1	LEXINGTON LAW GROUP		
2	Eric S. Somers, State Bar No. 139050 Lisa Burger, State Bar No. 239676		
3	1627 Irving Street San Francisco, CA 94122 Talarhanay (415) 750 4111		
4	Telephone: (415) 759-4111 Facsimile: (415) 759-4112		
5	Attorneys for Plaintiff CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH		
6			
7	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
8	COUNTY OF MARIN		
9			
10	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL	CASE NO. CIV 09-0989	
11	HEALTH a non-profit corporation,		
12	Plaintiff,	[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT RE: DOSKOCIL MANUFACTURING CO., INC.	
13	V.		
14 15	ASPEN PET PRODUCTS, INC.; DOSKOCIL MANUFACTURING CO., INC.; PETSMART, INC.; SWING LTD.; and		
15	Defendant DOES 1 through 200, inclusive,		
17	Defendants.		
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	CONSENT JUDGMENT – DOSKOCIL – Case No. CIV 09-0989		

1. INTRODUCTION

1

1.1 On March 4, 2009, Plaintiff the Center for Environmental Health
("CEH"), a non-profit corporation acting in the public interest, filed a complaint entitled *Center for Environmental Health v. Aspen Pet Products, Inc., et al.*, Marin County Superior Court Case
Number CIV 09-0989, for civil penalties and injunctive relief pursuant to the provisions of Cal.
Health & Safety Code § 25249.5, *et seq.* ("Proposition 65"). The Complaint named, among
others, Aspen Pet Products, Inc. ("Aspen"), Doskocil Manufacturing Co., Inc. ("Doskocil") and
Petsmart, Inc. ("Petsmart") as defendants.

9
1.2 Doskocil represents and warrants that Aspen was merged into Doskocil in
10
10
11
12
11
12
13
14
15
16
16
17
18
19
19
10
10
10
10
11
11
12
12
13
14
14
15
16
17
18
19
19
10
10
10
10
10
11
11
12
12
14
15
15
16
17
16
17
17
18
19
19
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
<

13
1.3 Settling Defendant is a corporation that employs ten or more persons and
14 manufactured, distributed and/or sold dog whistles (the "Products") in the State of California.

15 1.4 On or about May 15, 2008, CEH served defendants and the appropriate 16 public enforcement agencies with a 60-day Notice (the "Notice") alleging that defendants were 17 in violation of Proposition 65 by selling Products containing lead and lead compounds 18 (collectively referred to herein as "Lead") without first providing a clear and reasonable warning. 19 Lead is a chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer and reproductive harm. The 20 Notice and Complaint allege that defendants' conduct violates Health & Safety Code § 25249.6, 21 the warning provision of Proposition 65. The Settling Defendant disputes such allegations and 22 asserts that its Products are safe and comply with all applicable laws.

1.5 For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, Settling Defendant stipulates
that this Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of the violations alleged in CEH's
Complaint and personal jurisdiction over Settling Defendant as to the acts alleged in CEH's
Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of Marin, and that this Court has jurisdiction to
enter this Consent Judgment as a full and final resolution of all claims regarding the Products
which were or could have been raised in the Complaint based on the facts alleged therein.

-2-

1.6 1 CEH and the Settling Defendant enter into this Consent Judgment as a full 2 and final settlement of all claims that were raised in the Complaint, or that could have been 3 raised in the Complaint, arising out of the facts alleged therein. By executing this Consent 4 Judgment, the CEH and the Settling Defendant do not admit any facts or conclusions of law. It is 5 the parties' intent that nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission by 6 the parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law or violation of law, nor shall compliance 7 with the Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by the parties of any fact, 8 conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall 9 prejudice, waive or impair any right, remedy, argument or defense the parties may have in this or 10any other or future legal proceedings.

11

2.

COMPLIANCE - REFORMULATION

12 2.1 Reformulation Standard. Upon entry of this Consent Judgment (the 13 "Effective Date"), Settling Defendant shall not manufacture, distribute, ship, or sell, or cause to 14 be manufactured, distributed or sold, in California any Products that are made of any material, or 15 contains any component, that is more than 0.02 percent Lead by weight (200 parts per million 16 ("ppm")) (the "Reformulation Standard"). On or before 30 days after the entry of this Consent 17 Judgment and Order by the Court, Settling Defendant shall not manufacture, distribute, ship, or 18 sell, or cause to be manufactured, distributed or sold, in the United States any Products that 19 contain any material or component that exceeds the Reformulation Standard.

202.2 Market Withdrawal of Recall Products. On or before the Effective 21 Date, Settling Defendant shall cease shipping the Top Paw Silent Dog Whistle, Receipt ID 22 No. 73725709865, Petsmart ID No. 3120584, and the Aristo Silent Dog Whistle, PETCO Item 23 ID No. 000154857, which were identified as exemplar Products in the 60-Day Notice of 24 Violation sent by CEH to defendants (the "Recall Products"), to stores and/or customers that sell 25 or offer the Recall Products to California consumers, and Settling Defendant shall at a minimum, 26 notify the retail distributors of the Recall Products in California to either cease offering such 27 Recall Products for sale and to either return all Recall Products to Settling Defendant for 28 destruction or directly destroy the Recall Products. Any destruction of the Recall Products shall

be in compliance with all applicable laws. Settling Defendant shall keep all records and
 correspondence regarding the market withdrawal and/or destruction of the Recall Products.
 Settling Defendant shall, upon reasonable written request, provide CEH with sufficient records
 or a written certification to document its market withdrawal and destruction of the Recall
 Products. If there is a dispute over the corrective action, CEH and the Settling Defendant shall
 meet and confer before seeking any remedy in court.

Certification From Suppliers. If Settling Defendant determines to sell
the Products after the Effective Date, Settling Defendant shall issue specifications to all of its
suppliers of the Products requiring that any Products manufactured by or for the Settling
Defendant shall comply with the Reformulation Standard.

11 2.4 **Defendant's Testing**. If Settling Defendant undertakes to manufacture or 12 sell the Products after the Effective Date, Settling Defendant shall cause to be conducted testing 13 on any metal materials or components of the Products to confirm compliance of the Products 14 with the Reformulation Standard. Such testing shall be conducted by an independent laboratory 15 using the most recent version of United States Environmental Protection Agency Method 3050B 16 (the "Test Protocol") or such other testing methods that are required or accepted for Lead testing 17 under Federal or state product safety laws or regulations and that are able to measure Lead 18 content at levels able to determine compliance with the reformulation requirements of this 19 Consent Judgment. Upon reasonable request, no more frequently than once per twelve month 20 period, Settling Defendant shall provide to CEH the results of its testing of the Products. The 21 Settling Defendant's downstream distributors and retailers may rely on testing of the Products.

22 2.4.1 Testing Frequency. For each of the first two orders of Products
23 purchased from each of Settling Defendant's suppliers after the Effective Date, Settling
24 Defendant shall randomly select and test three Products purchased from each supplier of the
25 Products. Following the testing of the first two orders as described above, Settling Defendant
26 shall, for each subsequent order, randomly select and test the greater of 0.05% (one-twentieth of
27 one percent) or two, but in no case more than four, of the total Products purchased in that
28 calendar year from each supplier of the Products.

-4

1 **2.4.2 Products That Contain Lead Pursuant to Testing**. If the results 2 of the testing required pursuant to Section 2.4 show Lead in excess of 200 ppm in a Product, 3 Settling Defendant shall: (1) refuse to accept all of the Products that were purchased under the 4 particular purchase order; (2) send a notice to the supplier explaining that such Products do not 5 comply with the suppliers' certification; (3) apply the testing frequency set forth in Section 2.4.1 6 as though the next shipment from the supplier were the first one following the Effective Date; 7 and (4) send notice of the failed test result(s) and the actions taken pursuant to this Section to 8 CEH at the address listed in Section 11.1.

9 2.5 Confirmatory Testing by CEH. CEH intends to conduct periodic testing
10 of the Products. Any such testing shall be conducted by CEH at an independent laboratory, in
11 accordance with the Test Protocols. In the event that CEH's testing demonstrates that any
12 Product contains Lead in excess of 200 ppm subsequent to the Effective Date, CEH shall inform
13 Settling Defendant of the test results and meet and confer prior to filing an enforcement action
14 pursuant to Section 5 hereof.

15

3. SETTLEMENT PAYMENTS

16 3.1 Payments From Defendant. Settling Defendant shall pay the total sum
17 of Thirty Thousand Dollars (\$30,000) as a settlement payment as allocated pursuant to this
18 Section.

3.1.1 Civil Penalty. Settling Defendant shall pay One Thousand Dollars
(\$1,000.00) as a civil penalty pursuant to Health and Safety Code § 25249.7(b). The payment
required under this Section shall be made by check payable to the Center for Environmental
Health and apportioned by CEH in accordance with Health & Safety Code § 25249.12.

3.1.2 Monetary Payment in Lieu of Penalty. Settling Defendant shall
 pay to CEH Nine Thousand Five Hundred Dollars (\$9,500.00) in lieu of penalty pursuant to
 Health and Safety Code § 25249.7(b). CEH shall use such funds to continue its work protecting
 people from exposures to toxic chemicals. As part of this work, CEH intends to conduct periodic
 testing of the Products as set forth in Section 2.5. In addition, as part of its *Community Environmental Action and Justice Fund*, CEH will use four percent of such funds to award grants

CONSENT JUDGMENT - DOSKOCIL - Case No. CIV 09-0989

to grassroots environmental justice groups working to educate and protect people from exposures
 to toxic chemicals. The method of selection of such groups can be found at the CEH website at
 <u>www.ceh.org/justicefund</u>. The payment required under this Section shall be made by check
 payable to the Center for Environmental Health.
 3.1.3 Attorneys' Fees and Costs. Settling Defendant shall pay

Nineteen Thousand Five Hundred Dollars (\$19,500) to reimburse CEH and its attorneys for their
reasonable investigation fees and costs, attorneys' fess and any other costs incurred as a result of
investigating, bringing this matter to Settling Defendant's attention, litigating and negotiating a
settlement in the public interest. The payment required under this Section shall be made by
check payable to Lexington Law Group.

3.2 Timing and Delivery of Payments. All payments to be made under this
Section 3 shall be delivered to the offices of the Lexington Law Group (Attn: Eric Somers),
1627 Irving Street, San Francisco, California 94122, within five days of entry of this Consent
Judgment.

15

4. MODIFICATION OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

4.1 This Consent Judgment may be modified by written agreement of CEH
and Settling Defendant, or upon motion of CEH or Settling Defendant as provided by law.

18

5. ENFORCEMENT OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

19 5.1 CEH may, by motion or application for an order to show cause, enforce
20 the terms and conditions contained in this Consent Judgment. CEH agrees to meet and confer
21 with Settling Defendant prior to brining any such motion. Should CEH prevail on any such
22 motion, it shall be entitled to recover its reasonable attorneys' fees and costs associated with
23 enforcing the Consent Judgment.

24

6. APPLICATION OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

6.1 This Consent Judgment shall apply to and be binding upon CEH and
Settling Defendant, its divisions, subdivisions and subsidiaries, and the successors or assigns of
any of them.

28

1

7.

CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASE OF CLAIMS

2 7.1 This Consent Judgment is a full, final and binding resolution between 3 CEH and Settling Defendant of any violation of Proposition 65 that was or could have been 4 asserted in the Complaint against Settling Defendant or their parents, subsidiaries, predecessors, 5 and each of their directors, officers, employees, attorneys, and their downstream distributors, customers and retailers (collectively, "Defendant Releasees") and releases any and all claims 6 7 against the Defendant Releasees based on failure to warn about exposures to Lead resulting from 8 any Products manufactured, distributed or sold by Settling Defendant ("Covered Claims") on or 9 prior to the date of entry of this Consent Judgment. Compliance with the terms of this Consent 10 Judgment constitutes compliance with Proposition 65 for purposes of Lead exposures from the 11 Products. CEH and Settling Defendant intend, and the Court finds, that nothing in this Section 7 12 shall apply to any keychain product manufactured, distributed or sold by Settling Defendant. 13 CEH shall dismiss its complaint against Petsmart without prejudice with respect to any products 14 other than Products sold by Settling Defendant or its predecessor.

15

8. SEVERABILITY

16 8.1 In the event that any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment are held
17 by a court to be unenforceable, the validity of the enforceable provisions shall not be adversely
18 affected.

19

9.

GOVERNING LAW

20 9.1 The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the
21 State of California.

22

10. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION

23 10.1 This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement and enforce
24 the terms this Consent Judgment.

25

26

11. **PROVISION OF NOTICE**

11.1 All notices required pursuant to this Consent Judgment and

27 correspondence shall be sent via certified mail to the following:

28 || For CEH:

1	Eric S. Somers		
2	Lexington Law Group 1627 Irving Street		
3	San Francisco, CA 94122		
4	For Settling Defendant:		
5	Mark Kaster Dorsey & Whitney LLP		
6	Suite 1500		
7	50 South Sixth Street Minneapolis, MN 55402		
8	12. COURT APPROVAL		
9	 12. COURT APPROVAL 12.1 CEH will comply with the settlement notice provisions of Health and 		
10	Safety Code § 25249.7(f) and Title 11 of the California Code of Regulations § 3003.		
11	Accordingly, CEH shall prepare and file a Motion for Approval of this Consent Judgment and		
12	Settling Defendant shall support approval of such Motion.		
13	13. COUNTERPARTS		
14	13.1 The stipulations to this Consent Judgment may be executed in		
15	counterparts.		
16	14. AUTHORIZATION		
17 18	14.1 Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully		
10	authorized by the party he or she represents to stipulate to this Consent Judgment and to enter		
20	into and execute the Consent Judgment on behalf of the party represented and legally bind that		
21	party. The undersigned have read, understand and agree to all of the terms and conditions of this		
22	Consent Judgment. Except as explicitly provided herein, each party is to bear its own fees and		
23	costs.		
24	AGREED TO:		
25			
26	6 CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH		
27	Michael Green Dated: 1/13/10		
28	$8 \boxed{\frac{1}{1}} Dated: \frac{1}{1} \boxed{\frac{1}{1}} Dated: \frac{1}{1} \boxed{\frac{1}{1}} \boxed{\frac{1}} \boxed{\frac{1}{1}} \boxed{\frac{1}{1}} $		
	CONSENT JUDGMENT – DOSKOCIL – Case No. CIV 09-0989		
	II IIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIII		

•

[Name] Executive Director [Title] -9-- Case No. CIV 09-0989 CONSENT JUDGMENT - DOSKOCIL

MANUFACTURING CO., INC. DOSKOCIL Dated: 1/13/10 GARY KOHLSC \mathbf{C} FC [Title] **ORDER AND JUDGMENT** Based upon the stipulated Consent Judgment between CEH and Doskocil Manufacturing Co., Inc., the settlement is approved and the clerk is directed to enter judgment in accordance with the terms herein. Dated: Judge, Superior Court of the State of California -10-CONSENT JUDGMENT - DOSKOCIL - Case No. CIV 09-0989