

\_\_\_

1.1 On January 16, 2006, plaintiff the Center for Environmental Health ("CEH"), a non-profit corporation acting in the public interest, filed a complaint in San Francisco County Superior Court, entitled *Center for Environmental Health v. Silver Goose, Inc., et al.*, San Francisco County Superior Court Case Number CGC-06-448593 (the "CEH Action"), for civil penalties and injunctive relief pursuant to the provisions of Cal. Health & Safety Code §25249.5 *et seq.* ("Proposition 65").

- 1.2 Defendant Silver Goose, Inc. ("Defendant"), as alleged in the Complaint, is a corporation that employs 10 or more persons and manufactured, distributed and/or sold children's lunchboxes made of vinyl containing lead and/or lead compounds (the "Products") in the State of California. CEH and Defendant are together referred to herein as the "Parties."
- appropriate public enforcement agencies with the requisite 60-day notice that Defendant was in violation of Proposition 65. CEH's notice and the Complaint in this Action allege that Defendant exposes individuals who use or otherwise handle the Products to lead and/or lead compounds (referred to interchangeably herein as "Lead"), chemicals known to the State of California to cause cancer, birth defects and other reproductive harm, without first providing clear and reasonable warning to such persons regarding the carcinogenicity and reproductive toxicity of Lead. The notice and Complaint allege that Defendant's conduct violates Health & Safety Code §25249.6, the warning provision of Proposition 65. Defendant denies these allegations.
- 1.4 Defendant does not presently manufacture, distribute or sell the Products and sold very few Products in California. Defendant contends that it sold less than 800 Products total. Defendant also contends that any lead contained in the vinyl of the Products did not exceed the "safe harbor" Maximum Allowable Dose Level ("MADL") for Lead as a reproductive toxin or the No Significant Risk Level ("NSRL") for Lead as a carcinogen pursuant to Title 22 of the California Code of Regulations §12721.

 1.5 For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of the violations alleged in CEH's Complaint and personal jurisdiction over Defendant as to the acts alleged in CEH's Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of San Francisco, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment as a full and final resolution of all claims which were or could have been raised in the Complaint based on the facts alleged therein.

1.6 The Parties enter into this Consent Judgment pursuant to a settlement of certain disputed claims between the Parties as alleged in the Complaint. By executing this Consent Judgment, the Parties do not admit any facts or conclusions of law. It is the Parties' intent that nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law or violation of law, nor shall compliance with the Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive or impair any right, remedy, argument or defense the Parties may have in this or any other or future legal proceedings.

#### 2. COMPLIANCE

2.1 Defendant will not manufacture, distribute and/or sell the Products on or after the date of entry of this Consent Judgment.

## 3. SETTLEMENT PAYMENTS

- 3.1 Monetary Payment in Lieu of Penalty: Defendant shall pay \$5,000 to CEH in lieu of any penalty pursuant to Health and Safety Code § 25249.7(b). CEH shall use such funds to continue its work protecting people from exposures to toxic chemicals.
- 3.2 Attorneys' Fees and Costs: Defendant shall pay \$10,000 to reimburse CEH and its attorneys for their reasonable investigation fees and costs, attorneys' fees, and any other costs incurred as a result of investigating, bringing this matter to Defendant' attention, litigating and negotiating a settlement in the public interest.
- 3.3 Timing of payments. The payments required under this section shall be delivered to the address set forth in section 11 below within 30 days of mutual execution of this

Consent Judgment by the Parties. Any failure by Defendant to comply with the payment terms herein shall be subject to a stipulated late fee in the amount of \$50 for each day after the delivery date the payment is received.

### 4. MODIFICATION OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

- 4.1 This Consent Judgment may be modified by written agreement of CEH and Defendant, or upon motion of CEH or Defendant as provided by law.
- 4.2 CEH intends to enter into agreements with other entities that manufacture, distribute and/or sell Products. Should Defendant decide to begin selling Products again, Defendant will contact CEH and CEH will make available copies of other agreements it has entered into concerning Products. Defendant may then choose to comply with the injunctive provisions of one of the other agreements, and the Parties will modify this Consent Judgment to reflect such injunctive provisions.

#### 5. ENFORCEMENT OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

5.1 CEH may, by motion or application for an order to show cause before the Superior Court of the County of San Francisco, enforce the terms and conditions contained in this Consent Judgment.

#### 6. APPLICATION OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

6.1 This Consent Judgment shall apply to and be binding upon the Parties hereto, their divisions, subdivisions and subsidiaries, and the successors or assigns of any of them.

#### 7. CLAIMS COVERED

7.1 This Consent Judgment is a full, final and binding resolution between CEH and Defendant of any violation of Proposition 65 that was or could have been asserted in the Complaint against Defendant, its affiliates, licensors and customers, based on Defendant's failure to warn about exposure to Lead contained in the Products, with respect to any Products manufactured, distributed or sold by Defendant on or prior to the date of entry of this Consent Judgment. This release does not limit or effect the obligations of any party created under this Consent Judgment.

- 1	1		
1	8. SEVERABILITY		
2		8.1	In the event that any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment are held
3	by a court to be unenforceable, the validity of the enforceable provisions shall not be adversely		
4	affected.		
5	9.	GOV	ERNING LAW
6		9.1	The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the
7	State of California.		
8	10.	RETI	ENTION OF JURISDICTION
9		10.1	This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement and enforce
10	the terms this Consent Judgment.		
11	11.	PROV	VISION OF NOTICE
12		11.1	All notices required pursuant to this Consent Judgment and
13	correspondence shall be sent to the following:		
14	For CEH:		
15			Mark N. Todzo
16			Lexington Law Group, LLP
17			1627 Irving Street
18			San Francisco, CA 94122
19			
20	For Defendan	nt:	
21			Steven M. Gerber, Esq.
22			666 Fifth Avenue, 26th Floor
23			New York, NY 10103
24	12.	NOT	CE TO THE ATTORNEY GENERAL AND COURT APPROVAL
25		12.1	CEH will comply with the settlement notice provisions of Health and
26	Safety Code §	\$25249.	7(f) and Title 11 of the California Code of Regulations §3003.
27		12.2	If this Consent Judgment is not approved by the Court, it shall be of no
28	further force	or effec	t.

# The stipulations to this Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by means of facsimile, which taken together shall be deemed to constitute one document. 14. **AUTHORIZATION** Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorized by the party he or she represents to stipulate to this Consent Judgment and to enter into and execute the Consent Judgment on behalf of the party represented and legally bind that party. The undersigned have read, understand and agree to all of the terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment. Except as explicitly provided herein, each party is to bear its own fees and costs. **AGREED TO:** CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH Michael Green, Executive Director CHARLIE PIZANDO. 4.5000 SILVER GOOSE, INC.

**EXECUTION AND COUNTERPARTS** 

13.

# ORDER AND JUDGMENT Based upon the stipulated Consent Judgment between CEH and Silver Goose, Inc., the settlement is approved and judgment is hereby entered according to the terms herein. Dated: Judge, Superior Court of the State of California