1 2	Christopher M. Martin, State Bar No. 186021 HIRST & CHANLER LLP 566 W. Adams, Suite 450		
3	Chicago, IL 60661 Telephone: (312) 376-1801		
4	Facsimile: (312) 376-1804		
5	Attorneys for Plaintiff MICHAEL DIPIRRO		
6	Timothy D. Martin, State Bar No. 223174		
7	JEFFER MANGELS BUTLER & MARMARO LLP		
8	1900 Avenue of the Stars, 7 th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90067-4308		
9	Telephone: (310) 712-6824 Facsimile: (310) 712-3330		
10	Attorneys for Defendants		
11	BEST DATA PRODUCTS, INC.; DIAMOND MULTIMEDIA		
12			
13			
14	SUPERIOR COURT OF TH	E STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
15	FOR THE COUNTY OF ALAMEDA		
16	UNLIMITED CIVIL JURISDICTION		
17			
18	MICHAEL DIPIRRO,	Case No. RG-07-354944	
19	Plaintiff,		
20	v.		
21	BEST DATA PRODUCTS, INC.; DIAMOND	[PROPOSED] CONSENT	
22	MULTIMEDIA; and DOES 1 through 150, inclusive,	JUDGMENT	
23	Defendants.		
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 Parties

This Consent Judgment (also referred to herein as "Agreement") is entered into by and between plaintiff, Michael DiPirro ("plaintiff" or "DiPirro"), and defendants Best Data Products, Inc. and Diamond Multimedia ("Best Data" and "Diamond" respectively, or, collectively, "defendants"), with DiPirro and defendants together being referred to as the "Parties."

1.2 Plaintiff

DiPirro is an individual residing in San Francisco County in the State of California who seeks to promote awareness of exposure to toxic chemicals and improve human health by reducing or eliminating hazardous substances contained in various consumer and commercial products.

1.3 Defendants

Each defendant employs ten or more persons and are persons in the course of doing business for purposes of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California Health & Safety Code §§ 25249.6 et seq. ("Proposition 65").

1.4 General Allegations

Plaintiff alleges that defendants have manufactured, distributed, and/or sold modem cards with solder containing lead in the State of California without providing the requisite warnings for lead exposure required by Proposition 65. Lead is a substance listed pursuant to Proposition 65 and is known to the State of California to cause birth defects and other reproductive harm. Lead is referred to herein as the "Listed Chemical."

1.5 **Product Description**

The products that are covered by this Consent Judgment are defined as follows: (a) modem cards with solder containing lead and components, and (b) products into which modem cards that contain lead solder are integrated, including, but not limited to computer systems and their

¹ Lead is also listed as a Proposition 65 carcinogen; however, the State has adopted a safe harbor exposure level for lead as a carcinogen (15 ug/day) that is significantly higher than the level it has identified for lead as a reproductive toxicant under Proposition 65 (.5 ug/day), such that Parties are in agreement that only Proposition 65's requirements regarding reproductive toxicity are potentially relevant here.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1.6 **Notices of Violation**

referred to herein as "non-integrated products."

On June 26, 2007, DiPirro served defendants and all public enforcers entitled to receive it pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(d), with a document entitled "60-Day Notice of Violation" ("Notice"). This Notice provided defendants and such public enforcers with notice that Plaintiff intended to file and prosecute a lawsuit at the expiration of the 60-day notice period alleging that defendants were in violation of California Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 for failing to warn consumers, workers and others that the Products that defendants sold exposed users in California to the Listed Chemical. Within fifteen (15) days following his execution of this Consent Judgment, DiPirro will have served defendants and the required public enforcement agencies with documents entitled "Supplemental Notice of Violation" ("Supplemental Notice") expressly alleging that defendants are in violation of Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 with respect to exposures to the Listed Chemical arising from various sound cards and graphics cards (in addition to modem cards), including sound cards, graphics cards and TV tuners sold as non-integrated products and sound cards and graphics cards sold in integrated products. Upon issuance of the Supplemental Notice, the definition of "Products" in Section 1.5 above, shall be expanded to include the sound cards, graphics cards and TV tuners provided therein. Each defendant shall use its best efforts to provide DiPirro with information necessary for him to issue them a Supplemental Notice and support a Certificate of Merit related thereto.

subcomponents (such as desktop computer CPUs, laptop computers, servers, hard drives, and port

replicators). Examples of forms of solder include, but are not limited to, solder, solder balls, solder

spheres, solder paste, wave solder, solder joints, die bumps, and flip-chip bumps. All such modem

cards with lead-containing solder, and components and products into which such modem cards that

contain lead solder are integrated, are referred to herein as "Products." Products that contain modem

cards that are integrated into a another product, such as a computer system or subcomponent thereof,

prior to the sale or acquisition of the Product into which the modem card is integrated, are referred to

herein as "integrated products." Products that are modem cards sold individually and not as a

component integrated into another Product, such as a computer system or component thereof, are

1.7 Complaint

On November 6, 2007, in the absence of public prosecutor action, DiPirro, who is acting in the interest of the general public in California, filed a complaint ("Complaint" or "Action") in the Superior Court in and for the County of Alameda against defendants, and Does 1 through 150, DiPirro v. Best Data Products, Inc.; et al., Alameda County Superior Court Case No. RG07354944, alleging violations of California Health & Safety Code § 25249.6, based on the alleged exposures to the Listed Chemical contained in the Products defendants sold. The Complaint shall be deemed amended by this Consent Judgment to include the sound card and graphics card products in the Supplemental Notice on the sixty-sixth (66th) day following the issuance of the Supplemental Notice if an authorized public prosecutor has not, prior to that date, filed a Proposition 65 enforcement action as to the Listed Chemical in the additional Products addressed in the Supplemental Notice.

1.8 No Admission

Defendants deny the material factual and legal allegations contained in DiPirro's Notice and Complaint and maintain that all products that they sold and/or distributed for sale or use in California have been and are in compliance with all laws. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission by defendants of any fact, finding, issue of law, or violation of law, nor shall compliance with this Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by defendants of any fact, finding, conclusion, issue of law, or violation of law, such being specifically denied by defendants. However, this Paragraph shall not diminish or otherwise affect defendants' obligations, responsibilities and duties under this Consent Judgment.

1.9 Consent to Jurisdiction

For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over defendants as to the allegations contained in the Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of Alameda and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter and enforce the provisions of this Consent Judgment.

1.10 Effective Date

For purposes of this Settlement Agreement, the term "Effective Date" shall mean May 15, 2008.

2. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF: WARNINGS AND REFORMULATION

After June 15, 2008, defendants shall not sell, ship, or offer to be shipped for sale, in California, Products that are: (a) non-integrated products containing the Listed Chemical, or (b) Products that are integrated products sold in defendant's own brand name that contain the Listed Chemical in the non-integrated product placed within them, unless such Products are sold or shipped with the clear and reasonable warning set out in this Section 2.1, comply with the "Reformulation Standards" set forth in Section 2.3, or are otherwise exempted pursuant to Section 2.2.

2.1 **Product Warnings**

Any warning issued for Products pursuant to this Section 2.1 shall be prominently placed with such conspicuousness as compared with other words, statements, designs, or devices as to render it likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual under customary conditions before purchase or, for Products shipped directly to an individual in California or used in the workplace in California, before use. Any warning issued pursuant to this Section 2.1 shall be provided in a manner such that the consumer or user understands to which specific Product the warning applies, so as to minimize if not eliminate the chance that an over warning situation will arise.

Sections 2.1(a) and 2.1(b) below describe defendants' options for satisfying its warning obligations with respect to the preceding paragraph (where applicable) depending, in part, on the manner of sale.

(a) Retail Store and Service-Related Transactions.

From June 15, 2008, when required under this Consent Judgment, a warning may be given by affixing the following language to the packaging or label of, or directly on, each Product sold in, or otherwise provided in the course of service occurring in, California that states:

WARNING: The solder used in this product contains lead, a chemical known to the State of California to cause birth defects and other reproductive harm. Please wash hands after handling internal components and circuit boards and avoid inhalation of fumes if heating the solder.²

² For integrated products, a defendant may add the words "the motherboard of" or "the circuit boards in" immediately prior to "this product" in the above warning or any of the warning formulations that follow below.

(b) Mail Order Catalog and Internet Sales.

Where required under this Consent Judgment, defendants may satisfy their Proposition 65 warning obligations for Products that are sold by mail order catalog or from the Internet or telephone/facsimile order to California residents or businesses by providing a warning: (i) in the mail order catalog; (ii) on the website; and/or (iii) with the product when it is shipped to an address in California as further specified in Sections 2.1(b)(i), (ii), and/or (iii), as applicable:

(i) Mail Order Catalog Warning. Any warning provided in a mail order catalog must be in the same type size or larger as the Product description text within the catalog.³

The following warning shall be provided on the same page and in the same location as the display and/or description of the Product:

WARNING: The solder used in this product contains lead, a chemical known to the State of California to cause birth defects and other reproductive harm. Please wash hands after handling internal components and circuit boards and avoid inhalation of fumes if heating the solder.

Where it is impracticable to provide the warning on the same page and in the same location as the display and/or description of the non-integrated product, a defendant may utilize the designated triangular symbol shown in the warning language immediately below to cross reference the example warning and shall define the term "designated symbol" with the following language on the inside of the front cover of the catalog or on the same page as any order form for the non-integrated product(s):

WARNING: The solder used in certain products identified with this symbol ▲ and offered for sale in this catalog contain lead, a chemical known to the State of California to cause birth defects and other reproductive harm. Please wash hands after handling internal components and circuit boards and avoid inhalation of fumes if heating the solder.

The designated symbol must appear on the same page and in close proximity to the display and/or description of the Product. On each page where the designated symbol appears, the defendant must

³ If a defendant elects to provide warnings in the mail order catalog, then the warnings must be included in all catalogs offering to sell one or more Products submitted for printing after the Effective Date.

provide a header or footer directing the consumer to the warning language and definition of the designated symbol.

(ii) Internet Website Warning. A warning may be given in conjunction with the sale of a Product via the Internet, provided it appears either: (a) on the same web page on which the Product is displayed with its features described and related details provided; (b) on the same web page as the order form for the Product; (c) on the same page as the price for the Product; or (d) on one or more web pages displayed to a purchaser during the checkout process. The following warning statement shall be used and shall appear in any of the above instances adjacent to or immediately following the display, description, or price of the Product for which it is given in the same type size or larger as the product description text:

WARNING: The solder used in this product contains lead, a chemical known to the State of California to cause birth defects and other reproductive harm. Please wash hands after handling internal components and circuit boards and avoid inhalation of fumes if heating the solder.

Alternatively, the designated symbol may appear adjacent to or immediately following the display, description, or price of the Product for which a warning is being given, provided that the following warning statement also appears elsewhere on the same web page:

WARNING: Products identified on this page with the following symbol use solder that contains lead, a chemical known to the State of California to cause birth defects and other reproductive harm: ▲. Please wash hands after handling internal components and circuit boards and avoid inhalation of fumes if heating the solder.

(iii) Package Insert or Label Warning. For all Products sold by catalog or via the Internet or by telephone, a warning may be provided with the Product when it is shipped directly to an individual or business in California by either: (a) affixing the following warning language to the packaging, labeling, or directly to a specific Product; (b) inserting a warning card measuring at least 4" x 6" in the shipping carton which contains the following warning language; or (c) placing the following warning statement on a written price quotation or the packing slip or customer invoice

on the line directly below the description of the Product on the price quotation, packing slip or customer invoice:

WARNING: The solder used in this product contains lead, a chemical known to the State of California to cause birth defects and other reproductive harm. Please wash hands after handling internal components and circuit boards and avoid inhalation of fumes if heating the solder.

Alternatively, a defendant may place the following language on the price quotation, packing slip or invoice and specifically identify the Product in lettering of the same size or larger as the description of the Product:

WARNING: The solder used in the following product(s) contain lead, a chemical known to the State of California to cause birth defects or other reproductive harm. Please wash hands after handling internal components and circuit boards and avoid inhalation of fumes if heating the solder.

[delineate list products for which warning is given].

A defendant shall, in either of these instances, in conjunction with providing the warning (except where it has been provided by on a written price quotation issued prior to consummation of a sales transaction), also inform the consumer, in a conspicuous manner, that he or she may return the Product for a full refund (including shipping costs for both the receipt and the return of the product)

2.2 Exceptions To Warning Requirements

within fifteen (15) days of his or her receipt of the Product.

The warning requirements set forth in Section 2.1 shall not apply to:

- (i) Subject to implementation of Section 2.5 below, any Product (a) manufactured before June 15, 2008, or (b) which is offered as a part for any such Product;
- (ii) Reformulated Products (as defined in Section 2.3 below); or
- (iii) Any Product in which the only possible point of exposure to the Listed Chemical is embedded in a manner that a consumer or worker would not come into contact with the Listed Chemical under any reasonably anticipated use, such as Products which are not expected to be serviced by employees or users other than those with specialized information technology and related occupational health and safety training, including

servers, storage or storage and array systems, port replicators, and network infrastructure equipment for switching, signaling and transmission as well as network management for telecommunications that serve a business's internal non-consumer market.

2.3 Reformulation Products

"Reformulated Products" are defined as follows: any Product containing less than or equal to one-tenth of one percent (0.1%) lead by weight in each solder material, including all forms of solder as identified in Section 1.5, unless that material is embedded in a manner that a consumer or worker ordinarily would not come into contact with the lead under any reasonably anticipated use. The warnings required pursuant to Section 2.1 above shall not be required for Reformulated Products.

2.4 Reformulation Commitment

Each defendant hereby commits that all of its own branded Products that it offers for sale in California after June 15, 2008 shall qualify as Reformulated Products pursuant to Section 2.3 or be exempt from the warning requirements of Section 2.1 pursuant to Section 2.2. Further, as of May 31, 2008, each defendant commits to use its commercially reasonable efforts to obtain non-integrated products branded under other's names that it sells in California, if any, so that they also qualify as Reformulated Products pursuant to Section 2.3 or are otherwise exempt pursuant to Section 2.2 above.

2.5 **Public Information Commitment**

In a good faith effort to inform consumers about the risk of exposure to lead in defendants' Products manufactured before June 15, 2008 and which are not otherwise exempted pursuant to

⁴ Consistent with the European Union's Reduction of Hazardous Substances regulations ("RoHS"), the lead by weight standard set forth above shall not apply to specialty solders used in motherboards, including specialty solders used with glass and ceramic microcomponents, lead in high melting temperature type solders, solders used in pin connector systems or to form connections between the pins and the package of microprocessors, solders used to complete a viable electrical connection between a semiconductor die and carrier within an integrated circuit flip chip package, solders used for the soldering to machined through hole discoidal and planar array ceramic multilayer capacitors, and/or solder used for transducers used in high-powered loudspeakers, provided that such solders: (a) are embedded or otherwise used in a motherboard such that a consumer or worker (other than specially trained service provider) would not come into contact with them under any reasonably anticipated use, or (b) constitute no more than five percent (5%) of the total amount of all non-embedded solder used in the motherboard in question.

Section 2.2 above, each defendant hereby commits to provide the following on a web page addressing environmental/regulatory issues on the defendant's website for a period of three years:

Certain motherboards, mainboards, circuit boards and accessories sold in California contain lead solder. Lead is a chemical known to the State of California to cause birth defects and other reproductive harm. Please wash hands after handling such internal components and avoid inhalation of fumes if heating solder.

Each defendant further agrees that, no later than ninety (90) days following the Effective Date, it will also provide substantially similar notification to its contracted service providers who may handle or otherwise come into contact with lead containing solder in non-integrated products contained within branded integrated products manufactured for that defendant before the Effective Date and which are not otherwise exempted pursuant to Section 2.2 above.

3. MONETARY PAYMENTS

3.1 Penalties Pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b)

Pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b), the total civil penalty assessed shall be \$20,000 which shall be apportioned and paid as follows:

(a) Defendant shall pay \$20,000 in civil penalties and receive a credit of \$17,000 against this amount (leaving a net of \$3,000 to be paid as civil penalties) in light of its prompt cooperation with DiPirro in resolving this matter and its commitment to sell only reformulated (or otherwise exempted) branded Products in California pursuant to Section 2.4 above.

All payments made pursuant to this Section 3.1 shall be payable to the "HIRST & CHANLER LLP in Trust For Michael DiPirro" and shall be delivered to Plaintiff's counsel at the following address on or before May 15, 2008:

HIRST & CHANLER LLP Attn: Proposition 65 Controller 2560 Ninth Street Parker Plaza, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710-2565

1

6

8

9

7

10 11

12 13

14 15

16

17

18

19

2021

22

23

24

25

25

26

27

28

3.2 Apportionment of Penalties Received

All penalty monies received shall be apportioned by DiPirro in accordance with Health & Safety Code § 25192, with 75% of these funds remitted by DiPirro to the State of California's Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment and the remaining 25% of these penalty monies retained by DiPirro as provided by Health & Safety Code § 25249.12(d). DiPirro shall bear all responsibility for apportioning and paying to the State of California the appropriate civil penalties paid in accordance with this Section.

4. **REIMBURSEMENT OF FEES AND COSTS**

The Parties acknowledge that DiPirro and his counsel offered to resolve this dispute without reaching terms on the amount of fees and costs to be reimbursed to them, thereby leaving this fee issue to be resolved after the material terms of the agreement had been settled. Defendants then expressed a desire to resolve the fee and cost issue shortly after the other settlement terms had been finalized. The Parties then reached an accord on the compensation due to DiPirro and his counsel under the private attorney general doctrine codified at California Code of Civil Procedure § 1021.5 for all work performed through the Court's approval of this agreement. Under the private attorney general doctrine, each defendant shall reimburse DiPirro and his counsel for fees and costs incurred as a result of investigating, bringing this matter to that Defendant's attention, litigating, and negotiating a settlement in the public interest and seeking the Court's approval of the settlement agreement. Defendants shall respectively pay DiPirro and his counsel \$28,000 for all attorneys' fees, expert and investigation fees, litigation and related costs. The payments required pursuant to paragraph 4 shall be made payable to HIRST & CHANLER LLP and shall be delivered in three installments: the first installment shall be delivered on or before May 15, 2008 in the amount of \$9,333; the second installment shall be delivered on or before June 15, 2008 in the amount of \$9,333; and the third installment shall be delivered on or before July 15, 2008 in the amount of \$9,334. All installments shall be delivered to the following address:

HIRST & CHANLER LLP Attn: Proposition 65 Controller 2560 Ninth Street Parker Plaza, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710-2565

2

4 5 6

7 8

10

9

11 12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

2021

22

2324

25

26

27

28

5. **RELEASE OF ALL CLAIMS**

5.1 Release of Defendant and Downstream Customers

In further consideration of the promises and agreements herein contained, and for the payments to be made pursuant to Sections 3 and 4, DiPirro, on behalf of himself, his past and current agents, attorneys, successors, and/or assignees, and not acting in a representative capacity on behalf of the general public, hereby waives all rights to institute or participate in, directly or indirectly, any form of legal action and releases all claims, including, without limitation, all actions, and causes of action, in law or in equity, suits, liabilities, demands, obligations, damages, costs, fines, penalties, losses, or expenses (including, but not limited to, investigation fees, expert fees, and attorneys' fees) of any nature whatsoever, whether known or unknown, fixed or contingent (collectively "claims"), against defendants and each of their downstream wholesalers, licensors, licensees, auctioneers, retailers, franchisees, dealers, customers, owners, purchasers, users, parent companies, corporate affiliates, subsidiaries, successors and assigns, and their respective officers, directors, attorneys, representatives, shareholders, agents, and employees, sister and parent entities, and, with respect to Products sold in defendants' own brand names, original equipment manufacturers and distributors (collectively "releasees"). This release is limited to those claims that arise under Proposition 65, as such claims relate to defendant's alleged failure to warn about exposures to the Listed Chemical contained in the Products.

The Parties further understand and agree that, except as provided for above, this release shall not extend upstream to any entities that manufactured the Products or any component parts thereof, or any distributors or suppliers who sold the Products or any component parts thereof to defendants. The foregoing is not, however, intended to limit any release set forth in, or direct or indirect effect of, prior settlements or judgments plaintiff or other enforcers of Proposition 65 have entered into with such upstream entities in terms of their application to any claims that have been or which may in the future be alleged against any defendant with respect to the Listed Chemical in any Products sold by such upstream entities to such defendant. This Agreement also does not release any downstream party (including integrators and retailers) that either caused exposure to the Listed Chemical from Products not supplied by a defendant or, as to the future, alters a Product purchased from a defendant

in such a way as to cause it to violate the Reformulation Standards or fails to transmit the requisite warnings provided by defendant in the manner set forth in Section 2.1 of in this Agreement.

This Consent Judgment is also a full, final and binding resolution between plaintiff, acting on behalf of the public interest pursuant to California Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(d), on the one hand, and each of the defendants and their releasees, on the other hand, of any violation of Proposition 65 and of all claims made or which could have been made in the Notice, Supplemental Notice, and/or Complaint based on the facts asserted therein for defendants' alleged failure to provide warnings for exposure to the Listed Chemical in modem cards (as defined in Paragraph 1.5 above) which are either non-integrated products or are contained in integrated products. Compliance by a defendant with the terms of this Consent Judgment resolves any issue, now and in the future, concerning compliance by such defendant and its releasees, with the requirements of Proposition 65 as to warnings for exposure to the Listed Chemical in modem cards (as defined in Paragraph 1.5 above) which are non-integrated products or are contained in integrated products.

5.2 <u>Defendants' Release of DiPirro</u>

Defendants waive any and all claims against DiPirro, his attorneys, and other representatives for any and all actions taken or statements made (or those that could have been taken or made) by DiPirro and his attorneys and other representatives, whether in the course of investigating claims or otherwise seeking enforcement of Proposition 65 against it in this matter, and/or with respect to the Products.

6. COURT APPROVAL

This Agreement is not effective until it is approved and entered by the Court and shall be null and void if, for any reason, it is not approved and entered by the Court within one year after it has been fully executed by all Parties, in which event any monies that have been provided to plaintiff or his counsel, pursuant to Section 3 and/or Section 4 above, shall be refunded within fifteen (15) days after receiving written notice from any defendant that the one-year period has expired.

7. **SEVERABILITY**

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

If, subsequent to court approval of this Agreement, any of the provisions of this Agreement are held by a court to be unenforceable, the validity of the enforceable provisions remaining shall not be adversely affected.

8. **ATTORNEYS' FEES**

In the event that a dispute arises with respect to any provision of this Agreement, the prevailing party shall, except as otherwise provided herein, be entitled to recover reasonable costs and attorneys' fees incurred in connection with such dispute.

9. **GOVERNING LAW**

The terms of this Agreement shall be governed by the laws of the State of California and apply within the State of California. In the event that Proposition 65 is repealed or is otherwise rendered inapplicable by reason of law generally, or as to the products, then a defendant shall provide written notice to DiPirro of any asserted change in the law, and shall have no further obligations pursuant to this Agreement with respect to, and to the extent that, the Products are so affected.

10. **NOTICES**

Unless specified herein, all correspondence and notices required to be provided pursuant to this Settlement Agreement shall be in writing and personally delivered or sent by: (i) first-class, (registered or certified mail) return receipt requested; or (ii) overnight courier on any Party by the other Party at the following addresses:

To DiPirro:

Proposition 65 Coordinator HIRST & CHANLER LLP 2560 Ninth Street Parker Plaza, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710-2565

To Defendants:

Timothy D. Martin JEFFER MANGELS BUTLER & MARMARO LLP 1900 Avenue of the Stars, 7th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90067-4308

28

Any Party, from time to time, may specify in writing to the other Party a change of address to which all notices and other communications shall be sent.

11. COUNTERPARTS; FACSIMILE SIGNATURES

This Agreement may be executed in counterparts and by facsimile, each of which shall be deemed an original, and all of which, when taken together, shall constitute one and the same document.

12. COMPLIANCE WITH HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 25249.7(f)

DiPirro agrees to comply with the reporting form requirements referenced in Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(f).

13. ADDITIONAL POST EXECUTION ACTIVITIES

DiPirro and defendants agree to mutually employ their best efforts to support the entry of this Agreement as a Consent Judgment and obtain approval of it by the Court in a timely manner. The Parties acknowledge that, pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7, a noticed motion is required to obtain judicial approval of this Agreement. Accordingly, the Parties agree to file a Motion to Approve the Agreement (the "motion"), which shall be prepared by plaintiff's counsel and reviewed by defendants' counsel prior to filing with the Court. Defendants shall have no additional responsibility to plaintiff's counsel pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure § 1021.5 or otherwise with regard to reimbursement of any fees and costs incurred with respect to the preparation and filing of the motion or with regard to plaintiff's counsel appearing for a hearing thereon.

14. **MODIFICATION**

This Agreement may be modified only: (1) by written agreement of the Parties and upon entry of a modified Settlement Agreement by the Court thereon; or (2) upon a successful motion of any Party and entry of a modified Settlement Agreement by the Court. The Attorney General shall be served with notice of any proposed modification to this Agreement at least fifteen (15) days in advance of its consideration by the Court.

AUTHORIZATION 15.

The undersigned are authorized to execute this Agreement on behalf of their respective Parties

AGREED TO:	AGREED TO:
Pate:	Date:
y:Plaintiff, MICHAEL DiPIRRO	By: Defendant, BEST DATA PRODUCTS, INC.
AGREED TO:	
Pate:	
y:	
APPROVED AS TO FORM:	APPROVED AS TO FORM:
Pate: 5/8/68	Date:
HIRST & CHANLER LLP	JEFFER MANGELS BUTLER & MARMARO LLP
Christopher M. Martin Attorneys for Plaintiff MICHAEL DIPIRRO	By:
TIS SO ORDERED.	

HIRST & CHANLER LLP

PAGE 05/10

05/09/2008 13:19 3235839618

YOUNG BAE FASHIONS

PAGE 01

05/07/2008 22:20 13123761804

HIRST & CHANLER LLP

PAGE 06/06

1	15. AUTHORIZATION	,	
2	The undersigned are authorized to execute this Agreement on behalf of their respective Parties		
3	and have read, understood, and agree to all of the terms and conditions of this Agreement.		
4			
5	AGREED TO:	AGREED TO:	
б	Date: 5/8/88 By: 10-5-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-	Date:	
7			
8	By: N-SIM	By: Defendant, BEST DATA	
9	Plaintiff, MICHAEI/DIPIRRO	PRODUCTS, INC.	
10			
11	AGREED TO:		
12	Date:		
13			
14	By:		
15	By: Defendant, DIAMOND MULTIMEDIA		
16	APPROVED AS TO FORM:	APPROVED AS TO FORM:	
17		Date:	
18	Date:	JEFFER MANGELS BUTLER	
19	HIRST & CHANLER UP	& MARMARO LLP	
20		Earn	
21	By: Christopher M. Martin	By: Timothy D. Martin Attorneys for Defendants	
22	Attorneys for Plaintiff MICHAEL DiPIRRO	BEST DATA PRODUCTS, INC.; DIAMOND MULTIMEDIA	
23		DESTRICTION PROPERTY	
24			
25	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
26			
27	Date:	OGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT	
28	JU)	NO OF THE SPEEDING COOM!	

AUTHORIZATION 15.

1

2

3

The undersigned are authorized to execute this Agreement on behalf of their respective Parties and have read, understood, and agree to all of the terms and conditions of this Agreement.

AGREED TO:	AGREED TO:
Date:	Date: MAY 9 2007
By: Plaintiff, MICHAEL DiPIRRO	By: Defendant, BEST DATA PRODUCTS, INC.
AGREED TO:	PRODUCTS, INC.
Date: MAY 9th, 2008 By: By: Defendant DIAMOND MILL TIMEDIA	
By: Defendant, DIAMOND MULTIMEDIA	
APPROVED AS TO FORM:	APPROVED AS TO FORM
Date:HIRST & CHANLER LLP	Date: JEFFER MANGELS BUTLER & MARMARO LLP
By: Christopher M. Martin Attorneys for Plaintiff MICHAEL DiPIRRO	By: Timothy D. Martin Attorneys for Defendants BEST DATA PRODUCTS, INC.;
	DIAMOND MULTIMEDIA
IT IS SO ORDERED.	
Date:	DGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT

AGREED TO:	AGREED TO:
Date:	Date:
By:Plaintiff, MICHAEL DiPIRRO	By: Defendant, BEST DATA PRODUCTS, INC.
AGREED TO:	
Date:	
By: Defendant, DIAMOND MULTIMEDIA	
APPROVED AS TO FORM:	APPROVED AS TO FORM:
Pate:	Date: 5/12/08
HIRST & CHANLER LLP	JEFFER MANGELS BUTLER & MARMARO LLP
Sy:	By: Timothy D. Martin Attorneys for Defendants BEST DATA PRODUCTS, INC.; DIAMOND MULTIMEDIA

Date: