et al., Case No. RG 06-26953, Center for Environmental Health v. Forum Novelties, Inc., et. al., Case No. RG 11-574481, and Center for Environmental Health v. Gags and Games, Inc., et. al., Case No. RG 12-620105. The Exhibit A attachments identify the specific case or cases to which each Settling Defendant is a party.

- 1.2 Beginning on November 20, 2008, CEH served multiple 60-Day Notices of Violation under Proposition 65 alleging that Settling Defendants violated Proposition 65 by exposing persons to cadmium and/or lead and lead compounds (collectively, "Lead") contained in jewelry, without first providing a clear and reasonable warning pursuant to Proposition 65.
- 1.3 Each Settling Defendant is a corporation that manufactures, distributes and/or sells Covered Products (as defined herein) in the State of California, or has done so in the past.
- 1.4 For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, CEH and Settling Defendants (the "Parties") stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the operative Complaint applicable to each Settling Defendant and personal jurisdiction over Settling Defendants as to the acts alleged in the Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of Alameda, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment.
- 1.5 In executing this Consent Judgment, Settling Defendants expressly maintain that their manufacture, distribution and/or sale of Covered Products as defined in Section 2.3 below has at all times complied with all applicable laws including Proposition 65 (California Health & Safety Code sections 25249.5, et seq.). In executing this Consent Judgment, CEH maintains that there is a real controversy underlying this lawsuit because Settling Defendants have in the past violated Proposition 65 in connection with their sale of Covered Products. By execution of this Consent Judgment and agreeing to comply with its terms, the Parties do not admit any facts or conclusions of law including, but not limited to, any facts or conclusions of law suggesting or demonstrating any violations of Proposition 65 or any other statutory, common law or equitable requirements relating to cadmium or Lead in jewelry. Nothing in this Consent Judgment is or shall be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law or violation of law, nor shall compliance with the Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, or violation of law. Nothing

in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive or impair any right, remedy, argument or defense the Parties may have in this or any other pending or future legal proceedings. This Consent Judgment is the product of negotiation and compromise and is accepted by the Parties solely for purposes of settling, compromising, and resolving issues disputed in this action. Other than for enforcement of its terms between the parties, this Consent Judgment shall not be admissible in any other legal proceeding for any purpose.

1.6 In executing this Consent Judgment, Rubie's and Forum maintain and represent that that they have for years had a Proposition 65 compliance testing program for all of their Covered Products.

## 2. **DEFINITIONS**

- 2.1 The term "Cadmium Limit" means a concentration of 0.03 percent (300 parts per million ("ppm")) by weight cadmium in any component of a Covered Product, or in any material used in a Covered Product. The forgoing shall not apply to components of or materials used in Covered Products made from cubic zirconia (sometimes called cubic zirconium, CZ), glass, rhinestones or vitrified ceramics except where the Covered Products in question are subject to California Health & Safety Code section 25214.2(d).
  - 2.2 The term "Lead Limit" means:
- 2.2.1 For Paint or Surface Coating, a concentration of 0.009 percent Lead by weight (90 ppm). For purposes of this Consent Judgment, "Paint or Surface Coating" shall carry the same meaning as "Paint or other similar surface coating" under 16 C.F.R. §1303.2(b)(1) ("Paint and other similar surface-coating materials means a fluid, semi-fluid, or other material, with or without a suspension of finely divided coloring matter, which changes to a solid film when a thin layer is applied to a metal, wood, stone, paper, leather, cloth, plastic, or other surface. This term does not include printing inks or those materials which actually become a part of the substrate, such as the pigment in a plastic article, or those materials which are actually bonded to the substrate, such as by electroplating or ceramic glazing.").
- 2.2.2 For materials other than Paint or Surface Coating in Children's Products, a concentration of 0.01 percent Lead by weight (100 ppm). For purposes of this Consent Judgment,

"Children's Products" shall carry the same meaning as "children's product" under the Consumer Product Safety Improvement Act of 2008, 15 U.S.C. § 2052(a)(2).

- 2.2.3 For Polyvinyl chloride ("PVC") components, a concentration of 0.02 percent Lead by weight (200 ppm).
- 2.2.4 For all other components, a concentration of 0.03 percent Lead by weight (300 ppm).
- 2.3 The term "Covered Product" means (a) the following ornaments worn by a person: an anklet, arm cuff, bracelet, charm, brooch, chain, crown, cuff link, watch (excluding the timepiece itself if removable), decorated hair accessory, earring, necklace, pin, ring; similar clothing or shoe ornaments which are detachable; and body piercing jewelry; or (b) any bead, chain, link, pendant, or other component of such an ornament.
  - 2.4 The term "Effective Date" means the date of entry of this Consent Judgment.
- 2.5 The term "Listed Chemicals" means Lead and/or cadmium, as specified on Exhibit A for each Settling Defendant.

## 3. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

- 3.1 **Reformulation of Covered Products.** Settling Defendants shall comply with the following requirements to achieve expeditious reformulation of the Covered Products to reduce or eliminate exposures to Listed Chemicals arising from Covered Products that they sell or offer for sale:
- 3.1.1 **Specification Compliance Date**. To the extent they have not already done so, no more than 30 days after the Effective Date, Settling Defendants shall provide the Cadmium Limit and the Lead Limit to their vendors of Covered Products and shall instruct each vendor to expeditiously provide Covered Products that do not exceed either the Cadmium Limit or the Lead Limit on a nationwide basis.
- 3.1.2 **Compliance.** After the Effective Date, Settling Defendants shall not manufacture, purchase, import, sell or offer for sale any Covered Product that will be sold to California consumers that exceeds the Lead Limit or the Cadmium Limit.

DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER 3.2

19

20 21

22 23

24 25

26

27

28

Defendants shall have: (i) ceased shipping the specific products identified next to its name on Exhibit A (the "Exhibit A Products") to stores and/or customers in California; (ii) withdrawn the Exhibit A Products from the market in California; and (iii) if the Exhibit A Products were not withdrawn from sale in California prior to the Effective Date, sent instructions to any of its stores and/or customers that offer the Exhibit A Products for sale in California to cease offering such Exhibit A Products for sale and to either return all Exhibit A Products to Settling Defendants for destruction, or to directly destroy the Exhibit A Products. Any destruction of the Exhibit A Products shall be in compliance with all applicable laws. Within 60 days of the Effective Date, Settling Defendants shall certify to CEH that Settling Defendants have complied with this Section 3.2. If there is a dispute over the corrective action, the Parties shall meet and confer before

seeking any remedy in court. Provided that Settling Defendants have taken the actions specified

above, Settling Defendants may thereafter begin selling reformulated versions of the Exhibit A

products that do not exceed either the Lead Limit or the Cadmium Limit in California in the future

provided that they maintain test results dated after the Effective Date showing that the Exhibit A

Products do not exceed either the Lead Limit or the Cadmium Limit.

Treatment of Exhibit A Products. On or before the Effective Date, Settling

3.3 Date Coding of Rubie's and Forum Covered Products. All Covered Products manufactured, purchased, imported, sold or offered for sale by Rubie's or Forum after December 31, 2012 shall have a date code legibly displayed on the outside of the packaging of the Covered Product that clearly indicates the month and year that the Covered Product was manufactured.

#### **ENFORCEMENT** 4.

4.1 **General Enforcement Provisions.** CEH may, by motion or application for an order to show cause before this Court, enforce the terms and conditions contained in this Consent Judgment, except that any action by CEH to enforce alleged violations of the Cadmium Limit and/or the Lead Limit by Settling Defendants shall be brought exclusively pursuant to, and as limited by, this Section 4. Where CEH seeks to enforce the Lead and/or Cadmium limits as to Rubie's or Forum Covered Products, CEH may only pursue enforcement under any of the provisions of this Section 4 against Rubie's and/or Forum as the case may be, and not against any other Settling Defendant, nor may CEH commence a separate enforcement action against any non-party retailer for any such Rubie's and/or Forum Covered Product.

## 4.2 Enforcement of Alleged Materials Violation.

4.2.1 **Notice of Alleged Violation.** In the event that, at any time following the Effective Date, CEH identifies one or more Covered Products manufactured, distributed, or sold by a Settling Defendant that CEH believes in good faith exceed the Cadmium Limit and/or the Lead Limit, CEH may issue a Notice of Alleged Violation as defined in Section 4.2.3 below. CEH may issue a single Notice of Alleged Violation for each distinct Covered Product that CEH believes in good faith exceeds the Cadmium Limit and/or the Lead Limit, but CEH may not issue a different Notice of Alleged Violation for different units of the identical Covered Product.

# 4.2.2 Service of Notice of Alleged Violation and Supporting Documentation.

4.2.2.1 Any Notice of Alleged Violation issued hereunder shall be sent to the person(s) identified in Exhibit A to receive notices for each Settling Defendant that is responsible for the alleged violation, and must be served within 75 days of the date the Covered Product at issue for that Settling Defendant was purchased or otherwise acquired by CEH, provided, however, that CEH may have up to an additional 45 days to provide the Settling Defendant with the test data required by Section 4.2.3.2 below if it has not yet obtained it from its laboratory, with any deadlines for Settling Defendant to make an election about how to proceed in response to the Notice of Alleged Violation extended day for day until such test data is received by the Settling Defendant. Any Notice of Alleged Violation shall include information on all of the units of the particular Covered Product that is the subject of the Notice of Alleged Violation in Plaintiff's possession at the time the Notice of Alleged Violation is issued, whether or not all such units are alleged to violate either the Lead or Cadmium Limits contained herein.

4.2.2.2 The Notice of Violation shall, at a minimum, set forth for each Covered Product: (a) the date the alleged violation was observed; (b) the location and name of the retailer(s) at which the Covered Product was purchased; (c) a description of the Covered Product giving rise to the alleged violation; (d) copies of photographs of both sides of the product packaging for the Covered Product at issue such that to the extent possible relevant information on

the Covered Product packaging such as date of manufacture and SKU number can be identified; and (e) all test data obtained by CEH regarding all units of the Covered Product at issue and supporting documentation sufficient for validation of the test results, including any laboratory reports, quality assurance reports and quality control reports associated with testing of the Covered Products. Such Notice of Alleged Violation shall be based upon total acid digest test data from an independent laboratory. Wipe, swipe, and swab testing by themselves are not sufficient to support a Notice of Alleged Violation.

- 4.2.2.3 CEH shall promptly make available for inspection and/or copying upon request by and at the expense of the Settling Defendant, any supporting documentation related to the testing of the Covered Products subject to the Notice of Alleged Violation, as well as associated quality control samples, including chain of custody records, all laboratory logbook entries for laboratory receiving, sample preparation, and instrumental analysis, and all printouts from all analytical instruments relating to the testing of Covered Product samples and any and all calibration tests performed or relied upon in conjunction with the testing of the Covered Products, obtained by or available to CEH that pertain to the Covered Product's alleged exceedance of the Cadmium Limit and/or Lead Limit, and, if available, any exemplars of Covered Products tested.
- 4.2.3 **Notice of Election of Response.** No more than 45 days after service of a Notice of Alleged Violation (or any extension pursuant to Section 4.2.2.1 above), the Settling Defendant shall provide written notice to CEH whether it elects to contest the allegations contained in a Notice of Alleged Violation ("Notice of Election"). Failure to provide a Notice of Election within 45 days of service of a Notice of Alleged Violation (or any extension pursuant to Section 4.2.2.1 above) shall be deemed an election to contest the Notice of Alleged Violation.
- 4.2.3.1 If a Notice of Alleged Violation is contested, the Notice of Election shall include all then-available documentary evidence regarding the alleged violation, including all test data of all units of the Covered Product subject to the Notice of Alleged Violation, if any. If a Settling Defendant or CEH later acquires additional test or other data regarding the alleged violation, it shall notify the other Party and promptly provide all such data or information to the Party. Any test data used to contest a Notice of Alleged Violation shall meet the criteria of

Section 4.2.2.2. All test results of Covered Products conducted by or on behalf of a Settling Defendant or CEH, including both passing and failing test results, shall be maintained by the Settling Defendant or CEH for a period of no less than three years after the date the test was performed.

4.2.4 **Meet and Confer.** If a Notice of Alleged Violation is contested, CEH and the Settling Defendant shall meet and confer to attempt to resolve their dispute. Within 30 days of serving a Notice of Election contesting a Notice of Alleged Violation, the Settling Defendant may withdraw the original Notice of Election contesting the alleged violation and serve a new Notice of Election conceding the violation, provided however that the Settling Defendant shall pay \$2500 in addition to any payment required under Section 4.2.7. At any time, CEH may withdraw a Notice of Alleged Violation, in which case for purposes of this Section 4 the result shall be as if CEH never issued any such Notice of Alleged Violation. If no informal resolution of a Notice of Alleged Violation results within 30 days of a Notice of Election to contest, CEH may file an enforcement motion or application pursuant to Section 4.1. If the dispute involves only a Rubie's or Forum Covered Product any motion to enforce shall only be filed as to Rubie's and/or Forum as the case may be. In any such proceeding, CEH may seek whatever fines, costs, penalties attorneys' fees or remedies are provided by law for failure to comply with the Consent Judgment. Should Plaintiff decide to bring an enforcement action against such Settling Defendant, it must be brought within 180 days of the expiration of the 30 day meet and confer period set forth above unless such deadline is extended in writing by mutual agreement of Plaintiff and the Settling Defendant. If CEH does not file any such enforcement action within 180 days or any mutually agreed extension, the Notice of Alleged violation shall be treated as withdrawn, in which case for purposes of this Section 4 the result shall be as if CEH never issued any such Notice of Alleged Violation.

4.2.5 **Non-Contested Matters.** If a Settling Defendant elects not to contest the allegations in a Notice of Alleged Violation, it shall undertake corrective action pursuant to Section 4.2.6 and shall make any payments required by Section 4.2.7. A notice of election not to contest an alleged violation of this Consent Judgment shall be considered an offer of compromise

24

25

26

27

under California Evidence Code § 1152 and Federal Rule of Evidence 408 and shall not otherwise constitute an admission of any fact or issue by Settling Defendant. Such notice of election shall also not be admissible in any proceeding, for any purpose, other than a proceeding brought pursuant to the terms of this Section 4.

4.2.6 **Corrective Action in Non-Contested Matters.** If a Settling Defendant elects not to contest the allegation, the Settling Defendant shall include in its Notice of Election a detailed description with supporting documentation of the corrective action that it has undertaken or proposes to undertake to address the alleged violation. Any such correction shall, at a minimum, provide reasonable assurance that the Covered Product subject to the Notice of Alleged Violation will no longer be offered for sale in California. Corrective action must include instructions to the Settling Defendant's stores and/or customers that offer the Covered Product for sale to consumers to cease offering the Covered Product(s) identified in the Notice of Alleged Violation for sale in California as soon as practicable. The Notice of Election shall also include the name, address, telephone number, and other contact information, of Settling Defendant's supplier(s) of each Covered Product identified in the Notice of Alleged Violation and any retailers to whom Settling Defendant sold any Covered Product(s) identified in the Notice of Alleged Violation. Settling Defendant shall make available to CEH for inspection and/or copying records and correspondence regarding the corrective action. If there is a dispute over the corrective action, the Parties shall meet and confer pursuant to Section 4.2.4 before seeking any remedy in court. Notwithstanding the foregoing, newly manufactured units of the Covered Product subject to the Notice of Alleged Violation that have a manufactured date after the date of the Notice of Alleged Violation and that do not exceed the Lead Limit or the Cadmium Limit can be sold to California consumers provided that Settling Defendant produces to CEH compliant test results for such newly manufactured product dated after the Notice of Alleged Violation.

4.2.7 **Payments in Non-Contested Matters.** In addition to the corrective action set forth in Section 4.2.6 above, a Settling Defendant shall be required to make a payment as reimbursement for costs for investigating, preparing, sending and prosecuting Notices of Alleged Violation, and to reimburse attorneys' fees and costs incurred in connection with these

26

27

activities, solely and exclusively as specified in Sections 4.2.7.1- 4.2.7.6 below, except to the extent any additional payment may be required under Section 4.2.4 above. Should any non-contested Notice of Alleged Violation give rise to an enforcement right under Section 4.2.8, CEH may at its option either exercise that right and obtain any attorneys fees and costs a court orders under Section 4.2.8, or exercise the rights set forth in Sections 4.2.7.1- 4.2.7.6 below, but not both.

4.2.7.1 If the Notice of Alleged Violation is the first Notice of Alleged Violation served on a Settling Defendant within the prior eighteen month period that was not successfully contested or withdrawn, and the Settling Defendant serves a Notice of Election not to contest the allegations in the instant Notice of Alleged Violation, the Settling Defendant shall not be required to make a payment.

4.2.7.2 If (i) the Settling Defendant is Rubie's or Forum, (ii) the Settling Defendant serves a Notice of Election not to contest the allegations in the instant Notice of Alleged Violation, and (iii) the Covered Product that is the subject of the Notice of Alleged Violation was manufactured prior to December 31, 2012 and does not include a date stamp indicating it was manufactured after December 31, 2012, then the Settling Defendant shall not be required to make a payment but only to take the corrective action specified in Section 4.2.6. Other than for purposes of the corrective action specified in Section 4.2.6, any such Notice of Alleged Violation shall not count or be treated as a Notice of Alleged Violation for purposes of this Section 4, provided the Settling Defendant takes the corrective action specified in Section 4.2.6.

4.2.7.3 If (i) the Settling Defendant is Rubie's or Forum, (ii) the Settling Defendant previously received at least one Notice of Alleged Violation within the prior eighteen month period that was not successfully contested or withdrawn, (iii) the Settling Defendant serves a Notice of Election not to contest the allegations in the instant Notice of Alleged Violation, and (iv) the Covered Product that is the subject of the Notice of Alleged Violation was manufactured after December 31, 2012 and includes a date stamp indicating it was manufactured after December 31, 2012, then the Settling Defendant shall be required to make a payment of \$10,000, inclusive of all Plaintiff's attorneys' fees and costs. This payment shall, however, be reduced to \$5,000 inclusive of all Plaintiff's attorneys' fees and costs if the Settling Defendant produces with its

Notice of Election acid digest test data showing that the Covered Product that is the subject of the Notice of Alleged Violation did not exceed the Cadmium Limit and/or Lead Limit at issue in the Notice of Alleged Violation. For purposes of this Section 4.2.7.3 only, "acid digest test data" shall mean total cadmium or total Lead (as applicable depending on the Listed Chemical at issue in the Notice of Alleged Violation) by acid digest testing performed by an accredited laboratory on the Covered Product alleged to be in violation of the Cadmium Limit and/or Lead Limit where the test was conducted within fourteen (14) months after the date the Covered Product that is the subject of the Notice of Alleged Violation was manufactured. This payment shall, however, be further reduced to \$2,500 if the Settling Defendant in addition to producing acid digest test data qualifying for a payment reduction to \$5,000, also produces with its Notice of Election XRF Test Data showing that the Covered Product that is the subject of the Notice of Alleged Violation did not exceed the Cadmium Limit and/or Lead Limit at issue in the Notice of Alleged Violation. For purposes of this Section 4.2.7.3 only, "XRF Test Data" means a contemporaneously prepared electronic or written test report, either signed by the XRF Operator or electronically indicating the XRF operator, showing total cadmium or total Lead (as applicable depending on the Listed Chemical at issue in the Notice of Alleged Violation) by X-ray fluorescence (XRF) testing performed on a sample of the Covered Product pulled from randomly selected inventory pursuant to an existing written screening policy for Listed Chemicals in Covered Products where the test was conducted within one year after the date the Covered Product that is the subject of the Notice of Alleged Violation was manufactured. Any payments required hereunder for Rubie's and/or Forum Covered Products shall resolve all payment issues related to the Covered Products subject to the Notice of Violation, and no other Settling Defendants shall be required to make any payments related to such Covered Products provided they take all corrective action required under Section 4.2.6.

4.2.7.4 If (i) the Settling Defendant is not Rubie's or Forum and the Notice of Alleged Violation does not involve a Rubie's and/or Forum Covered Product, (ii) the Settling Defendant previously received a Notice of Alleged Violation that was not successfully contested or withdrawn, and (iii) the Settling Defendant serves a Notice of Election not to contest the

24

25

26

27

1	6
2	1
3	]
4	]
5	
6	(
7	1
8	1
9	]
10	•
11	(
12	]
13	i
14	]
15	1
16	]
17	6
18	
19	(
20	]
21	1
22	]
23	j
24	1
25	

allegations in the instant Notice of Alleged Violation, then the Settling Defendant shall be required to make a payment of \$10,000. This payment shall, however, be reduced to \$5,000 if the Settling Defendant produces with its Notice of Election acid digest test data showing that the Covered Product that is the subject of the Notice of Alleged Violation did not exceed the Cadmium Limit and/or Lead Limit at issue in the Notice of Alleged Violation. For purposes of this Section 4.2.7.4 only, "acid digest test data" shall mean total cadmium or total Lead (as applicable depending on the Listed Chemical at issue in the Notice of Alleged Violation) by acid digest testing performed by an accredited laboratory on the Covered Product alleged to be in violation of the Cadmium Limit and/or Lead Limit where the test was conducted within one year prior to the date the Covered Product that is the subject of the Notice of Alleged Violation was manufactured. Acid digest test data may be performed by the manufacturer, importer or distributor of the Covered Product. This payment shall, however, be further reduced to \$2,500 if the Settling Defendant in addition to producing acid digest test data qualifying for a payment reduction to \$5,000, also produces with its Notice of Election Domestic XRF Test Data showing that the Covered Product that is the subject of the Notice of Alleged Violation did not exceed the Cadmium Limit and/or Lead Limit at issue in the Notice of Alleged Violation. For purposes of this Section 4.2.7.4 only, 'Domestic XRF Test Data" means a contemporaneously prepared written test report signed by the XRF Operator showing total cadmium or total Lead (as applicable depending on the Listed Chemical at issue in the Notice of Alleged Violation) by X-ray fluorescence (XRF) testing performed on a sample of the Covered Product pulled from randomly selected inventory in the United States pursuant to an existing written screening policy for Listed Chemicals in Covered Products where the test was conducted within one year prior to the date the Covered Product that s the subject of the Notice of Alleged Violation was manufactured. Domestic XRF Test Data may be performed by the manufacturer, importer or distributor of the Covered Product.

4.2.7.5 If more than one Settling Defendant has manufactured, sold, offered for sale or distributed a Covered Product identified in a non-contested Notice of Alleged Violation, only one required payment may be assessed under this Section 4.2.7 or under any other Consent Judgment that covers the same Covered Product identified in the non-contested Notice of

26

27

Alleged Violation in the following order: manufacturer; importer; distributor; retailer. Where the Covered Product is a Rubie's and/or Forum Covered Product, any required payment may only be assessed against Rubie's or Forum as the case may be

4.2.7.6 Any payments required under Sections 4.2.7.3-4.2.7.5 shall be made by check payable to the Lexington Law Group and shall be paid within 15 days of service of a Notice of Election triggering a payment.

4.2.8 **Repeat Violator.** If a Settling Defendant other than Rubie's or Forum has been served with more than three Notices of Alleged Violation that were not successfully contested or withdrawn in any 12-month period then, at CEH's option, CEH may seek whatever fines, costs, penalties, attorneys' fees or other remedies that are provided by law for failure to comply with this Consent Judgment. If the Settling Defendant is Rubie's and/or Forum and has been served with more than three Notices of Alleged Violation for Covered Products that were date coded and manufactured after December 31, 2012 that were not successfully contested or withdrawn in any 12-month period then, at CEH's option, CEH may seek whatever fines, costs, penalties, attorneys' fees or other remedies that are provided by law for failure to comply with this Consent Judgment. In the circumstance where Rubie's and/or Forum Covered Products are subject to this provision, Plaintiff may only bring an enforcement action against Rubie's and/or Forum for such covered Products and not against any other Settling Defendant or non-party retailer. Prior to seeking such relief, CEH and the Settling Defendant shall meet and confer in good faith for a period not to exceed 30 days (unless extended by mutual agreement) to determine if the Parties can agree on measures Settling Defendant can undertake to prevent future violations. Should Plaintiff decide to bring an enforcement action against such Settling Defendant, it must be brought within 180 days of the expiration of the 30 day meet and confer period set forth above (or any extension thereto) unless such deadline is extended in writing by mutual agreement of Plaintiff and the Settling Defendant.

## 5. PAYMENTS

5.1 **Payments From Settling Defendants.** Solely for purposes of settlement, without admitting any liability, and expressly denying any violation, Settling Defendants shall,

26

27

within ten days of entry of this Consent Judgment, jointly and severally pay the total sum of \$350,000 in a single check made payable to and delivered to the offices of the Lexington Law Group (Attn: Eric Somers), 503 Divisadero Street, San Francisco, California 94117-2212, as reimbursement of a portion of Plaintiff's reasonable attorneys' fees and costs.

## 6. MODIFICATION AND DISPUTE RESOLUTION

- 6.1 **Modification.** This Consent Judgment may be modified from time to time by express written agreement of the Parties with the approval of the Court, or by an order of this Court upon motion and in accordance with law.
- 6.2 **Notice**; **Meet and Confer.** Any Party seeking to modify this Consent Judgment shall attempt in good faith to meet and confer with the other Party prior to filing a motion to modify the Consent Judgment.

## 7. CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASE

- 7.1 This Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution between CEH and Settling Defendants, and Settling Defendants' parents, shareholders, employees, divisions, subdivisions, subsidiaries, partners, sister companies and their successors and assigns ("Defendant Releasees"), and all entities to whom they distribute or sell Covered Products including, but not limited to, distributors, wholesalers, customers, retailers, franchisees, cooperative members, and licensees ("Downstream Defendant Releasees") of any violation of Proposition 65 against Settling Defendants, Defendant Releasees, and Downstream Defendant Releasees, regarding the failure to warn about exposure to the Listed Chemical(s) applicable to each such Settling Defendant arising in connection with Covered Products manufactured, distributed, or sold by each Settling Defendant prior to the Effective Date.
- 7.2 CEH, for itself and acting on behalf of the public interest pursuant to Health & Safety Code §25249.7(d), releases, waives, and forever discharges any and all claims against Settling Defendants, Defendant Releasees, and Downstream Defendant Releasees arising from any violation of Proposition 65 regarding the failure to warn about exposure to the Listed Chemical(s) applicable to each such Settling Defendant arising in connection with Covered Products manufactured, distributed or sold by Settling Defendants prior to the Effective Date.

1	7.3 Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment by Settling Defendants and
2	the Defendant Releasees shall constitute compliance with Proposition 65 by Settling Defendants,
3	the Defendant Releasees and their Downstream Defendant Releasees with respect to any alleged
4	failure to warn about the Listed Chemical(s) applicable to each such Settling Defendant in
5	Covered Products manufactured, distributed or sold by Settling Defendants after the Effective
6	Date.
7	8. PROVISION OF NOTICE
8	When any Party is entitled to receive any notice under this Consent Judgment, the
9	notice shall be sent by first class and electronic mail as follows:
10	8.1.1 <b>Notices to Settling Defendants.</b> The persons for Settling Defendants to
11	receive Notices pursuant to this Consent Judgment shall be the person(s) identified in Exhibit A.
12	8.1.2 <b>Notices to Plaintiff.</b> The person for CEH to receive Notices pursuant to
13	this Consent Judgment shall be:
14 15 16	Eric S. Somers Lexington Law Group 503 Divisadero Street San Francisco, CA 94117 esomers@lexlawgroup.com
17	8.2 Any Party may modify the person and address to whom the notice is to be sent by
18	sending the other Party notice by first class and electronic mail.
19	9. COURT APPROVAL
20 21	9.1 This Consent Judgment shall become effective on the Effective Date, provided
22	however, that CEH shall prepare and file a Motion for Approval of this Consent Judgment and
23	Settling Defendants shall support approval of such Motion.
23	9.2 If this Consent Judgment is not entered by the Court, it shall be of no force or
25	effect and shall not be introduced into evidence or otherwise used in any proceeding for any
23 26	purpose.
20 27	
- /	

## 1

#### 10. **GOVERNING LAW AND CONSTRUCTION**

2 3

10.1 The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California.

of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter hereof, and any and all prior discussions,

negotiations, commitments, or understandings related thereto, if any, are hereby merged herein

and therein. There are no warranties, representations, or other agreements between the Parties

except as expressly set forth herein. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied,

other than those specifically referred to in this Consent Judgment have been made by any Party

hereto. No other agreements not specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise,

contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the

Parties hereto only to the extent that they are expressly incorporated herein. No supplementation,

modification, waiver, or termination of this Consent Judgment shall be binding unless executed in

This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement, enforce or modify

writing by the Party to be bound thereby. No waiver of any of the provisions of this Consent

Judgment shall be deemed or shall constitute a waiver of any of the other provisions hereof

whether or not similar, nor shall such waiver constitute a continuing waiver.

AUTHORITY TO STIPULATE TO CONSENT JUDGMENT

shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties hereto. Any agreements specifically

This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding

4

#### 11. **ENTIRE AGREEMENT**

11.1

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

#### 19 12. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION

12.1

13.1

the Consent Judgment.

20

21

# 22

13.

Party.

23

24

25

26

27

28

### 14. NO EFFECT ON OTHER SETTLEMENTS

14.1 Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall preclude CEH from resolving any claim

Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully

authorized by the Party he or she represents to stipulate to this Consent Judgment and to enter into

and execute the Consent Judgment on behalf of the Party represented and legally to bind that

1	against any entity that is not a Settling Defendant on terms that are different from those contained
2	in this Consent Judgment.
3	15. EXECUTION IN COUNTERPARTS
4	The stipulations to this Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and
5	by means of facsimile electronic pdf, which taken together shall be deemed to constitute one
6	document.
7	
8	IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED
9	AND DECKEED
10	Dated: , 2012
11	The Honorable Steven A. Brick Judge of the Superior Court
12	IT IS SO STIPULATED:
13	1
14	Dated: 5/23, 2012 CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH
15	
16	Ch
17	
18	CHARLIE PIZARAS
19	Printed Name
20	$\Lambda_{i}$ , $\Lambda_{i}$
21 22	Associate Director
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER	-17-
	CONSENT JUDGMENT – CASE NO. JCCP 4666

1		
2		
3	f. FC	ORUM NOVELTIES CO., INC.
4	Dated: MAY 23 ,2012	
5		But
6		
7		ROBERT KAMIN
8	$\overline{P}$	rinted Name
9		
10		Managing Director
11	1	Title
12	Dated:, 2012	UBIE'S COSTUME COMPANY, INC.
13		
14		
15		
16		
17	F T	Printed Name
18		
19	7	Title
20		
21		
22 23		
23		
25		
26		
27		
28		
DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER	-	18 -

1		
2		
3 4	Dated:, 2012	FORUM NOVELTIES CO., INC.
5		
6		
7		
8		Printed Name
9		
10		Title
11		
12	Dated: MAY 23 , 2012	RUBIE'S COSTUME COMPANY, INC.
13. <u>14</u>		Man P Beg
15		
16		MARC P. BEILE
17		Printed Name
18		
19		PRESIDENT
20		Title
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
<b>~27</b>		
28		
DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER		- 18 -
ON RECYCLED PAPER	CONCENT HIDOX	CENTE CARE NO TOOD ACC

	il i
1	
2	Dated: 6/1., 2012
. 3	ASADART, LLC.  Defendant Name
4	Detendant Name
5	loft Hong
6	Signature
7	
8	Scott Monn D Printed Name
9	Frine Name
10	(FO
11	Title
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19 20	
21	
22	· · · · · · · · · · · · · ·   5
23	
24	·
25	
26	
27	
28	
DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER	
H	CONSENT JUDGMENT – CASE NO. JCCP 4666

		Costume Supercenter of NJ L.L.C
. 1	Dated: 1, 2012	Costune Supercenter-LLC
2		Carlotte Agents
3		Defendant Name
4		$\sim$
5		
6		Signature
7		Enk Mandell
8		Printed Name
9	·	
10		CEO
11	•	Title
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER		-19-

CONSENT JUDGMENT - CASE NO, JCCP 4666

1	
2	Dated: b/ 2012
3	Myn's Costumeo, Inc.  Defendant Name
4	1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1
5	1ett Money
6	Signature
7	& Scott Mounts
8	Printed Name
9	
10	President
11	Title
12	
13	•
14	
15	
16	
17 18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	·
. 28	
DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER	-19-
1	CONSENT JUDGMENT – CASE NO. JCCP 4666

1	
1 2	Dated: May 29, 2012
3	104/11 (048 LLC
4	Defendant Name
5	Don Madah
6	Signature
7	
8 -	Ron Madach.
9	Printed Name
10	Co OLINOC Manno Manno (116
11	Co-owner, Member/Manager LLC Title
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	<u> </u>
27 ·	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
28  DOCUMENT PREPARED	
ON RECYCLED PAPER	-19-

-19-CONSENT JUDGMENT - CASE NO. JCCP 4666

1	EXHIBIT A Settling Defendants
2	Settling Defendant: Forum Novelties Co., Inc.
3	1. Cases:
4	Center for Environmental Health v. Nadri, et al., Case No. RG 06-26953, Named as a
5	defendant on January 29, 2009.
6	Center for Environmental Health v. Forum Novelties, Inc. et. al., Case No. RG 11-574481. Named as a defendant on May 5, 2011.
7	——————————————————————————————————————
8	2. Listed Chemical(s) Applicable to Defendant:
9	X Lead X Cadmium
10	
11	3. Product(s) to be removed from sale in California:
12	Asp Snake Necklace, SKU No. 721773546730
13	Asp Snake Earrings & Necklace, SKU No. 721773601750
14	Big Daddy Bracelet, SKU No. 721773595943
15	Big Daddy Ring, SKU No. 721773546341
16	Combat Cutie Dog Tags, SKU No. 721773629570
17	Combat Cutie Earrings, SKU No. 721773629556
18	Disco Fever 70 Rainbow Hoop Earrings, SKU No. 721773630286
19	Flirtin' with the 50's Charm Bracelet, SKU No. 721773618109
20	Flirtin' with the 50's Going Steady High School Ring with Chain,     SKU No. 721773615450
21	• Flirtin' with the 50's Jewelry Set, SKU No. 721773618086
22	Old School Bling Necklace with Boom Box, SKU No. 721773644702
23	Old School Bling Necklace with Knuckle Pendant, SKU No. 721773644719
24	• Pirate Beads, SKU No. 721773570452
25	• Pirate Bracelet, SKU No. 721773581427
26	Pirate Necklace, SKU No. 721773581434  • Pirate Necklace, SKU No. 721773581434
27	Skull and Crossbones Bracelet, SKU No. 7-21773-60784-4
28	Silai and Ciobbonico Biaccio, Diro 110. / 21/13 00/01 1
DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER	-20-
l	CONSENT JUDGMENT – CASE NO. JCCP 4666

1	• Spider Ring, SKU No. 7-21773-57173-2
2	5. Person(s) to receive Notices Pursuant to Section 8:
3	Robert Kamin Managing Director
4	Managing Director Forum Novelties, Inc. 1770 Walt Whitman Road
5	Melville, NY 11747 robert@forumnovelties.com
6	With a copy to:
7	James Robert Maxwell
8	Rogers Joseph O'Donnell 311 California Street, 10 <sup>th</sup> Floor San Francisco, CA 94104
9	San Francisco, CA 94104 jrm@rjo.com
10	Jiii@ijo.com
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28  DOCUMENT PREPARED	
ON RECYCLED PAPER	-21-

CONSENT JUDGMENT – CASE NO. JCCP 4666

1	Settling Defendant: Rubie's Costume Company, Inc.
2	1. Cases:
3	Center for Environmental Health v. Nadri, et al., Case No. RG 06-26953, Named as a defendant on January 29, 2009.
<ul><li>4</li><li>5</li></ul>	Center for Environmental Health v. Forum Novelties, Inc., et. al., Case No. RG 11-574481. Named as a defendant on May 24, 2012.
6 7	Listed Chemical(s) Applicable to Defendant:  _X_ Lead _X_ Cadmium
8 9 110 111 112 113 114 115 116 117	<ul> <li>3. Product(s) to be removed from sale in California:</li> <li>All That Jazz Diamond Brooch, SKU No. 082686095020</li> <li>Black Spider Choker, SKU No. 01004803</li> <li>Betty Boop Jewelry Set, SKU No. 082686065801</li> <li>Sabina Augusta Bracelet, SKU No. 082686076180</li> <li>Secret Wishes Heart Charm Bracelet, SKU No. 0-82686-07870-2</li> <li>Secret Wishes Lips Charm Bracelet, SKU No. 82686078696</li> <li>Skull Hairbows, SKU No. 082686035934</li> </ul>
118 119 220 221 222 223 224 225 226 227	4. Person(s) to receive Notices Pursuant to Section 8:  Marc Beige President Rubie's Costume Company, Inc. One Rubie Plaza Richmond Hill, NY 11418 marc711@aol.com  With a copy to:  James Robert Maxwell Rogers Joseph O'Donnell 311 California Street, 10 <sup>th</sup> Floor San Francisco, CA 94104 jrm@rjo.com

1	Settling Defendant: ASADART, UC
2	1. Case:
3	CEH v. Gags and Games, Inc. et al., Case No. RG 12-620105. Named as a defendant on
4	March 6, 2012,
5	2. Listed Chemical(s) Applicable to Defendant:
6	X Lead Cadmium
7	Z Load Cadiman
8	3 Recall Production Bell Borns Jenelry Set Jem No. 6580
9	3. Recall Product(s): Bethy Boop Jenetry Set, Hem No. 6580 SKN No. 0-82686-06580-1
10	4. Person(s) to receive Notices Pursuant to Section 8:
11	AA
12	Mr. Scott Mom's Name No. Nelissa Jones, Esq.
13	President OF Coursel
14	GOO Morris Estate Dr. 500 Capito   Mall, 16th F.
15	Address Line 1 Address Line (
16 17	6900 Momis Estate Dr. Address Line 1  Charlotte, NC 28262 Address Line 2  Sacramento, CA 95814  Address Line 2
18	N/A majones @ Stock. com
19	Email Address Email Address
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
Document Prepared On Recycled Paper	-23-

71 May. 31 2012 09:02PM P2

PHONE NO. : 7043324443

**LKOW: MORRRIS COSTTMES** 

1	Settling Defendant: Costume Supercenter of NJ L.L.C.
2	1. Case:
3 4	CEH v. Gags and Games, Inc. et al., Case No. RG 12-620105. Named as a defendant on March 6, 2012.
5	
. 6	2. Listed Chemical(s) Applicable to Defendant:
7	Lead Cadmium
8	
9	3. Recall Product(s): Pirate Bracelet, Style No. 58142
10.	4. Person(s) to receive Notices Pursuant to Section 8:
11	
12	Name Name
. 13	( € O
14	Title Title
15	Address Line 1 Address Line 1
16	Elison, NT OP83)
17	Address Line 2 Address Line 2
18	Email Address Email Address
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28 DOCUMENT PREPARED	
ON RECYCLED PAPER	<b>-23-</b>

CONSENT JUDGMENT - CASE NO. JCCP 4666

1	Settling Defendant: Morn's Costumes, Inc.
. 2	1. Case:
3	CEH v. Gags and Games, Inc. et al., Case No. RG 12-620105. Named as a defendant on
4	March 6, 2012.
5	2. Listed Chemical(s) Applicable to Thefordaye
6	Listed Chemical(s) Applicable to Defendant:  Lead  Cadmium
7	`
8	3 Booth Broducks, Retta Brom Jenebry Set Item No. 6580.
. 9	3. Recall Product(s): Betty Boop Fenelry Set, Item No. 6580, SKV No. 0-82686-06580-1
10	4. Person(s) to receive Notices Pursuant to Section 8:
11	
12	Mr. Scott Morris Name  Ms. Melissa Jones, Esq. Name
13	PresidentOF Cornsel
14	Title Title
15	Address Line 1 500 Capito   Mall, 16th Fl.  Address Line 1 Address Line 1
16	Address Line I  Address Line I
17	Charlotte, NC 28262 Sacramento, CA 95714 Address Line 2
18	W/A majones@stoel.com Email Address Email Address
19	Diffit Widicas
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
Document Prepared on Recycled Paper	-23-
4	CONOMINA THE CONTROL OF SOURCE AND ADDRESS O

May. 31 2012 09:03PM P4

PHONE NO. : 7043324443

FROM: MORRRIS COSTIMES

1	Settling Defendant: Toynk Toys LLC
2	1. Case:
3 4	CEH v. Gags and Games, Inc. et al., Case No. RG 12-620105. Named as a defendant on March 6, 2012.
5 6 7	2. Listed Chemical(s) Applicable to Defendant: Lead Cadmium
8 · 9 10	3. Recall Product(s):  All That Jazz Diamond Blooch, SKU No. 082686095020 4. Person(s) to receive Notices Pursuant to Section 8:
11	A
12	Ron Modoch
13	Name Co-owner, Member/Manager LLC
14	Title
15	Address Line 1 Address Line 1
16 17	Rensenville, JL 60106 Address Line 2 Address Line 2
18	Ron Gtoynk.com
19	Email Address Email Address
20	
21	
22	
23	
24 25	
26	
27	
28	
DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER	-23-

CONSENT JUDGMENT – CASE NO. JCCP 4666