1	Cilifford A. Chanler, State Bar No. 135534 CHANLER LAW GROUP		
2	71 Elm Street, Suite 8		
3	New Cansan, CT 06840 Telephone: (203) 966-9911		
4	Facsimile: (203) 801-5222		
\$	Daniel Bornstein, State Bar No. 181711 Laralie S. Paras, State Bur No. 203319		
6	PARAS LAW GROUP 655 Redwood Highway, Suite 216		
7	Mill Valley, CA 94941		
ŧ	Telephone: (415) 380-9222 Facsimile: (415) 380-9223		
9	Christopher Martin, State Bar No. 186021		
10	MARTIN LAW GROUP 23 N. Lincoln, Suite 204		
11	Hinsdale, IL 60521 Telephone: (630) 789-6998		
12	Facsimile: (630) 214-0979		
13	Attorneys for Plaintiff Russell Brimer		
14	Kassa Dumet		
15			
16	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE	STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
17	COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO		
	UNLIMITED JURISDICTION		
18	ONTIWI PD 10	RISDICTION	
18 19	ONLIMITADIO	RISDICTION	
	RUSSELL BRIMER,	Case No. CGC-05-440811	
19		Case No. CGC-05-440811 STIPULATION FOR ENTRY OF	
19	Russell Brimer,	Case No. CGC-05-440811	
19 20 21	RUSSELL BRIMER, Plaintiff,	Case No. CGC-05-440811 STIPULATION FOR ENTRY OF	
19 20 21 22	RUSSELL BRIMER, Plaintiff, v.	Case No. CGC-05-440811 STIPULATION FOR ENTRY OF	
19 20 21 22 23	RUSSELL BRIMER, Plaintiff, v. THE BOELTER COMPANIES, et al.,	Case No. CGC-05-440811 STIPULATION FOR ENTRY OF	
19 20 21 22 23 24	RUSSELL BRIMER, Plaintiff, v. THE BOELTER COMPANIES, et al.,	Case No. CGC-05-440811 STIPULATION FOR ENTRY OF	
19 20 21 22 23 24 25	RUSSELL BRIMER, Plaintiff, v. THE BOELTER COMPANIES, et al.,	Case No. CGC-05-440811 STIPULATION FOR ENTRY OF	
19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	RUSSELL BRIMER, Plaintiff, v. THE BOELTER COMPANIES, et al.,	Case No. CGC-05-440811 STIPULATION FOR ENTRY OF	
19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	RUSSELL BRIMER, Plaintiff, v. THE BOELTER COMPANIES, et al.,	Case No. CGC-05-440811 STIPULATION FOR ENTRY OF JUDGMENT	

- 1. The following constitutes the knowing and voluntary election and stipulation of the entity named below ("Company" or "Opt-In Defendant") to join as a Settling Defendant under the Consent Judgment previously entered by the Court in Brimer v. The Boelier Companies, Inc., San Francisco Superior Court Case No. CCC 05 440811 ("Action") and to be bound by the terms of that Consent Judgment.
- 2. At any time during the one-year period prior to the filing of this Stipulation ("Relevant Period"), the Company has employed ten (10) or more part-time or full-time persons and has manufactured, distributed, offered for use or sold one or more items in each of the following categories of Covered Products, as defined in the Consent Judgment (section 1.4) (check all that apply):
 - ★ Glassware Food/Beverage Products ("Category A Products")
 - U Glassware Non-Food/Beverage Products ("Category C Products")
 - □ Ceramicware Food/Beverage Products ("Category B Products")
 - D Ceramicware Non-Food/Beverage Products ("Category D Products")
- The categories of products identified above are hereafter designated "Covered Products" in the Action with respect to the Company.
- 4. At least one of the items in each of the categories checked above did not during the Relevant Period or does not currently meet the Reformulation Standards set forth for that entegory of Covered Products in section 2.3 of the Consent Judgment. The Company has not provided compliant Proposition 65 warnings in conjunction with the sale or use of all such Covered Products in California at all times during the Relevant Period.
- 5. The Company has not conducted a risk or exposure assessment for all Covered Products within each separate category checked above firmly establishing that the use of such Covered Products will result in an exposure in an amount less than that deemed permissible in 22 Cal. Code Regs. §12805(b) (i.e., less than 0.5 micrograms of lead per day and/or less than 4.1 micrograms of cadmium per day).

- 6. To the extent the Consent Judgment applies to the categories of Covered Products checked above, the Company agrees to be bound by the injunctive relief provisions of the Consent Judgment as it relates to each such entegory of Covered Products.
- 7. In conjunction with the execution of this Stipulation, the Company has provided the payments applicable to it as set forth in Table 14.4 of the Consent Judgment in the manner described in Exhibit E to the Consent Judgment. In this regard, the Company hereby represents and warrants that under the criteria set forth in subsections (4.4(a), (b), and (c) of the Consent Judgment, with respect to the Covered Products applicable to it pursuant to the categories checked in Paragraph 2 of this Stipulation, it is a (check only one).
 - (a) Manufacturer with combined sales in California of less than 350,000 consumer units in calendar year 2004
 - (a.1) Low Volume Manufacturer with combined sales in California of less than 10,000 consumer units in calendar year 2004
 - (b) Distributor and/or Importer with combined sales in California of less than
 350,000 consumer units in calendar year 2004
 - (b.1) Low Volume Distributor and/or Importer with combined sales in
 California of less than 10,000 consumer units in calendar year 2004
 - U (c) Retailer and/or Amusement & Recreation Establishment
 - D (d) Bar, Restaurant, Hotel, or Other Food/Bryerage Service Defendant
- 8. At least 65 days prior to the submissions of this Stipulation to the Court for entry, provided that it has been mailed to the address shown in Exhibit C attached hereto, the Company agrees to be deemed to have accepted service of a 60-day notice letter from Russelli

STUPULATION AND (PROPOSED) ORDER RE: CONSENT JUDGMENT SPEC CASE NO. COC 05-440811

Any entity which has conducted activities which comprise more than one of the categories of business listed in (n)-(d) below shall be deemed to be a Manufacturer if 15% or more of its sales of Covered Products in California were the result of its Manufacturing of Covered Products; any entity otherwise in categories (c) or (d) shall be deemed to be a Distributor/Importer if 15% or more of its sales of Covered Products in California were the result of its Distributing/Importing of Covered Products.

mn

1	12. The undersigned have full puthor	ity to make the written representations above	
2	and to enter into this Stipulation for the person/e	ntity on behalf of which he/she is signing.	
3	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED TO:		
4	· ·	Cr. A	
5	By: Spatter.	By: Was	
6	(signature)	LARACEI S. PARAS	
7			
E	David L. Latter	On Behalf of Plaintiff Russell Brimer	
9	Name (printed/typed)		
10	Chairman		
	Tide (particulty peu)		
11	On Behalf of:		
12			
13	Morehouse Foods, Inc.		
14	(Insert Company Name)		
15	Opt-In Desendant	_	
16	Dated: November 22, 2005	Dulod: Desemba 19,2005	
17		7	
i B	·		
19	i		
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25		•	
26			
27			
28			
_	STIPULATION AND (PROPOSED) C		
- 1	C SFSC CASE NO.	COC 63-440111	
•	x[-197953]		

EXHIBIT C (Supplement)Contact Information for Purposes of Future Notice

	Contact Information for Purposes of Future Notice	
	Contact information for Purposes of Puture Notice	
	Opt-In Party Name:	Morehouse Foods, Inc.
	•	
5	Contact Person:	David L. Latter
7		
3	Mailing address:	760 Epperson Drive
'		City of Industry, CA 91748
1		
l	Telephone:	(525) 854-1655
2	Fax number:	(626) 854-1656
۱ ا	Email address:	davesr@morehousefoods.com
· [
'		
3		
}		
)		
i		
2		
3		
5		
7		
3		
L		• •