	1		
	2		
	3		
	4		
	5		
	6		
	7		
	8	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE S	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
	9	FOR THE COUNTY	OF ALAMEDA
	10	UNLIMITED JURISDICTION	
	11	PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, ex rel. BILL LOCKYER, Attorney General, et al.,) Case No. RG 04-162075
	12	Plaintiffs,	(Consolidated with RG 04-162037, RG) 04-169511)
	13	vs.)) [PROPOSED] AMENDED CONSENT
	14	BURLINGTON COAT FACTORY) JUDGMENT AS TO PLANET) BEAUTY, INC. AND BEENA
	15	WAREHOUSE CORPORATION, et al,) BEAUTY HOLDING, INC.
	16	Defendants.))
	17)
	18	AND RELATED CONSOLIDATED CASES.	
,	19		. *
	20	1. INTRODUCTION	
	22		entered into by the Center For Environmental
	23	Health, a California non-profit corporation ("CEH")	
	24	Holding, Inc. (collectively, the "Settling Defendants	
	25	against Settling Defendants as set forth in the operat	
	26	Environmental Health v. Nadri, Inc., et al., Alameda 269531 (the "Nadri Action").	a County Superior Court Case No. KG 00-
	27	, ,	ginal complaint in the Nadri Action, which was
	28	1.2 On way 12, 2000, CETT filed tile offg	mai complaint in the traut Action, which was
DOCUMENT ON RECYCL	Prepared		
		AMENDED CONSENT JUDGMENT – PLANET BEAUT	Y, INC. AND BEENA BEAUTY HOLDING, INC.

later consolidated with three other actions including the lead case entitled *People v. Burlington Coat Factory et al.* (Alameda Superior Court Case No. RG 04-162075).

- 1.3 On February 21, 2006, upon noticed motion, the Court entered a Consent Judgment against a group of other defendants in the consolidated actions (the "Master Consent Judgment").
- 1.4 On June 15, 2006, upon noticed motion, the Court amended the Master Consent Judgment by entering an Amended Consent Judgment in the consolidated actions (the "Amended Master Consent Judgment").
- 1.5 On June 28, 2007, CEH provided a "Notice of Violation of Proposition 65" to the California Attorney General, the District Attorneys of every county in California, the City Attorneys of every California city with a population greater than 750,000, and to Planet Beauty, Inc. and Beena Beauty Holding, Inc., regarding the presence of lead in jewelry manufactured, distributed or sold by Settling Defendants.
- 1.6 On November 8, 2007, the complaint in the *Nadri* Action was amended to name additional defendants, including Planet Beauty, Inc. and Beena Beauty Holding, Inc. (the Fourth Amended Complaint in the *Nadri* Action is herein referred to as the "Complaint").
- 1.7 Settling Defendants are corporations that employ 10 or more persons, and which manufacture, distribute and/or sell Covered Products in the State of California.
- 1.8 For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, CEH and Settling Defendants (the "Parties") stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the Complaint and personal jurisdiction over Settling Defendants as to the acts alleged in the Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of Alameda, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment as a full and final resolution of all claims which were or could have been raised in the Complaint based on the facts alleged therein with respect to Covered Products manufactured, distributed, and/or sold by Settling Defendants.
- 1.9 CEH and Settling Defendants enter into this Consent Judgment as a full and final settlement of all claims that were raised in the Complaint, or which could have been raised in the Complaint, arising out of the facts or conduct related to Settling Defendants alleged therein. By execution of this Consent Judgment and agreeing to comply with its terms, the Parties do not

DOCUMENT PREPARED

admit any facts or conclusions of law, including, but not limited to, any facts or conclusions of law suggesting or demonstrating any violations of Proposition 65 or any other statutory, common law or equitable requirements relating to lead in jewelry. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law or violation of law, nor shall compliance with the Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive or impair any right, remedy, argument or defense the Parties may have in this or any other or future legal proceedings. This Consent Judgment is the product of negotiation and compromise and is accepted by the Parties for purposes of settling, compromising, and resolving issues disputed in this action.

2. **DEFINITIONS**

- 2.1 The term "Covered Products" means (a) the following ornaments worn by a person: an anklet, arm cuff, bracelet, brooch, chain, crown, cuff link, hair accessories, earring, necklace, pin, ring, and Body Piercing Jewelry, or (b) any bead, chain, link, pendant, or other component of such an ornament.
- 2.2 The term "Effective Date" means the date this Consent Judgment is entered by the Court.

3. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

- 3.1 **Reformulation of Covered Products.** After the Effective Date, Settling Defendants shall not manufacture, ship, or sell or offer for sale any Covered Product within the United States that contains any component, or is made of any material, that contains more than 0.01 percent lead by weight (100 ppm). Any determination of lead content of a Covered Product under this Consent Judgment shall be conducted pursuant to the Test Protocols set forth in Exhibit A.
- 3.2 Market Withdrawal of Covered Products. On or before the Effective Date,
 Settling Defendants shall cease shipping (1) the Metal and CZ Owl Necklace, Item No. 435, which
 was identified in the 60-Day Notice of Violation sent by CEH to Settling Defendants, and (2) the
 Pave Hair Clips, Manufacturer ID No. 7-81580-00671-1 (the "Recall Products"), to stores and/or

DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

4. ENFORCEMENT

4.1 CEH may, by motion or application for an order to show cause before the Superior Court of the County of Alameda, enforce the terms and conditions contained in this Consent Judgment. Should CEH prevail on any motion or application under this section, CEH shall be entitled to recover its reasonable attorneys' fees and costs associated with such motion or application.

5. PAYMENTS

- 5.1 **Payments From Settling Defendants.** Within five days of the entry of this Consent Judgment, Settling Defendants shall pay the total sum of \$35,000 as a settlement payment.
- 5.2 Allocation of Payments. The total settlement amount for Settling Defendants shall be paid in three separate checks delivered to the offices of the Lexington Law Group, LLP (Attn: Eric Somers), 1627 Irving Street, San Francisco, California 94122 and made payable and allocated as follows:
- 5.2.1 Settling Defendants shall pay the sum of \$1,000 as a penalty pursuant to Health & Safety Code §25249.7(b),
- 5.2.2 Settling Defendants shall pay the sum of \$11,200 as payment to CEH in lieu of penalty pursuant to Health & Safety Code \$25249.7(b), and California Code of Regulations, title 11, \$3202(b). CEH will use such funds to continue its work educating and

DOCUMENT PREPARED

protecting people from exposures to toxic chemicals, including heavy metals. In addition, CEH may use a portion of such funds to monitor and enforce compliance with the reformulation requirements of this and other similar Consent Judgments. The payment in lieu of penalty check shall be made payable to the Center For Environmental Health.

5.2.3 Settling Defendants shall pay the sum of \$22,800 as reimbursement of reasonable attorneys' fees and costs. The attorneys' fees and cost reimbursement check shall be made payable to the Lexington Law Group, LLP.

6. MODIFICATION AND DISPUTE RESOLUTION

- 6.1 **Modification.** This Consent Judgment may be modified from time to time by express written agreement of the Parties, with the approval of the Court, or by an order of this Court upon motion and in accordance with law.
- 6.2 **Notice; Meet and Confer.** Any Party seeking to modify this Consent Judgment shall attempt in good faith to meet and confer with all affected Parties prior to filing a motion to modify the Consent Judgment.

7. CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASE

- This Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution between CEH and Settling Defendants and their parents, shareholders, divisions, subdivisions, subsidiaries, partners, sister companies and their successors and assigns ("Defendant Releasees"), and all entities other than those listed on Exhibit B of this Consent Judgment to whom they distribute or sell Covered Products, including but not limited to distributors, wholesalers, customers, retailers, franchisees, cooperative members, and licensees ("Downstream Defendant Releasees"), of any violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory or common law claims that have been or could have been asserted in the public interest against Settling Defendants, Defendant Releasees, and Downstream Defendant Releasees, regarding the failure to warn about exposure to lead arising in connection with Covered Products manufactured, distributed, or sold by Settling Defendants prior to the Effective Date.
- 7.2 CEH, for itself and acting on behalf of the public interest pursuant to Health and Safety Code §25249.7(d), releases, waives, and forever discharges any and all claims against

- 6 -

AMENDED CONSENT JUDGMENT - PLANET BEAUTY, INC. AND BEENA BEAUTY HOLDING, INC.

DOCUMENT PREPARED

ON RECYCLED PAPER

2

3

9.

4

5

7

8

6

9 10

11 12

14

13

15 16

17

18

19

20 21

22 23

24 25

26

27

28

COURT APPROVAL

- This Consent Judgment shall become effective on the Effective Date, provided 9.1 however, that CEH shall prepare and file a Motion for Approval of this Consent Judgment and Settling Defendants shall support approval of such Motion.
- If this Consent Judgment is not entered by the Court, it shall be of no force or effect 9.2 and shall not be introduced into evidence or otherwise used in any proceeding for any purpose.

GOVERNING LAW AND CONSTRUCTION 10.

- The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of 10.1 California.
- The Parties, including their counsel, have participated in the preparation of this 10.2 Consent Judgment and this Consent Judgment is the result of the joint efforts of the Parties. This Consent Judgment has been accepted and approved as to its final form by all Parties and their counsel. Accordingly, any uncertainty or ambiguity existing in this Consent Judgment shall not be interpreted against any Party as a result of the manner of the preparation of this Consent Judgment. Each Party to this Consent Judgment agrees that any statute or rule of construction providing that ambiguities are to be resolved against the drafting Party should not be employed in the interpretation of this Consent Judgment and, in this regard, the Parties hereby waive California Civil Code §1654.

ENTIRE AGREEMENT 11.

This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter hereof, and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments, or understandings related thereto, if any, are hereby merged herein and therein. There are no warranties, representations, or other agreements between the Parties except as expressly set forth herein. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those specifically referred to in this Consent Judgment have been made by any Party hereto. No other agreements not specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties hereto. No supplementation, modification,

- 11				
1	waiver, or termination of this Consent Judgment shall be binding unless executed in writing by the			
2	Party to be bound thereby. No waiver of any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment shall be			
3	deemed or shall co	deemed or shall constitute a waiver of any of the other provisions hereof whether or not similar,		
4	nor shall such wai	ver constitute a continuing waiver.		
5	12. RETENT	ION OF JURISDICTION		
6	12.1 Thi	is Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement or modify the		
7	Consent Judgment	i.		
8	13. AUTHOR	TITY TO STIPULATE TO CONSENT JUDGMENT		
9	13.1 Eac	ch signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorized		
10	by the party he or	she represents to stipulate to this Consent Judgment and to enter into and		
11	execute the Consent Judgment on behalf of the party represented and legally to bind that party.			
12	14. NO EFFECT ON OTHER SETTLEMENTS			
13	14.1 No	thing in this Consent Judgment shall preclude CEH from resolving any claim		
14	against an entity that is not Settling Defendants on terms that are different than those contained ir			
15	this Consent Judgment.			
16	15. EXECUT	ION IN COUNTERPARTS		
17	15.1 Th	e stipulations to this Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by		
18	means of facsimile, which taken together shall be deemed to constitute one document.			
19				
20	IT IS SO STIPUL	ATED:		
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				
EPARED		- 8 -		

1	Dated: November, 2008	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALT
2	Dated: November, 2008 May 22, 2009	
3		Co-
4		
5		CHARLIE PIZARRO
6		Printed Name
7		ASSOCIATE DIRECTOR
8		Title
9		
10	Dated: November, 2008	PLANET BEAUTY, INC.
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		Printed Name
16		
17		Title
18	4	
19	Dated: March, 2009	BEENA BEAUTY HOLDING, INC.
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		Printed Name
25		
26		Title
27		
28	Landanian managas and a same same same same same same same sa	
NT PREPARED (CLED PAPER		- 9 -

2	Dated: November, 2008	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH
3 4		
5		
6 7		Printed Name
8		Title
10	Dated: November , 2008	PLANET BEAUTY, INC.
11	Dated: November, 2008 \(\square - \chi \chi \chi \chi \chi \chi \chi \chi	
12 13		1 Sh
14		
15		Printed Name Printed Name
16		pves.
17		Title
18 19		
20	Dated: March, 2009	BEENA BEAUTY HOLDING, INC.
21		
22		
23 24		Bahman Fakhimi
25		Printed Name
26		Title
27		1 IIIC
28		
IENT PREPARED CYCLED PAPER		- 9 - PLANET BEAUTY, INC. AND BEENA BEAUTY HOLDING, INC.

1	IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED
2	AND DECREED
3	Dated:
4	Honorable Robert B. Freedman Judge of the Superior Court of the State of California
5	Judge of the Superior Court of the State of Camorina
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER	- 10 - AMENDED CONSENT JUDGMENT - PLANET BEAUTY, INC. AND BEENA BEAUTY HOLDING, INC.

EXHIBIT A (TESTING PROTOCOLS)

The following test methods must be used to determine compliance with the lead standards set forth in this Consent Judgment. Laboratory sample preparation protocols specific for testing the lead content of jewelry components are not readily available. The sample preparation method used in USEPA Method 3050B or Method 3051 shall be followed, as modified in the following table for use with jewelry samples. The laboratory should make every effort to assure that samples removed from jewelry pieces are representative of the component to be tested, and are free of contamination from extraneous dirt and material not related to the jewelry component to be tested. All jewelry component samples shall be washed prior to testing using standard laboratory detergent, rinsed with laboratory reagent grade deionized water, and dried in a clean ambient environment. If components must be cut or scraped to obtain a sample, then metal snips, scissors, or other cutting tools used must be made of stainless steel and washed and rinsed before each use and between samples.

Samples should be digested in containers that are known to be free of lead using acids that are not contaminated by lead. Analytical Reagent grade digestion acids and reagent grade deionized water are required. Method Blanks, consisting of all reagents used in sample preparation handled, digested and made to volume in the same exact manner and in the same container type as samples, shall be tested with each group of 20 or fewer samples tested. The results for the Method Blank shall be reported with each group of sample results, and shall be below the stated reporting limit for sample results to be considered valid.

All jewelry components samples shall be prepared for testing in accordance with USEPA Method 3050B or 3051, with the following additional notes and exceptions:

- 2 -

1	COMPONENT	NOTES AND EXCEPTIONS
2 3	Metals plated with suitable undercoats and finish coats	Digestion using hot concentrated nitric acid with optional hydrochloric acid and optional hydrogen peroxide. Sample size
4		should be 0.050 g to 1 g. Digested samples may require dilution prior to analysis. Digestion and analysis should achieve a
5		reported detection limit no greater than 0.1% for samples. Any necessary dilutions shall be made to assure that measurements are made within the calibrated range of the analytical instrument.
6	Thursteed metal and metal	Digestion using hot concentrated nitric acid with optional
7 8	Unplated metal and metal substrates not defined as Class 1 Components.	hydrochloric acid and optional hydrogen peroxide. Sample size should be 0.050 g to 1 g. Digested samples may require dilution
	- Cause 7 Compension	prior to analysis. Digestion and analysis should achieve a
9		reported detection limit no greater than 0.01% for samples. Any necessary dilutions shall be made to assure that measurements
		are made within the calibrated range of the analytical instrument.
11	Polyvinyl chloride (PVC)	Digestion using hot concentrated nitric acid with optional hydrochloric acid and optional hydrogen peroxide. Sample size
13		should be a minimum of 0.05 g if using microwave digestion or 0.5 if using hot plate digestion, and should be chopped or
14		comminuted prior to digestion. Digested samples may require dilution prior to analysis. Digestion and analysis should achieve
15		a reported detection limit no greater than 0.001% (10 ppm) for samples. Any necessary dilutions shall be made to assure that
16		measurements are made within the calibrated range of the analytical instrument.
17	Non-PVC Plastic/Rubber	Digestion using hot concentrated nitric acid with optional
18	(e.g., acrylic, polystyrene,	hydrochloric acid and optional hydrogen peroxide. Sample size
19	plastic beads/stones).	should be a minimum of 0.05 g if using microwave digestion or 0.5 if using hot plate digestion and should be chopped or
20		comminuted prior to digestion. Plastic beads or stones should be crushed prior to digestion. Digested samples may require
21		dilution prior to analysis. Digestion and analysis should achieve a reported detection limit no greater than 0.001% (10 ppm) for
22		samples. Any necessary dilutions shall be made to assure that measurements are made within the calibrated range of the
23		analytical instrument.
24	Coatings on Glass and	The coating of glass or plastic beads should be scraped onto a
25	Plastic Pearls.	surface free of dust, such as a clean weighing paper or pan, using a clean stainless steel razor blade or other clean sharp instrument
26		that will not contaminate the sample with lead. The razor blade or sharp instrument should be rinsed with deionized water, wiped
27		to remove particulate matter, rinsed again, and dried between samples. Weigh the scrapings. A minimum of 50 mg of scraped
28		- 3 -

1		coating should be used for analysis. If less than 50 mg of scraped coating is obtained from an individual pearl, then multiple pearls
2		from that sample must be scraped and composited to obtain a sufficient sample amount. The number of pearls used to make the
3		composite must be noted. Avoid inclusion of the substrate pearl
4		material in the scrapings. Digest the scrapings according to USEPA Method 3050B or 3051 or equivalent procedure for hot
5		acid digestion in preparation for trace lead analysis. Dilute the digestate in the minimum volume practical for analysis. Analyze
6		the digested sample according to specification of Exhibit A
7		(approved, validated methodology for inductively-coupled plasma mass spectrometry). A reporting limit of 0.001% (10
8		ppm) in the coating must be obtained for the analysis. The sample result must be reported within the calibrated range of the
9		instrument. If the initial test of the sample is above the highest
10		calibration standard, then the sample must be diluted and reanalyzed within the calibrated range of the instrument.
11	Dyes, paints, coatings,	Digestion using hot concentrated nitric acid with optional
12	varnish, printing inks, ceramic glazes, glass,	hydrochloric acid and optional hydrogen peroxide. Sample size should be a minimum of 0.050 g, and should be chopped or
13	crystal	comminuted prior to digestion.
14		Digested samples may require dilution prior to analysis.
15		Digestion and analysis should achieve a reported detection limit no greater than 0.001% (10 ppm) for samples. Any necessary
16		dilutions shall be made to assure that measurements are made within the calibrated range of the analytical instrument.
17	Glass and crystal used in	The components should be free of any extraneous material such
18	Children's Products (for weight)	as adhesive before they are weighed. The scale used to weigh these components should be calibrated using NIST certified (S-
19		class) weights of 1 and 2 grams immediately before the components are weighed. The calibration should be accurate to
20		within 0.01 gram.
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		- 4 -

EXHIBIT B 1 (LIST OF ENTITIES NOT SUBJECT 2 TO DOWNSTREAM DEFENDANT RELEASE) 3 4 Albertson's LLC; Albertson's, Inc.; New Albertson's, Inc. 1. 2. A-List, Inc. dba Kitson 5 3. Amiee Lynn, Inc. 6 4. AZ3, Inc. 7 5. BCBG Max Azria Group, Inc. 8 6. Big A Drug Stores, Inc. 9 7. Busch Entertainment Corporation 10 8. **Conair Corporation** 11 9. Cousin Corporation of America 12 10. Creative Visions, Inc. dba Country Clutter 13 Elite Distributing Company dba Edco 11. 14 12. Furla (U.S.A.) Incorporated 13. Georgiou Studio, Inc. 15 14. Goody Products, Inc. 16 15. Hand & Mind, Inc. 17 Hayun Fashion Investments Corporation dba Planet Funk 16. 18 17. I Love Bracelets, Inc. 19 Ivorette-Texas, Inc. dba Upstart Crow Trading Company 18. 20 19. Jacadi USA, Inc. 21 20. La-Kontra 22 21. Legoland California LLC 23 22. Lisa Kline, Inc. 24 Long Rap, Inc. 23. 24. Mango 25 25. Marin Beauty Company 26 26. Max Rave, LLC 27 27. Peter David, Inc. 28 - 1 -

(TESTING PROTOCOLS)

1		
1	28.	Raley's
2	29.	Rite Aid Corporation
3	30.	Safeway Inc.
4	31.	Scünci International, Inc.
5	32.	Sea World, Inc.
6	33.	Shoe Pavilion Corporation; Shoe Pavilion, Inc.
7	34.	Six Flags Theme Parks, Inc.
8	35.	Urban Outfitters West LLC; Urban Outfitters, Inc.
	36.	Venus Fashion Jewelry
9	37.	Whole Foods Market California, Inc.; Whole Foods Market, Inc.
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
ں ہے		- 1 -