2	ROBIN STAFFORD (BAR NO. 200950) RStafford@mofo.com MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP 425 Market Street San Francisco, California 94105-2482		
3			
4	Telephone: 415.268.7000 Facsimile: 415.268.7522		
5	Attorneys for Defendant ACME UNITED CORPORATION.		
6			
7			
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
9	COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO		
10			
11	CENTER FOR ENFIRONMENTAL HEALTH, a non-profit corporation,	Case No. CGC-08-482792	
12	Plaintiff.	[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT	
13	v.	AS TO DEFENDANT ACME UNITED CORPORATION	
14	ACME UNITED CORPORATION; ADENNA	COMPORATION	
15	INC.; BECTON, DICKINSON & COMPANY; BETTY DAIN CREATIONS, INC.; DURASAFE		
16	INC.; IMPACT PRODUCTS, LLC; INVACARE		
17	CORPORATION; MICROFLEX CORPORATION; SHELBY GROUP		
18	INTERNATIONAL DBA MCR SAFETY; UNITED STATIONERS SUPPLY CO.; and		
19	Defendant DOES 1 through 200, inclusive, Defendant.		
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

1. INRODUCTION

- 1.1 On December 9, 2008, plaintiff the Center for Environmental Health ("CEH"), a non-profit corporation acting in the public interest, filed a complaint entitled *Center for Environmental Health v. Acme United Corp.*, et al., San Francisco Superior Court Case No. CGC-08-482792, (the "Complaint"), for civil penalties and injunctive relief pursuant to Cal. Health & Safety Code § 25249.5, et seq. ("Proposition 65") and naming Acme United Corporation as a defendant.
- 1.2 Acme United Corporation (identified herein as "Acme") is a corporation that employs 10 or more persons and that manufactured, distributed and/or sold vinyl gloves (the "Products") in the State of California. CEH and Acme are referred to collectively herein as the "Parties."
- enforcement agencies with the requisite 60-day notice that Acme was in violation of Proposition 65. CEH's Notice and the Complaint in the CEH Action allege that Acme exposes people who use or otherwise handle the Products to di(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate ("DEHP"), a chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer, birth defects and other reproductive harm, without first providing clear and reasonable warning to such persons regarding the carcinogenicity and reproductive toxicity of DEHP. The Notice and Complaint allege that Defendant's conduct violates Health & Safety Code § 25249.6, the warning provision of Proposition 65. Defendant disputes such allegations and asserts that all of its Products are safe and complies with all applicable laws.
- 1.4 For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of the violations alleged in the Complaint and personal jurisdiction over Acme as to the acts alleged in the Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of San Francisco, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment as a full and final resolution of all claims which were or could have been raised in the Complaint against Acme based on the facts alleged therein.
- 1.5 The Parties enter into this Consent Judgment pursuant to a settlement of certain disputed claims between the Parties as alleged in the Complaint. By executing this Consent Judgment, the Parties do not admit any facts or conclusions of law. It is the Parties' intent that

nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law or violation of law, nor shall compliance with the Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive or impair any right, remedy, argument or defense the Parties may have in this or any other or future legal proceedings.

2. COMPLIANCE - REFORMULATION

- 2.1 Reformulation Standard. After 30 days following the entry of this Consent Judgment (the "Compliance Date"), Acme shall not manufacture, distribute, ship, or sell, or cause to be manufactured, distributed or sold in California, any Product that contains in excess of trace amounts of DEHP. For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, "in excess of trace amounts" is more than 600 parts per million ("ppm"). In reformulating the Products to remove DEHP, Acme may not use butyl benzyl phthalate ("BBP"), di-n-hexyl phthalate ("DnHP"), di-n-butyl phthalate ("DBP") or di-isodecyl phthalate ("DIDP") in excess of trace amounts. DEHP, BBP, DnHP, DBP and DIDP are together referred to herein as "Listed Phthalates."
- 2.1.1 Certification of Level From Suppliers. Acme shall issue specifications to its suppliers requiring that the Products shall not contain DEHP or any other Listed Phthalate in excess of trace amounts. Acme shall obtain written certification from its suppliers of the Products certifying that the Products do not contain DEHP in excess of trace amounts.
- 2.1.2 Acme's Testing. In order to ensure compliance with the requirements of Section 2.1, Acme shall cause to be conducted testing to confirm that Products sold in California following the Compliance Date do not contain DEHP in excess of trace amounts. Testing shall be conducted in compliance with Section 2.1. All testing pursuant to this section shall be performed by an independent laboratory in accordance with both of the following test protocols: (1) EPA SW8270C; and (2) EPA SW3580A (together referred to as the "Test Protocols"). At the request of CEH, the results of the testing performed pursuant to this section shall be made available to CEH on a confidential basis.
- 2.1.2.1 Testing Frequency. For each of the first two orders of

 Products purchased from each of Acme's suppliers after the Compliance Date, Acme shall randomly

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

select and test one glove from each of the greater of 0.1% (one-tenth of one percent) or eight, but in no case more than ten, of the total boxes of Products purchased from each supplier of the Products intended for sale in California. Following the testing of the first two orders as described above, Acme shall, for each subsequent order, randomly select and test one glove from each of the greater of 0.05% (one-twentieth of one percent) or four, but in no case more than five, of the total boxes of Products purchased in that calendar year for sale in California from each supplier of the Products.

2.1.2.2

Products That Contain Listed Phthalates Pursuant to Acme's Testing. If the results of the testing required pursuant to Section 2.1.2 show Listed Phthalates in excess of trace amounts in a Product, Acme shall: (1) refuse to accept all of the Products that were purchased under the particular purchase order; (2) send a notice to the supplier explaining that such Products do not comply with the suppliers' certification; and (3) apply the testing frequency set forth in 2.1.2.1 as though the next shipment from the supplier were the first one following the

2.1.3 CEH's Confirmatory Testing. CEH intends to conduct confirmatory testing of the Products. Any such testing shall be conducted by CEH at an independent laboratory, in accordance with both of the Test Protocols. In the event that CEH's testing demonstrates that the Products contain Listed Phthalates in excess of trace amounts subsequent to the Compliance Date, CEH shall inform Acme of the test results, including information sufficient to permit Acme to identify the Product(s). Acme shall, within 30 days following such notice, provide CEH, at the address listed in Section 10.1, with the certification and testing information demonstrating its compliance with Sections 2.1.1 and 2.1.2 of this Consent Judgment. If Acme fails to provide CEH with information demonstrating that it complied with Sections 2.1.1 and/or 2.1.2, Acme shall be liable for stipulated payments in lieu of penalties for Products for which CEH produces tests demonstrating the presence of Listed Phthalates in the Products. The payments shall be made to CEH and used for the purposes described in Section 4.1.

2.1.4 Stipulated Penalties. If stipulated payments in lieu of penalties are warranted under Section 2.1.3, the stipulated payment amount shall be as follows for each Occurrence of Acme selling a Product containing Listed Phthalates after the Compliance Date:

First Occurrence:

\$500

Second Occurrence:

\$750

Third Occurrence:

\$1,000

Thereafter:

\$2,500

CEH shall have the burden of demonstrating that stipulated penalties are warranted by proving noncompliance with Sections 2.1.1 and 2.1.2 by a preponderance of the evidence. As used in this Section 2.1.4, the sale of any number of Products from a single lot shall constitute an "Occurrence."

3. SETTLEMENT PAYMENTS

- 3.1 Monetary Payment in Lieu of Penalty. Acme shall pay to CEH \$7,500 in lieu of any penalty pursuant to Health and Safety Code § 25249.7(b). CEH shall use such funds to continue its work protecting people from exposures to toxic chemicals. As part of this work, CEH intends to conduct periodic testing of the Products as set forth in Section 2.1.3. The payment required under this section shall be made payable to CEH.
- 3.2 Attorneys' Fees and Costs. Acme shall pay \$15,000 to reimburse CEH and its attorneys for their reasonable investigation fees and costs, attorneys' fees, and any other costs incurred as a result of investigating, bringing this matter to Acme's attention, litigating and negotiating a settlement in the public interest. The payment required under this section shall be made payable to Lexington Law Group, LLP.
- 3.3 Delivery of Payments. All payments made pursuant to this Section 3 shall be delivered to the Lexington Law Group, LLP at the address set forth in Section 10.1 and shall be delivered within 10 days of entry of this Consent Judgment.

4. MODIFICATION OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

- 4.1 This Consent Judgment may be modified by written agreement of CEH and Acme, or upon motion of CEH or Acme as provided by law. Grounds for such modification include, but are not limited to, any change in law that would (1) render Proposition 65 inapplicable to the Products or to DEHP or (2) establish a compliance standard that would allow concentrations of DEHP in the Products to exceed of 600 ppm without a Proposition 65 warning.
 - 4.2 CEH intends to enter into agreements with other entities that manufacture,

distribute and/or sell vinyl gloves containing DEHP. It is the intention of this Consent Judgment that no such settlement shall place Acme at a competitive disadvantage with other such entities. Should the provisions of a Consent Judgment with a similarly situated manufacturer or distributor of vinyl gloves contain compliance standards that are less stringent than those contained in this Consent Judgment, Acme may obtain a modification of this Consent Judgment to conform with the terms of the later entered Consent Judgment.

5. ENFORCEMENT OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

5.1 CEH may, by motion or application for an order to show cause before the Superior Court of the County of San Francisco, enforce the terms and conditions contained in this Consent Judgment. Should CEH prevail on any motion or application under this section, CEH shall be entitled to its reasonable attorneys' fees and costs associated with such motion or application.

6. APPLICATION OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

6.1 This Consent Judgment shall apply to and be binding upon the parties hereto, their divisions, subdivisions and subsidiaries, and the successors or assigns of any of them.

7. CLAIMS COVERED

7.1 This Consent Judgment is a full, final and binding resolution between CEH and Acme of any violation of Proposition 65 that was or could have been asserted in the Complaint against Acme (including any claims that could be asserted in connection with any of the Products covered by this Consent Judgment) or its parents, subsidiaries, affiliates, directors, officers, employees, agents, attorneys, distributors, customers or retailers (collectively, "Defendant Releasees") based on failure to warn about alleged exposures to DEHP resulting from any Products manufactured, distributed or sold by Acme ("Covered Claims") on or prior to the date of entry of this Consent Judgment. CEH, its directors, officers, employees and attorneys hereby release all Covered Claims against Defendant Releasees. Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment constitutes compliance with Proposition 65 with regard to exposures to Listed Phthalates from the Products.

8. SEVERABILITY

8.1 In the event that any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment are held by a

1	court to be unenforceable, the validity of the enforceable provisions shall not be adversely affected.		
2	9. GOVERNING LAW		
3		9.1 The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State	
4	of California.		
5	10.	PROVISION OF NOTICE	
6		10.1 All notices required pursuant to this Consent Judgment and correspondence	
7	shall be sent to the following:		
8	For CEH	Mark N. Todzo	
9		Lexington Law Group, LLP 1627 Irving Street	
10		San Francisco, CA 94122	
11	For Acme:	Walter Johnsen Chief Executive Officer	
12		Acme United Corporation 60 Round Hill Road	
13		Fairfield, CT 06824	
14		Robin S. Stafford Morrison & Foerster	
15		425 Market Street San Francisco, CA 94105	
16	· 11.	RETENTION OF JURISDICTION	
17		11.1 This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement and enforce the	
18	terms this Consent Judgment.		
19	12.	COURT APPROVAL	
20	12.	12.1 If this Consent Judgment is not approved by the Court, it shall be of no further	
21	force or effec		
22	13.	EXECUTION AND COUNTERPARTS	
23	15.	13.1 The stipulations to this Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and	
24	his maana af		
25	by means of facsimile, which taken together shall be deemed to constitute one document.		
26	14.	AUTHORIZATION 14.1 Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully	
27	authorized by	the party he or she represents to stipulate to this Consent Judgment and to enter into	
28	and the party ne of the represents to supulate to any consent stagment and to enter into		

1	and execute the Consent Judgment on behalf of the party represented and legally bind that party. The		
2	undersigned have read, understand and agree to all of the terms and conditions of this Consent		
3	Judgment. Except as explicitly provided herein, each party is to bear its own fees and costs.		
4			
5	AGREED TO:		
6	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH Dated:		
7			
8	Ch-		
9	Michael Green, Executive Director Center for Environmental Health		
10	CHARLIC PORTURE AS SOUNDS DIVERS		
11	ACME UNITED CORPORATION Dated:		
12			
13			
14	Print Name		
15	·		
16	Title		
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

1	and execute the Consent Judgment on behalf of the party represented and legally bind that party. The		
2	undersigned have read, understand and agree to all of the terms and conditions of this Consent		
3	Judgment. Except as explicitly provided herein, each party is to bear its own fees and costs.		
4			
5	AGREED TO:		
6	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH	Dated:	
7			
8			
9	Michael Green, Executive Director Center for Environmental Health		
10		Dated: Jany 20, 2009	
11	ACME UNITED CORPORATION	Dated: Jany 10, 200/	
12	Matter C Aleur		
13	10/110- 1 Tol 00		
14	Print Name		
15	Chairman and CEO		
16	Title		
17			
18			
19			
20			
21	·		
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

1	ORDER AND JUDGMENT Based upon the stipulated Consent Judgment between CEH and Acme United Corporation, the settlement is approved and judgment is hereby entered according to the terms herein.		
2			
3			
4			
5	Dated:		
6		Judge, Superior Court of the State of California	
7			
8			
9			
0			
-			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8			
9			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
40			