1	David Lavine (State Bar No. 166744) HIRST & CHANLER LLP	Gregory M. Sheffer, Esq. (State Bar No. 173124) The Sheffer Law Firm
2	2560 Ninth Street, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710	222 Rush Landing Road Novato, CA 94945
3	Telephone: (510) 848-8880	Telephone: (415) 434-9111
4	Facsimile: (510) 848-8118	Facsimile: (415) 898-1829
5	Attorneys for Plaintiff Whitney R. Leeman, Ph.D.	
6	Shawn D. Parrish (State Bar No. 155697)	
7	SCHIFF HARDIN LLP One Market, Spear Street Tower	
8	Thirty-Second Floor	
9	San Francisco, CA 94105 Telephone: (415) 901-8700	
10	Facsimile: (415) 217-8701	
11	Steve F. Rebagliati (State Bar No. 151736)	
12	William H. Curtis (State Bar No. 139920) FRY'S ELECTRONICS, INC.	
13	600 E. Brokaw Road San Jose, CA 95112	
14	Telephone: (408) 487-4747 Facsimile: (408) 852-3316	
15	Attorneys for Defendant	
16	Fry's Electronics, Inc.	
17		
18	SUPERIOR COURT OF	F THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
19	IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF ALA	AMEDA - UNLIMITED CIVIL JURISDICTION
20		
21	WHITNEY R. LEEMAN, Ph.D.) CASE NO. HG 06-0253774
22	Plaintiff,)
23	ν.) SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT
24	FRY'S ELECTRONICS, INC.; and DOES 1)
25	through 150, Defendants.)
26)
27	Mirror)
28		

4 5

7

1. INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 Plaintiff and Settling Defendant. This settlement agreement (hereinafter "Settlement Agreement") is entered into by and between Plaintiff Whitney R. Leeman, Ph.D., (hereafter "Plaintiff") and Fry's Electronics, Inc. (hereafter "Fry's "), with Plaintiff and Fry's collectively referred to as the "Parties" and each being a "Party."
- 1.2 Plaintiff. Dr. Leeman is an individual residing in California whose complaint alleges that she seeks to promote awareness of exposures to toxic chemicals and improve human health by reducing or eliminating hazardous substances contained in consumer and industrial products.
- 1.3 General Allegations. Plaintiff alleges that Fry's has distributed and/or sold in the State of California glass soda bottles and other glassware with colored artwork containing lead on the exterior surface, as well as lead within the beverage itself. Lead is listed pursuant to the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California Health & Safety Code Sections 25249.6 et seq., ("Proposition 65"), and known to cause birth defects and other reproductive harm. Lead is referred to herein as the "Listed Chemical."
- 1.4 Product Descriptions. The products that are covered by this Settlement Agreement are defined as follows: (a) glass containers used to bottle soda; and (b) the soda contained in such bottles, some of which contains lead within the beverage itself, including but not limited to the following brands: A&W, 7 UP, Diet Dr. Pepper, Dr. Pepper, Mexican Coca-Cola, Nehi Grape, Nehi Orange, and Nehi Peach. Such products collectively are referred to herein as the "Products."
- 1.5 Notices of Violation. Beginning on November 23, 2005 Dr. Leeman alleges she served Fry's and various public enforcement agencies with documents, each entitled "60-Day Notice of Violation" ("Notice"), that provided Fry's and such public enforcers with notice that alleged that Fry's was in violation of Health & Safety Code Section 25249.6 for failing to warn purchasers that the Products that it sold exposed users in California to lead.
- 1.6 Complaint. On February 3, 2006, Plaintiff, alleging that she was acting in the interest of the general public in California, filed a complaint (hereafter referred to as the "Complaint" or the "Action") in the Superior Court for the County of Alameda against Fry's and

Does 1 through 150, alleging violations of Health & Safety Code Section 25249.6 based on the alleged exposures to the Listed Chemical contained in the Products sold by Fry's.

- 1.7 No Admission. Fry's denies the material factual and legal allegations contained in Plaintiff's Notice and Complaint and maintains that all products that it has sold in California, including the Products, have been and are in compliance with all laws. Nothing in this Settlement Agreement shall be construed as an admission by Fry's of any fact, finding, issue of law, or violation of law, nor shall compliance with this Agreement constitute or be construed as an admission by Fry's of any fact, finding, conclusion, issue of law or violation of law. However, this Section shall not diminish or otherwise affect the obligations, responsibilities and duties of Fry's under this Settlement Agreement.
- Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the Complaint and personal jurisdiction over Fry's as to the acts alleged in the Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of Alameda, that this Court has jurisdiction to approve and enforce the terms of this Settlement Agreement as a full and final settlement and resolution of the allegations contained in the Complaint and of all claims which were or could have been raised based on the facts alleged therein or arising therefrom, and to enforce the provisions thereof, as set forth herein.
- 1.9 Effective Date. For purposes of this Settlement Agreement, the "Effective Date" shall be January 31, 2008, except as otherwise provided for herein.

2. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF: PROPOSITION 65

- 2.1 After the Effective Date, FRY'S shall make commercially reasonable efforts to ensure that it does not utilize or sell Products unless such Products comply with standards set forth in Section 2.2.
- **2.2 Reformulation Standards.** The following Products shall be deemed to comply with Proposition 65 and be exempt from any Proposition 65 warning requirements:

Products with exterior decorations that contain six one-hundredths of one percent (0.06%), or less, lead by weight as measured either before or after the material is fired onto (or otherwise affixed to) the Products using a test method of sufficient sensitivity to establish a limit of quantitation of less than 600 parts per million ("ppm"); and two one-hundredths of one percent (0.02%), or less, lead by weight in decorations which extend into the top 20

3

5

6 7

8

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

//

26 27

28

millimeters of a Product using a sample size of the materials in question measuring approximately 50-100 mg and a test method of sufficient sensitivity to establish a limit of quantitation of less than 200 ppm. (Hereinafter such Products shall be referred to as "Reformulated" Products.)

- Reformulation Certification. FRY'S can show that its Products are Reformulated 2.3 by making commercially reasonable efforts to contact each of its distributors of the Products by certified mail or email quarterly for the next two (2) years seeking confirmation that the Products that FRY'S is utilizing or selling in its California stores comply with the standards set forth in subsection 2.2 above. The letter or email shall require the distributor to specifically identify each Product sold as being Reformulated. In the event that the distributor cannot certify that a Product is Reformulated, or fails to respond within 30 days of FRY'S sending of the request. FRY'S will make commercially reasonable efforts to suspend sales of such Products in its California stores until certification is provided or unless it otherwise has obtained test results from an independent laboratory in the United States confirming that the Products are Reformulated. FRY'S will provide a copy of each letter sent to its distributors to Whitney Leeman at the address required pursuant to section 11 below, within fourteen (14) calendar days of it being sent. FRY'S shall also provide plaintiff with a copy of each distributor response (or, if a distributor fails to respond and FRY'S otherwise intends to continue to sell or offer for use the Product(s) in question. laboratory test results), quarterly within forty (40) days of the date the aforementioned copies of the letter to distributors is sent to Whitney Leeman.
- 2.4 Reformulation Commitment. FRY'S hereby commits to make commercially reasonable efforts to ensure that all Products that it offers for sale, or that it utilizes in its stores, in California after April 15, 2008 shall qualify as Reformulated Products.
- 2.5 Interim Warnings. Fry's shall maintain in place its existing Proposition 65 warnings that Fry's now has in its stores from the Effective Date and continuing for six months. The current warning messages states:

¹If the decoration is tested after it is affixed to the Glassware Food/Beverage Products, the percentage of the Listed Chemical by weight must relate only to the decorating material and must not only include any quantity attributable to non-decorating material (e.g., the glass substrate).

//

"Prop. 65 WARNING"

(Cal. Health & Safety Code Section 25249.6)

The painted designs and/or lettering on glass soda bottles sold in Fry's café contain lead and/or cadmium chemicals known to the State of California to cause birth defects or other reproductive harm. Handling of these bottles may expose the handler to lead."

After this six-month period, Fry's can remove the warnings unless it then, or sometime after, renews selling the Products, in which case Fry's will provide the following warnings:

- (a) Warning message. The following warning message shall be used:

 The colored artwork or designs used on this bottled-soda product contain lead, a chemical known to the State of California to cause birth defects and other reproductive harm.
- (b) Warning method. The warning shall set forth on a sign at least 10 inches high by 10 inches wide, with the word "WARNING" centered three-quarters of an inch from the top of the sign in ITC Garamond bold condensed type fact all in one-inch capital letters. Three-sixteenths of an inch from the base of the word "warning" shall be a line extending from left to right across the width of the sign one-sixteenth of an inch in thickness. Centered one-half inch below the line shall be the body of the warning message in ITC Garamond bold condensed type face. For the body of the warning message, left and right margins of at least one-half of an inch, and a bottom margin of at least one-half inch shall be observed. Larger signs shall bear substantially the same proportions of type size and spacing to sign dimension as the sign 10 inches high by 10 inches wide.
 - (c) Warning location. Any sign must be:
- (i) located in close proximity to where the Products are offered for sale, and to where the Products are stored following receipt and pending store display.
- (ii) not located at any of the following locations: on an entrance or exit door, on a window, on a restroom door, in a restroom, in a hallway that leads only to restrooms, on a refuse container.

l

...

3. MONETARY RELIEF

3.1 Payments Pursuant to Health & Safety Code §25249.7(b)

Pursuant to Health & Safety Code Section 25249.7(b), FRY's shall pay a total of \$15.000 in civil penalties. The penalty payment shall be made payable to "Hirst & Chanler LLP in Trust For Dr. Whitney R. Leeman," and shall be delivered to Plaintiff's counsel, within seven (7) days of the Court approving this Settlement Agreement as provided in Section 6 below, at the following address:

HIRST & CHANLER LLP Attn: Proposition 65 Controller 2560 Ninth Street Parker Plaza, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710

(a) Apportionment of Penalties Received. After Court approval of this Settlement Agreement pursuant to Section 6, all penalty monies received shall be apportioned by Plaintiff in accordance with Health & Safety Code Section 25192, with 75% of these funds remitted to the State of California's Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment and the remaining 25% of these penalty monies retained by Plaintiff as provided by Health & Safety Code Section 25249.12(d). Plaintiff shall bear all responsibility for apportioning and paying to the State of California the appropriate civil penalties paid in accordance with this Section.

4. REIMBURSEMENT OF FEES AND COSTS

4.1 The Parties acknowledge that Leeman and her counsel offered to resolve this dispute without reaching terms on the amount of fees and costs to be reimbursed to them, thereby leaving this fee issue to be resolved after the material terms of the agreement had been settled. Fry's then expressed a desire to resolve the fee and cost issue shortly after the other settlement terms had been finalized. The Parties then attempted to (and did) reach an accord on the compensation due to Leeman and her counsel under the private attorney general doctrine codified at California Code of Civil Procedure §1021.5 for all work performed through the Court's approval of this agreement. Under the private attorney general doctrine, Fry's shall reimburse Leeman and her counsel for fees and costs incurred as a result of investigating, bringing this matter to Fry's attention, litigating and negotiating a settlement in the public interest and seeking the Court's

approval of the settlement agreement. Fry's shall pay Leeman and her counsel \$120,000 for all attorneys' fees, expert and investigation fees, litigation and related costs. The payment shall be made payable to HIRST & CHANLER LLP and shall be delivered, within seven (7) days of the Court approving this Settlement Agreement as provided in Section 6

HIRST & CHANLER LLP Attn: Proposition 65 Controller 2560 Ninth Street Parker Plaza, Suite 214

below, at the following address:

Berkeley, CA 94710-2565

5. RELEASE OF ALL CLAIMS

full, final and binding resolution between the Plaintiff, acting on behalf of the public interest pursuant to Health and Safety Code Section 25249.7(d), on the one hand, and Fry's, on the other hand, of any violation of Proposition 65, of all claims made or which could have been made in the Notice and/or the Complaint, and, to the fullest extent permitted by law including but not limited to California Code of Regulations Title 11, Section 3204, of any other statutory, regulatory or common law claim that could have been asserted against Fry's and/or its affiliates, subsidiaries, divisions, successors, assignees, and/or customers for failure to provide clear, reasonable, and lawful warnings of exposure to lead contained in or otherwise associated with Products manufactured, sold or distributed by, for, or on behalf of Fry's. Compliance with the terms of this Settlement Agreement resolves any issue, now and in the future, concerning compliance by Fry's and/or its affiliates, subsidiaries, divisions, successors, and assigns with the requirements of Proposition 65 with respect to the Products.

In further consideration of the promises and agreements herein contained, and for the payments to be made pursuant to Sections 3 and 4, Plaintiff, on behalf of herself, her past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors and/or assignees, and in the interest of the general public, hereby waive all rights to institute or participate in, directly or indirectly, any form of legal action and releases all claims, including, without limitation, all actions, causes of action, in law or in equity, suits, liabilities, demands, obligations, damages, costs, fines, penalties, losses or

expenses (including, but not limited to, investigation fees, expert fees and attorneys' fees) of any nature whatsoever, whether known or unknown, fixed or contingent (collectively "Claims"), against Fry's and each of its customers, owners, purchasers, users, parent companies, corporate affiliates, subsidiaries and their respective officers, directors, attorneys, representatives, shareholders, agents, and employees (collectively "Fry's Releasees") arising under Proposition 65, Business & Professions Code Section 17200 et seq., and Business & Professions Code Section 17500 et seq., related to Fry's or Fry's Releasees' alleged failure to warn about exposures to or identification of any Listed Chemical contained in or on the Products.

The Parties further agree and acknowledge that this Settlement Agreement is a full, final, and binding resolution of any violation of Proposition 65, Business & Professions Code Sections 17200 et seq., Business & Professions Code Sections 17500 et seq., and, to the fullest extent permitted by law including but not limited to California Code of Regulations Title 11, Section 3204, any other statute, regulation or common law claim that have been or could have been asserted in the Complaints against Fry's for its alleged failure to provide clear and reasonable warnings of exposure to or identification of the Listed Chemical in or on the Products.

In addition, Plaintiff, on behalf of herself, her attorneys, and her agents, waives all rights to institute or participate in, directly or indirectly, any form of legal action and releases all Claims against the Fry's Releasecs arising under Proposition 65, Business & Professions Code Sections 17200 et seq., Business & Professions Code Sections 17500 et seq., and, to the fullest extent permitted by law including but not limited to California Code of Regulations Title 11, Section 3204, any other statute, regulation or common law claim related to each of the Fry's Releasees' alleged failures to warn about exposures to or identification of any Listed Chemical contained in or on the Products and for all actions or statements made by Fry's or its attorneys or representatives, in the course of responding to alleged violations of Proposition 65, Business & Professions Code Sections 17200, or Business & Professions Code Sections 17500 by Fry's. It is agreed, however, that Plaintiff shall remain free to institute any form of legal action to enforce the provisions of this Settlement Agreement. It is specifically understood and agreed that the Parties intend that Fry's compliance with the terms of this Settlement Agreement resolves all issues and liability, now and

in the future (so long as Fry's complies with the terms of the Settlement Agreement) concerning Fry's and the Fry's Releasees' compliance with the requirements of Proposition 65. Business and Professions Code Sections 17200 et seq., and Business & Professions Code Sections 17500 et seq., as to the Products. Plaintiff expressly waives all of the rights and benefits of Section 1542 of the California Civil Code (and any similar or equivalent statute or law under the law of any state or jurisdiction), which provides as follows:

"§1542. General Release—Claims Extinguished. A general release does not extend to claims which the creditor does not know or suspect to exist in his or her favor at the time of executing the

which the creditor does not know or suspect to exist in his or her favor at the time of executing the release, which if known by him or her must have materially affected his or her settlement with the debtor." The Parties understand and agree that the release provided by Plaintiff herein shall not extend upstream to the Product manufacturers or to any distributor or supplier from whom Fry's purchased directly or indirectly any of the Products including, but not limited to, The Coca-Cola Company, Dr. Pepper Bottling Company of West Jefferson North Carolina, and Real Soda in Real Bottles, Inc.

5.2 Fry's Release of Plaintiff. Fry's waives all rights to institute any form of legal action and all claims against Plaintiff, or her attorneys or representatives, for all actions taken or statements made by Plaintiff and her attorneys or representatives, in the course of seeking enforcement of Proposition 65, Business & Professions Code Sections 17200 et seq.. or Business & Professions Code Sections 17500 et seq. in this action.

6. COURT APPROVAL

This Settlement Agreement is not effective until it is approved by the Court, and this Settlement Agreement shall be null and void if, for any reason, it is not approved within one year after it has been fully executed by all Parties. Upon the above-mentioned approval. Fry's will make the payments set forth in Section 3 and Section 4 above. The Parties further agree that Plaintiff will not seek to enter this Settlement Agreement as a judgment unless Fry's remains in material breach of the terms of this Settlement Agreement after being provided with notice and an opportunity to cure as set forth in Section 7 below, or the California Attorney General demands that this Settlement Agreement be entered as a judgment in which case the Parties agree that this

Settlement Agreement may be entered as a judgment.

2

3

ENFORCEMENT OF SETTLEMENT TERMS 7.

4

5 6

7

8 9

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25 26

27

- Before moving to enforce the terms and conditions of Section 2 of this Settlement 7.1 Agreement against Fry's with respect to an alleged violation occurring at a retail store located in California, Plaintiff and others must follow the procedures set forth in Sections 7.2 through 7.3.
- 7.2 In the event that Plaintiff and/or her attorneys, agents, assigns, or any other person acting in the public interest under Health & Safety Code Section 25249.7(d) (hereinafter "Notifying Person") identifies one or more retail stores in California owned and operated by Fry's at which the Products are sold (hereinafter "retail outlet") for which the warnings for those Products required under Section 2 of this Settlement Agreement are not being given, such Notifying Person shall notify, in writing, Fry's of such alleged failure to warn (the "Notice of Breach"). The Notice of Breach shall be sent by first class mail, with proof of service, to the persons identified in Section 11 of this Settlement Agreement, and must be served within sixty days of the date the alleged violation was observed. The Notice of Breach shall identify the date the alleged violation was observed and the retail outlet in question, and reasonably describe the nature of the alleged violation with sufficient detail to allow Fry's to determine the basis of the claim being asserted and the identities of the Products to which those assertions apply.
- 7.3 The Notifying Person shall take no further action against Fry's unless the Notifying Person discovers, at least thirty (30) days after service of the Notice of Breach served pursuant to Section 7.2, another failure to warn for that Product at the same retail outlet(s) identified in the Notice of Breach served pursuant to Section 7.2. In the event that Fry's remains or is again in breach of Section 2 more than thirty (30) days after service of the Notice of Breach, Plaintiff shall have the right to have the Court enter this Settlement Agreement as a judgment pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure Section 664.6 upon noticed motion to the Court.
- 7.4 Before moving to enforce any other Section of this Settlement Agreement, Plaintiff will also provide Fry's with notice and an opportunity to cure any alleged breach as follows: In the event that Plaintiff believes that Fry's is in breach of any provisions of this agreement other than Section 2, discussed above, Plaintiff shall notify, in writing, Fry's of such alleged breach (the

"Notice of Breach"). The Notice of Breach shall be sent by first class mail, with proof of service, to the persons identified in Section 11 of this agreement. The Notice of Breach shall identify with as much specificity as possible the facts supporting Plaintiff's claim of breach. Plaintiff shall take no further action against Fry's unless Fry's fails to cure said breach within fourteen (14) days of service of the Notice of Breach, in which event Plaintiff shall have the right to have the Court enter this Settlement Agreement as a judgment upon noticed motion to the Court.

8. SEVERABILITY

If, subsequent to court approval of this Settlement Agreement, any of the provisions of this Settlement Agreement are held by a court to be unenforceable, the validity of the enforceable provisions remaining shall not be adversely affected.

9. ATTORNEYS' FEES

In the event that, after Court approval: (1) a dispute arises with respect to any provision of this Settlement Agreement; (2) either party or any third party seeks modification of this Settlement Agreement pursuant to Section 16 below; or (3) Leeman takes reasonable and necessary steps to enforce the terms of this Settlement Agreement, the prevailing party in any such dispute shall be entitled to his or its reasonable attorneys' fees pursuant to CCP §1021.5.

10. GOVERNING LAW

The terms of this Settlement Agreement shall be governed by the laws of the State of California and apply within the State of California. In the event that Proposition 65 is repealed or is otherwise rendered inapplicable by reason of law generally, or as to the Products specifically, then Fry's shall have no further injunctive obligations pursuant to this Settlement Agreement with respect to, and to the extent that, those Products are so affected.

11. NOTICES

All correspondence and notices required to be provided pursuant to this Settlement
Agreement shall be in writing and personally delivered or sent by: (1) first-class, registered,
certified mail, return receipt requested or (ii) overnight courier on either Party by the other at the
//

1	following addresses. (Either Party, from time to time, may, pursuant to the methods prescribed		
2	above, specify a change of address to which all future notices and other communications shall		
3	sent.)		
4	To Fry's:		
5	Kathryn J. Kolder, Executive Vice President		
6	FRY'S ELECTRONICS, INC. 600 E. Brokaw Road		
7	San Jose, CA 95112		
8	With a copy to:		
9	Shawn D. Parrish, Esq.		
10	SCHIFF HARDIN LLP One Market, Spear Street Tower		
11	Thirty-Second Floor		
12	San Francisco, CA 94105		
13	William H. Curtis, Esq. FRY'S ELECTRONICS, INC.		
14	600 E. Brokaw Road San Jose, CA 95112		
15	To Plaintiff:		
16	HIRST & CHANLER LLP		
17	Attn: David Lavine, Esq.		
18	2560 Ninth Street, Suitc 214 Berkeley, CA 94710		
19	With a copy to:		
20	Gregory M. Sheffer, Esq.		
21	The Sheffer Law Firm		
22	222 Rush Landing Road Novato, CA 94945		
23			
24	12. NO ADMISSIONS		
25	Nothing in this Settlement Agreement shall constitute or be construed as an admission by		
26	Fry's of any fact, finding, conclusion, issue of law, or violation of law, nor shall compliance with		
27	this Settlement Agreement constitute or be construed as an admission by Fry's of any fact, findi-		
28	conclusion, issue of law, or violation of law, such being specifically denied by Fry's. Fry's		

reserves all of its rights and defenses with regard to any claim by any party under Proposition 65 or otherwise. However, this Section shall not diminish or otherwise affect Fry's obligations, responsibilities and duties under this Settlement Agreement.

13. COUNTERPARTS; FACSIMILE SIGNATURES

This Settlement Agreement may be executed in counterparts and by facsimile, each of which shall be deemed an original, and all of which, when taken together, shall constitute one and the same document.

14. COMPLIANCE WITH HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 25249.7(F)

Plaintiff agrees to comply with the reporting form requirements referenced in Health & Safety Code Section 25249.7(f). Pursuant to regulations promulgated under that section, Plaintiff shall present this Settlement Agreement to the California Attorney General's Office within two (2) days after receiving all of the necessary signatures. A noticed motion for approval of this Settlement Agreement will then be served on the Attorney General's Office at least forty-five (45) days prior to the date a hearing is scheduled on such motion in the Superior Court for the County of Alameda unless the Court allows a shorter period of time.

15. ADDITIONAL POST EXECUTION ACTIVITIES

15.1 The Parties shall mutually employ their best efforts to obtain approval of this Settlement Agreementby the Court in a timely manner. The Parties acknowledge that, pursuant to Health & Safety Code Section 25249.7, a noticed motion is required to obtain judicial approval of the settlement provided for herein. Accordingly, the Parties agree to file a Joint Motion to Approve the Settlement ("Joint Motion"), the first draft of which Plaintiff' counsel shall prepare, within a reasonable period of time after the Execution Date (i.e., not to exceed thirty days unless otherwise agreed to by the Parties' counsel based on unanticipated circumstances). Plaintiff's counsel shall prepare a declaration in support of the Joint Motion which shall, inter alia, set forth support for the fees and costs to be reimbursed pursuant to Section 4. Fry's shall have no additional responsibility to Plaintiff's counsel pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure Section 1021.5 or otherwise with regard to reimbursement of any fees and costs incurred with respect to the preparation and filing of the Joint Motion and its supporting declaration or with regard to Plaintiff's counsel appearing for a

hearing or related proceedings thereon, or for providing any Notice of Breach of this Settlement Agreement as set forth herein.

15.2 Fry's and its counsel agree to cooperate with Plaintiff and her counsel in preparation of Plaintiff's case against Real Soda in Real Bottles, Ltd., whether toward settlement or trial resolution. On an ongoing basis and until the case is finally resolved, Fry's and its counsel will, upon reasonable request, provide Plaintiff and her counsel any non-privileged. non-protected documents (including those protected by Fry's trade secret protections and rights of privacy) which are discoverable pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure Section 2017.010 et seq. in Fry's possession, custody or control, and will, upon reasonable request by Plaintiff, provide truthful and complete declarations and/or testimony by witnesses as to matters which are discoverable pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure Section 2017.010 et seq. Fry's and its counsel will also expeditiously provide true and responsive answers to case-related inquiries informally and reasonably posed by Plaintiff or her counsel, whether via letter, electronic mail, telephone or in-person interview as to matters which are discoverable pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure Section 2017.010 et seq. Fry's agrees at all times to use its best efforts to fulfill this pledge of cooperation.

16. MODIFICATION

This Settlement Agreement may be modified only by written agreement of the Parties. The Attorney General shall be served with notice of any proposed modification to this Settlement Agreement at least fifteen days in advance of its consideration by the Court.

17. AUTHORIZATION

The undersigned are authorized to execute this Settlement Agreement on behalf of their respective Parties and have read, understood and agree to all of the terms and conditions of this Settlement Agreement.

//

//

25 | //

26 | //

27 | //

. . i

1	AGREED TO:	AGREED TO:
2		
3	Date:	Date:
4		FRY'S ELECTRONICS, INC.
5	By:Plaintiff Whitney R. Leeman, Ph.D	
6	Plaintiff Whitney R. Leeman, Ph.D	By: Its:
7		its:
8		
9	APPROVED AS TO FORM:	APPROVED AS TO FORM:
10	DA CONT	D
11	Date Jon 28, 2008	Date:
12	HIRST & CHANLER LLP	
13		
14	By:	By:
15	David Lavine, Esq. Attorney for Plaintiff	William H. Curtis, Esq. Legal Counsel for Defendant
16	WHITNEY R. LEEMAN, Ph.D.	FRY'S ELECTRONICS, INC.
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

Date:	1	AGREED TO:	AGREED TO:
Plaintiff Whitney R. Leeman, Ph.D Plaintiff Whitney R. Leeman, Ph.D By: Its: Date: Date: HIRST & CHANLER LLP By: David Lavine, Esq. Attorney for Plaintiff WHITNEY R. LEEMAN, Ph.D. By: By: William H. Curtis, Esq. William H. Curtis, Esq. FRY'S ELECTRONICS, INC.		Date: 1/24/05	Date:
Plaintiff Whitney R. Leeman, Ph.D Plaintiff Whitney R. Leeman, Ph.D By: Its: Date: Date: HIRST & CHANLER LLP By: David Lavine, Esq. Attorney for Plaintiff WHITNEY R. LEEMAN, Ph.D. By: By: William H. Curtis, Esq. William H. Curtis, Esq. FRY'S ELECTRONICS, INC.		Date: 41 0 4 0	 "
APPROVED AS TO FORM: APPROVED AS TO FORM: APPROVED AS TO FORM: Date: HIRST & CHANLER LLP By: David Lavine, Esq. Attorney for Plaintiff WHITNEY R. LEEMAN, Ph.D. By: William H. Curtis, Esq. Legal Counsel for Defendant FRY'S ELECTRONICS, INC.	5	By. Variable and the second se	
8 9 APPROVED AS TO FORM: APPROVED AS TO FORM: Date:	İ	Transcri Windley 10. Zeellan, 1	By:
Date: Date: Date: Date: Date: Date: David Lavine, Esq. William H. Curtis, Esq. Attorney for Plaintiff Legal Counsel for Defendant FRY'S ELECTRONICS, INC. HIRST & CHANLER LLP By: William H. Curtis, Esq. Legal Counsel for Defendant FRY'S ELECTRONICS, INC.			
Date: Date:	9	APPROVED AS TO FORM:	APPROVED AS TO FORM:
HIRST & CHANLER LLP By: By: David Lavine, Esq. Attorney for Plaintiff Legal Counsel for Defendant FRY'S ELECTRONICS, INC. HIRST & CHANLER LLP By: William H. Curtis, Esq. Legal Counsel for Defendant FRY'S ELECTRONICS, INC.		Date:	Date:
By:		HIRST & CHANLER LLP	
Attorney for Plaintiff WHITNEY R. LEEMAN, Ph.D. Legal Counsel for Defendant FRY'S ELECTRONICS, INC. PRY'S ELECTRONICS, INC.	13		
16 WHITNEY R. LEEMAN, Ph.D. FRY'S ELECTRONICS, INC. 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27		David Lavine, Esq.	By:William H. Curtis, Esq.
18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27		Attorney for Plaintiff WHITNEY R. LEEMAN, Ph.D.	FRY'S ELECTRONICS, INC.
19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	17		
20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	18		
21 22 23 24 25 26 27			
 23 24 25 26 27 			
24 25 26 27	22		
25 26 27			
26 27			
28	27		
	28		

	AGREED TO:	AGREED TO:
		./ /
	Date:	Date: 1/28/08
		FRY'S ELECTRONICS, INC.
	By:Plaintiff Whitney R. Leeman, Ph.D	
	Plaintiff Whitney R. Leeman, Ph.D	Ву:
		Its: ENT.
	APPROVED AS TO FORM:	APPROVED AS TO FORM:
	Date:	Date: January 28, 2008
	HIRST & CHANLER LLP	
		7/ -1//
	Ву:	By: Will: He tunt
	David Lavine, Esq. Attorney for Plaintiff	William H. Curtis, Esq. Legal Counsel for Defendant
	WHITNEY R. LEEMAN, Ph.D.	FRY'S ELECTRONICS, INC.
!		
_		