| 1 | LEXINGTON LAW GROUP, LLP | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|
| 2 | Eric S. Somers, State Bar No. 139050 Mark N. Todzo, State Bar No. 168389 Howard Hirsch, State Bar No. 213209 | | | |
| 3 | 1627 Irving Street San Francisco, CA 94122 | | | |
| 4 | Telephone: (415) 759-4111 Facsimile: (415) 759-4112 | | | |
| 5 | Attorneys for Plaintiff | | | |
| 6 | CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH | | | |
| 7 | | | | |
| 8 | | | | |
| 9 | SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA | | | |
| 10 | COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO | | | |
| 11 | | | | |
| 12 | | | | |
| 13 | CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH,) Case No. CGC-08-478830 | | | |
| 14 | a non-profit corporation,) | | | |
| | THE CONTRACT OF THE CONTRACT O | | | |
| 15 | Plaintiff,) [PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT) | | | |
| 15 16 | Plaintiff, [PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT v.) | | | |
| | v.) ZM INTERNATIONAL, INC. dba) | | | |
| 16 | v.) | | | |
| 16 17 | v. ZM INTERNATIONAL, INC. dba KIDORABLE and Defendant DOES 1 through 200, inclusive, | | | |
| 16 17 18 | v. ZM INTERNATIONAL, INC. dba KIDORABLE and Defendant DOES 1 through | | | |
| 16 17 18 19 | v. ZM INTERNATIONAL, INC. dba KIDORABLE and Defendant DOES 1 through 200, inclusive, | | | |
| 16 17 18 19 20 | v. ZM INTERNATIONAL, INC. dba KIDORABLE and Defendant DOES 1 through 200, inclusive, | | | |
| 16 17 18 19 20 21 | v. ZM INTERNATIONAL, INC. dba KIDORABLE and Defendant DOES 1 through 200, inclusive, | | | |
| 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | v. ZM INTERNATIONAL, INC. dba KIDORABLE and Defendant DOES 1 through 200, inclusive, | | | |
| 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 | v. ZM INTERNATIONAL, INC. dba KIDORABLE and Defendant DOES 1 through 200, inclusive, | | | |
| 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 | v. ZM INTERNATIONAL, INC. dba KIDORABLE and Defendant DOES 1 through 200, inclusive, | | | |
| 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 | v. ZM INTERNATIONAL, INC. dba KIDORABLE and Defendant DOES 1 through 200, inclusive, | | | |

1.1 On August 19, 2008, plaintiff the Center for Environmental Health ("CEH"), a non-profit corporation acting in the public interest, filed a complaint in San Francisco County Superior Court, entitled *Center for Environmental Health v. ZM International, Inc.*, San Francisco County Superior Court Case Number CGC-08-478830 (the "Action"), for civil penalties and injunctive relief pursuant to the provisions of California Health & Safety Code §25249.5 *et seq.* ("Proposition 65").

- 1.2 Defendant ZM International, Inc. doing business as Kidorable ("Kidorable") is a "person in the course of doing business" under Proposition 65 and manufactures, distributes and/or sells backpacks and rainwear (the "Products") in the State of California. Kidorable and CEH are referred to collectively herein as the Parties.
- 1.3 On or about May 15, 2008, CEH served Kidorable and the appropriate public enforcement agencies with the requisite 60-day notice that Kidorable is in violation of Proposition 65. CEH's notice and the Complaint in this Action allege that Kidorable exposes individuals who use or otherwise handle the Products to lead and/or lead compounds (referred to interchangeably herein as "Lead"), chemicals known to the State of California to cause cancer, birth defects and other reproductive harm, without first providing clear and reasonable warning to such persons regarding the carcinogenicity and reproductive toxicity of Lead. The notice and Complaint allege that Kidorable's conduct violates Health & Safety Code §25249.6, the warning provision of Proposition 65.
- 1.4 For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of the violations alleged in CEH's Complaint and personal jurisdiction over Kidorable as to the acts alleged in CEH's Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of San Francisco, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment as a full and final resolution of all claims which were or could have been raised in the Complaint based on the facts alleged therein.
- 1.5 The Parties enter into this Consent Judgment pursuant to a settlement of certain disputed claims between the Parties as alleged in the Complaint. By executing this

Consent Judgment, the Parties do not admit any facts or conclusions of law. It is the Parties' intent that nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law or violation of law, nor shall compliance with the Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive or impair any right, remedy, argument or defense the Parties may have in this or any other or future legal proceedings. This Consent Judgment is the product of negotiation and compromise and is accepted by the parties, for purposes of settling, compromising and resolving issues disputed in this action, including future compliance by Kidorable with Section 2 of this Consent Judgment, and shall not be used for any other purpose, or in any other matter.

2. **COMPLIANCE - REFORMULATION**

- 2.1 Lead Reformulation. After February 1, 2009 (the "Compliance Date"), Kidorable shall not manufacture, distribute, ship, or sell, or cause to be manufactured, distributed, or sold, any Product that contains Lead in concentrations that exceed 30 parts per million ("ppm") or is comprised of any material that contains Lead in concentrations that exceed 30 ppm.
- 2.2 Suppliers. Kidorable shall issue specifications to its suppliers requiring that the Products do not contain material with lead concentrations exceeding 30 ppm. Kidorable shall periodically send a representative to each of its supplier's manufacturing facilities to ensure that such suppliers are complying with Kidorable's specifications.
- 2.3 Pre-Market Testing. On or before the Compliance Date, Kidorable shall test each design of Product it is currently offering for sale to ensure that such Products contain less than 30 ppm Lead. Such testing shall be conducted by an independent laboratory using a total acid digest method such as United States Environmental Protection Agency Method 3050B (the "Test Protocol"). Kidorable shall conduct such testing each time it offers a new design of Product for sale or changes suppliers or ingredients with respect to an existing design of Product. The results of all testing performed pursuant to this section shall retained for a period of three years from the date of the test and shall be made available to CEH upon request.

7 8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

26

27 28

2.4 Ongoing Testing. In order to help ensure compliance with the requirements of Section 2.1, Kidorable shall conduct testing to confirm that the Products contain less than 30 ppm Lead. Testing pursuant to this section shall be conducted pursuant to the Test Protocol. The results of all testing performed pursuant to this section shall retained for a period of three years from the date of the test and shall be made available to CEH upon request. On a quarterly basis, Kidorable shall test at least one randomly selected style of Product.

2.4.1 Products that exceed 30 ppm pursuant to Kidorable

Testing. If the results of the testing required pursuant to section 2.3 shows levels of lead exceeding 30 ppm for a Product, Kidorable shall dispose of all of the Products that were purchased under the particular purchase order in compliance with applicable law and notify the supplier that such Products do not comply with the Kidorable's specifications.

Confirmatory testing by CEH. CEH intends to conduct periodic testing 2.5 of Products. Any such testing will be conducted pursuant to the Test Protocol at an independent laboratory. In the event that CEH's testing demonstrates Lead levels in excess of 30 ppm for one or more Products, CEH shall inform Kidorable of the violation(s), including information sufficient to permit Kidorable to identify the Product(s). Kidorable shall, within 10 days following such notice, provide CEH, at the address listed in section 12, with its supplier specification and testing information demonstrating its compliance with sections 2.2, 2.3, and 2.4 of this Consent Judgment. Kidorable shall also be liable for stipulated payments in lieu of penalties for Products sold in California for which CEH produces tests under this section demonstrating Lead levels exceeding 30 ppm as set forth below. These payments shall be made to CEH and used for the purposes described in section 4.1 and to pay for related attorneys' fees and costs. The stipulated payments in lieu of penalties and other remedies provided for herein are in addition to any other remedies available to enforce the terms of this Consent Judgment.

2.5.1 Stipulated penalty assuming compliance with sections

2.2 and 2.3. Assuming Kidorable provides CEH with information demonstrating that it complied with sections 2.2, 2.3, and 2.4 for the Products purchased pursuant to the same purchase order as those with tests showing Lead levels exceeding 30 ppm, the stipulated penalty

shall be as follows for each Occurrence: \$500 2 First Occurrence: Second Occurrence: \$1,000 3 Third Occurrence: \$2,000 4 5 Thereafter: \$5,000 For purposes of this section and section 2.5.2: (a) "Occurrence" shall mean CEH's submission of 6 valid test results demonstrating lead levels exceeding 30 ppm in a Product sold in California; and 7 (b) submission of multiple noncompliant test results for Products purchased prior to the notice of 8 violation shall be considered a single Occurrence. 9 2.5.2 Stipulated penalty assuming non-compliance with 10 sections 2.2 and 2.3. Assuming Kidorable fails to provide CEH with information demonstrating 11 that it complied with sections 2.2, 2.3, and 2.4 for the Products purchased pursuant to the same 12 purchase order as those with tests showing Lead levels exceeding 30 ppm, the stipulated penalty 13 shall be as follows for each Occurrence: 14 \$2,000 15 First Occurrence: 16 Second Occurrence: \$5,000 Third Occurrence: \$10,000 17 Thereafter: \$20,000 18 Notwithstanding the foregoing, the maximum, cumulative stipulated payment in lieu of penalty 19 amount in a calendar year under this section and section 2.5.1 shall be \$40,000. 20 SETTLEMENT PAYMENTS 21 3. Within five days of entry of this Consent Judgment, Kidorable shall pay a 22 3.1 total of \$25,000 as a settlement payment. This total shall be paid in two separate checks 23 delivered to the offices of the Lexington Law Group, LLP at the address set forth in section 13 24 below and made payable and allocated as follows. Any failure by Kidorable to comply with the 25 payment terms herein shall be subject to a stipulated late fee in the amount of \$100 for each day 26 after the delivery date the payment is received. The late fees required under this section shall be 27

recoverable, together with reasonable attorneys' fees, in an enforcement proceeding brought

28

6. APPLICATION OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

26

27

28

6.1 This Consent Judgment shall apply to and be binding upon the Parties hereto, their divisions, subdivisions and subsidiaries, and the successors or assigns of any of them.

. 16

7.1 This Consent Judgment is a full, final and binding resolution between CEH and Kidorable of any violation of Proposition 65 that was or could have been asserted in the Complaint against Kidorable or its parents, subsidiaries, affiliates, directors, officers, employees, agents, attorneys, distributors, or customers based on failure to warn about alleged exposure to Lead contained in the Products, with respect to any Products manufactured, distributed or sold by Kidorable on or prior to the date of entry of this Consent Judgment. This release does not limit or effect the obligations of any party created under this Consent Judgment.

8. SEVERABILITY

8.1 In the event that any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment are held by a court to be unenforceable, the validity of the enforceable provisions shall not be adversely affected.

9. SPECIFIC PERFORMANCE

9.1 The Parties expressly recognize that Kidorable' obligations under this Consent Judgment are unique. In the event that Kidorable is found to be in breach of this Consent Judgment for failure to comply with the provisions of Section 2 hereof, the parties agree that it would be extremely impracticable to measure the resulting damages and that such breach would cause irreparable damage. Accordingly, CEH, in addition to any other available rights or remedies, may sue in equity for specific performance, and Kidorable expressly waives the defense that a remedy in damages will be adequate.

10. GOVERNING LAW

10.1 The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California.

11. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION

11.1 This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement and enforce the terms this Consent Judgment.

12. PROVISION OF NOTICE

12.1 All notices required pursuant to this Consent Judgment and

correspondence shall be sent to the following: 1 2 For CEH: Howard Hirsch 3 Lexington Law Group, LLP 4 5 1627 Irving Street San Francisco, CA 94122 6 7 For Kidorable: Peter J. Shakula 8 9 **Wood Phillips** 10 500 W. Madison, Suite 3800 11 Chicago, IL 60661-2562 12 13. COURT APPROVAL If this Consent Judgment is not approved by the Court, it shall be of no 13 further force or effect. The Parties agree to support a Motion for Approval of this Consent 14 15 Judgment. 14. **EXECUTION AND COUNTERPARTS** 16 The stipulations to this Consent Judgment may be executed in 17 counterparts and by means of facsimile, which taken together shall be deemed to constitute one 18 19 document. AUTHORIZATION 20 15. Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully 21 authorized by the party he or she represents to stipulate to this Consent Judgment and to enter 22 into and execute the Consent Judgment on behalf of the party represented and legally bind that 23 party. The undersigned have read, understand and agree to all of the terms and conditions of this 24 Consent Judgment. Except as explicitly provided herein, each party is to bear its own fees and 25 26 costs. 27 28

| 1 | AGREED TO: | |
|----------|--|-----------------|
| 2 | CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH | [|
| 3 4 5 | Michael Green, Executive Director Center for Environmental Health | Dated: 12/12/08 |
| 6 7 | AGREED TO: | |
| 8 | ZM INTERNATIONAL, INC. DBA KIDORA | BLE |
| 9 10 | Signature | Dated: |
| 11 | Signature | |
| 12 | | |
| 13 | Printed Name | |
| 14 | | |
| 15 | Title | |
| 16 | | |
| 17 | | |
| 18 | | |
| 19 20 | | |
| 21 | | |
| 22 | | |
| 23 | | |
| 24 | | |
| 25 | | |
| 26 | | |
| 27 | | |
| 28 | | |
| | | |

| 1 | AGREED TO: | |
|----|--|---------------|
| 2 | CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH | |
| 3 | | |
| 4 | Michael Cross Evacutive Director | Dated: |
| 5 | Michael Green, Executive Director Center for Environmental Health | |
| 6 | AGREED TO: | |
| 7 | AGREED TO: | |
| 8 | ZM INTERNATIONAL, INC. DBA KIDORABLE | · |
| 9 | | _ |
| 10 | Signature | Dated: 12/5/8 |
| 11 | Signature | |
| 12 | Tomathan Domsky | |
| 13 | Printed Name | |
| 14 | President | |
| 15 | Title | |
| 16 | | |
| 17 | | |
| 18 | | |
| 19 | | |
| 20 | · | |
| 21 | • | |
| 22 | | |
| 23 | | |
| 24 | | |
| 25 | | |
| 26 | | |
| 27 | · | |
| 28 | | |
| | | |

ORDER AND JUDGMENT Based upon the stipulated Consent Judgment between the Parties, the settlement is approved and judgment is hereby entered according to the terms herein. -3 Dated: Judge, Superior Court of the State of California