| 1 | Stephen S. Sayad (State Bar No. 104866) | | |
|----|---|----------|--|
| 2 | PARAS LAW GROUP 655 Redwood Highway, Suite 216 | | |
| 3 | N4:11 X/2112 CA 04041 | | |
| 3 | Telephone: (415) 380-9222 | | |
| 4 | Facsimile: (415) 380-9223 | | |
| 5 | Clifford A. Chanler (State Bar No. 135534) | | |
| 6 | CHANLER LAW GROUP 71 Elm Street, Suite 8 | | |
| 7 | New Canaan, CT 06840 | | |
| | Telephone: (203) 966-9911 | | |
| 8 | Facsimile: (203) 801-5222 | | |
| 9 | Attorneys for Plaintiff | | |
| 10 | Russell Brimer | | |
| 11 | David T. Biderman (State Bar No. 101577) PERKINS COIE LLP | | |
| 12 | 180 Townsend Street, 3 rd Floor | | |
| 13 | San Francisco, CA 94107-1909 Telephone: (415) 344-7000 | | · |
| 14 | Facsimile: (415) 344-7050 | | |
| 15 | Attorneys for Plaintiff Starbucks Corporation | | |
| 16 | · . | | |
| 17 | CIMEDIAD COURT OF THE | | A L IEODNII A |
| | SUPERIOR COURT OF THE | | |
| 18 | FOR THE COUNTY OF MARIN | | N. |
| 19 | UNLIMITED CIVIL JURISDICTION | | |
| 20 | | | |
| 21 | RUSSELL BRIMER, | Case No. | CV 045326 |
| 22 | Plaintiff, | | |
| 23 | v. | | TION AND [PROPOSED] E: CONSENT JUDGMENT |
| 24 | STARBUCKS CORPORATION; and DOES 1 | | |
| 25 | through 150,, | | |
| 4 | Defendants. | | |
| 26 | | ╛. | |
| 27 | | | |
| 28 | 1. INTRODUCTION | | |

STIPULATION AND (PROPOSED) ORDER RE CONSENT Case No. CV 045326 sf-1835732

- 1.1 Plaintiff and Settling Defendant. This Consent Judgment is entered into by and between plaintiff Russell Brimer (hereafter "Mr. Brimer," "Brimer," or "Plaintiff") and Starbucks Corporation (hereafter "Starbucks" or "Defendant"), with Plaintiff and Starbucks collectively referred to as the "Parties" and Brimer and Starbucks each being a "Party."
- 1.2 **Plaintiff.** Mr. Brimer is an individual residing in Alameda County, California who seeks to promote awareness of exposures to toxic chemicals and improve human health by reducing or eliminating hazardous substances contained in consumer and industrial products.
- 1.3 General Allegations. Plaintiff alleges that Starbucks has manufactured, distributed and/or sold in the State of California ceramic mugs and other glassware products with colored artwork, designs or markings on the exterior surface with materials that contain lead and/or cadmium that are listed pursuant to the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California Health & Safety Code §§ 25249.5 et seq., also known as Proposition 65, to cause cancer and birth defects (or other reproductive harm). Lead and cadmium shall be referred to herein as "Listed Chemicals."
- 1.4 **Product Descriptions.** The products that are covered by this Consent Judgment are defined as follows: ceramic and glass beverageware and tableware products manufactured, sold and/or distributed by Starbucks with colored artwork, designs or markings on the exterior surface, including, by way of example and without limitation, the products listed on Exhibit A hereto. Such products collectively are referred to herein as the "Products."
- 1.5 **Notices of Violation**. On September 2, 2004, Brimer served Starbucks and various public enforcement agencies with documents, entitled "60-Day Notice of Violation" ("Notice") that provided Starbucks and such public enforcers with notice that alleged that Starbucks was in violation of Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 for failing to warn purchasers that certain products that it sold expose users in California to lead and/or cadmium.
- 1.6 **Complaint.** On December 7, 2004, Mr. Brimer, in the interest of the general public in California, filed a complaint (hereafter referred to as the "Complaint" or the "Action") in the Superior Court for the County of Marin against Starbucks and Does 1 through 150, alleging

violations of Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 based on the alleged exposures to one or more of the Listed Chemicals contained in certain products sold by Starbucks.

- No Admission. Starbucks denies the material factual and legal allegations contained in Plaintiff's Notice and Complaint and maintains that all products that it has sold and distributed in California, including the Products, have been and are in compliance with all laws. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission by Starbucks of any fact, finding, issue of law, or violation of law, nor shall compliance with this Agreement constitute or be construed as an admission by Starbucks of any fact, finding, conclusion, issue of law or violation of law. However, this section shall not diminish or otherwise affect the obligations, responsibilities and duties of Starbucks under this Consent Judgment.
- 1.8 **Consent to Jurisdiction**. For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the Complaint and personal jurisdiction over Starbucks as to the acts alleged in the Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of Marin, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment and to enforce the provisions thereof.
- 1.9 **Effective Date**. For purposes of this Consent Judgment, "Effective Date" shall be August 15, 2005.

2. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF: PROPOSITION 65

2.1 WARNINGS AND REFORMULATION OBLIGATIONS

- (a) **Required Warnings.** After the Effective Date, Starbucks shall not transmit to any retailer to sell or offer for sale in California any Products containing the Listed Chemicals, unless warnings are given in accordance with one or more provisions in subsection 2.2 below.
- (b) **Exceptions.** The warning requirements set forth in subsections 2.1(a) and 2.2 below shall not apply to:
 - (i) any Products -ordered before the Effective Date,
 - (ii) Reformulated Products as defined in subsection 2.3 below, or

(iii) any Products manufactured by any other person in the course of doing business who is subject to a final judgment addressing Proposition 65 warning obligations arising from alleged exposures to glassware and/or ceramic products with colored artwork, designs or markings on the exterior surface including, but not limited to, Libbey Glass Inc.

2.2 CLEAR AND REASONABLE WARNINGS

(a) **Product Labeling.** A warning is affixed to the packaging, labeling or directly to or on a Product by Starbucks, its agent, or the manufacturer, importer, or distributor of the Product that states:

WARNING: The materials used as colored decorations on the exterior of this product contain lead and cadmium, chemicals known to the State of California to cause birth defects and other reproductive harm.

or

WARNING: The materials used as colored decorations on the exterior of these products contain chemicals known to the State of California to cause birth defects and other reproductive harm.

Warnings issued for Products pursuant to this subsection shall be prominently placed with such conspicuousness as compared with other words, statements, designs, or devices as to render it likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual under customary conditions of use or purchase. Any changes to the language or format of the warning required by this subsection shall only be made following: (1) approval of Plaintiff; (2) approval from the California Attorney General's Office, provided that written notice of at least fifteen (15) days is given to Plaintiff for the opportunity to comment; or (3) Court approval.

(b) **Point-of-Sale Warnings.** Starbucks may execute its warning obligations, where applicable, through arranging for the posting of signs at retail outlets in the State of California at which Products are sold, in accordance with the terms specified in subsections 2.2(b)(i) and 2.2(b)(ii).

| 1 | (i) | Point of Sale warnings may be provided through one or more signs | |
|------------|---|--|--|
| 2 | posted at or near the point of sale or display of the Products that state: | | |
| 3 | WARNING: | The materials used as colored decorations on | |
| 4 5 | | the exterior of this product contain lead and cadmium, chemicals known to the State of California to cause birth defects and other | |
| 6 | | reproductive harm. | |
| 7 | or | | |
| 8 | WARNING: | The materials used as colored decorations on the exterior of tableware products sold in this | |
| 9 | | store contain lead and cadmium, chemicals known to the State of California to cause birth | |
| 10 | | defects and other reproductive harm. ¹ | |
| 11 | or | | |
| 12 | WARNING: | The materials used as colored decorations on | |
| 13 | | the exterior of the following beverageware products sold in this store contain lead and | |
| 14 | | cadmium, chemicals known to the State of California to cause birth defects and other | |
| 15 | | reproductive harm; | |
| 16 | | [Had my a decada] | |
| 17 | [list products] | | |
| 18 | (ii) | A point of sale warning provided pursuant to subsection 2.2(b)(i) | |
| 19 | ` , | all be prominently placed with such conspicuousness as compared with other words, | |
| 20 | | s as to render it likely to be read and understood by an ordinary | |
| 21 | individual under customary conditions of purchase and shall be placed or written in a manner | | |
| 22 | such that the consumer understands to which <i>specific</i> Products the warnings apply so as to | | |
| 23 | minimize if not eliminate the | chances that an over-warning situation will arise. Any changes to | |
| 24 | the language or format of the | warning required for Products by this subsection shall only be made | |
| 25 | the language or format of the warning required for Products by this subsection shall only be made following: (1) approval of Plaintiff; (2) approval from the California Attorney General's Office, | | |
| 26 | | | |
| 27 | ¹ This formulation of the warning may only be used where the store sells only Products which are not Reformulated Products as defined in subsection 2.3 below. | | |
| 28 | | 1 | |

provided that written notice of at least fifteen (15) days is given to Plaintiff for the opportunity to comment; or (3) Court approval.

2.3 REFORMULATION STANDARDS

Products satisfying the conditions of section 2.3.1 and 2.3.2 are referred to as "Reformulated Products". For purposes of this section, the following definitions apply:

"Children's Product" is defined as any Product intended or marketed primarily for use by children such as: Products with designs on their exterior surface which are affiliated with children's toys or entertainment (e.g., Sesame Street, Looney Tunes, Barbie, and Winnie the Pooh) or Products of a reduced size so as to be marketed primarily for children (e.g., reduced-size juice glasses intended for use by children); or Products of a type or category which typically would be used by children, and all similar items.

"Exterior Decorations" is defined as all colored artwork, designs and/or markings on the exterior surface of the Product.

"Lip and Rim Area" is defined as the exterior top
20 millimeters of a hollowware glassware or ceramicware Product,
as defined by American Society of Testing and Materials Standard
Test Method C927-99.

"No Detectable lead or cadmium" shall mean that neither lead nor cadmium is detected at a level above two one-hundredths of one percent (0.02%) of lead or eight one-hundredths of one percent (0.08%) of cadmium by weight, respectively, using a sample size of the materials in question measuring approximately

attributable to non-decorating material (e.g., the glass substrate).

ASTM C927-99 test method, modified for total immersion with results corrected for internal volume).⁵

- (d) Lip and Rim Area Exterior Decoration. If the ceramicware Product contains Exterior Decorations in the Lip and Rim Area:
- (i) Any Exterior Decorations that extend into the Lip and Rim Area must only utilize decorating materials that contain No Detectable lead or cadmium *or*
- (ii) The ceramicware Product must yield a test result showing a concentration level of 0.5 ug/ml or less of lead and a result of 4.0 ug/ml or less of cadmium using ASTM method C 927-99.6
- 2.4 **REFORMULATION COMMITMENT.** By entering into this Stipulation and Consent Judgment, Starbucks hereby commits that as a continuing matter of corporate policy, Starbucks intends to undertake its best efforts to advise each of its suppliers that, as a condition of selling Products to Starbucks:
 - (a) as many Products as reasonably possible shall qualify as Reformulated Products, with the commitment to reach 80% (eighty percent) or more Reformulated Products for Products purchased by Starbucks on or after May 1, 2006; and
 - (b) the supplier must undertake all commercially reasonable efforts thereafter to reach 100% (one-hundred percent) Reformulated Products for those Products it intends to sell to Starbucks.
- 2.4.1 Starbucks will require its suppliers to provide Starbucks with written assurance that each Product shipment meets the Reformulation Standards set forth above in section 2.3 consistent with the Reformulation Commitment outlined in this section. Thereafter, Starbucks shall rely on the supplier's representation that the Products meet the Reformulation Standards and shall have no independent obligation to test any of the Products to verify that these

26

27

⁵ Since this method requires correction for internal volume, this method and subsections 2.3.2(c) and 2.3.2(d)(ii) are only appropriate for ceramic hollowware.

⁶ This subsections, 2.3.2(d)(ii), is only appropriate for ceramic hollowware.

Reformulation Standards are met. The last sentence of this subparagraph (section 2.4.1) applies only to the reformation commitment set forth in this paragraph (section 2.4) and shall not be construed to negate Starbuck's warnings obligation set forth in sections 2.1 and 2.2 above.

3. MONETARY PAYMENTS.

3.1 Penalties Pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b). Pursuant to Health & Safety Code Section 25249.7(b), Starbucks shall pay \$10,000 in civil penalties. The penalty payment shall be made payable to "Chanler Law Group in Trust For Russell Brimer," and shall be delivered to Plaintiff's counsel on or before August 15, 2005, at the following address:

CHANLER LAW GROUP Attn: Clifford A. Chanler 71 Elm Street, Suite 8 New Canaan, CT 06840

- (a) In the event that Starbucks pays any penalty and the Consent Judgment is not thereafter approved and entered by the Court, Mr. Brimer shall return any penalty funds paid under this agreement within fifteen (15) days of receipt of a written request from Starbucks following notice of the issuance of the Court's decision.
- (b) The Parties agree that Starbucks' potential interest in and ability to acquire and market Reformulated Products is to be accounted for in this section and, since it is not a remedy provided for by law, the absence of Starbucks previously acquiring, manufacturing, marketing or selling Reformulated Products is not relevant to the establishment of a penalty amount pursuant to section 3.1 above.
- (c) Apportionment of Penalties Received. After Court approval of this Consent Judgment pursuant to section 6, all penalty monies received shall be apportioned by Plaintiff in accordance with Health & Safety Code § 25192, with 75% of these funds remitted to the State of California's Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment and the remaining 25% of these penalty monies retained by Plaintiff as provided by Health & Safety Code § 25249.12(d). Plaintiff shall bear all responsibility for apportioning and paying to the State of California the appropriate civil penalties paid in accordance with this section.

4. REIMBURSEMENT OF FEES AND COSTS

dispute without reaching terms on the amount of fees and costs to be reimbursed to them, thereby leaving this fee issue to be resolved after the material terms of the agreement had been settled. Starbucks then expressed a desire to resolve the fee and cost issue shortly after the other settlement terms had been finalized. The Parties then attempted to (and did) reach an accord on the compensation due to Plaintiff and his counsel under the private attorney general doctrine codified at Code of Civil Procedure § 1021.5 for all work performed through the Effective Date of the Agreement. Under the private attorney general doctrine codified at Code of Civil Procedure § 1021.5, Starbucks shall reimburse Plaintiff and his counsel for fees and costs, incurred as a result of investigating, bringing this matter to Starbucks' attention, litigating and negotiating a settlement in the public interest. Starbucks shall pay Plaintiff and his counsel \$33,000 for all attorneys' fees, expert and investigation fees, and litigation costs. The payment shall be made payable to the "Chanler Law Group" and shall be delivered to Plaintiff's counsel on or before August 15, 2005, at the following address:

CHANLER LAW GROUP Attn: Clifford A. Chanler 71 Elm Street, Suite 8 New Canaan, CT 06840

4.2 Except as specifically provided in this Consent Judgment, Starbucks shall have no further obligation with regard to reimbursement of Plaintiff's attorney's fees and costs with regard to the Products covered in this Action.

5. RELEASE OF ALL CLAIMS

5.1 Plaintiff's Release of Starbucks. In further consideration of the promises and agreements herein contained, and for the payments to be made pursuant to sections 3 and 4, Plaintiff, on behalf of himself, his past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors and/or assignees, and in the interest of the general public, hereby waives all rights to institute or participate in, directly or indirectly, any form of legal action and release all claims, including,

without limitation, all actions, causes of action, in law or in equity, suits, liabilities, demands, obligations, damages, costs, fines, penalties, losses or expenses (including, but not limited to, investigation fees, expert fees and attorneys' fees) of any nature whatsoever, whether known or unknown, fixed or contingent (collectively "Claims"), against Starbucks and each of its licensors, licensees, auctioneers, dealers, customers, owners, purchasers, users, parent companies, corporate affiliates, subsidiaries and their respective officers, directors, attorneys, representatives, shareholders, agents, and employees (collectively, "Starbucks' Releasees") arising under Proposition 65, related to Starbucks' or Starbucks' Releasees' alleged failure to warn about exposures to or identification of Listed Chemicals contained in the Products.

The Parties further agree and acknowledge that this Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution of any violation of Proposition 65 that has been or could have been asserted in the Complaint against Starbucks for its alleged failure to provide clear and reasonable warnings of exposure to or identification of Listed Chemicals in the Products.

In addition, Plaintiff, on behalf of himself, his attorneys, and their agents, waive all rights to institute or participate in, directly or indirectly, any form of legal action and releases all Claims against Starbucks' Releasees arising under Proposition 65 related to each of the Starbucks Releasees' alleged failures to warn about exposures to or identification of Listed Chemicals contained in the Products and for all actions or statements made by Starbucks or its attorneys or representatives, in the course of responding to alleged violations of Proposition 65 by Starbucks. Provided however, Plaintiff shall remain free to institute any form of legal action to enforce the provisions of this Consent Judgment.

It is specifically understood and agreed that the Parties intend that Starbucks' compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment resolves all issues and liability, now and in the future (so long as Starbucks complies with the terms of the Consent Judgment) concerning Starbucks and the Starbucks Releasees' compliance with the requirements of Proposition 65, as to the Listed Chemicals in the Products.

5.2 **Starbucks' Release of Plaintiff**. Starbucks waives all rights to institute any form of legal action against Plaintiff, or his attorneys or representatives, for all actions taken or

statements made by Plaintiff and his attorneys or representatives, in the course of seeking enforcement of Proposition 65 in this Action.

6. COURT APPROVAL

This Consent Judgment is not effective until it is approved and entered by the Court and shall be null and void if, for any reason, it is not approved and entered by the Court within one year after it has been fully executed by all Parties, in which event any monies that have been provided to Plaintiff or his counsel pursuant to section 3 and/or section 4 above, shall be refunded within fifteen (15) days.

7. SEVERABILITY

If, subsequent to court approval of this Consent Judgment, any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment are held by a court to be unenforceable, the validity of the enforceable provisions remaining shall not be adversely affected.

8. ATTORNEYS' FEES

In the event that a dispute arises with respect to any provision(s) of this Consent Judgment, the prevailing party shall, except as otherwise provided herein, be entitled to recover reasonable and necessary costs and reasonable attorneys' fees incurred from the resolution of such dispute.

9. GOVERNING LAW

The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California and apply within the State of California. In the event that Proposition 65 is repealed or is otherwise rendered inapplicable by reason of law generally, or as to the Products specifically, then Starbucks shall have no further obligations pursuant to this Consent Judgment with respect to, and to the extent that, those Products are so affected.

10. NOTICES

All correspondence and notices required to be provided pursuant to this Consent Judgment shall be in writing and personally delivered or sent by: (1) first-class, registered, certified mail, return receipt requested or (ii) overnight courier on either Party by the other at the following

| 1 | addresses. (Either Party, from time to time, may, pursuant to the methods prescribed above, | |
|----|--|--|
| 2 | specify a change of address to which all future notices and other communications shall be sent). | |
| 3 | To Starbucks: | |
| 4 | Paula Boggs, General Counsel | |
| 5 | Starbucks Corporation 2401 Utah Avenue S | |
| 6 | Seattle, WA 98134 | |
| 7 | With a copy to: | |
| 8 | David Biderman, Esq. | |
| 9 | Perkins Coie, LLP 180 Townsend Street, 3 rd Floor | |
| 10 | San Francisco, CA 94107-1909 | |
| 11 | To Plaintiff: | |
| 12 | Clifford A. Chanler, Esq. | |
| 13 | Chanler Law Group 71 Elm Street, Suite 8 | |
| 14 | New Canaan, CT 06840 | |
| 15 | 11. NO ADMISSIONS | |
| 16 | Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall constitute or be construed as an admission by | |
| 17 | Starbucks of any fact, finding, conclusion, issue of law, or violation of law, nor shall compliance | |
| 18 | with this Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by Starbucks of any fact, | |
| 19 | finding, conclusion, issue of law, or violation of law, such being specifically denied by Starbucks. | |
| 20 | Starbucks reserves all of its rights and defenses with regard to any claim by any party under | |
| 21 | Proposition 65 or otherwise. However, this section shall not diminish or otherwise affect | |
| 22 | Starbucks' obligations, responsibilities and duties under this Consent Judgment. | |
| 23 | 12. COUNTERPARTS; FACSIMILE SIGNATURES | |
| 24 | This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by facsimile, each of which | |
| 25 | shall be deemed an original, and all of which, when taken together, shall constitute one and the | |
| 26 | same document. | |
| 27 | 13. COMPLIANCE WITH HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 25249.7(F) | |
| 28 | | |

8 least fifteen (15) days in advance

Plaintiff agrees to comply with the reporting form requirements referenced in Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(f). Pursuant to regulations promulgated under that section, Plaintiff shall present this Consent Judgment to the California Attorney General's Office within two (2) days after receiving all of the necessary signatures. A noticed motion to enter the Consent Judgment will then be served on the Attorney General's Office at least forty-five (45) days prior to the date a hearing is scheduled on such motion in the Superior Court for the County of Marin unless the Court allows a shorter period of time.

14. ADDITIONAL POST EXECUTION ACTIVITIES

The Parties shall mutually employ their best efforts to support the entry of this Agreement as a Consent Judgment and obtain approval of the Consent Judgment by the Court in a timely manner. The Parties acknowledge that, pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7, a noticed motion is required to obtain judicial approval of this Consent Judgment. Accordingly, the Parties agree to file a Joint Motion to Approve the Agreement ("Joint Motion"), the first draft of which Starbucks' counsel shall prepare, within a reasonable period of time after the Execution Date (*i.e.*, not to exceed thirty (30) days unless otherwise agreed to by the Parties' counsel based on unanticipated circumstances). Plaintiff's counsel shall prepare a declaration in support of the Joint Motion which shall, inter alia, set forth support for the fees and costs to be reimbursed pursuant to Section 4. Starbucks shall have no additional responsibility to Plaintiff's counsel pursuant to C.C.P. § 1021.5 or otherwise with regard to reimbursement of any fees and costs incurred with respect to the preparation and filing of the Joint Motion and its supporting declaration or with regard to Plaintiff's counsel appearing for a hearing or related proceedings thereon.

15. MODIFICATION

This Consent Judgment may be modified only by: (1) written agreement of the Parties and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court thereon, or (2) motion of any Party as provided by law and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court. The Attorney General shall be served with notice of any proposed modification to this Consent Judgment at least fifteen (15) days in advance of its consideration by the Court.

| 1 | 16. AUTHORIZATION | | | |
|----|---|---|--|--|
| 2 | The undersigned are authorized to | execute this Consent Judgment on behalf of their | | |
| 3 | respective Parties and have read, understo | spective Parties and have read, understood and agree to all of the terms and conditions of this | | |
| 4 | Consent Judgment. | | | |
| 5 | | | | |
| 6 | | | | |
| 7 | AGREED TO: | AGREED TO: | | |
| 8 | Date: 8. 4. 5 | Date: | | |
| 9 | | | | |
| 10 | By Sharell Brimer | By: | | |
| 11 | Plainuit Russell Brimer | Its: Defendant STARBUCKS CORPORATION | | |
| 12 | 12 | | | |
| 13 | APPROVED AS TO FORM: | APPROVED AS TO FORM: | | |
| 14 | * | | | |
| 15 | Date: 8/5/05 | Date: | | |
| 16 | CHANLER LAW GROUP | PERKINS COIE LLP | | |
| 17 | Bolland CIM | | | |
| 18 | Бубобородо | By: | | |
| 19 | Clifford A. Chanler Attorneys for Plaintiff | David Biderman Attorneys for Defendant | | |
| 20 | RUSSELL BRIMER | STARBUCKS CORPORATION | | |
| 21 | | | | |
| 22 | IT IS SO ORDERED. | | | |
| 23 | On our War Wasserstand Apple | | | |
| 24 | Date: | | | |
| 25 | F | JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT | | |
| 26 | | | | |
| 27 | 100 | | | |

| 1 | 16. AUTHORIZATION | | |
|----|---|---|--|
| 2 | The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment on behalf of their | | |
| 3 | respective Parties and have read, understood and agree to all of the terms and conditions of this | | |
| 4 | Consent Judgment. | | |
| 5 | | | |
| 6 | | | |
| 7 | AGREED TO: | AGREED TO: | |
| 8 | Date: | Date: AUGUST 5, 2005 | |
| 9 | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | |
| 0 | By: | By: Norman P. Oullates Its: vice president, R+D/QA | |
| 1 | Plaintiff Russell Brimer | Its: Vice president, R+D/QA Defendant STARBUCKS CORPORATION | |
| .2 | | · · | |
| .3 | APPROVED AS TO FORM: | APPROVED AS TO FORM: | |
| 4 | AFFROVED AS TO FORM: | ATTROVED AS TO A GRAM. | |
| 5 | Date: | Date: August 5, 2005 | |
| 6 | · | | |
| 7 | CHANLER LAW GROUP | PERKINS COIE LLP | |
| 8 | Ву: | By: Avia A. Deleburt for | |
| 9 | Clifford A. Chanler Attorneys for Plaintiff | David Biderman Attorneys for Defendant | |
| 20 | RUSSELL BRIMER | STARBUCKS CORPORATION | |
| 21 | | | |
| 22 | | · | |
| 23 | IT IS SO ORDERED. | | |
| 24 | Date: | | |
| 25 | <i>17au</i> . | JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT | |
| 26 | | · | |
| 27 | | | |
| 28 | | · | |
| | STIPULATION AND (PROPOSED) ORDER RE | CONSTRUCTION 15 | |

Exhibit A All glass and ceramic beverageware (and other tableware) items, including but not limited to, glasses, mugs, bowls, teapots, and glassware with colored designs and/or artwork on their exterior including but not limited to: 14 oz. Glass Citrus Mug (#7 62111 65770 1) 16 oz. Citrus Ceramic Mug #186198 (7 62111 65769 5)