1 2 3 4 5	ROBERT L. FALK (BAR NO. 142007) E-mail: RFalk@mofo.com WILLIAM F. TARANTINO (BAR NO. 215343) E-mail: WTarantino@mofo.com MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP 425 Market Street, Suite 3300 San Francisco, California 94105-2482 Telephone: (415) 268-7000 Facsimile: (415) 268-7522		
6 7	Attorneys for Defendant REGENT BABY PRODUCTS CORPORATION		
8 9 10 11	CLIFFORD A. CHANLER (BAR NO. 135534) DAVID LAVINE (BAR NO. 166744) HIRST & CHANLER LLP 2560 Ninth Street Parker Plaza, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710-2565 Telephone: (510) 848-8880 Facsimile: (510) 848-8118		
12	Attorneys for Plaintiff ANTHONY E. HELD, Ph.D., P.E.		
13			
14			
15			
16	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
17	COUNTY OF	ALAMEDA	
18			
19	ANTHONY E. HELD, Ph.D., P.E.,,	No. BG07350969	
20	Plaintiff,	[PROPOSED] CONSENT	
21	V.	JUDGMENT	
22	REGENT BABY PRODUCTS CORPORATION and DOES 1 through 150, inclusive,	HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.6	
23	Defendant.		
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 Anthony E. Held, Ph.D., P.E., and Regent Baby Products Corporation

This Consent Judgment is entered into by and between plaintiff Anthony E. Held, Ph.D., P.E. ("Dr. Held" or "Plaintiff") and defendant Regent Baby Products Corporation ("Regent" or "Defendant"), with Plaintiff and Defendant collectively referred to as the "parties."

1.2 Plaintiff

Dr. Held is an individual residing in the State of California who seeks to promote awareness of exposure to toxic chemicals and improve human health by reducing or eliminating hazardous substances contained in consumer products.

1.3 Defendant

Regent employs ten or more persons and, thus, is a person in the course of doing business for purposes of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California Health & Safety Code §25249.6 *et seq.* (Proposition 65).

1.4 General Allegations

Dr. Held alleges that Regent has manufactured, distributed and/or sold certain toys or other children's products containing di(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate ("DEHP") without the requisite health hazard warnings. DEHP and other phthalates such as butyl benzyl phthalate ("BBP"), and Di-n-butyl phthalate ("DBP"), Di-isodecyl phthalate ("DIDP"), and di-n-hexyl phthalate ("DnHP") as well as lead, are listed as reproductive and developmental toxicants pursuant to Proposition 65. DEHP, BBP, DBP, DIDP, and DnHP and lead shall be collectively referred to hereinafter as "Listed Chemicals."

1.5 Product Description

The products that are covered by this Consent Judgment are defined as follows:

- (a) "Toys": designed for or reasonably used by children under six years of age, and
- (b) "Child Care Articles": designed for or reasonably used to facilitate sleep, relaxation, grooming, hygiene, or feeding of children under three, or to help children under three with sucking or teething.

All Toys and Child Care Articles containing Listed Chemicals and sold by Regent are referred to hereinafter as the "Covered Products."

1.6 Notices of Violation

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

On June 26, 2007, and November 20, 2007, Dr. Held served Regent and various public enforcement agencies with two documents, each entitled a "60-Day Notice of Violation" (the "Notices") that provided Regent and public enforcers with notice of alleged violations of Health & Safety Code §25249.6 for failing to warn consumers that the Covered Products that Regent sold exposed users in California to DEHP. No public enforcer has diligently prosecuted the allegations set forth in the Notices.

1.6.1 Supplemental Notice

During the settlement negotiations in this case, Regent expressed a desire to resolve potential Proposition 65 issues relating to Listed Chemicals and Covered Products it sells in California other than those specifically identified in the Notice. In order for Dr. Held to perfect standing to resolve those matters in the public interest, the parties have agreed that, prior to the hearing on the motion for approval of this Consent Judgment, Dr. Held will also have served Regent and the public enforcers with a document, entitled "Supplemental Notice of Violation" ("Supplemental Notice") that provides Regent and the public enforcers with notice that Regent was alleged to be in violation of Health & Safety Code §25249.6 for failing to warn individuals that the Covered Products expose individuals in California to the other Listed Chemicals (besides DEHP) contained in the Covered Products and to reiterate that the scope of the Covered Products at issue includes other Toys and Child Care Articles (besides the identified squeeze toy and baby bib). Accordingly, the definition of Listed Chemicals shall be deemed to include the other (in addition to DEHP) Listed Chemicals set forth in Paragraph 1.4 above and the definition of Covered Products shall be deemed to include all Toys and Child Care Articles as defined in Paragraph 1.5 above upon the sixty-sixth day following the date of issuance of the Supplemental Notice; provided, however, that this Consent Judgment shall not, as of the sixty-sixth day include the other Listed Chemicals or an expanded category of Covered Products if an authorized public prosecutor has, prior to that date, filed a Proposition 65 enforcement action against Regent as to the expanded list of Listed Chemicals and/or expanded category of Covered Products, whichever is applicable. Regent shall reasonably

sf-2517208 2

3 4

> 5 6 7

8 9

11 12

10

13 14

15 16

17

18

19

20 21

22 23

24

25 26

27 28

cooperate with Dr. Held in providing additional information or representations necessary to enable him to issue a Supplemental Notice.

1.7 **Complaints**

On October 12, 2007, Dr. Held, who was and is acting in the interest of the general public in California, filed a complaint ("Complaint" or "Action") in the Superior Court in and for the County of Alameda against Regent and Does 1 through 150, alleging violations of Health & Safety Code §25249.6 based on the alleged exposures to DEHP contained in a squeeze toy and other Covered Products sold by Regent. The case number for the action is BG 07350969. Regent filed an answer to the Complaint on November 27, 2007.

On March 24, 2008, Dr. Held, who was and is acting in the interest of the general public in California, filed another complaint ("Second Complaint") in the Superior Court in and for the County of Alameda against Regent and Does 1 through 150, alleging violations of Health & Safety Code §25249.6 based on the alleged exposures to DEHP contained in a baby bib and other Covered Products sold by Regent. The case number for the Second Complaint is RG08378057. When this Consent Judgment's approval by the Court becomes final, the Second Complaint shall be deemed merged into the Action and, within 30 additional days, Dr. Held shall clarify the Court's records by dismissing the Second Complaint without prejudice. Until then, Regent Baby agrees to support Dr. Held's pending motion to consolidate these two actions with other matters pending in the Alameda Superior Court.

1.8 No Admission

Regent denies the material, factual and legal allegations contained in Dr. Held's Notice, Complaint and Second Complaint and maintains that all Covered Products that they have sold and distributed in California have been and are in compliance with all applicable laws. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission by Regent of any fact, finding, issue of law, or violation of law; nor shall compliance with this Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by Regent of any fact, finding, conclusion, issue of law, or violation of law, such being specifically denied by Regent. However, this Section shall not diminish or otherwise affect Regent's obligations, responsibilities, and duties under this Consent Judgment.

1.9 Consent to Jurisdiction

For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over Regent as to the allegations contained in the Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of Alameda and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter and enforce the provisions of this Consent Judgment.

1.10 Effective Date

For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term "Effective Date" shall mean May 31, 2008.

2. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF: REFORMULATION IN LIEU OF WARNINGS

2.1 Reformulation Commitments and Schedule

As of the Effective Date, Regent shall only manufacture or cause to be manufactured Covered Products for sale in California that are Phthalate Free and Lead Free. For purposes of this section "Phthalate Free" Covered Products shall mean Covered Products containing less than or equal to 1,000 parts per million ("ppm") of each of DEHP, BBP, DBP, DIDP and DnHP when analyzed pursuant to one of the following test methodologies: (i) Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA") method 3580 and 8270; (ii) EPA method 3540 and 8270; (iii) in accordance with or with reference to ASTM Method 3421-75 or any revised method issued by ASTM for measuring plasticizer content, or (iv) in accordance with method the European Union's EN-71 standard. For purposes of this section, "Lead Free" Covered Products shall mean Covered Products containing PVC-components that have less than 200 ppm lead when analyzed pursuant to EPA method 3050B, and containing non-PVC accessible components that have less than 600 ppm of lead when analyzed pursuant to EPA method 3050B. Products that are both Lead Free and Phthalate Free shall be referred to herein as "Reformulated Products." Regent further commits that 100% of the Covered Products that it ships for sale in California after the Effective Date shall be Reformulated Products.

¹ In the event the State of California enacts legislation imposing more stringent standards than those provided above, such reduced standards shall become effective for purposes of this Consent Judgment as of the date provided for in the legislation.

sf-2517208 4

3. MONETARY PAYMENTS

3.1 Payments Pursuant to Health & Safety Code §25249.7(b)

Pursuant to Health & Safety Code §25249.7(b), the total civil penalty assessed shall be \$71,000. Regent shall pay \$11,000 in civil penalties and receive a credit of \$60,000 against this amount in light of its prompt cooperation with Dr. Held in resolving this matter, its volunteering to expand the scope of this Consent Judgment to cover a wider range of Listed Chemicals and Covered Products, and its commitments to manufacture/cause to be manufactured and sell only Reformulated Products in California after the Effective Date pursuant to Section 2.1 above.

Civil penalties are to be apportioned in accordance with California Health & Safety Code §25192, with 75% of these funds remitted to the State of California's Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA") and the remaining 25% of the penalty remitted to Anthony Held as provided by California Health & Safety Code §25249.12(d). Regent shall issue two separate checks for the penalty payment: (a) one check made payable to "Hirst & Chanler LLP in Trust For OEHHA" in the amount of \$8,250, representing 75% of the total penalty; and (b) one check to ""Hirst & Chanler LLP in Trust for Anthony Held" in the amount of \$2,750, representing 25% of the total penalty. Two separate 1099s shall be issued for the above payments: (a) OEHHA, P.O. Box 4010, Sacramento, CA, 95814 (EIN: 68-0284486); and (b) Anthony Held, whose information shall be provided five calendar days before the payment is due.

Payment shall be delivered to Dr. Held's counsel within ten days of the date that this proposed Consent Judgment is executed by all parties at the following address:

HIRST & CHANLER LLP Attn: Proposition 65 Controller 455 Capitol Mall, Suite 605 Sacramento, CA 95814

4. REIMBURSEMENT OF FEES AND COSTS

4.1 Attorney Fees and Costs.

The Parties acknowledge that Dr. Held and his counsel offered to resolve this dispute without reaching terms on the amount of fees and costs to be reimbursed to them, thereby leaving this fee issue to be resolved after the material terms of the agreement had been settled. Regent then

sf-2517208

1 expressed a desire to resolve the fee and cost issue shortly after the other settlement terms had been 2 finalized. The Parties then attempted to (and did) reach an accord on the compensation due to Dr. 3 Held and his counsel under general contract principles and the private attorney general doctrine 4 codified at California Code of Civil Procedure (CCP) §1021.5, for all work performed through the 5 mutual execution of this agreement. Regent shall reimburse Dr. Held and his counsel a total of 6 \$26,000 for fees and costs incurred as a result of investigating, bringing this matter to Regent's 7 attention, and litigating and negotiating a settlement in the public interest. Regent shall issue a 8 separate 1099 for fees and costs (EIN: 20-3929984) and shall make the check payable to "HIRST & 9 CHANLER LLP" and shall be delivered within ten days of the date that the proposed Consent 10 Judgment is executed by the parties to the following address:

> HIRST & CHANLER LLP Attn: Proposition 65 Controller 455 Capitol Mall, Suite 605 Sacramento, CA 95814

4.2 Additional Attorney Fees and Costs in Seeking Judicial Approval.

Pursuant to CCP §§1021 and 1021.5, the Parties agree that Regent will reimburse Dr. Held and his counsel for their reasonable fees and costs incurred in seeking judicial approval of this settlement agreement in the trial court, in an amount not to exceed \$8,500. Such additional fees and costs, exclusive of fees and costs that may be incurred in the event of an appeal include, but are not limited to, drafting and filing of the motion to approve papers, fulfilling the reporting requirements referenced in Health & Safety Code §25249.7(f), responding to any third party objections, corresponding with opposing counsel and appearing before the Court related to the approval process.

Reimbursement of such additional fees and costs shall be due within ten days after receipt of a billing statement from Dr. Held ("Additional Fee Claim"). Payment of the Additional Fee Claim shall be made to "Hirst & Chanler LLP," and the payment shall be delivered, at the following address:

26

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

27

28

HIRST & CHANLER LLP Attn: Proposition 65 Controller Capitol Mall Complex 455 Capitol Mall, Suite 605 Sacramento, CA 95814

Regent has the right to object to such reimbursement and may submit the resolution of this issue to the American Arbitration Association (AAA) in Northern California to determine the reasonableness of the additional fees and costs sought, provided that an arbitration such notice of objection or decision to arbitrate is received by Dr. Held by the end of the ten calendar days. If an arbitration notice is not filed with AAA in a timely manner, Dr. Held may file a motion with the Court pursuant to both CCP §1021.5 and this settlement agreement to recover additional attorney fees and costs incurred as set forth in this paragraph. In the event Regent submits the matter to arbitration, Dr. Held may seek, pursuant to CCP §1021.5, reasonable attorney fees and costs incurred for the arbitration.

5. RELEASE OF ALL CLAIMS

5.1 Dr. Held's Release of Regent

In further consideration of the promises and agreements herein contained, the injunctive relief commitments set forth in Section 2.1, and for the payments to be made pursuant to Sections 3 and 4, Dr. Held on behalf of himself, his past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors, and/or assignees, and in the interest of the general public, hereby waives all rights to institute or participate in, directly or indirectly, any form of legal action and releases all claims, including, without limitation, all actions, and causes of action, in law or in equity, suits, liabilities, demands, obligations, damages, costs, fines, penalties, losses, or expenses (including, but not limited to, investigation fees, expert fees, and attorneys' fees) of any nature whatsoever, whether known or unknown, fixed or contingent (collectively "claims"), against Regent and each of its wholesalers, licensors, licensees, import partners, auctioneers, retailers, franchisees, dealers, customers, owners, purchasers, users, parent companies, corporate affiliates (including, without limitation Royal Value, Inc.), subsidiaries, and their respective officers, directors, attorneys, representatives, shareholders, agents, and employees, and sister and parent entities (collectively "Releasees"). This release is

limited to those claims that arise under Proposition 65, as such claims relate to the alleged failure to warn about exposures to the Listed Chemicals contained in the Covered Products.

The parties further understand and agree that this release shall not extend upstream to any entities that manufactured the Products or any component parts thereof, or any distributors or suppliers who sold the Products or any component parts thereof to Regent.

5.2 Regent's Release of Dr. Held

Regent waives any and all claims against Dr. Held, his attorneys, and other representatives for any and all actions taken or statements made (or those that could have been taken or made) by Dr. Held and his attorneys and other representatives, whether in the course of investigating claims or otherwise seeking enforcement of Proposition 65 against them in this matter, and/or with respect to the Covered Products.

6. COURT APPROVAL

This Consent Judgment is not effective until it is approved and entered by the Court and shall be null and void if, for any reason, it is not approved and entered by the Court within one year after it has been fully executed by all parties, in which event any monies that have been provided to Dr. Held, or his counsel pursuant to Section 3 and/or Section 4 above, shall be refunded within fifteen (15) days after receiving written notice from Regent that the one year period has expired.

7. SEVERABILITY

If, subsequent to the execution of this Consent Judgment, any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment are held by a court to be unenforceable, the validity of the enforceable provisions remaining shall not be adversely affected.

8. GOVERNING LAW

The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California and apply within the State of California. In the event that Proposition 65 is repealed, preempted, or is otherwise rendered inapplicable by reason of law generally, or as to the Covered Products, then Regent shall have no further obligations pursuant to this Consent Judgment with respect to, and to the extent that, the Covered Products are so affected.

sf-2517208 8

9. NOTICES

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

Unless specified herein, all correspondence and notices required to be provided pursuant to this Consent Judgment shall be in writing and personally delivered or sent by: (i) first-class, (registered or certified mail) return receipt requested; or (ii) overnight courier on any party by the other party at the following addresses:

To Regent:

Roy Pomerantz REGENT BABY PRODUCTS CORPORATION 18220 Liberty Ave Jamaica, NY, 11412

With a copy to:

William F. Tarantino MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP 425 Market Street, 35th Floor San Francisco, California 94105

To Dr. Held:

Proposition 65 Coordinator HIRST & CHANLER LLP 2560 Ninth Street Parker Plaza, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710-2565

Any party, from time to time, may specify in writing to the other party a change of address to which all notices and other communications shall be sent.

10. COUNTERPARTS; FACSIMILE SIGNATURES

This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by facsimile, each of which shall be deemed an original, and all of which, when taken together, shall constitute one and the same document.

11. COMPLIANCE WITH HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.7(f)

Dr. Held agrees to comply with the reporting form requirements referenced in California Health & Safety Code §25249.7(f).

27

28

12. ADDITIONAL POST EXECUTION ACTIVITIES

The parties acknowledge that, pursuant to Health & Safety Code §25249.7, a noticed motion is required to obtain judicial approval of this Consent Judgment. In furtherance of obtaining such approval, Held and Regent and their respective counsel agree to mutually employ their best efforts to support the entry of this agreement as a Consent Judgment and obtain approval of the Consent Judgment by the Court in a timely manner. For purposes of this paragraph, best efforts shall include, at a minimum, cooperating on the drafting and filing any papers in support of the required motion for judicial approval.

13. MODIFICATION

This Consent Judgment may be modified only: (1) by written agreement of the parties and upon entry of a modified consent judgment by the Court thereon; or (2) upon a successful motion of any party and entry of a modified consent judgment by the Court. The Attorney General shall be served with notice of any proposed modification to this Consent Judgment at least fifteen days in advance of its consideration by the Court.

15 /////

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

/////

17 /////

18 /////

19 /////

20 /////

21 /////

22 /////

23 /////

24 /////

25 /////

26 /////

27 /////

28 /////

1	14. AUTHORIZATION		
2	The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment on behalf of their respective		
3	parties and have read, understood, and agree to all of the terms and conditions of this Consent		
4	Judgment.		
5	AGREED TO:	AGREED TO:	
6	Date:	Date: May 23, 2008	
7		B-12 P-12 to CEA	
8	By: Plaintiff, ANTHONY E. HELD, Ph.D., P.E.	By: Roy Wn. Pomoranta CFO Defendant, REGENT BABY PRODUCTS CORPORATION	
10			
11			
12			
13		j	
14			
15	APPROVED AS TO FORM:	APPROVED AS TO FORM:	
15 16	·	5/23/08	
	APPROVED AS TO FORM: Date:	Date: 5/23/08	
16	·	5/23/08	
16 17	Date: HIRST & CHANLER LLP	Date: 5/23/08 MORRISON & FOERSTERLLP By: Value + Call	
16 17 18	Date: HIRST & CHANLER LLP By: Clifford A. Chanler Attorneys for Plaintiff	Date: 5/23/08 MORRISON & FOERSTER/LLP By: 1000000000000000000000000000000000000	
16 17 18 19	Date:HIRST & CHANLER LLP By:Clifford A. Chanler	Date: 5/23/08 MORRISON & FOERSTERLLP By: Value + Call	
16 17 18 19 20	Date: HIRST & CHANLER LLP By: Clifford A. Chanler Attorneys for Plaintiff	Date: 5/23/08 MORRISON & FOERSTER/LLP By: 1000000000000000000000000000000000000	
16 17 18 19 20 21	Date: HIRST & CHANLER LLP By: Clifford A. Chanler Attorneys for Plaintiff	Date: 5/23/08 MORRISON & FOERSTER/LLP By: 1000000000000000000000000000000000000	
16 17 18 19 20 21	Date: HIRST & CHANLER LLP By: Clifford A. Chanler Attorneys for Plaintiff ANTHONY E. HELD, Ph.D., P.E.	Date: 5/23/08 MORRISON & FOERSTER/LLP By: 1000000000000000000000000000000000000	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Date: HIRST & CHANLER LLP By: Clifford A. Chanler Attorneys for Plaintiff ANTHONY E. HELD, Ph.D., P.E. IT IS SO ORDERED.	Date: 5/23/08 MORRISON & EOERSTER LLP Robert L. Falk Attorneys for Defendant REGENT BABY PRODUCTS CORP.	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Date: HIRST & CHANLER LLP By: Clifford A. Chanler Attorneys for Plaintiff ANTHONY E. HELD, Ph.D., P.E. IT IS SO ORDERED.	Date: 5/23/08 MORRISON & FOERSTER/LLP By: 1000000000000000000000000000000000000	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Date: HIRST & CHANLER LLP By: Clifford A. Chanler Attorneys for Plaintiff ANTHONY E. HELD, Ph.D., P.E. IT IS SO ORDERED.	Date: 5/23/08 MORRISON & EOERSTER LLP Robert L. Falk Attorneys for Defendant REGENT BABY PRODUCTS CORP.	

1	14.	AUTHORIZATION	
2	The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment on behalf of their respective		
3	parties and have read, understood, and agree to all of the terms and conditions of this Consent		
4	Judgme	ent.	
5	AGRE	ED TO: APPROVED	AGREED TO:
6	Date:	By Tony Held at 4:37 pm, May 27, 2008	Date:
7	n	anthony & Hell	D.
8 9	By: Plai	ntiff, ANTHONY E. HELD, Ph.D., P.E.	By:
10			
11	:		
12			
13			
14			
15	į	APPROVED AS TO FORM:	APPROVED AS TO FORM:
16		-10-11-000	
17	Date:	5/27/2008	Date:
18	1	HIRST & CHANLER LLP	MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP
19	By:	mys cm	By:
20		Clifford A. Chanler Attorneys for Plaintiff	Robert L. Falk Attorneys for Defendant REGENT BABY PRODUCTS CORP.
21	ANTHONY É. HELD, Ph.D., P.E.		REGENT BABY PRODUCTS CORP.
22			
23	IT IS S	O ORDERED.	
24			
25	Date:	шт	CE OF THE CHIPPION COLUMN
26	JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT		GE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
27			
28			