelty complies with the terms of the Consent Judgment) concerning Smith Novelty's and the Smith Novelty Releasees' compliance with the requirements of Proposition 65, Business and Professions Code §§ 17200 et. seq. and Business & Professions Code §§ 17500 et seq., as to the Listed Chemicals in the Products sold by Smith Novelty.

5.2 Smith Novelty's Release of Plaintiff. Smith Novelty, and the Smith Novelty Releasees, waive all rights to institute any form of legal action against Plaintiff, or his attorneys or representatives, for all actions taken or statements made by Plaintiff and his attorneys or representatives, in the course of seeking enforcement of Proposition 65, Business & Professions Code §§ 17200 et seq. or Business & Professions Code §§ 17500 et seq. in this Action.

6. COURT APPROVAL

This Consent Judgment is not effective until it is approved and entered by the Court and shall be null and void if, for any reason, it is not approved and entered by the Court within one year after it has been fully executed by all Parties, in which event any monies that have been provided to Plaintiff or her counsel pursuant to Section 3 and/or Section 4 above, shall be refunded within fifteen (15) days.

7. SEVERABILITY

If, subsequent to Court approval of this Consent Judgment, any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment are held by a Court to be unenforceable, the validity of the enforceable provisions remaining shall not be adversely affected.

8. ATTORNEYS' FEES

In the event that a dispute arises with respect to any provision(s) of this Consent Judgment, the prevailing party shall, except as otherwise provided herein, be entitled to recover reasonable and necessary costs and reasonable attorneys' fees incurred for the resolution of such dispute.

9. GOVERNING LAW

The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California and apply within the State of California. In the event that Proposition 65 is repealed or is otherwise rendered inapplicable by reason of law generally, or as to the Products specifically, then Smith Novelty shall have no further obligations pursuant to this Consent Judgment with respect to, and to the extent that, those Products are so affected.

10. NOTICES

All correspondence and notices required to be provided pursuant to this Consent Judgment shall be in writing and personally delivered or sent by: (1) first-class, registered, certified mail, return receipt requested or (ii) overnight courier on either Party by the other at the addresses listed below. Either Party, from time to time, may specify a change of address to which all notices and other communications shall be sent.

Clifford A. Chanler	
Chanler Law Group	
71 Elm Street, Suite 8	
New Canaan, CT 06840	

Lawrence S. Bazel Stoel Rives LLP 111 Sutter Street San Francisco, CA 94104

11. NO ADMISSIONS

Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall constitute or be construed as an admission by Smith Novelty of any fact, finding, conclusion, issue of law, or violation of law, nor shall compliance with this Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by Smith Novelty of any fact, finding, conclusion, issue of issue of law, or violation of law, such being specifically denied by Smith Novelty. Smith Novelty reserves all of its rights and defenses with regard to any claim by any party under Proposition 65 or otherwise. However, this section shall

not diminish or otherwise affect Smith Novelty's obligations, responsibilities and duties under this Consent Judgment.

12. COUNTERPARTS; FACSIMILE SIGNATURES

This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by facsimile, each of which shall be deemed an original, and all of which, when taken together, shall constitute one and the same document.

13. COMPLIANCE WITH HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 25249.7(F)

Plaintiff agrees to comply with the reporting form requirements referenced in Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(f). Pursuant to regulations promulgated under that section, Plaintiff shall present this Consent Judgment to the California Attorney General's Office within two (2) days after receiving all of the necessary signatures. A noticed motion to enter the Consent Judgment will then be served on the Attorney General's Office at least forty-five (45) days prior to the date a hearing is scheduled on such motion in the Superior Court for the City and County of San Francisco unless the Court allows a shorter period of time.

14. ADDITIONAL POST EXECUTION ACTIVITIES

The Parties shall mutually employ their best efforts to support the entry of this Agreement as a Consent Judgment and obtain approval of the Consent Judgment by the Court in a timely manner. The Parties acknowledge that, pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7, a noticed motion is required to obtain judicial approval of this Consent Judgment. Accordingly, the Parties agree to file a Joint Motion to Approve the Agreement ("Joint Motion"), the first draft of which Smith Novelty's counsel shall prepare, within a reasonable period of time after execution of this Consent Judgment (i.e., not to exceed thirty (30) days unless otherwise agreed to by the Parties' counsel based on unanticipated circumstances). Plaintiff's counsel shall prepare a declaration in support of the Joint Motion which shall, inter alia, set forth support for the fees and costs to be reimbursed pursuant to Section 4. Smith Novelty shall have no additional responsibility to Plaintiff's counsel pursuant to C.C.P. § 1021.5 or otherwise with regard to reimbursement of any fees and costs incurred with respect to the preparation and filing of the Joint Motion and its

1	AGREED TO:	AGREED TO:
, 2		
3	Date: 4.5.05	Date:
4	Plaintiff Russell Brirace	By: Defendent Smith News Company, Inc.
5		
6	APPROVED AS TO FORM:	Approved as to form:
7	DAIA: Chill CM	Date:
8	CHANLER LAW GROUP	STOEL RIVES LLP
g	By: 4/5/05 Clifford A. Chenier	By: Lewissics S. Bazel
10	Citized A. Circular Attorneys for Plaintiff RUSSELL BRIMER	AUGUSTA for Definitions SMITH NEWS COMPANY, INC. dos SMITH NOVELTY COMPANY and POSTER SOURCE, Inc.
11	KOGBELL BRITAN	COMPANY and POSTER BOURCE, Inc.
12	•	
13	,	,
14	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
15		
15	Date:	JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
17	,	industry the soldmon cook!
1.5		
19	÷	
20	1	
_	•	·
21	·	
21 22	·	
	·	
22		
22 23		
22 23 24		
22 23 24 25		
22 23 24 25 26		-15.

AGREED TO:	Date: 4-4-05
Date:	Date: 4-4-05
By: Plaintiff Russell Brimer	By: Defendant Smith News Company, Inc.
Planum Russell Brittlet	Detendant amin 146ms Company, me.
APPROVED AS TO FORM:	APPROVED AS TO FORM:
Dates	Date:
Date: CHANLER LAW GROUP	STOEL RIVES LLP
By: Clifford A. Chanler	By; Lawrence S. Bazol
Attorneys for Plaintiff RUSSELL BRIMER	Attorneys for Defendants SMITH NBWS
	COMPANY, INC. dba SMITH NOVELTY COMPANY and POSTER SOURCE, Inc.
IT IS SO ORDERED.	
: }	
Date:	JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR CO
tt	,
	•
	-13-

Exhibit A All mugs, shot glasses, and tableware with colored designs and/or artwork on the exterior, including but not limited to: SF Subway Java Lge Mug (#0 85464 51124 8); Santa Monica California Mug (#0 85464 00456 6); and Pleasanton California Shooter (#0 85464 51488 1) -14-STIPULATION AND (PROPOSED) ORDER RE: CONSENT JUDGMENT - SFSC CASE NO. CGC CGC 04-435213

ADDENDUM TO STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE: CONSENT JUDGMENT

Plaintiff Russell Brimer and defendant Smith News Company, Inc. dba Smith Novelty Company, hereby amend their "Stipulation And [Proposed] Order Re: Consent Judgment", executed by Brimer on April 5, 2005 and by Smith News Company on April 4, 2005, to incorporate the following provision as Section 3.2, as fully as though set forth at length in said Agreement, as follows:

3.2 Apportionment of Penalties Received

After Court approval of this Consent Judgment pursuant to Section 6, all penalty monies received shall be apportioned by Plaintiff in accordance with Health & Safety Code §25192, with 75% of these funds remitted to the State of California's Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment and the remaining 25% of these penalty monies retained by Plaintiff as provided by Health & Safety Code §25249.12(d). Plaintiff shall bear all responsibility for apportioning and paying to the State of California the appropriate civil penalties paid in accordance with this section.

AGREED TO:	AGREED TO
Date: 13.13.55	Date:
Dussell Ba	
Plaintiff Russell Brimer	Defendant Smith News Company, Inc

ADDENDUM TO STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE: CONSENT JUDGMENT

Plaintiff Russell Brimer and defendant Smith News Company, Inc. dba Smith Novelty Company, hereby amend their "Stipulation And [Proposed] Order Re: Consent Judgment", executed by Brimer on April 5, 2005 and by Smith News Company on April 4, 2005, to incorporate the following provision as Section 3.2, as fully as though set forth at length in said Agreement, as follows:

3.2 Apportionment of Penalties Received

After Court approval of this Consent Judgment pursuant to Section 6, all penalty monies received shall be apportioned by Plaintiff in accordance with Health & Safety Code §25192, with 75% of these funds remitted to the State of California's Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment and the remaining 25% of these penalty monies retained by Plaintiff as provided by Health & Safety Code §25249.12(d). Plaintiff shall bear all responsibility for apportioning and paying to the State of California the appropriate civil penalties paid in accordance with this section.

AGREED TO:	AGREED TO
Date:	Date: 14/14/25
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Security Land President
Plaintiff Russell Brimer	Defendant Smith News Company, Inc

Laralei S. Paras (State Bar No. 203319) 1 Daniel Bornstein (State Bar No. 181711) **ENDORSED** 2 PARAS LAW GROUP FILED San Francisco County Superior Court 2560 Ninth Street, Suite 214 3 Berkeley, CA 94710-2565 JAN 0 6 2006 Telephone: (510) 848-8880 4 Facsimile: (510) 848-8118 GORDON PARK-LI, Clerk BY: ___ ERICKA LARNAUTI 5 Clifford A. Chanler (State Bar No. 135534) Deputy Clerk **CHANLER LAW GROUP** 6 71 Elm Street, Suite 8 New Canaan, CT 06840 7 Telephone: (203) 966-9911 8 Facsimile: (203) 801-5222 9 Attorneys for Plaintiff Russell Brimer 10 11 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 12 IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO 13 UNLIMITED CIVIL JURISDICTION 14 15 RUSSELL BRIMER, Case No. CGC-04-435213 16 Plaintiff, [PROPOSED] JUDGMENT 17 v. PURSUANT TO STIPULATION AND ORDER RE: CONSENT JUDGMENT 18 SMITH NOVELTY COMPANY, SMITH NEWS COMPANY, INC., POSTER SOURCE, INC. and 19 DOES 1 through 50, Date: January 6, 2006 20 Time: 11:00 A.M. Dept: 301 Defendants. 21 Judge: Hon. James L. Warren 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 (PROPOSED) JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO STIPULATION AND ORDER RE: CONSENT JUDGMENT Case No. CGC-04-435213

In the above-entitled action, Plaintiff RUSSELL BRIMER and Defendant, SMITH NEWS COMPANY, INC., dba SMITH NOVELTY COMPANY, ("Defendant"), having agreed through their respective counsel that judgment be entered pursuant to the terms of the Consent Judgment entered into by the parties, and after issuing an Order Approving Proposition 65 Settlement Agreement and Consent Judgment on January 6, 2006.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 664.5, judgment is entered in accordance with the terms of the Order Approving Proposition 65 Settlement Agreement and Consent Judgment, between the parties. IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: January 6, 2006

JAMES L. WARREN

Hon. James L. Warren
JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT