1	Clifford A. Chanler, State Bar No. 135534			
2	Daniel Bornstein, State Bar No. 181711 HIRST & CHANLER LLP			
3	2560 Ninth Street Parker Plaza, Suite 214			
4	Berkeley, CA 94710-2565 Telephone: (510) 848-8880			
5	Facsimile: (510) 848-8118			
6	Attorneys for Plaintiff ANTHONY E. HELD, Ph.D., P.E.			
7	Timothy Martin, State Bar No. 223174 JEFFER MANGELS BUTLER & MARMARO LLP			
8	1900 Avenue of the Stars, 7th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90067			
9	Telephone: (310) 203-8080 Facsimile: (310) 203-0567			
10	Attorneys for Defendant			
11	OKK TŘADING, INC.			
12	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA			
13	FOR THE COUNTY OF ALAMEDA			
14	UNLIMITED CIVIL JURISDICTION			
15				
16 17	ANTHONY E. HELD, Ph.D., P.E.,	Case No. RG08387319		
18	Plaintiff,			
19	v.	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE: CONSENT JUDGMENT		
20	OKK TRADING, INC., and DOES 1 through 150, inclusive,			
21	Defendant.			
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				
	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE: CONSENT JUDGMENT			

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

## 1.1 Anthony E. Held, Ph.D., P.E., and OKK Trading, Inc.

This Consent Judgment is entered into by and between public enforcer Anthony E. Held, Ph.D., P.E. ("Dr. Held") and OKK Trading, Inc. ("OKK" or "Defendant"), with Dr. Held and OKK collectively referred to as the "Parties."

#### 1.2 Plaintiff

Dr. Held is an individual residing in the State of California who seeks to promote awareness of exposure to toxic chemicals and improve human health by reducing or eliminating hazardous substances contained in consumer products.

#### 1.3 Defendant

OKK employs ten or more persons and are each a person in the course of doing business for purposes of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California Health & Safety Code §25249.6 et seq. (Proposition 65).

#### 1.4 General Allegations

Dr. Held alleges that OKK has manufactured, distributed and/or sold certain children's products containing di(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate (hereinafter "DEHP") in the State of California without the requisite health hazard warnings. DEHP is known to cause birth defects and other reproductive harm and are listed by their chemical nomenclature pursuant to Proposition 65. DEHP shall be referred to hereinafter as the "Listed Chemical."

#### 1.5 **Product Description**

The products that are covered by this Consent Judgment are defined as follows: (1) children's rubber ducks containing the plasticizer phthalate DEHP, such as the *Bath Toy, Item No.* 47876/76319, (6 80058 47876 3); and (2) children's boxing gloves containing the plasticizer phthalate DEHP, such as the *Boxing Set, No. 2255 (#6 80058 33097 9)*. All such children's rubber ducks and boxing gloves containing DEHP are referred to hereinafter as the "Products".

On January 11, 2008, and March 24, 2008, Dr. Held served OKK and various public enforcement agencies with documents entitled "60-Day Notice of Violation" (the "Notices") that provided OKK and public enforcers with notice of alleged violations of California Health & Safety Code §25249.6 for failing to warn consumers that the Products that OKKs sold exposed users in California to the Listed Chemical. No public enforcer has diligently prosecuted the allegations set forth in the Notices.

## 1.7 Complaint

On May 13, 2008, Dr. Held, who was and is acting in the interest of the general public in California, filed a complaint ("Complaint") in the Superior Court in and for the County of Alameda against OKK and Does 1 through 150, alleging violations of Health & Safety Code §25249.6 based on the alleged exposures to the Listed Chemical contained in children's bath toys sold by OKK. The case number for the action is RG 08387319. OKK filed an Answer to the Complaint on June 6, 2008. The Complaint shall be deemed amended by this Consent Judgment to include the allegations regarding children's sporting toys contained in the March 24, 2008, 60-Day Notice of Violation as of May 28, 2008, since no authorized public prosecutor has filed a Proposition 65 enforcement action with respect to the Listed Chemical in the Sporting Toys addressed in the March 24, 2008, 60-Day Notice of Violation.

#### 1.8 No Admission

OKK denies the material factual and legal allegations contained in Dr. Held's Notices and maintains that all Products that it has sold and distributed in California have been and are in compliance with all laws. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission by OKK of any fact, finding, issue of law, or violation of law, nor shall compliance with this Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by OKK of any fact, finding, conclusion, issue of law, or violation of law, such being specifically denied by OKK. However, this Section shall not diminish or otherwise affect OKK's obligations, responsibilities, and duties under this Consent Judgment.

#### 1.9 Consent to Jurisdiction

For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the parties stipulate that the Alameda County Superior Court has jurisdiction over OKK to enforce to enforce the provisions of this Consent Judgment.

#### 1.10 Effective Date

For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term "effective date" shall mean, July 15, 2008.

## 2. <u>INJUNCTIVE RELIEF: WARNINGS AND REFORMULATION</u>

#### 2.1 Product Warnings

After the Effective Date, Defendant shall not sell, ship, or offer to be shipped for sale in California Products unless such Products are sold or shipped with one of the clear and reasonable warnings set forth in subsections 2.1(a), are otherwise exempt pursuant to Section 2.2, or comply with the reformulation standards set forth in Section 2.3.

Each warning shall be prominently placed with such conspicuousness as compared with other words, statements, designs, or devices as to render it likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual under customary conditions before purchase or use.

#### (a) Retail Store Sales.

## (i) Product Labeling.

Defendant may perform its warning obligation by ensuring that a warning is affixed to the packaging, labeling, or directly on each Product sold in retail outlets in California by OKK or its agents, that states:

WARNING: This product contains DEHP, a phthalate chemical known to the State of California to cause birth defects and other reproductive harm.

#### (ii) Point-of-Sale Warnings.

Defendant may perform its warning obligations by providing warning signs via certified mail, in the form below, to its customers in the State of California with clear instructions to post

the warnings in close proximity<sup>1</sup> to the point of display of the Products so that the consumer knows to which Product the warning refers:

WARNING: This product contains DEHP, a phthalate chemical known to the State of California to cause birth defects and other reproductive harm.

## 2.2 Exceptions To Warning Requirements

The warning requirements set forth in Section 2.1 shall not apply to:

- (i) Any Products shipped before July 15, 2008; or
- (ii) Reformulated Products (as defined in Section 2.3 below).

## 2.3 Reformulation Standards

Reformulated Products are defined as those Products containing less than or equal to 1,000 parts per million ("ppm") of DEHP. The warnings required pursuant to Section 2.1 above shall not be required for Reformulated Products.

Defendant shall use Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA") testing methodologies 3580A and 8270C to determine whether the respective levels have been exceeded in its Products.

#### 2.4 Reformulation Commitment

Defendant hereby commits to ensure that 100% of the Products that they offer for sale in California after October 31, 2008, shall qualify as Reformulated Products or shall otherwise be exempt from the warning requirements of Section 2.1.

#### 3. MONETARY PAYMENTS

## 3.1 Penalties Pursuant to Health & Safety Code §25249.7(b)

In settlement of all the claims referred to in this Consent Judgment, OKK shall pay \$7,500 in civil penalties to be apportioned in accordance with California Health & Safety Code §25192, with 75% of these funds remitted to the State of California's Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA") and the remaining 25% of the penalty remitted to Anthony Held

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> For purposes of the consent judgment, "sold in proximity" shall mean that the Product and another product are offered for sale close enough to each other that the consumer, under customary conditions of purchase, could not reasonably determine which of the two products is subject to the warning sign.

following address:

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

13

# 4. REIMBURSEMENT OF FEES AND COSTS

HIRST & CHANLER LLP Attn: Proposition 65 Controller

455 Capitol Mall, Suite 605 Sacramento, CA 95814

### 4.1 Attorney Fees and Costs.

The Parties acknowledge that Dr. Held and his counsel offered to resolve this dispute without reaching terms on the amount of fees and costs to be reimbursed to them, thereby leaving this fee issue to be resolved after the material terms of the agreement had been settled. OKK and Plaintiff then expressed a desire to resolve the fee and cost issue shortly after the other settlement terms had been finalized. The Parties then attempted to (and did) reach an accord on the compensation due to Dr. Held and his counsel under general contract principles and the private attorney general doctrine codified at California Code of Civil Procedure (CCP) §1021.5, for all work performed through the mutual execution of this agreement. Defendant shall reimburse Dr. Held and his counsel a total of \$37,000 for the fees and costs incurred as a result of investigating, bringing this matter to Defendant's attention, and litigating and negotiating a settlement in the public interest. Defendant shall issue a separate 1099 for fees and costs (EIN: 20-3929984) and shall make the check payable to "HIRST & CHANLER LLP" and shall be delivered on or before August 20, 2008, to the following address:

as provided by California Health & Safety Code §25249.12(d). OKK shall issue two separate

checks for the penalty payment: (a) one check made payable to "Hirst & Chanler LLP in Trust

For OEHHA" in the amount of \$5,625, representing 75% of the total penalty; and (b) one check

to "Hirst & Chanler LLP in Trust for Anthony Held" in the amount of \$1,875, representing 25%

Payment shall be delivered to Dr. Held's counsel on or before August 20, 2008, at the

of the total penalty. Two separate 1099s shall be issued for the above payments: (a) OEHHA,

P.O. Box 4010, Sacramento, CA, 95814 (EIN: 68-0284486); and (b) Anthony Held, whose

information shall be provided five calendar days before the payment is due.

HIRST & CHANLER LLP Attn: Proposition 65 Controller 455 Capitol Mall, Suite 605 Sacramento, CA 95814

## 4.2 Additional Attorney Fees and Costs in Seeking Judicial Approval

Pursuant to CCP §§1021 and 1021.5, the Parties agree that Defendant will reimburse Dr. Held and his counsel for their reasonable fees and costs incurred in seeking judicial approval of this settlement agreement in the trial court, in an amount not to exceed \$5,000. Such additional fees and costs, exclusive of fees and costs that may be incurred in the event of an appeal include, but are not limited to, drafting and filing of the motion to approve papers, fulfilling the reporting requirements referenced in Health & Safety Code §25249.7(f), responding to any third party objections, corresponding with opposing counsel and appearing before the Court related to the approval process.

Reimbursement of such additional fees and costs shall be due within ten days after receipt of a billing statement from Dr. Held ("Additional Fee Claim"). Payment of the Additional Fee Claim shall be made to "Hirst & Chanler LLP," and the payment shall be delivered, at the following address:

HIRST & CHANLER LLP Attn: Proposition 65 Controller 455 Capitol Mall, Suite 605 Sacramento, CA 95814

Defendant has the right to object to such reimbursement and may submit the resolution of this issue to the American Arbitration Association (AAA) in Northern California to determine the reasonableness of the additional fees and costs sought, provided that an arbitration such notice of objection or decision to arbitrate is received by Dr. Held by the end of the ten calendar days. If an arbitration notice is not filed with AAA in a timely manner, Dr. Held may file a motion with the Court pursuant to both CCP §1021.5 and this settlement agreement to recover additional attorney fees and costs incurred as set forth in this paragraph. In the event Defendant submits the matter to arbitration, Dr. Held may seek, pursuant to CCP §1021.5, reasonable attorney fees and costs

incurred for the arbitration.

Dr. Held's counsel agrees that it shall hold all civil penalties and attorney's fees and costs paid pursuant to Sections 3.1 and 4.1 above in its trust account until such time as this Consent Judgment is approved by the Court. In the event that this Consent Judgment is not approved by the Court in the time period prescribed in Section 6 of this Consent Judgment, the civil penalties and attorney's fees and costs paid pursuant to Sections 3.1 and 4.1 above, along with interest accrued at the existing federal funds rate, shall be refunded to OKK.

## 5. RELEASE OF ALL CLAIMS

#### 5.1 Dr. Held's Release of Defendant

In further consideration of the promises and agreements herein contained, and for the payments to be made pursuant to Sections 3 and 4, Dr. Held on behalf of himself, his past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors, and/or assignees, and in the interest of the general public, hereby waives all rights to institute or participate in, directly or indirectly, any form of legal action and releases all claims, including, without limitation, all actions, and causes of action, in law or in equity, suits, liabilities, demands, obligations, damages, costs, fines, penalties, losses, or expenses (including, but not limited to, investigation fees, expert fees, and attorneys' fees) of any nature whatsoever, whether known or unknown, fixed or contingent (collectively "claims"), against Defendant and each of its downstream wholesalers, licensors, licensees, auctioneers, retailers, franchisees, dealers, customers, owners, purchasers, users, parent companies, corporate affiliates, subsidiaries, and their respective officers, directors, attorneys, representatives, shareholders, agents, and employees, and sister and parent entities (collectively "Releasees") relating to Defendant's alleged failure to warn about exposures to the Listed Chemical contained in the Products.

The parties further understand and agree that this release shall not extend upstream to any entities that manufactured the Products or any component parts thereof, or any distributors or suppliers who sold the Products or any component parts thereof to Defendant.

# 

### 5.2 Defendant's Release of Dr. Held

Defendant waives any and all claims against Dr. Held, his attorneys, and other representatives for any and all actions taken or statements made (or those that could have been taken or made) by Dr. Held and his attorneys and other representatives, whether in the course of investigating claims or otherwise seeking enforcement of Proposition 65 against them in this matter, and/or with respect to the Products..

## 6. <u>COURT APPROVAL</u>

This consent judgment is not effective until it is approved and entered by the Court and shall be null and void if, for any reason, it is not approved and entered by the Court within six months after it has been fully executed by all parties, in which event any monies that have been provided to Dr. Held, or his counsel pursuant to Section 3 and/or Section 4 above, shall be refunded within fifteen (15) days after receiving written notice from Defendant that the six month period has expired.

### 7. <u>SEVERABILITY</u>

If, subsequent to the execution of this Consent Judgment, any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment are held by a court to be unenforceable, the validity of the enforceable provisions remaining shall not be adversely affected.

#### 8. GOVERNING LAW

The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California and apply within the State of California. In the event that Proposition 65 is repealed or is otherwise rendered inapplicable by reason of law generally, or as to the Products, then Defendant shall provide written notice to Dr. Held of any asserted change in the law, and shall have no further obligations pursuant to this Consent Judgment with respect to, and to the extent that, the Products are so affected.

## 9. NOTICES

Unless specified herein, all correspondence and notices required to be provided pursuant to this Consent Judgment shall be in writing and personally delivered or sent by: (i) first-class,

1	(registered or certified mail) return receipt requested; or (ii) overnight courier on any party by the		
2	other party at the following addresses:		
3	To OKK: With a copy to:		
5	William Hung Timothy Martin, Esq. OKK TRADING, INC. JEFFER, MANGELS, BUTLER, et al. 5500 East Olympic Blvd., Suite A 1900 Avenue of the Stars, 7 <sup>th</sup> Floor		
6 7 8 9	Los Angeles, CA 90022 Los Angeles, CA 90067 To Dr. Held: Proposition 65 Coordinator HIRST & CHANLER LLP 2560 Ninth Street Parker Plaza, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710-2565		
10	Any party, from time to time, may specify in writing to the other party a change of address		
1	to which all notices and other communications shall be sent.		
2	10. <u>COUNTERPARTS; FACSIMILE SIGNATURES</u>		
3	This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by facsimile, each of which shall be		
4	deemed an original, and all of which, when taken together, shall constitute one and the same		
5	document.		
6	11. COMPLIANCE WITH HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.7(f)		
7	Dr. Held agrees to comply with the reporting form requirements referenced in California Health		
8	& Safety Code §25249.7(f).		
9	12. <u>ADDITIONAL POST EXECUTION ACTIVITIES</u>		
20	The parties acknowledge that, pursuant to Health & Safety Code §25249.7, a noticed		
21	motion is required to obtain judicial approval of this Consent Judgment. In furtherance of		
22	obtaining such approval, Held and Defendant agree to mutually employ their best efforts to		
23	support the entry of this agreement as a Consent Judgment and obtain approval of the Consent		
24	Judgment by the Court in a timely manner.		
25	13. MODIFICATION		
26	This Consent Judgment may be modified only: (1) by written agreement of the parties		
7	and upon entry of a modified consent judgment by the Court thereon; or (2) upon a successful		

1	motion of any party and entry of a modified consent judgment by the Court. The Attorney	
2	General shall be served with notice of any proposed modification to this consent judgment at leas	
3	fifteen days in advance of its consideration by the Court. Dr. Held shall be entitled to his	
4	reasonable fees and costs incurred in the modification process under CCP §1021.5 if Defendant,	
5	the Attorney General and/or any third party seeks to modify the terms of this Consent Judgment.	
6	///	
7	111	
8	111	
9	111	
10	/// ·	
11	111	
12	111	
13	1/1	
14	111	
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
	- 11 -	

1	14. <u>AUTHORIZATION</u>			
2	The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment on behalf of their			
3	respective parties and have read, understood, and agree to a	respective parties and have read, understood, and agree to all of the terms and conditions of this		
4	4 Consent Judgment.	Consent Judgment.		
5	5 AGREED TO:	AGREED TO:		
6		ONY E. HELD, Ph.D., P.E.		
7	7	Inthony & Hell		
8	Antho	ny E. Held, Ph.D., P.E.		
9				
10	Dated.	TRADING, INC.		
11				
12 13	$\frac{1}{100}$	TRADING, INC.		
14 15	APPROVED AS TO FORM:			
16 17 18	Dated: 17 By: Danie Attorr	Bornstein leys for Plaintiff IONY E. HELD, Ph.D., P.E.		
20	Dated: JEFFEI MARN	R, MANGELS, BUTLER & IARO LLP		
21 22 23 24	By: Timot Attorn OKK	hy Martin eys for Defendant FRADING, INC.		
25	25 IT IS SO ORDERED.			
26 27	Date.	OF THE SUPERIOR COURT		
	- 12 -			
	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE: CONSENT JUDGMENT			

1	14. <u>AUTHORIZATION</u>			
2	The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment on behalf of their			
3	respective parties and have read, understood, and agree to all of the terms and conditions of this			
4	4 Consent Judgment.	Consent Judgment.		
5	5 AGREED TO:	AGREED TO:		
6	6 Dated:	ANTHONY E. HELD, Ph.D., P.E.		
7	7			
8	8	By:Anthony E. Held, Ph.D., P.E.		
9	9	Plaintiff		
10	Dated:	OKK TRADING, INC.		
11	1			
12	2	By:		
13	3	OKK TRADING, INC. Defendant		
14	ADDDOVED AG TO FORM			
15	APPROVED AS TO FORM:  Dated:	HIRST & CHANLER LLP		
16				
17	7	By:		
18	3	By: Daniel Bornstein Attorneys for Plaintiff		
19		ANTHONY E. HELD, Ph.D., P.E.		
20	Dated: 9/29/08	JEFFER, MANGELS, BUTLER & MARMARO LLP		
21				
22		By: Tall. W		
23		Timothy Martin Attorneys for Defendant		
24		OKK TRADING, INC.		
25	IT IS SO ORDERED.			
26	Date:			
27		JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT		
į	- 12 -			
	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE: CONSENT JUDGMENT			