1			
2			
3		•	
4			
5	·		
6	·		
7			
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
9	FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES		
10			
11	KATHERINE LEE BUCKLAND, et al.,	Case No. BC344046 [Hon. Robert L. Hess]	
12	Plaintiffs,	[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT	
13	v.	ONLY AS TO DEFENDANT DIXIE HEALTH, INC.  Complaint Filed: December 7, 2005	
14	THRESHOLD ENTERPRISES, LTD., et al.		
15	Defendant.	Location: Dept. 24, Room 314	
16		•	
17			
18			
19	This Consent Judgment is entered into by and between plaintiff the California Women's		
20	Law Center (hereinafter "CWLC" or "Plaintiff"), and defendant Dixie Health, Inc. (hereinafter		
21	"Defendant").		
22	1. <u>Definitions</u> . As used in this Consent Judgment, the following definitions shall apply:		
23	1.1 "Regulated Chemicals" are: Progesterone, Medroxyprogesterone acetate,		
24	Testosterone and its esters, Methyltestosterone, Testosterone cypionate, and/or Testosterone		
25	enanthate as an ingredient. Each Regulated Chemical is a chemical known to the State of		
26	California to cause cancer and/or reproductive toxicity.		
27	1.2 "Products" are consumer creams, gels and/or lotion products containing as an		
28	ingredient Progesterone. "Products" shall also include any future Products that are		

11

13

12

15

14

17

16

18 19

20

21

22

23 24

25

26

27

28

manufactured by or on behalf of Defendant for sale in California under any product name or brand, whether a current or new name and/or brand.

1.3 Plaintiff and Defendant will be referred to collectively as the "Parties" or individually as a "Party."

#### 2. Background.

- 2.1 California Women's Law Center is a non-profit California corporation. Since its founding in 1989, CWLC has served as a unique advocate in California, working in collaboration with others to protect, secure and advance the comprehensive civil rights of women and girls. The CWLC works to ensure, through systemic change, that life opportunities for women and girls are free from unjust social, economic, and political constraints.
- 2.2 Defendant Dixie Health, Inc. (previously sued as DEFENDANT DOE 504) is a GEORGIA corporation with its principal place of business and/or headquarters located at 2161 New Market Parkway, Suite 222, Marietta, GA 30067-8768. Dixie manufactures, distributes, and/or sells its Products across the United States, including in California, through various distributors and retail outlets. Dixie is a person in the course of doing business within the meaning of Health & Safety ("H&S") Code § 25249.11.
- 2.3 Beginning on or about February 9, 2006, CWLC served Defendant and each of the appropriate public enforcement agencies with a "60-Day Notice" that provided Defendant and the public enforcement agencies with a notice alleging that Defendant was in violation of Proposition 65 for failing to warn the purchasers of, and individuals using, the Products that the use of the Products exposes them to Regulated Chemicals. Defendant stipulates for the purpose of this Consent Judgment that the 60-Day Notice sent to it is adequate to comply with Title 22, California Code of Regulations §12903. None of the public enforcement agencies has commenced and begun diligently prosecuting an action against Defendant for such alleged violations.
- 2.4 On December 7, 2005, Plaintiffs filed their initial complaint entitled Buckland, et al. v. Threshold Enterprises, Ltd., et al., No. BC344046, in the Los Angeles County Superior Court. On January 24, 2006, Plaintiffs filed their First Amended Complaint.

 Ms. Buckland alleged violations of the CLRA, Business & Professions Code §§17200 et seq. and 17500 et seq., and Civil Code §§1709 and 1710, against Defendant. Ms. Buckland alleged no claim, directly or indirectly, pursuant to Proposition 65 (H&S Code §25249.5 et seq.) in the First Amended Complaint. CWLC alleged violations of Proposition 65 (H&S Code §25249.5 et seq.) in the First Amended Complaint. On November 6, 2006, Plaintiff filed a Second Amended Complaint ("2<sup>nd</sup> Amended Complaint").

- 2.5 For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of the violations contained in the 60-Day Notice and the 2<sup>nd</sup> Amended Complaint, and personal jurisdiction over Defendant as to the acts or omissions alleged in the 2<sup>nd</sup> Amended Complaint; that venue is proper in the County of Los Angeles; and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment.
- 2.6 Defendant denies that the Products have been or are in violation of any law, and further contends that all Products have been and are safe for use as directed. However, the Parties desire to resolve this matter (including the 60-Day Notice, 2<sup>nd</sup> Amended Complaint and all related matters) without further litigation or cost.
- 2.7 The Parties enter into this Consent Judgment to settle certain disputed claims as alleged in the 60-Day Notice and the 2<sup>nd</sup> Amended Complaint, to avoid prolonged and costly litigation, and to promote the public interest. By executing and complying with this Consent Judgment, no Party admits any facts or conclusions of law including, but not limited to, any facts or conclusions of law regarding any violations of Proposition 65 (H&S Code §25249.5 et seq.) or any other statutory, common law or equitable claim or requirement relating to or arising from Defendant' Products. This Consent Judgment shall not be construed as an admission by Defendant as to any of the allegations in the 60-Day Notice or the 2<sup>nd</sup> Amended Complaint.

### 3. <u>Injunctive Relief.</u>

#### 3.1 <u>Defendant Has Exited the Market.</u>

Defendant has voluntarily exited the California market for the Products. If Defendant chooses to re-enter the California market for these Products, Defendant shall comply with the terms set forth in the following paragraphs 3.2 and 3.3.

# 

#### 3.2 Retail Sale of Products Requires a Warning.

Pursuant to Civil Code §1782(d), B&P Code§§ 17203 and 17535, and H&S Code §25249.7(a), the sale of a Product by Defendant directly or indirectly to consumers in California shall be accompanied by a warning. This warning shall be provided both by (a) product labeling pursuant to Paragraph 3.2.1; and (b) warnings for any mail order and Internet sales pursuant to Paragraph 3.2.2.

#### 3.2.1 Product Label Warnings.

At the earliest such time when, in the ordinary course of business, new labels for the Products are printed on or after January 1, 2008, Defendant shall include (in the same type size as the surrounding, non-heading text) the following warning on the label of each of its Products that it manufactures and ships for sale directly or indirectly into California, including the specific name or names of the Regulated Chemicals where shown:

"WARNING: This product contains [Progesterone, Medroxyprogesterone acetate, Testosterone and its esters, Methyltestosterone, Testosterone cypionate, and/or Testosterone enanthate], a chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer. Consult with your physician before using this product."

#### 3.2.2 <u>Notice to Third Parties</u>.

For any Product that is sold by Defendant but manufactured by a third party (*i.e.*, non-private-label Products), Defendant shall, within 30 days of entry of this consent judgment by the court, notify the manufacturer or supplier of such Product (sending a copy of each such notice to Plaintiff) that Defendant will not accept shipments of such Product for sale directly or indirectly into California unless such Product bears the warning required by paragraph 3.1.1 above or a comparable warning pursuant to the terms of a separate consent judgment applying to such Product.

If Defendant directly sells a Product by mail order or over the Internet to a purchaser in the state of California on or after the date that is 90 days after the entry of this Consent Judgment by the court, the following additional requirements shall apply:

- (1) For such mail order sales sent to customers in California, the warning language required under this Consent Judgment at paragraph 3.2.1 shall be included in the mail order catalogue, either on the same page as any order form, or on the same page(s) upon which the Product's price is listed, in the same type size as the surrounding, non-heading text.
- (2) For such Internet sales sent to customers in California, the warning language required under this Consent Judgment at paragraph 3.2.1 shall be displayed (in the same type size as the surrounding, non-heading text) in one or more of the following ways: (a) on the same page upon which the Product is displayed or referenced; (b) on the same page as any order form for any Product; (c) on the same page as the price for the Product is displayed; (d) on one or more pages displayed to a purchaser over the Internet or via electronic mail during the checkout and order confirmation process for sale of a Product; or (e) in any manner such that it is likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual under customary business conditions prior to the purchase of the Product.
- 3.2 Pursuant to Civil Code §1782(d) and B&P Code §§17203 and 17535, and H&S Code §25249.7(a), and effective when, in the ordinary course of business (but no sooner than 90 days after entry of this Consent Judgment), new labels and advertising, marketing, mail order catalog or Internet-based descriptions of each of its respective Products are printed or posted electronically on or after January 1, 2008, Defendant agrees to remove any claims for the Product that are prohibited by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration under Title 21 United States Code § 321(g) and Title 21 Code of Federal Regulation, Part 310.530, Over-The-Counter Topically Applied Hormone Drug Products).

## 4. Financial Settlement and Attorneys' Fee Payments.

4.1 In lieu of damages or penalties, Defendant shall pay to the CWLC the sum of\$1,000.00 ("Settlement Amount"), and shall make that payment to the Client Trust Account of

9

10

7

11 12

13 14

16

15

17 18

19

21

20

2223

2425

26

27

28

the Carrick Law Group P.C., by wire transfer, certified or bank check in immediately available funds. This settlement amount shall be due and payable within five (5) calendar days after the date of notice of entry of this Consent Judgment. This settlement amount shall be disbursed promptly thereafter by the Carrick Law Group P.C. to the CWLC.

4.2 <u>Attorneys Fees and Costs.</u> The Parties shall each bear their own attorneys' fees and costs.

#### 5. Claims Covered and Released.

This Consent Judgment includes the resolution of actual and potential claims that were considered or could have been brought by Plaintiff regarding the Regulated Chemical(s) in Defendant's Products. This Consent Judgment is a final and binding resolution between Plaintiff and Defendant of any and all alleged violations of Proposition 65 (H&S Code §25249.5 et seq.) or any other law that was or could have been asserted by Plaintiff arising from or related to Products manufactured, distributed, or sold by Defendant through the date of entry of this Consent Judgment, including, but not limited to any claims for attorneys' fees and costs. Plaintiff hereby release Defendant and Defendant's predecessors, successors, affiliates and assigns, the officers, directors, employees, shareholders, and counsel of each of them, from and against the claims described in this paragraph to the extent such claims do, did, or could arise from or relate to Defendant's Products; however, Plaintiff cannot and expressly do not release any other claims, including specifically and without limitation any personal injury or directly related claims, that could be brought by any other individual or organization. Defendant hereby releases CWLC from and against any claims arising out of Plaintiff's filing or prosecution of this action. Each Party respectively waives any right to appeal or other review of this Consent Judgment, except as expressly provided in this Consent Judgment.

6. <u>Covenant Not To Sue</u>. Plaintiff and Defendant covenant and agree that with regard to those matters that Plaintiff have herein released and that are described above, neither CWLC nor Defendant will ever institute a lawsuit or administrative proceedings against the other, nor shall Defendant or CWLC assert any claim of any nature against any person or entity hereby released with regard to any such matters which have been released. However, nothing in this paragraph

- 19

shall be interpreted to preclude enforcement of this Consent Judgment pursuant to Section 7 below.

- 8. Enforcement of Consent Judgment. Any Party may, by noticed motion or order to show cause before the Superior Court of Los Angeles, enforce this Consent Judgment. To enforce this Consent Judgment, any Party must first give written notice of any violation of this Consent Judgment alleged to have occurred to the Party alleged to be in violation. The Parties shall meet and confer in good faith and attempt to resolve the alleged violation. If a resolution is not reached within thirty (30) days of the date of the notice, the aggrieved Party may move the Court to hear and resolve the dispute. The prevailing Party in any proceeding brought to enforce this Consent Judgment shall be entitled to recover from the other Party the prevailing Party's reasonable attorneys' fees and costs incurred in the investigation and prosecution of such an enforcement proceeding.
- **Application of Consent Judgment.** Sections 3, 5 and 6 of this Consent Judgment shall apply to, be binding upon and inure to the benefit of the Parties, their divisions, subdivisions, subsidiaries, affiliates, successors, predecessors and assigns, and the directors, officers, employees, legal counsel, and agents of each of them, as applicable, and will inure to the benefit of the Parties' parent companies, all suppliers, distributors, wholesalers, retailers and contract manufacturers, and all of their respective directors, officers, employees, legal counsel, and agents. This Consent Judgment shall have no effect on Products sold by Defendant and shipped to customers for use outside the State of California; provided that the Products are not sold directly or indirectly to consumers in California by Defendant.
- **9.** <u>Modification/Termination of Consent Judgment</u>. This Consent Judgment may be modified or terminated upon written agreement of the Parties, with approval of the Court, or upon noticed motion for good cause shown.
- **10.** Governing Law. This Consent Judgment shall be governed by, and construed in accordance with, the laws of the State of California.
- 11. Entire Agreement. The Parties declare and represent that no promise, inducement or other agreement has been made conferring any benefit upon any party except those contained

herein and that this Consent Judgment contains the entire agreement pertaining to the subject matter hereof. This Consent Judgment supersedes any prior or contemporaneous negotiations, representations, agreements and understandings of the Parties with respect to such matters, whether written or oral. Parol evidence shall be inadmissible to show agreement by, between or among the Parties to any term or condition contrary to or in addition to the terms and conditions contained in this Consent Judgment. The Parties acknowledge that each has not relied on any promise, representation or warranty, expressed or implied, not contained in this Consent Judgment except with regard to that certain declaration executed under penalty of perjury by the Defendant providing information that induced CWLC to enter into the financial terms of this Consent Judgment, which declaration may be used solely as evidence in any future enforcement proceeding brought pursuant to Section 7 above.

- Challenges. Subject to Section 9 hereof, the Parties agree that they, individually or collectively, will not seek to challenge or to have determined invalid, void or unenforceable any provision of this Consent Judgment or this Consent Judgment itself. The Parties understand that this Consent Judgment contains the relinquishment of legal rights and each Party has, as each has deemed appropriate, sought the advice of legal counsel, which each of the Parties has encouraged the other to seek. Further, no Party has reposed trust or confidence in any other Party so as to create a fiduciary, agency or confidential relationship.
- 13. <u>Construction</u>. This Consent Judgment has been jointly negotiated and drafted. The language of this Consent Judgment shall be construed as a whole according to its fair meaning and not strictly for or against any Party.
- Authority to Stipulate to Consent Judgment. Each signatory to this Consent Judgment represents and warrants that each signatory has all requisite power, authority and legal right necessary to execute and deliver this Consent Judgment and to perform and carry out the transactions contemplated by this Consent Judgment. Each signatory to this Consent Judgment represents that each has been duly authorized to execute this Consent Judgment. No other or further authorization or approval from any person will be required for the validity and enforceability of the provisions of this Consent Judgment, except entry by the Court.

form requirements referred to in Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(f) and established in

28

Title 11 of the California Code of Regulations sections 3000-3008. Copies of all such reports shall be supplied as provided in Paragraph 18.2.

21. Non-Interference in Settlement Approval Process. The Parties will cooperate, as well as use their respective best efforts, to secure the Attorney General's approval of this Consent Judgment, and not to seek his disapproval of any portion of this Consent Judgment.

11 IS SO STIPULATED.

Date: September 2, 2007

CALIFORNIA WOMEN'S LAW CENTER

By: KATHERINE LEE BUCKLAND

Executive Director

DIXIE HEALTH, INC.

By:

Out-Feb

[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT

DIXIE HEALTH, INC.

1	Title 11 of the California Code of Regulations sections 3000-3008. Copies of all such reports		
2	shall be supplied as provided in Paragraph 18.2.		
3	21. Non-Interference in Settlement Approval Process. The Parties will cooperate, as well		
4	as use their respective best efforts, to secure the Attorney General's approval of this Consent		
5	Judgment, and not to seek his disapproval of any portion of this Consent Judgment.		
6			
7	IT IS SO STIPULATED.		
8	Date: September, 2007	CALIFORNIA WOMEN'S LAW CENTER	
9			
10		By:KATHERINE LEE BUCKLAND	
11		Executive Director	
12			
13	Date: September <b>27</b> , 2007	DIXIE HEALTH, INC.	
14		$\bigcirc$	
15		By: Marie By:	
16		Owner	
17			
18		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
19			
20			
21			
22	•		
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
]			

#### FINDINGS AND ORDER

- 1. In light of the findings below, and based upon the Court's review of the proposed stipulated Consent Judgment executed by the Plaintiff and Defendant Dixie Health, Inc., and the papers filed in support of this Motion to Enter the stipulated Consent Judgment, in a manner consistent with Code of Civil Procedure §664.6, the Court finds that this Consent Judgment is just, and serves and will serve the public interest.
- 2. The Court finds that the warnings that are or may be required in Paragraph 3 of the stipulated Consent Judgment in this matter regarding the sale of certain products by Defendant complies with the provisions of Health & Safety Code §§25249.6 and 25249.7(f)(4)(A).
- 3. In the stipulated Consent Judgment in this matter, the Parties' agreement in Paragraph 4 of the Consent Judgment that no civil penalties are warranted is in accord with the criteria set forth in Health & Safety Code §25249.7(b) (2) and (f) (4) (C), in that payments totaling \$1,000.00 in the form of "in lieu of damages or penalties" are to be made by the Defendant to Plaintiff California Women's Law Center ("CWLC"). The Court finds that CWLC has committed to use this financial relief in conformity with Proposition 65's overall goals as well as its own non-profit articles of incorporation to address the litigation's public health issue of protecting women's health through CWLC's programs, which include but are not limited to projects addressing public health, domestic violence, reproductive rights, and physical fitness issues.
- 4. The Court finds that the plaintiff California Women's Law Center will compensate its attorney of record, Carrick Law Group., P.C., in the amount of \$400.00 in attorneys' fees, based upon a written contingent fee contract between those entities. The Court finds, pursuant to Health & Safety Code §25249.7(f)(4)(B), that these attorneys' fees are reasonable under California law.

///

///

1	<ol><li>The Consent Judgment is hereby adopted as the ORDER and JUDGMENT of this</li></ol>
2	Court.
3	IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED.
4	DATED:
5	
6	ROBERT L. HESS JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
7	JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	