1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	LEXINGTON LAW GROUP, LLP Mark N. Todzo, State Bar No. 168389 Eric S. Somers, State Bar No. 139050 Lisa Burger, State Bar No. 239676 1627 Irving Street San Francisco, CA 94122 Telephone: (415) 759-4111 Facsimile: (415) 759-4112 Attorneys for Plaintiff CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALT	Ή	
9	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
10	COUNTY OF MARIN		
11			
12	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH, a non-profit corporation,	Case No. CIV 090292	
13 14	Plaintiff,	[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO DEFENDANT LIFETIME	
15	v. LIFETIME BRANDS, <i>et al.</i> ,	BRANDS	
16	LIFETIME BRANDS, et at.,		
17	Defendants.		
18		J	
19			
20			
21	, and the second		
22			
23			
24			
25	•		
26			
27			
28			
	[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT AS	TO LIFETIME BRANDS – Case No.CIV 090292	

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

26 27

28

INTRODUCTION 1.

- On January 22, 2009, plaintiff Center for Environmental Health ("CEH" or 1.1 "Plaintiff"), acting in the public interest, filed a complaint in Marin County Superior Court, entitled Center for Environmental Health v. Lifetime Brands, et al., Marin County Superior Court Case Number CIV 090292 (the "Action"), for civil penalties and injunctive relief pursuant to the provisions of California Health & Safety Code § 25249.5, et seq. ("Proposition 65").
- Lifetime Brands (identified herein as "Lifetime" or "Defendant") is a 1.2 corporation that employs 10 or more persons and that manufactured, distributed and/or sold picture frames containing lead solder in the State of California (the "Covered Products"). Plaintiff and Lifetime are referred to collectively herein as the "Parties." This agreement applies only to picture frames containing lead solder sold or to be sold in the State of California.
- On or about August 26, 2008, Plaintiff served Lifetime and the appropriate 1.3 public enforcement agencies with the requisite 60-day notice that Lifetime was in violation of Proposition 65. Plaintiff's Notice and the Complaint in this Action allege that Lifetime exposes individuals who use or otherwise handle the Covered Products to lead and/or lead compounds (referred to interchangeably herein as "Lead"), chemicals known to the State of California to cause cancer, birth defects and other reproductive harm, without first providing clear and reasonable warning to such persons regarding the carcinogenicity and reproductive toxicity of Lead. The Notice and Complaint allege that Lifetime's conduct violates Health & Safety Code § 25249.6, the warning provision of Proposition 65.
- For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this 1.4 Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of the violations alleged in the Complaint and personal jurisdiction over Lifetime as to the acts alleged in the Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of Marin, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment as a full

and final resolution of all claims which were or could have been raised in the Complaint against Lifetime based on the facts alleged therein.

certain disputed claims between the Parties as alleged in the Complaint. By executing this Consent Judgment, the Parties do not admit any facts or conclusions of law. It is the Parties' intent that nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law or violation of law, nor shall compliance with the Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive or impair any right, remedy, argument or defense the Parties may have in this or any other or future legal proceedings.

2. **COMPLIANCE - REFORMULATION**

- 2.1 Reformulation Standard. Within sixty days of entry of this Consent Judgment (the "Compliance Date"), Lifetime shall not manufacture, distribute, ship, or sell, or cause to be manufactured, distributed, shipped or sold, any Covered Product that contains Lead in concentrations that exceed the Reformulation Standard set forth herein. For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Reformulation Standard means that: (a) the solder used on the Covered Products contains no more than 200 parts per million ("ppm") Lead; (b) the coating of any other component of the Covered Products that is likely to be touched, contacted or handled by a user of the Covered Product user during ordinary installation, cleaning, maintenance, or use of such Covered Products contain no more than 200 ppm Lead.
- 2.2 Certification of Level from Suppliers. Lifetime shall obtain written certification with corresponding test results from its suppliers of the Covered Products certifying that the Covered Products meet the Reformulation Standard.

- 2.1, Lifetime shall conduct (or cause to be conducted) testing to confirm that the Covered Products do not contain Lead concentrations exceeding the Reformulation Standard. All testing pursuant to this section shall be performed by an independent laboratory in accordance with EPA Method 3050B for the coating of the Products, and either EPA Method 3050B (the "Test Protocol"), attached hereto as Exhibit A.
- **2.3.1 Random Testing.** Testing pursuant to this Section 2.3 shall be performed on randomly selected units in accordance with Lifetime's usual testing practices.
- 2.3.2 Products that Exceed Stipulated Levels Pursuant to Lifetime's Testing. If the results of the testing required pursuant to Section 2.3 show levels of lead exceeding the Reformulation Standard, Lifetime shall: (1) refuse to accept all of the Covered Products that were purchased under the particular purchase order; and (2) send a notice to the supplier explaining that such Covered Products do not comply with the supplier's certification.
- 2.4 Plaintiff's Confirmatory Testing. Plaintiff may, at its discretion, conduct periodic testing of the Covered Products. Any such testing will be conducted pursuant to the Test Protocol attached hereto as Exhibit A at an independent laboratory. In the event that Plaintiff's testing demonstrates Lead levels in excess of the Reformulation Standard for one or more Covered Products, Plaintiff shall inform Lifetime of the violation(s), including information sufficient to permit Lifetime to identify the Covered Product(s). Within thirty (30) days following such notice, Lifetime shall provide CEH, at the address listed in Section 12, with the certification and testing information demonstrating its compliance with Sections 2.2 and 2.3 of this Consent Judgment. If the testing and certification provided by Lifetime state that the product in question complies with the Reformulation Standard, then Lifetime shall not be subject to any further penalties for those products. If Lifetime fails to provide CEH with certification and

testing information demonstrating that it complied with Sections 2.2 and/or 2.3, Lifetime shall be liable for stipulated payments in lieu of penalties for Products for which CEH produces tests demonstrating Lead levels exceeding the Reformulation Standard, as set forth below. The payments shall be made to CEH and used for the purposes described in Section 3.1.1.

2.5 Stipulated Penalties. In addition to any other remedies provided by law, Lifetime shall be liable for stipulated penalties if it violates the Reformulation Standard, unless Lifetime provides testing information under Section 2.4 above that states that the product in question complies with the Reformulation Standard. The stipulated penalty shall be as follows for each unit of Covered Product for which Plaintiff produces a test result with Lead levels exceeding the Reformulation Standard:

First Occurrence:

\$250

Second Occurrence:

\$500

Third Occurrence:

\$750

Thereafter:

\$1,000

3. SETTLEMENT PAYMENTS

shall pay the sum of \$15,000 as a settlement payment. This total shall be paid in two separate checks delivered to the offices of the Lexington Law Group, LLP at the address set forth in Section 12 below and made payable and allocated as follows. Any failure by Lifetime to comply with the payment terms herein shall be subject to a stipulated late fee in the amount of \$100 for each day after the delivery date the payment is received. The late fees required under this section shall be recoverable, together with reasonable attorneys' fees, in an enforcement proceeding brought pursuant to Section 5 of this Consent Judgment.

3.1.1 Monetary Payment in Lieu of Penalty: \$5,000 shall be paid to

Plaintiff in lieu of any penalty pursuant to Health and Safety Code § 25249.7(b). This payment shall be made by check payable to Center for Environmental Health. Plaintiff shall use such funds to continue its work protecting people from exposures to toxic chemicals. As part of this work, Plaintiff intends to conduct periodic testing of the Products as set forth in Section 2.4.

3.1.2 Attorneys' Fees and Costs: \$10,000 shall be used to reimburse Plaintiff and its attorneys for their reasonable investigation fees and costs, attorneys' fees, and any other costs incurred as a result of investigating, bringing this matter to Lifetime's attention, litigating and negotiating a settlement in the public interest. This payment shall be made by check payable to Lexington Law Group, LLP.

4. MODIFICATION OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

4.1 This Consent Judgment may be modified by written agreement of Plaintiff and Lifetime, or upon motion of Plaintiff or Lifetime as provided by law.

5. ENFORCEMENT OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

5.1 Plaintiff may, by motion or application for an order to show cause before the Superior Court of the County of Marin, enforce the terms and conditions contained in this Consent Judgment. Should Plaintiff prevail on any motion or application under this section, Plaintiff shall be entitled to its reasonable attorneys' fees and costs associated with such motion or application.

6. APPLICATION OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

6.1 This Consent Judgment shall apply to and be binding upon the parties hereto, their divisions, subdivisions and subsidiaries, and the successors or assigns of any of them.

7. CLAIMS COVERED

7.1 This Consent Judgment is a full, final and binding resolution between Plaintiff and Lifetime of any violation of Proposition 65 that could have been asserted against Lifetime in the Complaint based on Lifetime's failure to warn about exposure to Lead contained in the Covered Products, with respect to any Covered Products manufactured, distributed or sold by Lifetime on or prior to the date of entry of this Consent Judgment. This release does not limit or effect the obligations of any party created under this Consent Judgment.

8. SEVERABILITY

8.1 In the event that any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment are held by a court to be unenforceable, the validity of the enforceable provisions shall not be adversely affected.

9. SPECIFIC PERFORMANCE

9.1 The parties expressly recognize that Lifetime's obligations under this

Consent Judgment are unique. In the event that Lifetime is found to be in breach of this Consent

Judgment for failure to comply with the provisions of Section 2 hereof, the parties agree that it

would be extremely impracticable to measure the resulting damages and that such breach would

cause irreparable damage. Accordingly, Plaintiff, in addition to any other available rights or

remedies, may sue in equity for specific performance, and Lifetime expressly waives the defense

that a remedy in damages will be adequate.

10. GOVERNING LAW

10.1 The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California.

11. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION

11.1 This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement and enforce the terms this Consent Judgment.

1	12.	PROVISION OF NOTICE
2		12.1 All notices required pursuant to this Consent Judgment and correspondence
3	shall be sent to the following:	
4	For Plaintiff:	
5		Mark N. Todzo
6 7		Lexington Law Group, LLP 1627 Irving Street
8		San Francisco, CA 94122
9	For Lifetime:	
10		Elliot Belilos
11		Olsson Frank Weeda Terman Bode Matz, P.C
12		1400 Sixteenth Street, N.W., Suite 400 Washington, D.C. 20036
13	13.	COURT APPROVAL
14	13.	
15	C 41 C	
16 17	further force or effect.	
18	14.	EXECUTION AND COUNTERPARTS
19		14.1 The stipulations to this Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts
20	and by means of facsimile, which taken together shall be deemed to constitute one document.	
21	15.	AUTHORIZATION
22		15.1 Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully
23	authorized by the party he or she represents to stipulate to this Consent Judgment and to enter into	
24	and execute the Consent Judgment on behalf of the party represented and legally bind that party.	
25	The undersigned have read, understand and agree to all of the terms and conditions of this	
26 27	Consent Judgment. Except as explicitly provided herein, each party is to bear its own fees and	
27	costs.	
28		

1	AGREED TO:		
2	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH		
3	. 4		
4	Dated: 2/4/9		
5	-Michael Green, Executive Director		
6	Center for Environmental Health CMARLIE PIRMED ASSOCIATION		
7	CMARLIE PIDANES, ASSOCIATE DIR		
8	LIFETIME BRANDS		
9			
10	1800000 Dated: 2/3/09		
11			
12	Randa Struttan		
13	Printed Name		
14	Vice Chairman COO		
15	Title Creatinant		
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	- 9 - [PROPOSED] CONSENT IUDGMENT RE: LIFETIME BRANDS – CASE NO CIV090292		
	for any angular production of the contract of		

,		
1 2		
3	ORDER AND JUDGMENT	
4	Based upon the Stipulated Consent Judgment between Plaintiff and Lifetime	
5	Brands, the settlement is approved and judgment is hereby entered according to the terms therein.	
6	Dated:	
7		
8	Judge, Superior Court of the State of California	
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21 22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	- 10 -	
	[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT RE: LIFETIME BRANDS – CASE NO. CIV090292	

[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT RE: LIFETIME BRANDS – CASE NO. CIV090292