1	LEXINGTON LAW GROUP Eric Somers, State Bar No. 139050		
2	Mark N. Todzo, State Bar No. 168389 Howard Hirsch, State Bar No. 213209		
3	1627 Irving Street San Francisco, CA 94122		
4	Telephone: (415) 759 – 4111 Facsimile: (415) 759 – 4112		
5	Attorneys for Plaintiff		
6	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH	ł	
7			
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
9	IN AND FOR THE COU	JNTY OF ALAMEDA	
10			
11			
12	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL ) HEALTH, a non-profit corporation, )	No. RG 08-399102	
13	Plaintiff,	[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO DEFENDANT	
14	vs. ,	SAN FRANCISCO BASEBALL ASSOCIATES L.P.	
15	ALEXX, INC.; BUILD-A-BEAR		
16	WORKSHOP, INC.; THE FAITH () COLLECTION, INC.; DRAKE DESIGN; ()	Complaint Filed: July 18, 2008	
17	PURE & SIMPLE SOLUTIONS, LLP; SAN ) FRANCISCO BASEBALL ASSOCIATES )	00mp.mm01 med. 100y 10, 2000	
18	L.P.; and Defendant DOES 1 through 200, inclusive,		
19	j ,		
20	Defendants. )		
21			
22			
23	1. INTRODUCTION		
24	<b>1.1</b> On July 18, 2008, plainti	ff the Center for Environmental Health	
25	("CEH"), a non-profit corporation acting in the	public interest, filed a complaint in	
26	Alameda County Superior Court, entitled Cente	er for Environmental Health v. Alexx, Inc., et	
27	al., Alameda County Superior Court Case Number RG-08-399102 (the "Action"), for civil		
28			
	701856721v2	_	

[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO DEFENDANT SFBA

penalties and injunctive relief pursuant to the provisions of California Health & Safety Code § 25249.5 et seq. ("Proposition 65").

- 1.2 Defendant San Francisco Baseball Associates L.P. ("SFBA") is a "person in the course of doing business" under Proposition 65 and distributes and/or sells keychains and charms (collectively, the "Products") in the State of California. SFBA and CEH are referred to collectively herein as the Parties.
- appropriate public enforcement agencies with the requisite 60-day notice that SFBA is in violation of Proposition 65. CEH's notice and the Complaint in this Action allege that SFBA exposes individuals who use or otherwise handle the Products to lead and/or lead compounds (referred to interchangeably herein as "Lead"), chemicals known to the State of California to cause cancer, birth defects and other reproductive harm, without first providing clear and reasonable warning to such persons regarding the carcinogenicity and reproductive toxicity of Lead. The notice and Complaint allege that SFBA's conduct violates Health & Safety Code § 25249.6, the warning provision of Proposition 65. SFBA disputes all allegations raised in the Complaint.
- 1.4 For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of the violations alleged in CEH's Complaint and personal jurisdiction over SFBA as to the acts alleged in CEH's Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of Alameda, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment as a full and final resolution of all claims which were or could have been raised in the Complaint based on the facts alleged therein.
- 1.5 The Parties enter into this Consent Judgment pursuant to a settlement of certain disputed claims between the Parties as alleged in the Complaint. By executing this Consent Judgment, the Parties do not admit any facts or conclusions of law. It is the Parties' intent that nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law or violation of law, nor shall compliance with the Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by the

1 | Pa 2 | Co 3 | th 4 | Ju 5 | pu 6 | fu

Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive or impair any right, remedy, argument or defense the Parties may have in this or any other or future legal proceedings. This Consent Judgment is the product of negotiation and compromise and is accepted by the Parties, for purposes of settling, compromising and resolving issues disputed in this action, including future compliance by SFBA with Section 2 of this Consent Judgment, and shall not be used for any other purpose, or in any other matter.

#### 2. **COMPLIANCE – REFORMULATION**

2.1 Lead Reformulation. Within sixty (60) days from the date of approval of this Consent Judgment by the Court, SFBA shall not manufacture, distribute, ship, or sell, or cause to be manufactured, distributed, shipped, or sold, any Product unless such Product contains less than 300 parts per million lead. Subject to the following, on or after August 14, 2011, SFBA shall not distribute, ship, or sell, or cause to be distributed or sold, any charm unless such charm contains less than 100 parts per million lead. In the event the Consumer Product Safety Commission (CPSC) determines prior to August 14, 2011 that it is not technically feasible for manufacturers of charms to meet this limit, the maximum lead limit of those charms shall be the level so mandated by the CPSC in conjunction with, or following, its determination.

2.2 Confirmatory testing by CEH. CEH intends to conduct periodic testing of the Products. Testing shall be conducted by an independent laboratory using the most recent version of United States Environmental Protection Agency Method 3050B, the most recent version of National Food Laboratory Method MN5013, or any replacements thereof (the "Test Protocol"). In the event that CEH's testing demonstrates Lead levels in excess of the requirements of Section 2.1, pursuant to Section 6.1 below, CEH shall inform SFBA of the violation(s), including information sufficient to permit SFBA to identify the offending Product(s). Within forty-five (45) days of receipt of such a notice from CEH, SFBA shall respond in writing with a plan of correction to address the alleged violations. These remedies are in addition to any other remedies available to enforce the terms of this

701856721v2 - 3 -

Consent Judgment.

#### 3. SETTLEMENT PAYMENTS

3.1 Within five days of entry of this Consent Judgment, SFBA shall pay a total of \$37,500 as a settlement payment. This total shall be paid to the offices of the Lexington Law Group at the address set forth in Section 13 below and made payable and allocated as follows.

**3.1.1 Penalty**: The sum of \$1,000 in penalties pursuant to Health and Safety Code § 25249.7(b). This payment shall be made by check payable to Center for Environmental Health. CEH shall apportion the penalties in accordance with Health and Safety Code § 25249.12. CEH shall provide \$750 of this amount (75% of the civil penalty) to the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Fund in accordance with Health and Safety Code § 25249.12.

paid to CEH in lieu of any penalty pursuant to Health and Safety Code § 25249.7(b). This payment shall be made by check payable to Center for Environmental Health. CEH shall use such funds to continue its work protecting people from exposures to toxic chemicals. As part of this work, CEH intends to conduct periodic testing of the Products as set forth in Section 2.2. In addition, as part of its Community Environmental Action and Justice Fund, CEH will use four percent of such funds to award grants to grassroots environmental justice groups working to educate and protect people from exposures to toxic chemicals. The method of selection of such groups can be found at the CEH web site at www.ceh.org/justicefund.

3.1.3 Attorneys' Fees and Costs: \$24,500 shall be used to reimburse CEH and its attorneys for a portion of their reasonable investigation fees and costs, attorneys' fees, and any other costs incurred as a result of investigating, bringing this matter to SFBA's attention, litigating and negotiating a settlement in the public interest. This payment shall be made by check payable to Lexington Law Group.

### 1

# 2

# 3

#### 4

#### 5

# 6 | 7

## 8

# 9

# 10 11

- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19

# 20

- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25
- 26
- 27
- 28

#### 4. MODIFICATION OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

**4.1** This Consent Judgment may be modified by written stipulation subject to approval of the court or upon motion of CEH or SFBA as provided by law.

#### 5. ENFORCEMENT OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

5.1 Any Party seeking to enforce this Consent Judgment by motion, application for an order to show cause before this Court, or other court proceeding, shall be entitled to recover from the Party or Parties against whom enforcement was sought its reasonable attorneys' fees and costs incurred in connection with such enforcement provided that it prevails in any such enforcement proceeding. Should the Party initiating proceedings pursuant to this Consent Judgment not prevail on any motion or application under this Section, the Party against whom such enforcement was sought shall be entitled to recover its reasonable attorneys' fees and costs associated with such motion or application, where such motion or application is found to have had no substantial basis. In determining the appropriate remedy arising from any alleged violations of the Lead reformulation requirements imposed by Section 2.1, the Court shall consider: whether SFBA obtained written certifications and/or corresponding test results from its suppliers of the Products demonstrating that the Products meet the requirements of Section 2.1; test results demonstrating that the Products meet the requirements of Section 2.1 otherwise obtained by SFBA; and any other relevant evidence presented to the Court by the Parties.

#### 6. DISPUTES UNDER THE CONSENT JUDGMENT

Party's compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment, the dissatisfied Party shall provide the other Party with written notice (pursuant to Section 13.1 of this Consent Judgment) of the alleged noncompliance within forty-five (45) days of the alleged noncompliance. The Parties shall then meet and confer, either in person or by telephone, and endeavor to resolve the dispute in an amicable manner within forty-five (45) days of the written notice, or such time thereafter as is mutually agreed by the Parties. No action may be taken to enforce the provisions of this Consent Judgment absent such a good faith

701856721v2 - 5

1	effort to resol	ve the c	lispute prior to the taking of such action.
2	7.	TERN	MINATION OF CONSENT JUDGMENT
3		7.1	Any and all obligations arising out of this Consent Judgment will
4	terminate on	June 30	, 2014, excluding the Release provided for under Section 9.1.
5	8. APPLICATION OF CONSENT JUDGMENT		
6		8.1	This Consent Judgment shall apply to and be binding upon the
7	Parties hereto, their divisions, subdivisions and subsidiaries, and the successors or assigns		
8	of any of them.		
9	9.	RELI	EASE
10		9.1	This Consent Judgment is a full, final and binding resolution between
11	CEH and SFI	BA of a	ny violation of Proposition 65 that was or could have been asserted in
12	the Complaint against SFBA or its parents, subsidiaries, affiliates, directors, officers,		
13	employees, agents, attorneys, distributors, or customers based on failure to warn about		
14	alleged exposure to Lead contained in the Products, with respect to any Products		
15	distributed, shipped, or sold by SFBA on or prior to the date of entry of this Consent		
16	Judgment.		
17		9.2	Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment by SFBA shall
18	constitute cor	npliance	e with Proposition 65 by SFBA with respect to any alleged failure to
19	warn about ex	xposure	to Lead contained in the Products.
20		9.3	This release does not limit or effect the obligations of any party
21	created under	this Co	onsent Judgment.
22	10.	SEVE	CRABILITY
23		10.1	In the event that any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment are
24	held by a cou	rt to be	unenforceable, the validity of the enforceable provisions shall not be
25	adversely affected.		
26	11.	GOV	ERNING LAW
27		11.1	The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of

701856721v2 - 6 -

the State of California.

28

- 1			
1	12.	RETENTION OF JURISDICTION	
2		<b>12.1</b> Subject to section 7.1, this Court shall retain jurisdiction of this	
3	matter to implement and enforce the terms of this Consent Judgment.		
4	13.	PROVISION OF NOTICE	
5		13.1 All notices required pursuant to this Consent Judgment and	
6	corresponden	ce shall be sent to the following:	
7	For C		
8		Lexington Law Group 1627 Irving Street San Francisco, CA 94122	
9	For Sl	FBA: Elizabeth R. Murphy	
10		San Francisco Giants 24 Willie Mays Plaza	
11		San Francisco, CA 94107	
12		With Copy to:	
13		Mark Elliott Pillsbury Winthrop Shaw Pittman	
14		725 S. Figueroa St Suite 2800 Los Angeles, CA 90017	
15	14.	COURT APPROVAL	
16	1	14.1 If this Consent Judgment is not approved by the Court, it shall be of	
17	no further for	ce or effect. The Parties agree to support a Motion for Approval of this	
18	Consent Judgment.		
19	15.	EXECUTION AND COUNTERPARTS	
20	13.	15.1 The stipulations to this Consent Judgment may be executed in	
21	counterports (	and by means of facsimile, which taken together shall be deemed to constitute	
22	one documen	•	
23			
24	16.	AUTHORIZATION  16.1 For the interest of the Country	
25	6.11	<b>16.1</b> Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is	
26	fully authorized by the party he or she represents to stipulate to this Consent Judgment and		
27	to enter into and execute the Consent Judgment on behalf of the party represented and		
28	legally bind the	nat party. The undersigned have read, understand and agree to all of the terms	

[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO DEFENDANT SFBA

701856721v2

1	and conditions of this Consent Judgment. Except as explicitly provided herein, each party
2	is to bear its own fees and costs.
3	AGREED TO:
4	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH
5	11 7/
6	Mee kul Signature
7	
8	MICHAEL GREEN Printed Name
9	
10	Title DIRECTOR
11	
12	SAN FRANCISCO BASEBALL ASSOCIATES L.P.
13	
14	Signature
15	
16	Printed Name
17	
18	Title
19	
20	
21	
22 23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	701856721v2 - 8 -

[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO DEFENDANT SFBA

1	and conditions of this Consent Judgment. Except as explicitly provided herein, each party
2	is to bear its own fees and costs.
3	AGREED TO:
4	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH
5	*
6	Signature
7	Signature
8	Printed Name
9	1 Tintou Ivanio
10	Title
11	
12	SAN FRANCISCO BASEBALL ASSOCIATES L.P.
13	l = m p
14	Signature M. Bay
15	
16	Printed Name
17	
18	President + COO  Title
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
<ul><li>27</li><li>28</li></ul>	
20	

701856721v2

1	ORDER AND JUDGMENT
2	Based upon the stipulated Consent Judgment between the Parties, the settlement is
3	approved and judgment is hereby entered according to the terms herein.
4	Dated:
5	
6	In the Council of Count of the Chate of California
7	Judge, Superior Court of the State of California
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
ا 14	
15	
16	
ا 17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	701856721v2