1 2 3	Christopher M. Martin, State Bar No. 186021 HIRST & CHANLER LLP 2560 Ninth Street Parker Plaza, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710-2565 Telephone: (510) 848-8880			
4	Facsimile: (510) 848-8118			
5	Attorneys for Plaintiff MICHAEL DIPIRRO			
7	Evelyn F. Heidelberg, State Bar No. 155521 Procopio, Cory, Hargreaves & Savitch LLP 530 B Street, Suite 2100			
8	San Diego, CA 92101 Telephone: (619) 238-1900 Facsimile: (619) 235-0398			
10	Attorneys for Defendant APPLIED MICRO CIRCUITS CORPORATION			
11				
12				
13	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA			
14	COUNTY OF ALAMEDA			
15				
16	MICHAEL DIPIRRO,	Case No.		
17	Plaintiff,			
18	v.			
19	APPLIED MICRO CIRCUITS CORPORATION,	[PROPOSED] CONSENT		
20	INC.; and DOES 1 through 150, inclusive,	JUDGMENT		
21	Defendants.			
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

#### 1.1 Parties

This Consent Judgment (also referred to herein as "Agreement") is entered into by and between plaintiff, Michael DiPirro ("Plaintiff" or "DiPirro"), and defendant Applied Micro Circuits Corporation ("AMCC" or "Defendant"), with DiPirro and Defendant together being referred to as the "Parties."

#### 1.2 Plaintiff

DiPirro is an individual residing in San Francisco County in the State of California who seeks to promote awareness of exposure to toxic chemicals and improve human health by reducing or eliminating hazardous substances contained in various consumer and commercial products.

#### 1.3 <u>Defendant</u>

Defendant employs ten or more persons and is a person in the course of doing business for purposes of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California Health & Safety Code §§ 25249.6 et seq. ("Proposition 65").

#### 1.4 General Allegations

Plaintiff alleges that Defendant has manufactured, distributed, and/or sold products with solder containing lead in the State of California without providing the requisite warnings for lead exposure required by Proposition 65. Lead is a substance listed pursuant to Proposition 65 as known to the State of California to cause birth defects and other reproductive harm. Lead is referred to herein as the "Listed Chemical."

#### 1.5 **Product Description**

The products that are covered by this Consent Judgment are defined as follows: (a) controller cards with lead-containing solder, (b) evaluation boards with lead-containing solder, (c) switchboards with lead-containing solder, and (d) integrated circuits with lead-containing solder (referred to herein collectively as "Products"). Examples of forms of solder include, but are not limited to, solder,

Lead is also listed as a Proposition 65 carcinogen; however, the State has adopted a safe harbor exposure level for lead as a carcinogen (15 ug/day) that is significantly higher than the level it has identified for lead as a reproductive toxicant under Proposition 65 (.5 ug/day), such that Parties are in agreement that only Proposition 65's requirements regarding reproductive toxicity are potentially relevant here.

solder balls, solder spheres, solder paste, wave solder, solder joints, die bumps, and flip-chip bumps. All of the Products are sold by AMCC individually and, with the exception of integrated circuits, are not sold by AMCC as a component integrated into another Product.

#### 1.6 Notices of Violation

On June 26, 2007, DiPirro served AMCC and all public enforcers entitled to receive it pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(d), with a document entitled "60-Day Notice of Violation" ("Notice") that provided Defendant and such public enforcers with notice that Plaintiff intended to file and prosecute a lawsuit at the expiration of the 60-day notice period alleging that AMCC was in violation of California Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 for failing to warn consumers, workers and others that controller cards that AMCC sold exposed users in California to the Listed Chemical. Within fifteen (15) days following his execution of this Consent Judgment, DiPirro will have served AMCC and the required public enforcement agencies with documents entitled "Supplemental Notice of Violation" ("Supplemental Notice") expressly alleging that AMCC is in violation of Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 with respect to exposures to the Listed Chemical arising from various evaluation boards, switching boards, and integrated circuits. AMCC shall use its best efforts to provide DiPirro with information necessary for him to issue it a Supplemental Notice and support a Certificate of Merit related thereto.

#### 1.7 Complaint

On \_\_\_\_\_\_, 2007, in the absence of public prosecutor action, DiPirro, who is acting in the interest of the general public in California, filed a complaint ("Complaint" or "Action") in the Superior Court in and for the County of Alameda a against AMCC, and Does 1 through 150, DiPirro v. Applied Micro Circuits Corporation; and DOES 1 through 150, inclusive, Alameda County Superior Court Case No. \_\_\_\_\_\_, alleging violations of California Health & Safety Code § 25249.6, based on the alleged exposures to the Listed Chemical contained in the Products AMCC sold or distributed. The Complaint shall be deemed amended by this Consent Judgment to include the allegations in the Supplemental Notice on the sixty-sixth (66<sup>th</sup>) day following the issuance of the Supplemental Notice if an authorized public prosecutor has not, prior to that date, filed a Proposition 65 enforcement action as to the Listed Chemical in the additional Products addressed in

the Supplemental Notice; the definitions of Products under this Consent Judgment shall also not be deemed to include the additional Products addressed in the Supplemental Notice until that time.

#### 1.8 No Admission

AMCC denies the material factual and legal allegations contained in DiPirro's Notice and Complaint and maintains that all products that they have sold in and/or distributed for sale or use in California have been and are in compliance with all laws. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission by AMCC of any fact, finding, issue of law, or violation of law, nor shall compliance with this Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by AMCC of any fact, finding, conclusion, issue of law, or violation of law, such being specifically denied by AMCC. However, this Paragraph shall not diminish or otherwise affect AMCC's obligations, responsibilities and duties under this Consent Judgment.

#### 1.9 Consent to Jurisdiction

For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over AMCC as to the allegations contained in the Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of Alameda and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter and enforce the provisions of this Consent Judgment.

#### 1.10 Effective Date

For purposes of this Settlement Agreement, the term "Effective Date" shall mean March 31, 2008.

#### 2. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF: WARNINGS AND REFORMULATION

After the Effective Date, Defendant shall not sell, ship, or offer to be shipped for sale, in California, Products containing the Listed Chemical, unless such Products are sold or shipped with the clear and reasonable warning set out in this Section 2.1, comply with the "Reformulation Standards" set forth in Section 2.3, or are otherwise exempted pursuant to Section 2.2.

#### 2.1 Product Warnings

(a) Any warning issued for Products pursuant to this Section 2.1 shall be prominently placed with such conspicuousness as compared with other words, statements, designs, or devices as to render it likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual under customary

conditions before purchase or, for Products shipped directly to an individual in California or used in the workplace in California, before use. Any warning issued pursuant to this Section 2.1 shall be provided in a manner such that the consumer or user understands to which specific Product the warning applies, so as to minimize if not eliminate the chance that an over warning situation will arise.

Judgment, AMCC may satisfy its Proposition 65 warning obligations for Products that are sold by telephone, direct sale or sales to distributors who are California residents or businesses by providing a warning with the product when it is shipped to an address in California. For the sales of all such Products, a warning may be provided with the Product when it is shipped directly to an individual or business in California by either: (i) affixing the following warning language to the packaging, labeling, or directly to a specific Product; (ii) inserting a warning card measuring at least 4" x 6" in the shipping carton which contains the following warning language; or (ii) placing the following warning statement on a written price quotation or the packing slip or customer invoice on the line directly below the description of the Product on the price quotation, packing slip or customer invoice:

WARNING: The solder used in this product contains lead, a chemical known to the State of California to cause birth defects and other reproductive harm. Please wash hands after handling and avoid inhalation of fumes if heating the solder.

Alternatively, AMCC may place the following language on the price quotation, order acknowledgment, packing slip or invoice and specifically identify the Product in lettering of the same size or larger as the description of the Product:

WARNING: The solder used in the following product(s) contain lead, a chemical known to the State of California to cause birth defects or other reproductive harm. Please wash hands after handling and avoid inhalation of fumes if heating the solder.

[list products for which warning is given].

Defendant shall, in either of these instances, in conjunction with providing the warning (except where it has been provided by on a written price quotation or order acknowledgment), also inform the customer, in a conspicuous manner, that he or she may return the Product for a full refund (including

shipping costs for both the receipt and the return of the product) within fifteen (15) days of his or her receipt of the Product, provided that the reason for the return is the Product's lead content.

#### (c) Sales to Known Integrators

Where defendant sells products to individuals or entities it knows to be in the business of integrating its products into computer systems for resale ("integrators"), defendant shall notify the integrator that the product's solder contains lead, and that the integrator should comply with the warning requirements of Proposition 65 prior to the resale of the integrated product. Defendant shall maintain a record that defendant provided each integrator with the above-mentioned written instructions and shall make said record concerning a particular integrator available for DiPirro's inspections upon request, but only if the following conditions have been met: i) DiPirro or his counsel have independently identified the integrator as having sold a product that has integrated defendant's product; ii) DiPirro or his counsel have a good faith belief that the integrator has failed to comply with the warning requirements of Proposition 65; and iii) the integrator has verified in writing that it obtained the products in question from defendant or that, in the alternative, DiPirro can independently verify that the serial number and/or identifying mark on the products in question evidence that those products were obtained from defendant.

#### 2.2 Exceptions To Warning Requirements

The warning requirements set forth in Section 2.1 shall not apply to:

- (i) Subject to implementation of Section 2.5 below, any Product received in inventory before March 31, 2008;
- (ii) Reformulated Products (as defined in Section 2.3 below); or
- (iii) Any Product in which the only possible point of exposure to the Listed Chemical is embedded in a manner that a consumer or worker would not come into contact with the Listed Chemical under any reasonably anticipated use, such as Products which are not expected to be serviced by employees or users other than those with specialized information technology and related occupational health and safety training, including servers, storage or storage and array systems, port replicators, and network infrastructure equipment for switching, signaling and transmission as well as network

management for telecommunications that serve a business's internal non-consumer market.

#### 2.3 Reformulated Products

"Reformulated Products" are defined as follows: any Product containing less than or equal to one-tenth of one percent (0.1%) lead by weight in each solder material, including all forms of solder as identified in Section 1.5, unless that material is embedded in a manner that a consumer or worker ordinarily would not come into contact with the lead under any reasonably anticipated use.<sup>2</sup> The warnings required pursuant to Section 2.1 above shall not be required for Reformulated Products.

#### 2.4 Reformulation Commitment

AMCC hereby commits that all controller cards that it offers for sale in California after the Effective Date shall qualify as Reformulated Products pursuant to Section 2.3 or be exempt from the warning requirements of Section 2.1 pursuant to Section 2.2. Further, as of the Effective Date, AMCC commits to use its commercially reasonable efforts to reformulate all other products so that they qualify as Reformulated Products pursuant to Section 2.3, except for (i) products exempted pursuant to Section 2.2(i) or (iii) above, or (ii) products purchased by customers who specifically require products containing lead, as to which products warnings will be issued pursuant to Section 2.1.

#### 2.5 Public Information Commitment

In a good faith effort to inform consumers about the risk of exposure to lead in AMCC's Products received in inventory before the Effective Date and which are not otherwise exempted

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Consistent with the European Union's Reduction of Hazardous Substances regulations ("RoHS"), the lead by weight standard set forth above shall not apply to specialty solders used in a Product, including specialty solders used with glass and ceramic microcomponents, lead in high melting temperature type solders, solders used in pin connector systems or to form connections between the pins and the package of processors, solders used to complete a viable electrical connection between a semiconductor die and carrier within an integrated circuit flip chip package, solders used for the soldering to machined through hole discoidal and planar array ceramic multilayer capacitors, and/or solder used for transducers used in high-powered loudspeakers, provided that such solders: (a) are embedded or otherwise used in a Product such that a consumer or worker (other than specially trained service provider) would not come into contact with them under any reasonably anticipated use, or (b) constitute no more than five percent (5%) of the total amount of all non-embedded solder used in the Product in question.

1	pursuant to Section	2.2 above, AMCC hereby commits to provide the following on a web page	
2	addressing environmental/regulatory issues on its website for a period of three years:		
3	Certain controller cards, evaluation boards, switchboards, and		
4 5	chen othe	grated circuits sold in California contain lead solder. Lead is a nical known to the State of California to cause birth defects and reproductive harm. Please wash hands after handling and avoid lation of fumes if heating solder.	
6	3. MONETAI	RY PAYMENTS	
7	3.1 <u>Pena</u> l	ties Pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b)	
8	Pursuant to	Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b), the total civil penalty assessed shall be	
9	\$50,000 which shall be apportioned and paid as follows:		
10	(a)	Defendant shall receive a credit of \$20,000 against this amount in light of its	
11		prompt cooperation with DiPirro in resolving this matter and its commitment	
12		to sell reformulated (or otherwise exempted) Products in California pursuant to	
13		Section 2.4 above;	
14	(b)	Defendant shall pay \$15,000 in civil penalties by sending payment via certified	
15		mail to the address provided below on or before December 31, 2007; and	
16	(c)	Defendant shall pay \$15,000 in civil penalties on or before March 1, 2008.	
17		This payment will be waived provided that Defendant complies with the public	
18		information commitment on or before February 1, 2008, as set forth in Section	
19		2.5.	
20	All payments made pursuant to this Section 3.1 shall be payable to the "HIRST &		
21	CHANLER LLP in Trust For Michael DiPirro" and shall be delivered to Plaintiff's counsel at the		
22	following address:		
23	HIRST & CHANLER LLP		
24	Attn: Proposition 65 Controller 2560 Ninth Street		
25	Parker Plaza Berkeley, Ca	, Suite 214 A 94710-2565	
26	<b>3</b> 7 = -		
27			

#### 3.2 Apportionment of Penalties Received

All penalty monies received shall be apportioned by DiPirro in accordance with Health & Safety Code § 25192, with 75% of these funds remitted by DiPirro to the State of California's Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment and the remaining 25% of these penalty monies retained by DiPirro as provided by Health & Safety Code § 25249.12(d). DiPirro shall bear all responsibility for apportioning and paying to the State of California the appropriate civil penalties paid in accordance with this Section.

#### 4. REIMBURSEMENT OF FEES AND COSTS

The Parties acknowledge that DiPirro and his counsel offered to resolve this dispute without reaching terms on the amount of fees and costs to be reimbursed to them, thereby leaving this fee issue to be resolved after the material terms of the agreement had been settled. Defendant then expressed a desire to resolve the fee and cost issue shortly after the other settlement terms had been finalized. The Parties then reached an accord on the compensation due to DiPirro and his counsel under the private attorney general doctrine codified at California Code of Civil Procedure § 1021.5 for all work performed through the Court's approval of this agreement. Under the private attorney general doctrine, Defendant shall reimburse DiPirro and his counsel for fees and costs incurred as a result of investigating, bringing this matter to Defendant's attention, litigating, and negotiating a settlement in the public interest and seeking the Court's approval of the settlement agreement. Defendant shall pay DiPirro and his counsel \$40,000 for all attorneys' fees, expert and investigation fees, litigation and related costs. The payment shall be made payable to HIRST & CHANLER LLP and shall be delivered to Plaintiff's counsel on or before December 31, 2007, at the following address:

HIRST & CHANLER LLP Attn: Proposition 65 Controller 2560 Ninth Street Parker Plaza, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710-2565

# 3 4 5 6

7

# 891011

## 14 15

12

13

16

17 18

19 20

21

2223

24

25

26

2728

#### 5. RELEASE OF ALL CLAIMS

#### 5.1 Release of Defendant and Downstream Customers

In further consideration of the promises and agreements herein contained, and for the payments to be made pursuant to Sections 3 and 4, DiPirro, on behalf of himself, his past and current agents, attorneys, successors, and/or assignees, and not acting in a representative capacity on behalf of the general public, hereby waives all rights to institute or participate in, directly or indirectly, any form of legal action and releases all claims, including, without limitation, all actions, and causes of action, in law or in equity, suits, liabilities, demands, obligations, damages, costs, fines, penalties, losses, or expenses (including, but not limited to, investigation fees, expert fees, and attorneys' fees) of any nature whatsoever, whether known or unknown, fixed or contingent (collectively "claims"), against AMCC and each of its downstream distributors, wholesalers, licensors, licensees, auctioneers, retailers, franchisees, dealers, customers, owners, purchasers, users, parent companies, corporate affiliates, subsidiaries, successors and assigns, and their respective officers, directors, attorneys, representatives, shareholders, agents, and employees, sister and parent entities, and, with respect to Products sold in AMCC's own brand names, original equipment manufacturers and distributors (collectively "releasees"). This release is limited to those claims that arise under Proposition 65, as such claims relate to AMCC's alleged failure to warn about exposures to the Listed Chemical contained in the Products.

The Parties further understand and agree that, except as provided for above, this release shall not extend upstream to any entities that manufactured the Products or any component parts thereof, or any distributors or suppliers who sold the Products or any component parts thereof to Defendant. The foregoing is not, however, intended to limit any release set forth in, or direct or indirect effect of, prior settlements or judgments Plaintiff or other enforcers of Proposition 65 have entered into with such upstream entities in terms of their application to any claims that have been or which may in the future be alleged against any Defendant with respect to the Listed Chemical in any Products sold by such upstream entities to Defendant. This Agreement also does not release any downstream party (including integrators and retailers) that either caused exposure to the Listed Chemical from Products not supplied by Defendant or, as to the future, alters a Product purchased from Defendant in such a

way as to cause it to violate the Reformulation Standards or fails to transmit the requisite warnings provided by Defendant in the manner set forth in Section 2.1 of in this Agreement.

This Consent Judgment is also a full, final and binding resolution between Plaintiff, acting on behalf of the public interest pursuant to California Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(d), and AMCC and its releasees, on the other hand, of any violation of Proposition 65 and of all claims made or which could have been made in the Notice, Supplemental Notice, and/or Complaint based on the facts asserted therein for AMCC's alleged failure to provide warnings for exposure to the Listed Chemical in the Products (as defined in Paragraph 1.5 above). Compliance by AMCC with the terms of this Consent Judgment resolves any issue, now and in the future, concerning compliance by AMCC and its releasees, with the requirements of Proposition 65 as to warnings for exposure to the Listed Chemical in the Products (as defined in Paragraph 1.5 above).

#### 5.2 Defendant's Release of DiPirro

Defendant waives any and all claims against DiPirro, his attorneys, and other representatives for any and all actions taken or statements made (or those that could have been taken or made) by DiPirro and his attorneys and other representatives, whether in the course of investigating claims or otherwise seeking enforcement of Proposition 65 against it in this matter, and/or with respect to the Products.

#### 6. COURT APPROVAL

This Agreement is not effective until it is approved and entered by the Court and shall be null and void if, for any reason, it is not approved and entered by the Court within one year after it has been fully executed by all Parties, in which event any monies that have been provided to Plaintiff or his counsel, pursuant to Section 3 and/or Section 4 above, shall be refunded within fifteen (15) days after receiving written notice from Defendant that the one-year period has expired.

#### 7. SEVERABILITY

If, subsequent to court approval of this Agreement, any of the provisions of this Agreement are held by a court to be unenforceable, the validity of the enforceable provisions remaining shall not be adversely affected.

#### 8. ATTORNEYS' FEES

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

In the event that a dispute arises with respect to any provision of this Agreement, the prevailing party shall, except as otherwise provided herein, be entitled to recover reasonable costs and attorneys' fees incurred in connection with such dispute.

#### 9. GOVERNING LAW

The terms of this Agreement shall be governed by the laws of the State of California and apply within the State of California. In the event that Proposition 65 is repealed or is otherwise rendered inapplicable by reason of law generally, or as to the products, then Defendant shall provide written notice to DiPirro of any asserted change in the law, and shall have no further obligations pursuant to this Agreement with respect to, and to the extent that, the Products are so affected.

#### 10. NOTICES

Unless specified herein, all correspondence and notices required to be provided pursuant to this Settlement Agreement shall be in writing and personally delivered or sent by: (i) first-class, (registered or certified mail) return receipt requested; or (ii) overnight courier on any Party by the other Party at the following addresses:

#### To DiPirro:

Proposition 65 Coordinator HIRST & CHANLER LLP 2560 Ninth Street Parker Plaza, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710-2565

#### To AMCC:

Cindi Moreland, Esq. Vice President and General Counsel Applied Micro Circuits Corporation 215 Moffett Parks Drive Sunnyvale, CA 94089

And an email copy to: efh@procopio.com

Any Party, from time to time, may specify in writing to the other Party a change of address to which all notices and other communications shall be sent.

# 

#### 

## 

#### 

# 

# 

#### 

# 

#### 

#### 

#### 11. COUNTERPARTS; FACSIMILE SIGNATURES

This Agreement may be executed in counterparts and by facsimile, each of which shall be deemed an original, and all of which, when taken together, shall constitute one and the same document.

#### 12. COMPLIANCE WITH HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 25249.7(f)

DiPirro agrees to comply with the reporting form requirements referenced in Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(f).

#### 13. ADDITIONAL POST EXECUTION ACTIVITIES

DiPirro and AMCC agree to mutually employ their best efforts to support the entry of this Agreement as a Consent Judgment and obtain approval of it by the Court in a timely manner. The Parties acknowledge that, pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7, a noticed motion is required to obtain judicial approval of this Agreement. Accordingly, the Parties agree to file a Motion to Approve the Agreement (the "motion"), which shall be prepared by Plaintiff's counsel and reviewed by AMCC's counsel prior to filing with the Court. AMCC shall have no additional responsibility to Plaintiff's counsel pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure § 1021.5 or otherwise with regard to reimbursement of any fees and costs incurred with respect to the preparation and filing of the motion or with regard to Plaintiff's counsel appearing for a hearing thereon.

#### 14. MODIFICATION

This Agreement may be modified only: (1) by written agreement of the Parties and upon entry of a modified Settlement Agreement by the Court thereon; or (2) upon a successful motion of any Party and entry of a modified Settlement Agreement by the Court. The Attorney General shall be served with notice of any proposed modification to this Agreement at least fifteen (15) days in advance of its consideration by the Court.

#### 15. AUTHORIZATION

The undersigned are authorized to execute this Agreement on behalf of their respective Parties and have read, understood, and agree to all of the terms and conditions of this Agreement.

12/28/2007 01:39 13123761804

HIRST & CHANLER LLP

PAGE 02/03

1		
	AGREED TO:	AGREED TO:
1	AGREED 10.	
2	Date:	Date:
3		
4	D.	By: Defendant, APPLIED MICRO CIRCUITS
1	By: Plaintiff, MICHAEL DIPIRRO	CORPORATION
5	·	APPROVED AS TO FORM:
Ì	APPROVED AS TO FORM:	APPROVED AS TO A OXUM
7	Date: 12/24/07	Date: 1/2 08
8	[ ]	PROCOPIO, CORY, HARGREAVES & SAVITCH LLP
9	HIRST & CHANLER LLP	SAVITCH LLP
10	100	Challe Challenn
11	By: Christopher M. Martin	By: Evelyn F. Heidelberg
12	Christopher M. Martin Attorneys for Plaintiff MICHAEL DiPIRRO	Attorneys for Defendant APPLIED MICRO CIRCUITS
13		CORPORATION
14		
15		
16	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
17		<i>∶</i>
18	Date:	AND STREET, OR COURT
19	JUD	GE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28	1	3
	PROPOSED  CON	SENT JUDGMENT

12/26/2007 13:01 15108488118

HIRST&CHANLER

PAGE 02/02

AGREED TO:	AGREED TO:
Date: 12/26/87	Date: 12   2   07
By: Sy: Plaintiff, MICHAEL DIPIRRO	By Morland Defendant, APPLIED MICRO CIRCLE CORPORATION VP General Counsel
APPROVED AS TO FORM:	APPROVED AS TO FORM:
Date:	Date:
HIRST & CHANLER LLP	PROCOPIO, CORY, HARGREAVES SAVITCH LLP
By:	
Christopher M. Martin Attorneys for Plaintiff MICHAEL DiPIRRO	By: Evelyn F. Heidelberg Attorneys for Defendant APPLIED MICRO CIRCUITS
	CORPORATION
IT IS SO ORDERED.	
<b>*</b>	
Date:	