1 2 3 4 5 6 7	LEXINGTON LAW GROUP, LLP Mark N. Todzo, State Bar No. 168389 Howard Hirsch, State Bar No. 213209 Lisa Burger, State Bar No. 239676 1627 Irving Street San Francisco, CA 94122 Telephone: (415) 759-4111 Facsimile: (415) 759-4112 Attorneys for Plaintiff CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH		
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
9	COUNTY OF SAN MATEO		
10			
11			
12	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH,)	Case No. CIV 474817	
13	Plaintiff,)		
14	v.)	[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT RE: AUDIOVOX CORPORATION	
15	AUDIOVOX CORP.; GRIFFIN () INTERNATIONAL COMPANIES, INC.; ()		
16	MAXELL CORP. OF AMERICA; SAKAR (NTERNATIONAL, INC.; and Defendant DOES 1 through 200, inclusive, (NECTIONAL)		
17	Defendants.		
18))		
19	,		
20			
2122			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
20			

1. INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 On July 18, 2008, plaintiff the Center for Environmental Health ("CEH"), a non-profit corporation acting in the public interest, filed a complaint titled *Center for Environmental Health v. Audiovox Corp., et al.*, San Mateo County Superior Court Case Number 474817 (the "CEH Action"), for civil penalties and injunctive relief pursuant to the provisions of Cal. Health & Safety Code §25249.5 *et seq.* ("Proposition 65") naming Audiovox Corporation ("Defendant") as a defendant.
- 1.2 Defendant is a corporation that employs 10 or more persons and manufactured, distributed and/or sold headphone cables (the "Products") in the State of California.
- appropriate public enforcement agencies with the requisite 60-day notice (the "Notice") alleging that Defendant was in violation of Proposition 65. CEH's Notice and the Complaint in this Action allege that Defendant exposes people who use or otherwise handle the Products to di (2-ethylhexyl) phthalate ("DEHP"), a chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer, birth defects and other reproductive harm, without first providing clear and reasonable warning to such persons regarding the carcinogenicity and reproductive toxicity of DEHP. The Notice and Complaint allege that Defendants' conduct violates Health & Safety Code §25249.6, the warning provision of Proposition 65. Defendant disputes such allegations and asserts that all of its products are safe and comply with all applicable laws.
- 1.4 For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of the violations alleged in CEH's Complaint and personal jurisdiction over Defendant as to the acts alleged in CEH's Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of San Mateo, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment as a full and final resolution of all claims which were or could have been raised in the Complaint based on the facts alleged therein.
 - 1.5 The Parties enter into this Consent Judgment pursuant to a settlement of

2.1

Consent Judgment, the Parties do not admit any facts or conclusions of law. It is the parties' intent that nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law or violation of law, nor shall compliance with the Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive or impair any right, remedy, argument or defense the Parties may have in this or any other or future legal proceedings.

certain disputed claims between the Parties as alleged in the Complaint. By executing this

2. **COMPLIANCE - REFORMULATION**

- 2.1 Reformulation Standard Removal of Phthalates. After 60 days following entry of this Consent Judgment (the "Compliance Date"), Defendant shall not manufacture, distribute, ship, or sell, or cause to be manufactured, distributed or sold, any Product that contains in excess of trace amounts of DEHP or any replacement/substitution Phthalate. For purposes of this Consent Judgment only: (1) "in excess of the trace amount" for any individual phthalate compound means more than 100 parts per million ("ppm"); and (2) "Phthalate" means "any dialkyl or alkyl aryl esters of 1,2-benzenedicarboxylic acid."
- 2.1.1 Certification from suppliers. Defendant shall issue specifications to its suppliers requiring that the Products shall not contain any Phthalate in excess of the trace amount. Defendant shall obtain written certification from its suppliers of the Products certifying that the Products do not contain any DEHP or replacement/substitution Phthalate in excess of the trace amount.
- 2.1.2 Testing. In order to ensure compliance with the requirements of Section 2.1, Defendant shall cause to be conducted testing to confirm that the Products do not contain detectable amounts of Phthalates. Testing shall be conducted in compliance with Section 2.1.2.1. All testing pursuant to this Section shall be performed by an independent laboratory in accordance with both of the following test protocols: (1) EPA SW8270C; and (2) EPA SW3580A (together referred to as the "Test Protocols"). At the request of CEH, the results of

4

11

8

9

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

2.1

22

23 24

25 26

27

28

the testing performed pursuant to this Section shall be made available to CEH on a confidential basis.

2.1.2.1 Testing Frequency. For each of the first two orders of Products purchased from each of Defendant's suppliers after the Compliance Date, Defendant shall randomly select and test the greater of 0.1% (one-tenth of one percent) or eight, but in no case more than ten, of the total Products purchased from each supplier of the Products intended for sale in California. Following the testing of first two orders as described above, Defendant shall randomly select and test the greater of 0.05% (one-twentieth of one percent) or four, but in no case more than five, of the total Products purchased in that calendar year for sale in California from each supplier of the Products.

2.1.2.2 Products That Contain Phthalates Pursuant to

Defendant's Testing. If the results of the testing required pursuant to Section 2.1.2 show a Phthalate in excess of the trace amount in a Product, Defendant shall: (1) refuse to accept all of the Products that were purchased under the particular purchase order; (2) send a notice to the supplier explaining that such Products do not comply with the suppliers' certification; and (3) apply the testing frequency set forth in 2.1.2.1 as though the next shipment from the supplier were the first one following the Compliance Date.

2.1.3 Confirmatory Testing by CEH. CEH intends to conduct confirmatory testing of the Products. Any such testing shall be conducted by CEH at an independent laboratory, in accordance with both of the Test Protocols. In the event that CEH's testing demonstrates that the Products manufactured, or otherwise initially placed in the stream of commerce after the Compliance Date contain a Phthalate in excess of the trace amount subsequent to the Compliance Date, CEH shall inform Defendant of the test results, including information sufficient to permit Defendant to identify the Product(s). Defendant shall, within 30 days following such notice, provide CEH, at the address listed in Section 11, with the certification and testing information demonstrating its compliance with Sections 2.1.1 and 2.1.2 of this Consent Judgment. If Defendant fails to provide CEH with information demonstrating that it complied with Sections 2.1.1 and/or 2.1.2, Defendant shall be liable for stipulated

3 shall be delivered to the Lexington Law Group, LLP at the address set forth in section 11.1

Delivery of payments. All of the payments made pursuant to this Section

3.3

27

28

within 10 days of entry of this Consent Judgment.

4. MODIFICATION OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

- **4.1** This Consent Judgment may be modified by written agreement of CEH and Defendant, or upon motion of CEH or Defendant as provided by law.
- 4.2 CEH intends to enter into agreements with other entities that manufacture, distribute, and/or sell headphone cables. Should Defendant determine that the provisions of any such Consent Judgement with a similarly situated manufacturer or distributor are less stringent, Defendant may request a modification of this Consent Judgement to conform with the terms of the later entered Consent Judgement. Upon 30 days prior written notice of Defendant's request for a modification, CEH shall inform Defendant whether it will agree to such modification. If CEH does not agree, Defendant may move the Court for a modification pursuant to this section.

5. ENFORCEMENT OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

5.1 CEH may, by motion or application for an order to show cause, enforce the terms and conditions contained in this Consent Judgment. The prevailing party on any such motion shall be entitled to recover its reasonable attorneys' fees and costs associated therewith.

6. APPLICATION OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

- 6.1 This Consent Judgment shall apply to and be binding upon the parties hereto, their divisions, subdivisions and subsidiaries, and the successors or assigns of any of them.
- 6.2 This Consent Judgment shall continue in full force and effect for five years after the Compliance Date, at which time it and all obligations set forth in this Consent Judgment shall terminate by operation of law without further action required by the Court.

7. CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASE OF CLAIMS

7.1 This Consent Judgment is a full, final and binding resolution between CEH and Defendant of any violation of Proposition 65 that was or could have been asserted in the Complaint against Defendant (including any claims that could be asserted in connection with any of the Products covered by this Consent Judgment) or its parents, subsidiaries, affiliates, directors, officers, employees, agents, attorneys, distributors, retailers, or customers

For CEH:

27

28

1		Mark N. Todzo		
2		Lexington Law Group, LLP		
		1627 Irving Street		
3		San Francisco, CA 94122		
4	For Defendant:			
5		Michael J. Stiles		
6		Jeffer Mangels Butler & Marmaro, LLP		
7		1900 Avenue of the Stars, 7th Floor		
		Los Angeles, CA 90067		
8	12. COURT APPROVAL			
9	12.1	CEH will comply with the settlement notice provisions of Health and		
10	Safety Code § 25249	.7(f) and Title 11 of the California Code of Regulations § 3003.		
11	13. EXECUTION AND COUNTERPARTS			
12	13.1	The stipulations to this Consent Judgment may be executed in		
13	counterparts and by means of facsimile, which taken together shall be deemed to constitute one			
14	document.			
15	14. AUTI	HORIZATION		
16	141	Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is		
17				
18	fully authorized by the party he or she represents to stipulate to this Consent Judgment and to			
	enter into and execute the Consent Judgment on behalf of the party represented and legally bind			
19	that party. The undersigned have read, understand and agree to all of the terms and conditions of			
20	this Consent Judgment. Except as explicitly provided herein, each party is to bear its own fees			
21	and costs.			
22	AGREED TO:			
23	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH			
24		**************************************		
25		1 1		
26				
27	Charlie Pizarro, Associate Director Center for Environmental Health			
<u>''</u>		The state of the s		

1 2 3	2 Du John	Dated: //S/CG
4	[Name]	
5	$1 \begin{cases} 0 (1 + 1) \end{cases}$	
· 6	FERNAL 3	
7		
8		
9		
10 11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17	7	
18		
19)	
20		
21		
22		
23		
24	i i	
25		
26		
27	1	
28		

1	ORDER AND JUDGMENT	
2	Based upon the stipulated Consent Judgment between CEH and Audiovox	
3	Corporation, the settlement is approved and the clerk is directed to enter judgment in accordance	
4	with the terms herein.	
5		
6	Dated:	
7		
8		
9	Judge, Superior Court of the State of California	
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		