1	Laralei S. Paras, State Bar No. 203319	
2	HIRST & CHANLER LLP	•
3	Parker Plaza. Suite 214	
4	Berkeley, CA 94710-2565	
5	Telephone: (510) 848-8880 Facsimile: (510) 848-8118	
6	Attorneys for Plaintiff	
7	RUSSELL BRIMER	
8	David F. Wood, State Bar No. 68063 Wood, Smith, Henning & Berman LLP	
9	10960 Wilshire Boulevard, 18th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90024-3804	
10	Telephone: (310) 481-7600 Facsimile: (310) 481-7650	
11	Attorneys for Defendant	
12	VOTIVO, LTD.	
13		
14	SUPERIOR COURT OF T	HE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
15	FOR THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO	
16	UNLIMITED CF	VIL JURISDICTION
17	Dividant Dan	
18	RUSSELL BRIMER	Case No. CGC 06-450704
19	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]
20	v.)	ORDER RE: CONSENT JUDGMENT
21	VOTIVO, Ltd; and DOES 1 through 150,	
22	Defendants.	
23		
24		
25		
26		

LEGAL: \$867-001/976695. IS PATUSEATION AND PROPOSED PORTION AS PROPOSED PRO

28

1. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>

1.1 Russell Brimer and VOTIVO, Ltd.

This Consent Judgment is entered into by and between plaintiff Russell Brimer (hereinafter "Brimer" or "Plaintiff") and defendant VOTIVO, Ltd., (hereinafter "VOTIVO" or "Defendant"), with Brimer and VOTIVO collectively referred to as the "Parties."

1.2 Plaintiff

Brimer is an individual residing in California who seeks to promote awareness of exposures to toxic chemicals and improve human health by reducing or eliminating hazardous substances contained in consumer products.

1.3 Defendant

VOTIVO employs ten or more persons and is a person in the course of doing business for purposes of Proposition 65.

1.4 General Allegations

Brimer alleges that VOTIVO has manufactured, distributed and/or sold in the State of California certain candles, soaps and other aromatics with decorative metal seals containing lead. Lead is listed pursuant to the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California Health & Safety Code §§25249.5 et seq. ("Proposition 65"), as a chemical known to the State of California to cause birth defects and other reproductive harm. Lead shall be referred to herein as the "Listed Chemical."

1.5 **Product Description**

The products that are covered by this Consent Judgment are defined as follows: "Candles, Soaps and Other Aromatics with decorative metal seals containing lead." All such products with decorative metal seals shall be referred to herein as the "Products."

1.6 Notices of Violation

On or about January 13, 2006, Brimer served VOTIVO and various public enforcement agencies with a document entitled "60-Day Notice of Violation" (the "Notice") that provided VOTIVO and such public enforcers with notice that alleged that VOTIVO was in violation of

California Health & Safety Code §25249.6 for failing to warn consumers and customers that the Products that VOTIVO sold exposed users in California to the Listed Chemical. On August 11, 2006, Brimer served a supplemental notice on VOTIVO and all required public enforcers expanding plaintiff's prior allegations concerning the products to include soaps and other aromatics with decorative metal seals containing lead ("Supplemental Notice").

1.7 Complaint

On March 28, 2006, Brimer, acting in the interest of the general public in California, filed a complaint (hereinafter referred to as the "Complaint" or the "Action") in the Superior Court in and for the City and County of San Francisco against VOTIVO, Ltd. and Does 1 through 150, (*Brimer v. Votivo, Ltd.*, CGC-06-450704) alleging violations of Health & Safety Code §25249.6 based on the alleged exposures to the Listed Chemical contained in Products sold by VOTIVO. The 60-day period associated with the issuance of the Supplemental Notice has run, with no authorized public enforcer of Proposition 65 initiating an action against the Noticed Party based on the additional allegations therein contained. The parties hereby stipulate that the definition of "Products" in the Complaint shall be deemed expanded to include soaps and other aromatics with decorative metal seals (containing lead).

1.8 No Admission

VOTIVO denies the material factual and legal allegations contained in Brimer's Notice and Complaint and maintains that all products that it has sold and distributed in California, including the Products, have been and are in compliance with all laws. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission by VOTIVO of any fact, finding, issue of law, or violation of law, nor shall compliance with this Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by VOTIVO of any fact, finding, conclusion, issue of law or violation of law, such being specifically denied by VOTIVO. However, this Section shall not diminish or otherwise affect the obligations, responsibilities and duties of VOTIVO under this Consent Judgment.

1.9 Consent to Jurisdiction

For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over VOTIVO as to the allegations contained in the Complaint, that venue is proper in LEGAL:5867-001/826695.1STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE: CONSENT JUDGMENT CASE NO. CGC-06-450704

the County of San Francisco and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter and enforce the provisions of this Consent Judgment.

1.10 Effective Date

For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term "Effective Date" shall mean October 15, 2006.

2. <u>INJUNCTIVE RELIEF: WARNINGS AND REFORMULATION</u>

After the Effective Date, VOTIVO shall not sell, ship or offer to be shipped for sale in California Products containing decorative metal seals with more than one tenth of one percent (0.1%) of lead by weight.

3. MONETARY PAYMENTS

3.1 Penalties Pursuant to Health & Safety Code §25249.7(b)

The total penalty amount shall be \$60,000 which shall be paid by VOTIVO as set forth herein. Pursuant to Health & Safety Code \$25249.7(b), VOTIVO shall pay 50% of the penalty within 20 days of the signature by both parties to this Stipulation, which payment shall be held in trust by HIRST & CHANLER LLP until court approval of this Consent Judgment. The remaining 50% of the penalty in the amount of \$30,000, shall be paid within 3 court days of approval by the Court of this Consent Judgment. Said payments shall be made payable to the "HIRST & CHANLER LLP in Trust For Russell Brimer" and shall be mailed to plaintiff's counsel at the following address:

HIRST & CHANLER LLP Attn: Proposition 65 Controller 2560 Ninth Street Parker Plaza, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710-2565

3.2 Apportionment of Penalties Received

All penalty monies received shall be apportioned by Brimer in accordance with Health & Safety Code §25192, with 75% of these funds remitted by Brimer to the State of California's Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment and the remaining 25% of these penalty monies retained by Brimer as provided by Health & Safety Code §25249.12(d). Brimer shall bear all responsibility for apportioning and paying to the State of California the appropriate civil penalties

LEGAL:5867-001/826695.ISTIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE: CONSENT JUDGMENT CASE NO. CGC-06-450704

paid in accordance with this Section.

4. REIMBURSEMENT OF FEES AND COSTS

The Parties acknowledge that Brimer and his counsel offered to resolve this dispute without reaching terms on the amount of fees and costs to be reimbursed to them, thereby leaving this fee issue to be resolved after the material terms of the agreement had been settled. VOTIVO then expressed a desire to resolve the fee and cost issue shortly after the other settlement terms had been finalized. The Parties then attempted to (and did) reach an accord on the compensation due to Brimer and his counsel under the private attorney general doctrine codified at California Code of Civil Procedure §1021.5 for all work performed through the Effective Date of this Consent Judgment, and anticipated for issuing a supplemental notice and submitting this Consent Judgment for Court approval. Under the private attorney general doctrine, VOTIVO shall reimburse Brimer and his counsel for fees and costs incurred as a result of investigating, bringing this matter to VOTIVO's attention, litigating and negotiating a settlement in the public interest and seeking the Court's approval of the settlement agreement.

VOTIVO shall pay Brimer and his counsel \$40,000 for all attorneys' fees, expert and investigation fees, litigation and related costs. Payment of said fees and costs will be made in two installments: One half of this amount will be made within 20 days of the signature by both parties on this Stipulation. Said payment shall be held in trust by HIRST & CHANLER LLP until approval by the Court of this Consent Judgment. The other half of this amount will be payable within 3 court days of the approval by the Court of this Consent Judgment. Payments shall be made payable to HIRST & CHANLER LLP and shall be mailed to the following address:

HIRST & CHANLER LLP Attn: Proposition 65 Controller 2560 Ninth Street Parker Plaza, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710-2565

5. <u>RELEASE OF ALL CLAIMS</u>

5.1 Release of VOTIVO and Downstream Customers

In further consideration of the promises and agreements herein contained, and for the

payments to be made pursuant to Sections 3 and 4, Brimer, on behalf of himself, his past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors and/or assignees, and in the interest of the general public, hereby waives all rights to institute or participate in, directly or indirectly, any form of legal action and releases all claims, including, without limitation, all actions, and causes of action, in law or in equity, suits, liabilities, demands, obligations, damages, costs, fines, penalties, losses or expenses (including, but not limited to, investigation fees, expert fees and attorneys' fees) of any nature whatsoever, whether known or unknown, fixed or contingent (collectively "Claims"), against VOTIVO and each of its downstream distributors, wholesalers, licensors, licensees, auctioneers, retailers, franchisees, dealers, customers, owners, purchasers, users, parent companies, corporate affiliates, subsidiaries, and their respective officers, directors, attorneys, representatives, shareholders, agents, and employees, and sister and parent entities (collectively "Releasees"). This release is limited to those claims that arise under Proposition 65, as they relate to VOTIVO's alleged failure to warn about exposures to the Listed Chemical contained in the Products.

5.2 <u>VOTIVO's Release of Brimer</u>

VOTIVO waives any and all claims against Brimer, his attorneys and other representatives, for any and all actions taken or statements made (or those that could have been taken or made) by Brimer and his attorneys and other representatives, whether in the course of investigating claims or otherwise seeking enforcement of Proposition 65 against it in this matter, and/or with respect to the Products.

6. <u>COURT APPROVAL</u>

This Consent Judgment is not effective until it is approved as to all products defined in paragraph 1.5 and entered by the Court and shall be null and void if, for any reason, it is not approved as to all products defined in paragraph 1.5 and entered by the Court within one year after it has been fully executed by all Parties. In the event that this Consent Judgment is not approved by the Court, all monies that have been provided to Plaintiff or his counsel pursuant to Section 3 and/or Section 4 above, shall be refunded within twenty (20) days.

7. <u>SEVERABILITY</u>

If, subsequent to Court approval of this Consent Judgment, any of the provisions of this

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Consent Judgment are held by a court to be unenforceable, the validity of the enforceable provisions remaining shall not be adversely affected.

8. <u>ATTORNEYS' FEES</u>

In the event that, after Court approval: (1) a dispute arises with respect to any provision of this Consent Judgment; (2) any party or third party seeks modification of this Consent Judgment pursuant to Section 14 below; or (3) Brimer takes reasonable and necessary steps to enforce the terms of this Consent Judgment, Brimer shall be entitled to reasonable attorneys' fees and costs pursuant to CCP §1021.5.

9. GOVERNING LAW

The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California and apply within the State of California. In the event that Proposition 65 is repealed or is otherwise rendered inapplicable by reason of law generally, or as to the Products, then VOTIVO shall provide written notice to Brimer of any asserted change in the law, and shall have no further obligations pursuant to this Consent Judgment with respect to, and to the extent that, the Products are so affected.

10. NOTICES

Unless specified herein, all correspondence and notices required to be provided pursuant to this Consent Judgment shall be in writing and personally delivered or sent by: (i) first-class, (registered or certified mail) return receipt requested; or (ii) overnight courier on any Party by the other party at the following addresses:

To VOTIVO:

David F. Wood, State Bar No. 68063 WOOD, SMITH, HENNING & BERMAN LLP 10960 Wilshire Boulevard, 18th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90024-3804

To Brimer:

Proposition 65 Controller HIRST & CHANLER LLP 2560 Ninth Street Parker Plaza, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710-2565 Any Party, from time to time, may specify in writing to the other Party a change of address to which all notices and other communications shall be sent.

11. <u>COUNTERPARTS; FACSIMILE SIGNATURES</u>

This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by facsimile, each of which shall be deemed an original, and all of which, when taken together, shall constitute one and the same document.

12. COMPLIANCE WITH HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 25249.7(f)

Brimer agrees to comply with the reporting form requirements referenced in Health & Safety Code §25249.7(f).

13. <u>ADDITIONAL POST EXECUTION ACTIVITIES</u>

Brimer and VOTIVO agree to mutually employ their best efforts to support the entry of this Agreement as a Consent Judgment and obtain approval of the Consent Judgment by the Court in a timely manner. The Parties acknowledge that, pursuant to Health & Safety Code §25249.7, a noticed motion is required to obtain judicial approval of this Consent Judgment. Accordingly, Plaintiff agrees to file Motion to Approve the Agreement ("Motion"), within a reasonable period of time after the Execution Date (*i.e.*, not to exceed thirty (30) days unless otherwise agreed to by the Parties' counsel based on unanticipated circumstances). VOTIVO shall have no additional responsibility to Plaintiff's counsel pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure §1021.5 or otherwise with regard to reimbursement of any fees and costs incurred with respect to the preparation and filing of the Motion or with regard to Plaintiff's counsel appearing for a hearing thereon.

14. <u>MODIFICATION</u>

This Consent Judgment may be modified only by: (1) written agreement of the Parties and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court thereon; or (2) motion of any Party as provided by law and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court. The Attorney General shall be served with notice of any proposed modification to this Consent Judgment at least fifteen (15) days in advance of its consideration by the Court.

2	The undersigned are authorized to	execute this Consent Judgment on behalf of their
3	respective Parties and have read, understo	od and agree to all of the terms and conditions of this
4	Consent Judgment.	
5		
6	AGREED TO:	AGREED TO:
7	Date: 10.16.06	Data
8	Date.	Date:
9	By: Lune Z	
10	By: Plaintiff Russell Brimer	By: Defendant VOTIVO, Ltd.
11	Framuii Russen Brinier	Defendant VOTIVO, Ltd.
12	APPROVED AS TO FORM:	APPROVED AS TO FORM:
13		
14	Date: /0.16.06	Date:
15	HIRST & CHANLER LLP	Wood, Smith, Henning & Berman LLP
16 17	By: Mus	By:
18	Attorneys for Plaintiff	David F. Wood
19	RUSSELL BRIMER	Attorneys for Defendant VOTIVO, LTD.
20	VIII VO CO OPPEDED	
21	IT IS SO ORDERED.	•
22	Date:	JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
23		JODGE OF THE SUFERIOR COURT
		·
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

AUTHORIZATION

1 15. **AUTHORIZATION** 2 The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment on behalf of their 3 respective Parties and have read, understood and agree to all of the terms and conditions of this 4 Consent Judgment. 5 AGREED TO: 6 AGREED TO: 7 Date: October 17, 2006 Date:____ VOTIVO, Ltd. 9 By: Ву: _ 10 Plaintiff Russell Brimer 11 Defendant 12 APPROVED AS TO FORM: APPROVED AS TO FORM: 13 Date:____ Date:____ 14 15 HIRST & CHANLER LLP Wood, Smith, Henning & Berman LLP 16 By:_ 17 Ву: _ Laralei Paras David F. Wood 18 Attorneys for Plaintiff Attorneys for Defendant RUSSELL BRIMER VOTIVO, LTD. 19 20 IT IS SO ORDERED. 21 Date: _____ JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

15. **AUTHORIZATION**

The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment on behalf of their respective Parties and have read, understood and agree to all of the terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment.

AGREED TO:	AGREED TO:
Date:	Date:
By:Plaintiff Russell Brimer	By: By:
APPROVED AS TO FORM:	APPROVED AS TO FORM:
Date:	Date: /0/23/06
HIRST & CHANLER LLP	Wood, Smith, Henning & Berman LLP
By: Laralei Paras Attorneys for Plaintiff RUSSELL BRIMER	By: David F. Wood Attorneys for Defendant VOTIVO, LTD.

Date: ____ JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT

LEGAL:5867-001/826695.1\$TIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE: CONSENT JUDGMENT CASE NO. CGC-06-450704