1	LEXINGTON LAW GROUP, LLP MARK N. TODZO, STATE BAR NO. 168389				
2	HOWARD HIRSCH, STATE BAR NO. 213209 1627 Irving Street				
3	San Francisco, CA 94122 Telephone: (415) 759-4111				
4	Facsimile: (415) 759-4112				
5	Attorneys for Plaintiff CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH				
6	CENTERT OR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH				
7					
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA				
9	COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO				
10	UNLIMITED JURISDICTION				
11					
12	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH,) Case No. CGC-08-475980			
13	a non-profit corporation,))			
14	Plaintiff,	() [PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT() RE: ACTION SPORTS IMAGE, LLC			
15	V.				
16	ACTION SPORTS IMAGE, LLC, et al.))			
17	Defendants.))			
18))			
19	1 INTRODUCTION				
20					
21	1.1 On June 4, 2008, plaintiff the Center for Environmental Health ("CEH"), a				
22	nonprofit corporation acting in the public interest, filed a complaint ("the Complaint") in San Francisco County Superior Court, entitled <i>Center for Environmental Health v. Action Sports</i>				
23	•	-			
24	Image, LLC, San Francisco County Superior Court Case Number CGC-08-475980 (the "CEH Action") for givil panelties and injunctive relief pursuant to the provisions of California Health &				
25	Action"), for civil penalties and injunctive relief pursuant to the provisions of California Health &				
26	Safety Code §25249.5 <i>et seq</i> . ("Proposition 65"). 1.2 Defendant Action Sports Image, LLC ("Defendant") is a limited liability company				
27	that employs 10 or more persons and distributes and/or sells soft food and beverage containers				
28					
DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER	- 1 - CONSENT JUDGMENT				

such as lunchboxes and coolers made of materials containing lead and/or lead compounds (the "Products") in the State of California.

- 1.3 On or about December 6, 2007, CEH served Defendant and the appropriate public enforcement agencies with the requisite 60-day notice that Defendant was allegedly in violation of Proposition 65. CEH's notice and the Complaint in this Action allege that Defendant exposes individuals who use or otherwise handle the Products to lead and/or lead compounds (referred to interchangeably herein as "Lead"), chemicals known to the State of California to cause cancer, birth defects and other reproductive harm, without first providing clear and reasonable warning to such persons regarding the carcinogenicity and reproductive toxicity of Lead. The notice and Complaint allege that Defendant's conduct violates Health & Safety Code §25249.6, the warning provision of Proposition 65.
- 1.4 For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of the violations alleged in the Complaint and personal jurisdiction over Defendant as to the acts alleged in the Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of San Francisco, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment as a full and final resolution of all claims which were or could have been raised in the Complaint based on the facts alleged therein.
- 1.5 The Parties enter into this Consent Judgment pursuant to a settlement of certain disputed claims between the Parties as alleged in the Complaint. By executing this Consent Judgment, the Parties do not admit any facts or conclusions of law. It is the parties' intent that nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law or violation of law, nor shall compliance with the Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive or impair any right, remedy, argument or defense the Parties may have in this or any other or future legal proceedings.

ON RECYCLED PAPER

2. COMPLIANCE - REFORMULATION

- 2.1 **Level.** Defendant shall not distribute, ship, sell, or offer for sale, any Product manufactured more than ninety days after entry of this Consent Judgment (the "Compliance Date") in which the interior lining of the Product or any polyvinyl chloride ("PVC") material contains Lead in concentrations that exceed 200 parts per million ("ppm") or in which the exterior surface-coating contains Lead concentrations exceeding 600 ppm.
- 2.2 **Specification of Level to Suppliers.** Defendant shall issue specifications to its suppliers requiring that the interior lining of the Products and exterior surface-coating of the Products do not contain materials which contain Lead concentrations exceeding 200 ppm and 600 ppm, respectively, and that the exterior of the Products do not contain PVC material with Lead concentrations exceeding 200 ppm.
- 2.3 **Availability of Test Data.** To the extent that Defendant is otherwise required by law to perform lead testing on the Products, Defendant shall, upon request by CEH, provide the results of such testing to CEH on a confidential basis.

3. SETTLEMENT PAYMENTS

- 3.1 **Monetary Payment in Lieu of Penalty**: \$5,000 shall be paid to CEH in lieu of any penalty pursuant to Health and Safety Code § 25249.7(b). CEH shall use such funds to continue its work protecting people from exposures to toxic chemicals. As part of this work, CEH intends to conduct periodic testing of the Products. The payment required under this section shall be made payable to CEH.
- 3.2 **Attorneys' Fees and Costs**: \$10,000 shall be used to reimburse CEH and its attorneys for their reasonable investigation fees and costs, attorneys' fees, and any other costs incurred as a result of investigating, bringing this matter to Defendant's attention, litigating and negotiating a settlement in the public interest. The payment required under this section shall be made payable to Lexington Law Group.
- 3.3 **Timing of payments.** The payments required under this section shall be delivered to the address set forth in Section 11 below within 10 days of entry of this Consent Judgment by the Court.

4. ENFORCEMENT OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

4.1 The Parties may, by motion or application for an order to show cause before the Superior Court of the County of San Francisco, enforce the terms and conditions contained in this Consent Judgment.

5. MODIFICATION OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

- 5.1 This Consent Judgment may be modified by written agreement of CEH and Defendant, or upon motion of CEH or Defendant as provided by law. Any Party seeking to modify this Consent Judgment shall attempt in good faith to meet and confer with all affected Parties prior to filing a motion to modify the Consent Judgment.
- Attorney General of the State of California or Plaintiff permit any other reformulation standard by way of settlement or compromise with any other person in the course of doing business, or any other entity, or if another reformulation standard for Products is incorporated by way of final judgment as to any other person in the course of doing business, or any other entity, then Defendant is entitled to seek a modification to this Consent Judgment on the same terms as provided in those settlements, compromises or judgments.

6. APPLICATION OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

- 6.1 This Consent Judgment shall apply to and be binding upon the parties hereto, their divisions, subdivisions and subsidiaries, and the successors or assigns of any of them.
- 6.2 This Consent Judgment shall not apply to Products manufactured, distributed, or sold by Defendant for use outside of California.

7. CLAIMS COVERED

7.1 This Consent Judgment is a full, final and binding resolution between CEH on the one hand, and Defendant and its parent, shareholders, divisions, subdivisions, subsidiaries, affiliates, and licensors and each of their successors and assigns ("Defendant Releasees"), and all entities to whom they distribute or sell Products, including but not limited to distributors, wholesalers, customers, retailers, franchisees, cooperative members, and licensees ("Downstream Defendant Releasees") on the other hand, of any violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory

or common law claims that have been or could have been asserted in the public interest or on behalf of the general public against Defendant, Defendant Releasees, and Downstream Defendant Releasees based on failure to warn about exposure to Lead contained in the Products, or any claim based on the facts or conduct alleged in the Complaint, or facts similar to those alleged, whether based on actions committed by Defendant, Defendant Releasees, or Downstream Defendant Releasees, with respect to any Products distributed, shipped, sold, or offered for sale by Defendant on or prior to the Compliance Date. Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment by Defendant and Defendant Releasees resolves any issue in the future concerning compliance by Defendant, Defendant Releasees and Downstream Defendant Releasees regarding failure to warn about exposure to Lead arising in connection with Products distributed, shipped, sold, or offered for sale by Defendant after the date of entry of this Consent Judgment. This Section does not limit or affect the obligations of any party created under this Consent Judgment.

7.2 CEH, for itself and acting on behalf of the public interest pursuant to Health and Safety Code § 25249.7(d), releases, waives, and forever discharges any and all claims against Defendant, Defendant Releasees, and Downstream Defendant Releasees arising from any

7.2 CEH, for itself and acting on behalf of the public interest pursuant to Health and Safety Code § 25249.7(d), releases, waives, and forever discharges any and all claims against Defendant, Defendant Releasees, and Downstream Defendant Releasees arising from any violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory or common law claims that have been or could have been asserted in the public interest or on behalf of the general public regarding the failure to warn about exposure to Lead arising in connection with Products distributed, shipped, sold, or offered for sale by Defendant prior to or after the date of entry of this Consent Judgment, or any claim based on the facts or conduct alleged in the Complaint, or facts similar to those alleged.

8. ENTIRE AGREEMENT

8.1 This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter hereof, and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments, or understandings related thereto, if any, are hereby merged herein and therein. There are no warranties, representations, or other agreements between the Parties except as expressly set forth herein. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those specifically referred to in this Consent Judgment have been made by any Party hereto. No other agreements not specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise,

shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties hereto. No supplementation, modification, waiver, or termination of this Consent Judgment shall be binding unless executed in writing by the Party to be bound thereby. No waiver of any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment shall be deemed or shall constitute a waiver of any of the other provisions hereof whether or not similar, nor shall such waiver constitute a continuing waiver.

9. GOVERNING LAW

- 9.1 The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California.
- 9.2 The Parties, including their counsel, have participated in the preparation of this Consent Judgment and this Consent Judgment is the result of the joint efforts of the Parties. This Consent Judgment was subject to revision and modification by the Parties and has been accepted and approved as to its final form by all Parties and their counsel. Accordingly, any uncertainty or ambiguity existing in this Consent Judgment shall not be interpreted against any Party as a result of the manner of the preparation of this Consent Judgment. Each Party to this Consent Judgment agrees that any statute or rule of construction providing that ambiguities are to be resolved against the drafting Party should not be employed in the interpretation of this Consent Judgment and, in this regard, the Parties hereby waive California Civil Code section 1654.

10. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION

10.1 This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement and enforce the terms this Consent Judgment.

11. PROVISION OF NOTICE

11.1 All notices required pursuant to this Consent Judgment and correspondence shall be sent to the following:

For CEH:

Mark N. Todzo
 Lexington Law Group, LLP
 1627 Irving Street
 San Francisco, CA 94122

DOCUMENT PREPARED

ON RECYCLED PAPER

For Defendant:					
J. Thomas Warlick IV					
3	General Counsel & Vice President of Business Affairs Motorsports Authentics				
4 6301 Performance Drive	6301 Performance Drive				
Tel: (704) 454-4098					
Fax: (704) 454-4028					
With a copy to:					
Jeffrey B. Margulies, Esq.					
9 555 South Flower Street, 41st	555 South Flower Street, 41st Floor				
	Los Angeles, CA 90071 Tel: (213) 892-9286				
Fax: (213) 892-9494					
12. COURT APPROVAL					
12.1 If this Consent Judgm	ent is not approved by the Court, it shall be of no further				
force or effect, and shall not be introd	uced into evidence or otherwise used in any proceeding for				
any purpose.					
13. ATTORNEY'S FEES					
13.1 A party who unsuccess	fully brings or contests an action arising out of this Consent				
Judgment shall be required to pay t	he prevailing party's reasonable attorney's fees and costs				
unless the unsuccessful party has a	cted with substantial justification. For purposes of this				
Consent Judgment, the term substanti	Consent Judgment, the term substantial justification shall carry the same meaning as used in the				
Civil Discovery Act of 1986, Code of Civil Procedure §§ 2016, et seq.					
13.2 Notwithstanding Secti	on 13.1, a party who prevails in an enforcement action				
brought pursuant to Section 4.1 may seek an award of attorney's fees pursuant to Code of Civil					
Procedure § 1021.5 against a party t	Procedure § 1021.5 against a party that acted with substantial justification. The party seeking				
such an award shall bear the burden o	such an award shall bear the burden of meeting all of the elements of § 1021.5, and this provision				
shall not be construed as altering any procedural or substantive requirements for obtaining such					
an award.					
28					
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 111 112 113 114 115 116 117 118 119 22 22 23 224 225 226 227	J. Thomas Warlick IV General Counsel & Vice Preside Motorsports Authentics 6301 Performance Drive Concord, North Carolina 2802 Tel: (704) 454-4098 Fax: (704) 454-4028 With a copy to: Jeffrey B. Margulies, Esq. Fulbright & Jaworski L.L.P. 555 South Flower Street, 41st Los Angeles, CA 90071 Tel: (213) 892-9286 Fax: (213) 892-9494 12. COURT APPROVAL 12.1 If this Consent Judgment force or effect, and shall not be introde any purpose. 13. ATTORNEY'S FEES 13.1 A party who unsuccess Judgment shall be required to pay the unless the unsuccessful party has a Consent Judgment, the term substantic Civil Discovery Act of 1986, Code of 13.2 Notwithstanding Section 13.2 Notwithstanding Section 13.2 Notwithstanding Section 13.2 Notwithstanding Section 13.3 and Section 14.1 may Procedure § 1021.5 against a party the such an award shall bear the burden of shall not be construed as altering any				

1	13.3 Nothing in this Section 13 shall preclude a Party from seeking an award of		
2	sanctions pursuant to law.		
3	. EXECUTION AND COUNTERPARTS		
4	14.1 The stipulations to this Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by		
5	means of facsimile, which taken together shall be deemed to constitute one document.		
6	15. AUTHORIZATION		
7	15.1 Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorized		
8	by the party he or she represents to stipulate to this Consent Judgment and to enter into and		
9	execute the Consent Judgment on behalf of the party represented and legally bind that party. The		
10	undersigned have read, understand and agree to all of the terms and conditions of this Consent		
11	Judgment. Except as explicitly provided herein, each party is to bear its own fees and costs.		
12	AGREED TO:		
13			
14	Dated: July, 2008 CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH		
15			
16	By Mehal 9		
17	Michael Green, Executive Director		
18	Center for Environmental Health		
19	Dated: July, 2008 ACTION SPORTS IMAGE, LLC.		
20			
21	Ву		
22	IT IS SO ORDERED ADMINGED AND DESPEED AT THE STATE OF THE		
23	IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED, this day of, 2008.		
24			
25	Judge of the Superior Court		
26			
27			
28			
PARED	- 8 -		

1		13.3	Nothing in this Section 13 shall preclude a Party from seeking an a	award of
2	sancti	ions pur	rsuant to law.	
3	14. EXECUTION AND COUNTERPARTS			
4		14.1	The stipulations to this Consent Judgment may be executed in counterpart	ts and by
5	means	s of facs	simile, which taken together shall be deemed to constitute one document.	
6	15.	AUTHORIZATION		
7		15.1	Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully au	ıthorized
8	by the	e party	he or she represents to stipulate to this Consent Judgment and to enter	into and
9	execute the Consent Judgment on behalf of the party represented and legally bind that party. The			
10	under	signed l	have read, understand and agree to all of the terms and conditions of this	Consent
11	Judgn	nent. Ex	except as explicitly provided herein, each party is to bear its own fees and cos	its.
12	AGR	EED TO	0:	
13				
14	Dated	: July _	, 2008 CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HE	ALTH
15				
16				
17			By Michael Green, Executive Director	
18	more es		Center for Environmental Health	
19	Dated	: July <u>/</u>	ACTION SPORTS IMAGE, LLC.	
20				
21			By She Ville	and.
22			By J. Thomas Warlick, IV, Gen Counsel + Corporate Secretar	ry
23	IT IS	SO ORI	DERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED, this day of, 2	2008.
24				
25			Judge of the Superior Court	- ::
26				
27				
28				
ARED			- 8 -	