1 2 3 4 5 6 7	LEXINGTON LAW GROUP ERIC S. SOMERS, STATE BAR NO. 139050 MARK N. TODZO, STATE BAR NO. 168389 HOWARD HIRSCH, STATE BAR NO. 213209 1627 Irving Street San Francisco, CA 94122 Telephone: (415) 759-4111 Facsimile: (415) 759-4112 Attorneys for Plaintiff CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH		
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
9	COUNTY OF MARIN		
10			
11	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL	Case No. CV-093704	
12	HEALTH, a non-profit corporation,	[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO OMNIPURE FILTER	
13	Plaintiff,	COMPANY, INC.	
14			
15	v.		
16	MULTI-PURE INTERNATIONAL; OMNIPURE FILTER COMPANY, INC.;		
17	PUR WATER PURIFICATION		
18	PRODUCTS, INC.; THE PROCTER & GAMBLE COMPANY; THE PROCTER &		
19	GAMBLE DISTRIBUTING LLC; THE PROCTER & GAMBLE		
20	MANUFACTURING COMPANY; and		
21	Defendant DOES 1 through 500, inclusive,		
22	Defendants.		
23			
24			
25	1. Introduction		
26	1.1 On July 24, 2009, plaintiff the Ce	nter for Environmental Health (hereinafter	
27	"CEH"), a non-profit corporation, filed a compla	int in Marin County Superior Court entitled	
28			

 $[PROPOSED] \ CONSENT \ JUDGMENT \ AS \ TO \ OMNIPURE \ FILTER \ COMPANY, INC. \ - \ CASE \ NO. \ CV-093704$

Center for Environmental Health v. Multi-Pure International, et al., for civil penalties and injunctive relief pursuant to the provisions of Cal. Health & Safety Code ("Health & Safety Code") §25249.5, et seq. ("Proposition 65") (the "Action"). CEH's complaint (the "Complaint") in the Action named Omnipure Filter Company, Inc. ("Defendant") as a party. CEH and Defendant are referred to collectively as the "Parties."

- 1.2 Defendant is a corporation that employs more than 10 persons and that manufactured, distributed and/or sold residential and commercial point of entry and point of use drinking water filtration systems utilizing activated carbon filters. Arsenic is alleged to be present in the activated carbon used in the filters and replacement filters of Defendant's drinking water filtration systems. This Consent Judgment resolves CEH's claims against Defendant, as described further herein, with respect to drinking water filtration systems utilizing activated carbon filters and replacement filters used in such systems (excluding any industrial filters), which are referred to herein as the "Products."
- 1.3 More than sixty days prior to filing the Action, CEH served Defendant and the appropriate public enforcement agencies with the requisite 60-day notice (the "Notice") alleging that Defendant is in violation of Proposition 65. CEH's Notice and its Complaint allege that Defendant discharges and releases arsenic (inorganic arsenic compounds) and arsenic (inorganic oxides) (referred to collectively herein as "Arsenic"), chemicals known to the State of California to cause cancer and birth defects or other reproductive harm, into sources of drinking water through the sale and use of the Products, in violation of Cal. Health & Safety Code §25249.5. Defendant contends that there has been no violation of Proposition 65 or Health & Safety Code §25249.5.
- 1.4 CEH's Notice and its Complaint also allege that Defendant did not provide a clear and reasonable warning to purchasers of the Products regarding the carcinogenicity and reproductive toxicity of Arsenic, in violation of Health & Safety Code §25249.6. Defendant contends that there has been no violation of Health & Safety Code §25249.6.
- 1.5 For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in CEH's Complaint and personal

jurisdiction over Defendant as to the acts alleged in CEH's Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of Marin, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment as a full and final resolution of all claims which were or could have been raised in the Complaint against Defendant based on the facts alleged therein.

For the purposes of resolving this dispute by compromise and avoiding prolonged 1.6 litigation, CEH and Defendant enter into this Consent Judgment as a full and final settlement of all claims that were raised in the Notice and Complaint, or which could have been raised in the Complaint, arising out of the facts or conduct alleged therein. By execution of this Consent Judgment and agreeing to provide the relief and remedies specified herein, Defendant does not admit any issue of fact or law, including but not limited to any violations of Proposition 65 or any other law or legal duty, and in fact denies that any violations whatsoever have occurred. By execution of this Consent Judgment and agreeing to the injunctive relief set forth herein, CEH does not admit any issue of fact or law. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive or impair any right, remedy, argument or defense the Parties may have in this or any other or future legal proceedings. This Consent Judgment is the product of negotiation and is accepted by the Parties for purposes of settling and resolving issues disputed in this Action, including future compliance by Defendant with Section 2 of this Consent Judgment, and shall not be used for any other purpose, or in any other matter. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prohibit CEH from seeking, or the Court from ordering, different injunctive or other relief from entities that are not party to this Consent Judgment.

2. COMPLIANCE

2.1. **Arsenic Reformulation**. As of January 1, 2011 (the "Final Compliance Date"), Defendant shall not manufacture, distribute, ship or sell, or cause to be manufactured, distributed, shipped or sold, any Products that leach Arsenic in concentrations greater than 5 parts per billion ("ppb") using NSF Standard 42, 53 or the appropriate NSF Standard applicable to the Product being tested (in any case, using the latest edition) (the "Test Protocol"). The reformulation

25

26

27

27

28

reformulation standard for both Validation Products, Defendant shall no longer be required to conduct the Validation Testing pursuant to Section 2.1.1. Each Validation Product shall contain carbon from a lot that has already passed the Raw Material Testing conducted pursuant to Section 2.1.2. For avoidance of doubt, the fact that application of the criteria in Section 2.1.1.1 may result in different Validation Products tested from time to time does not affect the nature or frequency of such testing.

2.1.1.3. Products That Exceed Reformulation Standard: After the Final Compliance Date, if Defendant obtains test results indicating that a Validation Product leaches Arsenic in concentrations greater than 5 ppb, Defendant shall, within 45 days of receiving such results, provide to CEH: (a) a copy of the test results and any related QA/QC or other documentation regarding the testing; (b) an itemization of all Products, if any, that Defendant offered for direct sale in California and that contain carbon from the same lot as the Validation Product that failed the Validation Test, including the model name and number, number of units affected, and distribution status of those units; (c) with respect to Products, if any, that were offered for direct sale in California by Defendant and that contain carbon from the same lot as the Validation Product that failed the Validation test, a plan of correction to remedy the violation, including a detailed description of the specific corrective actions to be taken, the dates such actions will be completed, and the scope of such actions (including, but not limited to, which Products will be addressed by the action); and (d) a description of what changes, if any, Defendant proposes to make to the Raw Material Testing procedure set forth in Exhibit A to ensure that the procedure is adequately screening Arsenic levels in the Products' activated carbon. If Defendant knows or has reason to know that there were material indirect sales in California of Products that contain carbon from the same lot as the Validation Product that failed the Validation Test, Defendant shall include all such Products sold nationally in its itemization of affected Products. The Parties shall meet and confer regarding the scope of any corrective action, including but not limited to corrective action to remedy violations regarding material indirect sales to California. If CEH disagrees with the sufficiency or timing of Defendant's proposed corrective action, or if the Parties are unable to agree as to what changes, if any, need to be made

to the Raw Material Testing procedure, CEH may seek enforcement of this Consent Judgment in accordance with Section 5.

- 2.1.2. Raw Material Arsenic Level And Testing. Beginning on the Final Compliance Date, and to further ensure compliance with Section 2.1, Defendant shall not use activated carbon that leaches Arsenic in concentrations greater than 5 ppb using the Raw Material Testing procedure set forth in Exhibit A in any Products or Components (as that term is defined in Section 7.1). Defendant shall test or cause to be tested each lot (as that term is defined in the Raw Material Testing procedure) of raw activated carbon used in the Products or Components using the Raw Material Testing procedure set forth in Exhibit A.
- 2.1.2.1. In the event that Defendant is no longer required to conduct Validation Testing pursuant to the terms of Section 2.1.1.2, Defendant may request a meeting with CEH to confer in good faith about modification of the Raw Material testing procedures pursuant to Section 2.1.2 and Exhibit A to substitute a quality control and testing program for Raw Material that is designed to ensure compliance with Section 2.1, including without limitation periodic certifications of validating test results and the provision of documentation to CEH.
- 2.2. **Certification Of Level From Suppliers.** To the extent Defendant relies upon its suppliers to conduct any of the testing required by this Consent Judgment, Defendant shall obtain written certification with corresponding test results from its suppliers.
- 2.3. **Documentation.** The certifications and results of all testing performed pursuant to this Consent Judgment shall be retained by Defendant for a period of five years from the date of the certification or testing and shall be made available to CEH upon request.
- 2.4. **Confirmatory Testing By CEH.** CEH intends to conduct periodic testing of the Products sold in California. Any such testing will be conducted in accordance with the Test Protocol.
- 2.5. **Product Flushing Instructions.** As of the Final Compliance Date, for Products that Defendant manufactures, distributes, ships or sells, Defendant shall transmit initial flushing instructions to its customers by installation manuals, owner's manuals, labels, packaging or other methods, as follows: (1) for point of entry Products having bed volumes of 0.5 cubic feet or less,

and for all point of use Products, initial flushing of no less than ten (10) bed volumes; and (2) for point of entry Products having bed volumes of greater than 0.5 cubic feet, initial flushing of no less than ten (10) gallons.

3. SETTLEMENT PAYMENT

- 3.1. Within 20 days after service of a Notice of Entry of this Consent Judgment, Defendant shall pay \$145,000 as a settlement payment. The payment required under this Section shall be delivered to the offices of Lexington Law Group. Any failure by Defendant to comply with the payment terms herein shall be subject to a stipulated late fee in the amount of \$100 for each day after the delivery date the payment is received. The late fees required under this Section shall be recoverable, together with reasonable attorneys' fees, in an enforcement proceeding brought pursuant to Section 5 of this Consent Judgment. CEH and the Lexington Law Group shall provide completed W-9 forms to Defendant and Defendant may issue Form 1099s to each of them but only in the amount of the respective payments set forth for each entity below. The funds paid by Defendant shall be made payable and distributed as follows:
- 3.1.1. <u>Penalty</u>: \$5,000 of Defendant's payment shall be made by check payable to the Center For Environmental Health as a penalty pursuant to Health & Safety Code \$25249.7(b). CEH shall apportion the penalties in accordance with Health & Safety Code \$25249.12.
- 3.1.2. Monetary Payment In Lieu Of Penalty: \$50,000 of Defendant's payment shall be made by check payable to the Center For Environmental Health as payment to CEH in lieu of civil penalty pursuant to Health & Safety Code \$25249.7(b), and California Code of Regulations, title 11, \$3202(b). CEH will use such funds to continue its work educating and protecting people from exposures to toxic chemicals, including heavy metals. In addition, as part of its Community Environmental Action and Justice Fund, CEH will use four percent of such funds to award grants to grassroots environmental justice groups working to educate and protect people from exposures to toxic chemicals. The method of selection of such groups can be found at the CEH web site at www.ceh.org/justicefund.

3.1.3. Attorneys' Fees And Costs: \$90,000 of Defendant's payment shall be made by check payable to the Lexington Law Group as reimbursement of a portion of CEH's reasonable investigation fees and costs, attorneys' fees, and any other costs incurred as a result of investigating, bringing this matter to Defendant's attention, litigating and negotiating a settlement in the public interest.

4. MODIFICATION OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

4.1. This Consent Judgment may be modified by written agreement of CEH and Defendant, after noticed motion, and upon entry of an amended consent judgment by the Court thereon, or upon motion of CEH or Defendant and upon entry of an amended consent judgment by the Court.

5. ENFORCEMENT OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

5.1. CEH may, by motion or application for an order to show cause before the Superior Court of the County of Marin, enforce the terms and conditions contained in this Consent Judgment. Prior to bringing any motion or application to enforce the requirements of Section 2 above, CEH shall provide Defendant with a Notice of Violation and a copy of any test results which purportedly support CEH's Notice of Violation. The Parties shall then meet and confer regarding the basis for CEH's anticipated motion or application in an attempt to resolve it informally. Should such attempts at meeting and conferring fail, CEH may file its enforcement motion or application. Should CEH prevail on any motion or application to enforce a material violation of this Consent Judgment under this Section, CEH shall be entitled to its reasonable attorneys' fees and costs incurred as a result of such motion or application. Should Defendant prevail on any motion or application under this Section, Defendant may be awarded its reasonable attorneys' fees and costs as a result of such motion or application upon a finding by the court that CEH's prosecution of the motion or application was not in good faith. This Consent Judgment may only be enforced by Defendant, CEH and the California Attorney General.

6. APPLICATION OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

6.1. This Consent Judgment shall apply to and be binding upon the Parties hereto, their divisions, subdivisions and subsidiaries, and the successors or assigns of any of them.

7. RELEASE

- 7.1. This Consent Judgment is a full, final and binding resolution among:
 - CEH, acting in the public interest pursuant to Health & Safety Code \$25249.7(d);
 - Defendant;
 - Defendant's parents, subsidiaries, affiliates, directors, officers, employees, agents, shareholders and their successors and assigns ("Defendant Releasees");
 and
 - Defendant's customers, distributors, wholesalers or retailers, or any other person within Defendant's downstream chain of distribution which may in the course of doing business use, maintain, distribute or sell Products and Components which are manufactured, distributed or sold by Defendant (including Products and Components which are privately labeled by persons other than Defendant) (hereinafter "Downstream Entity," and collectively "Downstream Entities"),

of any violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory or common law claim that was or could have been asserted in the Complaint against Defendant, Defendant Releasees or Downstream Entities based on alleged failure to warn about exposure to Arsenic contained in the Products and Components, as well as any alleged discharge of Arsenic into a source of drinking water from the Products and Components, with respect to any Products and Components manufactured, distributed or sold by Defendant on or prior to the Final Compliance Date (hereinafter "Released Products"). For purposes of this Section 7, "Components" means activated carbon-containing elements incorporated into Products with water to carbon ratios greater than or equal to the Validation Product selected pursuant to Section 2.1.1.1(a) with the lowest water to carbon ratio.

7.2. CEH, acting for itself and on behalf of the public interest pursuant to Health & Safety Code §25249.7(d), hereby releases, waives and forever discharges any and all claims against Defendant, Defendant Releasees and Downstream Entities based on alleged failure to

warn about exposure to Arsenic contained in any Released Products, as well as any alleged discharge of Arsenic into a source of drinking water from any Released Products.

7.3. Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment by Defendant shall constitute compliance with Proposition 65 by Defendant, Defendant Releasees and Downstream Entities with respect to any alleged failure to warn about exposure to Arsenic contained in the Products and Components as well as any alleged discharge of Arsenic into a source of drinking water from such Products and Components, with respect to any Products and Components manufactured, distributed or sold by Defendant (including such Products and Components privately labeled by Downstream Entities). Nothing in this Section 7 shall be deemed to limit or affect the obligations of any Party created under this Consent Judgment.

8. **GOVERNING LAW**

8.1. The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California.

9. **RETENTION OF JURISDICTION**

9.1. This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement this Consent Judgment.

10. **PROVISION OF NOTICE**

10.1. All notices required pursuant to this Consent Judgment and correspondence shall be sent to the person identified for each party in the attached Exhibit B.

11. COURT APPROVAL

11.1. If this Consent Judgment is not approved by the Court by February 1, 2011, it shall be of no further force or effect and shall not be introduced as evidence or otherwise used in any proceeding for any purpose. The Parties agree to mutually employ their best efforts to seek approval of the Consent Judgment by the Court in a timely manner, and all funds paid to Lexington Law Group and CEH by Defendant shall be promptly returned to Defendant.

1	12. AUTHORIZATION		
2	12.1. Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorized		
3	by the party he or she represents to stipulate to this Consent Judgment and to enter into and		
4	execute the Consent Judgment on behalf of the party represented and legally bind that party. The		
5	undersigned have read, understand and agree to all of the terms and conditions of this Consent		
6	Judgment. Except as explicitly provided herein, each party is to bear its own fees and costs.		
7	12. EXECUTION AND COUNTERPARTS		
8	12.1 The stipulations to this Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by		
9	means of facsimile, which taken together shall be deemed to constitute one document.		
10	AGREED TO:		
11			
12	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH		
13			
14	Dated: 10 , 12		
15			
16	Printed Name		
17	Printed Name		
18	ASSOCIATE DIRECTOR		
19	Title		
20			
21			
22			
23			
2425			
26			
27			
28			

- 11
[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO OMNIPURE FILTER COMPANY, INC. - CASE NO. CV-093704

1		
2	ONOTIFIED COMPANY DIC	
3	OMNIPURE FILTER COMPANY, INC.	
4	Dated: 9-24-2010	
5	Dated: / Dated	
6		
7	Roger P. Reid Printed Name	
8	DATE OF THE BOND PANY	
10	PRESIDENT, OMNIPURE FILTER COMPANY Title	
11		
12		
13		
14	JUDGMENT	
15	Based upon the stipulated Consent Judgment between CEH and Omnipure Filter Company, Inc., the settlement is approved and judgment is hereby entered according to the terms	
16	herein.	
17		
18	Dated:	
19	JUDGE Superior Court of the State of California	
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	12	

[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO OMNIPURE FILTER COMPANY, INC. - CASE NO. CV-093704

EXHIBIT A

RAW MATERIAL SAMPLING AND MONITORING PROTOCOL

1.1 **Definitions**

- 1.1.1 A "continuous sample" is defined as a spot sample obtained from a pipeline conveying the product in such a manner as to give a representative average of the stream throughout the period of transit.
- 1.1.2 A "lot" is defined as a discrete quantity of material from one manufacturing batch and must be identified as such by the manufacturer.
- 1.1.3 A "thief sample" is a sample taken at a specific time and location using a sampling tube or special thief, either as a core sample or spot sample from a specific point in a container.

1.2 Sample Collection and Sampling Frequency

- 1.2.1 In the case where carbon from a single lot is received in multiple discrete packages, such as bags or drums, a single thief sample shall be taken from a random location within each package. If the number of samples required pursuant to step 1.2.2 below exceeds the number of discrete packages received, then multiple thief samples shall be taken from random locations in the packages being sampled. If the number of samples required pursuant to step 1.2.2 below is less than the number of discrete packages received, then a single thief sample shall be taken from a random location from a sufficient number of randomly selected packages to satisfy step 1.2.2 below.
- 1.2.2 A minimum of one random thief sample shall be taken for each 5,000 lbs of carbon in each lot.
- 1.2.3 The thief samples may be tested individually or made into a representative composite sample.
- 1.2.4 If the carbon from a lot is not already in discrete packages or containers, refer to step 1.2.2 above for the number of random thief samples to be taken within the lot.
- 1.2.5 Samples will be collected and analyzed for testing in accordance with Sections 1.4, 1.5 or 1.6 below as applicable.
- 1.2.6 No portion of any lot of carbon shall be further processed or changed in a way that could increase the arsenic leaching characteristics of the carbon, including but not limited to grinding to change the particle size distribution, after the sample from that lot of carbon passes the raw material test unless the carbon is retested after such processing or change.

1.3 Selection of Raw Material Extraction Test Method

1.3.1 Raw material extraction testing shall be conducted on each sample collected in accordance with Section 1.2 above. The entity undertaking the raw material extraction testing shall use one of the three methods described below, provided that the beaker test described in Section 1.6 may only be used as an option for carbon used in block filters.

1.4 Column Test Method

- 1.4.1 Measure a sample of carbon in a graduated cylinder. Vibrate or tamp down to a minimum volume of 100 ± 5 cc (1 cc + 1 mL). Place the carbon in a glass or plastic column with a glass or plastic frit or glass wool plug to retain the carbon in the column. The column should have a Teflon stopcock or other means to control release of water and to accommodate connection for vacuum filtration.
- 1.4.2 Add deionized water meeting the specifications for Type II water set forth in Section 1.1 of ASTM D1193-91 Standard Specification for Reagent Water (@ 20 ± 5 °C) (hereinafter "Deionized Water") to the column. Place a tight fitting rubber stopper in the top of the column and invert the column several times to fluidize the carbon and release any air bubbles. Flush the carbon bed by drawing off no more than 10 bed volumes (1000 ± 50 mL) of water in no more than twenty (20) minutes. After flushing has been completed, invert the column several more times to assure all the air bubbles have been released. Note: Vacuum suction may be needed to achieve the required flow rate if fine mesh carbon is tested. Discard the flush water.
- 1.4.3 After drawing off the flush, let 50 ± 5 mL remain above the carbon bed in the column. Allow the column to sit stagnant for 24 hours.
- 1.4.4 After the 24-hr stagnation time, draw off by gravity flow or by vacuum suction all the water from the column. If carbon fines are visible in the water sample, filter through an appropriately sized filter (e.g., Whatman 934AH glass fiber filter paper disc or equivalent such as Gelman type A/E, Millipore type AP40). Collect the water sample in an acid-washed glass container and preserve the sample by adding concentrated nitric acid to achieve a 1 % (v/v) acid solution.
- 1.4.5 Add Deionized Water to the column until there is 50 ± 5 mL present above the carbon bed. If air bubbles are present in the column, repeat the process of inverting the column as described in 1.4.2. Continue with steps 1.4.2 through 1.4.4 until a total of three stagnation samples have been collected.
- 1.4.6 Combine the three stagnation samples as one composite sample and analyze for arsenic in accordance with the EPA methods referenced in NSF Standard 53 (latest edition).

1.5 Beaker Test Method

- 1.5.1 Place a 50 cc sample of carbon in 125 mL of Deionized Water (as defined in Section 1.4.2 above) in a container. Using a glass rod gently stir the carbon/water mixture until any trapped air bubbles have been released. Cover the sample and soak for 6 hours.
- 1.5.2 Decant or vacuum filter sample using a filter appropriate for carbon particle size.
- 1.5.3 Transfer filtered extract into sample bottle. Preserve the sample by adding concentrated nitric acid to achieve a 1 % (v/v) acid solution.
- 1.5.4 Analyze samples in accordance with those EPA Analytical Methods referenced in NSF Standard 53 (latest edition).

- 1.6 Beaker Test Method Option for Carbon Used in Block Filters Only
- 1.6.1 The version of the Beaker Test Method described in this Section 1.6 is an optional test method for carbon to be used in block filters. While each test method set forth in the protocol may be used to test carbon used in block filters, the test set forth in Section 1.6 may not be used unless the carbon to be tested is to be used in block filters.
- 1.6.2 Place a 50 cc sample of carbon in 125 mL of Deionized Water (as defined in Section 1.4.2 above) in a container. Cover the container and let soak for three hours.
- 1.6.3 After the soak, decant or vacuum filter the sample. If vacuum filtration is used, transfer carbon to the original container. Add 125 ml of Deionized Water to the carbon. Using a glass rod gently stir the carbon/water mixture until any trapped air bubbles have been released. Cover the sample and soak for 24 hours.
- 1.6.4 Decant or vacuum filter sample using a filter appropriate for carbon particle size.
- 1.6.5 Transfer filtered extract into sample bottle. Preserve the sample by adding concentrated nitric acid to achieve a 1 % (v/v) acid solution.
- 1.6.6 Analyze samples in accordance with those EPA Analytical Methods referenced in NSF Standard 53 (latest edition).

1.7 Test Results

- 1.7.1 Irrespective of the method used (*i.e.* column or beaker), the arsenic limit shall be 5 parts per billion ("ppb").
- 1.7.2 Should a lot of raw material exceed 5 ppb, the entity undertaking the raw material testing and/or the manufacturer shall be entitled to undertake further processing of the lot so as to reduce the levels of extractable arsenic. If the entity undertaking the raw material testing and/or the manufacturer chooses to undertake any such further processing, it shall assign a new lot number to the lot, and, following such further processing, shall subject the lot to raw material testing in accordance with the applicable testing procedure described above. The entity undertaking any such further processing shall document steps taken to further process the raw material and shall make any such documentation available to CEH upon request.

EXHIBIT B

Persons To Receive Notice

PLAINTIFF:	Center for Environmental Health
Notice to:	Eric S. Somers Lexington Law Group 1627 Irving Street San Francisco, CA 94122
DEFENDANT:	Omnipure Filter Company, Inc.
Notice to:	Malcolm Weiss Hunton & Williams LLP 550 South Hope Street, Suite 2000 Los Angeles, CA 90071-2627