1	Clifford A. Chanler, State Bar No. 135534	
2	Jennifer Henry, State Bar No. 208221 THE CHANLER GROUP	
3	2560 Ninth Street Parker Plaza, Suite 214	
4	Berkeley, CA 94710-2565 Tel: (510) 848-8880	
5	Fax: (510) 848-8118	
6	Attorneys for Plaintiff RUSSELL BRIMER	
7	Andrew Lichtman, State Bar No. 71682	
8	ATTORNEY AT LAW 255 South Grand Avenue #215	
9	Los Angeles, CA 90012 Telephone: (949) 586-6666	
10	Attorneys for Defendant	
11	POWERMAX BATTERY (U.S.A.) INC.	
12	CLINEDIAD COLIDE OF TH	E STATE OF CALIFORNIA
13	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
14	FOR THE COUNTY OF CONTRA COSTA UNLIMITED CIVIL JURISDICTION	
15	UNLIMITEDCIV	L TORISDIC HON
16		G N G10 20171
17	RUSSELL BRIMER,)	Case No. C10-00171
18	Plaintiff.)	[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT
19	v.)	-
20	POWERMAX BATTERY (U.S.A.) INC.; and) DOES 1-150, inclusive.	
21	Defendants.	
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		•
	[PROPOSED] CON	SENT JUDGMENT

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 Russell Brimer and Powermax Battery (U.S.A.) Inc.

This Consent Judgment is entered into by and between Russell Brimer, who was and is, acting in the interest of the general public in California, (hereinafter "Brimer") and Powermax Battery (U.S.A.) Inc. (hereinafter "Powermax"), with Brimer and Powermax collectively referred to as the "Parties." The Parties also intend for this Consent Judgment to provide, to the maximum extent permitted by law, res judicata and/or collateral estoppel protection for Defendant against any and all other claims based upon the same or similar allegations to the Products and the Listed Chemical.

1.2 Plaintiff

Brimer is an individual residing in California who seeks to promote awareness of exposures to toxic chemicals and improve human health by reducing or eliminating hazardous substances contained in consumer products.

1.3 Defendant

The Parties disagree whether Powermax is or has been, for purposes of this case, a person in the course of doing business under the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California Health & Safety Code § 25249 6 et seq. ("Proposition 65"); i.e. whether Powermax has employed 10 or more persons, including full time and part-time employees. The last time Powermax employed more than nine persons was before July. 2009. The parties also disagree whether any such past time that Powermax employed more than nine persons was a time material to this case, such that Brimer could enforce Proposition 65 against Powermax in this case solely on the basis of those times.

1.4 General Allegations

Brimer alleges that, in addition to Powermax having sufficient employees to be subject to Proposition 65, Powermax has manufactured, distributed and/or sold in the State of California flashlights that exposed people to an excessive amount of lead such that it was required to provide Proposition 65 warnings on its products. Lead is listed pursuant to Proposition 65 as a chemical

3 4

5

6 7

8

9

10 1]

12 13

14 15

16

17 18

19 20 21

22

23 24

25 26

27

28

known to the State of California to cause birth defects and other reproductive harm. Lead is referred to herein as the "Listed Chemical."

1.5 Product Description

R. Lichtman

The products that are covered by this Consent Judgment are defined as follows: flashlights containing the Listed Chemical such as, but not limited to, ACDelco Industrial Flashlight, Item #AC305 848026 (#6 83969 99243 4) and ACDelco Industrial Flashlight, Item #AC303. All such flashlights containing the Listed Chemical are referred to hereinafter as the "Products".

Notice of Violation 1.6

On or about September 30, 2009, Brimer served Powermax and various public enforcement agencies with documents entitled "60-Day Notice of Violation" ("Notice") that provided Powermax and public enforcers with notice of alleged violations of Proposition 65 for failing to warn consumers that the Products that Powermax sold exposed users in California to the Listed Chemical. To the best of the parties' knowledge, no public enforcer (such as an attorney general) has diligently prosecuted the allegations set forth in the Notices. After receipt of the Notice, Powermax determined that it was not required to sell the Products in California with a Proposition 65 warning because it employed fewer than 10 persons.

Complaint 1.7

On or about January 21, 2010, Brimer, in the Superior Court in and for the County of Contra Costa, filed a complaint against Powermax Battery (U.S.A.) Inc. alleging violations of Proposition 65 by Powermax based on the alleged exposures to the Listed Chemical contained in the Products manufactured, distributed and/or offered for sale in California by Powermax ("Complaint"). On or about March 24, 2010, Brimer effected service of the complaint on Powermax.

1.8 No Admission

Powermax denies the material, factual and legal allegations contained in Brimer's Notice and maintains that all Products that it has manufactured, sold and/or distributed in California have been and are in compliance with all laws. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission by Powermax of any fact, finding, issue of law, or violation of law; nor shall compliance with this Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by Powermax of

24

26

27

28

any fact, finding, conclusion, issue of law, or violation of law, such being specifically denied by Powermax. However, this section shall not diminish or otherwise affect Powermax's obligations, responsibilities, or duties under this Consent Judgment.

1.9 Consent to Jurisdiction

R. Lichtman

For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over Powermax as to the allegations contained in the Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of Contra Costa, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter and enforce the provisions of this Consent Judgment.

1.10 Effective Date

For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term "Effective Date" shall mean July 30, 2010

2. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF: WARNINGS AND REFORMULATION

2.1 Product Warnings

After the Effective Date, whenever Powermax becomes subject to Proposition 65 in that it has 10 or more employees (including full-time and part-time employees), if ever, Powermax shall not sell, ship, or offer to be shipped for sale in California any Products containing lead unless at the time of such selling, shipping, or offering to sell, such Products are sold or shipped with one of the clear and reasonable warnings required by the law at that time under Proposition 65, where compliance with the warnings set forth in subsections 2.1(a) and (b) below, or compliance with the reformulation standard set forth in Section 2.3 below shall be deemed due compliance with that law.

(a) Retail Store Sales.

Each warning shall be prominently placed with such conspicuousness as compared with other words, statements, designs, or devices as to render it likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual under customary conditions before purchase or use. Each warning shall be provided in a manner such that the consumer or user understands to which specific Product the warning applies.

by ensuring that a warning is affixed to the packaging, labeling, or directly on each Product sold in retail outlets in the State of California by Powermax or its agents, that states:

]	WARNING:	This product contains Lead, a chemical known to the State of
2		California to cause birth defects and other reproductive harm.
3		other reproductive name.
4	(ii) Point-	of-Sale Warnings. Powermax may, alternatively, perform its
5	warning obligations by ensuring that signs are posted at retail outlets in the State of California	
6	where the Products are sold. Point-of-sale warnings shall be provided through one or more signs	
7	posted in close proximity to the point of display of the Products that states:	
8	WARNING:	This product contains Lead, a chemical
9		known to the State of California to cause birth defects and other reproductive harm.
10	Where more than one Product is sold in proximity to other like items or to those that do no require a warning (e.g., Reformulated Products as defined in Section 2.3), the following statement	
11		
12	must be used:	Troubles as defined in Section 2.5), the tone ining characteristic
13		
14	WARNING:	The following products contain Lead, a chemical known to the State of
15		California to cause birth defects and other reproductive harm.
16		[list products]
17	(b) Mail Order Catalog and Internet Sales. In the event that Powermax sells its Products via catalog or internet to customers located in California after the Effective Date,	
18		
19	Powermax shall provide a warning: (i) in the mail order catalog; or (ii) on the website. Warnings	
20	given in the mail order catalog or on the website shall identify the specific Product to which the warning applies as further specified in Sections 2.1(b)(i) and (ii).	
21		
22	(i) Mail O	rder Catalog Warning. Any warning provided in a mail
23	order catalog must be in the same type	e size or larger than the Product description text within the
24		be provided on the same page and in the same location as the
25		
26	display and/or description of the Product:	
27		
28		

ı

I · d

This product contains Lead, a chemical known to the State of California to cause birth defects and other reproductive harm.

Where it is impracticable to provide the warning on the same page and in the same location as the display and/or description of the Product, Powermax may utilize a designated symbol (▼) to cross reference the applicable warning and shall define the term "designated symbol" with the following language on the inside of the front cover of the catalog or on the same page as any order form for the Product(s):

WARNING:

Certain products identified with this symbol ▼ and offered for sale in this catalog contain Lead, a chemical known to the State of California to cause birth defects and other reproductive harm.

The designated symbol must appear on the same page and in close proximity to the display and/or description of the Product. On each page where the designated symbol appears, Powermax must provide a header or footer directing the reseller to the warning language and definition of the designated symbol.

If Powermax elects to provide warnings in the mail order catalog, then the warnings must be included in all catalogs offering to sell one or more Products printed after the date of entry of this Consent Judgment.

(ii) Internet Website Warning. A warning may be given in conjunction with the sale of the Product via the Internet, provided it appears either: (a) on the same web page on which the Product is displayed; (b) on the same web page as the order form for the Product; (c) on the same page as the price for any Product; or (d) on one or more web pages displayed to a purchaser during the checkout process. The following warning statement shall be used and shall appear in any of the above instances adjacent to or immediately following the display, description, or price of the Product for which it is given in the same type size or larger than the Product description text:

[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT

WARNING:

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

S . 9

This product contains Lead, a chemical known to the State of California to cause birth defects and other reproductive harm.

Alternatively, the designated symbol may appear adjacent to or immediately following the display, description, or price of the Product for which a warning is being given, provided that the following warning statement also appears elsewhere on the same web page, as follows:

WARNING:

Products identified on this page with the following symbol contain Lead, a chemical known to the State of California to cause birth defects and other reproductive harm: V

2.2 **Exceptions To Warning Requirements**

The warning requirements set forth in Section 2.1 shall not apply to Reformulated Products (as defined in Section 2.3 below).

2.3 Reformulation Standards

Reformulated Products are defined as those that contain no more than 100 parts per million ("ppm") of lead in any component that may be handled, touched or mouthed by a consumer, when analyzed pursuant to Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA") testing methodologies 3050B and 6010B or any other method allowed by a federal or state agency to assess the content of lead in a solid substance and which yield less than 1.0 micrograms of lead when using a wipe test pursuant to NIOSH Test Method 9100.

3. **MONETARY PAYMENTS**

In settlement of all claims related to the Products and Listed Chemical referred to in the Complaint, and this Consent Judgment, pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b), Powermax shall pay a civil penalty totaling \$2,000 as follows: Powermax shall issue two separate checks for the Civil Penalty payment pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25192; (a) one check made payable to "The Chanler Group in Trust For The State of California's Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment (OEHIIA)" in the amount of \$1,500, representing 75% of the total penalty; and (b) one check to "The Chanler Group in Trust for Russell Brimer" in the amount of \$500, representing 25% of the total penalty. Two separate 1099s shall be issued for the above payments:

IPROPOSED) CONSENT JUDGMENT

H. Lichtman

24

25

26

27

28

 $\epsilon \cdot \mathbf{q}$

(a) OEHHA, P.O. Box 4010, Sacramento, CA, 95814 (EIN: 68-0284486); and (b) Russell Brimer, whose information shall be provided five (5) calendar days before the payment is due.

Payment shall be delivered to Brimer's counsel on or before August 6, 2010 at the following address:

The Chanler Group Attn: Proposition 65 Controller 2560 Ninth Street Parker Plaza, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710

4. REIMBURSEMENT OF FEES AND COSTS

4.1 Attorney Fees and Costs.

The Parties reached an accord on the compensation due to Brimer and his counsel under the private attorney general doctrine and principles of contract law. Under these legal principles, Powermax shall reimburse Brimer's counsel for fees and costs, incurred as a result of investigating, bringing this matter to Powermax's attention, negotiating a settlement in the public interest, and obtaining court approval of the settlement. Powermax shall pay Brimer and his counsel \$23,000 for all attorneys' fees, expert and investigation fees, and related costs. The payment shall be issued in a third separate check made payable to "The Chanler Group" and shall be delivered on or before August 6, 2010, at the following address:

The Chanler Group Attn: Proposition 65 Controller 2560 Ninth Street Parker Plaza, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710

Powermax shall issue a separate 1099 for fees and cost paid in the amount of \$23,000 to The Chanler Group, 2560 Ninth Street, Parker Plaza, Suite 214, Berkeley, California, 94710 (EIN: 20-3929984).

[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT

A. Lichtman

5. RELEASE OF ALL CLAIMS

İ

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

Q.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

5.1 Brimer's Release of Powermax

In further consideration of the promises and agreements herein contained, and for the payments to be made pursuant to Sections 3 and 4 above, Brimer on behalf of himself and in his representative capacity, his past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors, and/or assignees, and in the interest of the general public, hereby waives all rights to institute or participate in, directly or indirectly, any form of legal action and releases all claims, including, without limitation, all actions, and causes of action, in law or in equity, suits, liabilities, demands. obligations, damages, costs, fines, penalties, losses, or expenses (including, but not limited to, investigation fees, expert fees, and attorney's fees) of any nature whatsoever, whether known or unknown, fixed or contingent (collectively "claims"), against Powermax and its manufacturers, importers, wholesalers, licensors, licensees, auctioneers, retailers, distributors, franchisees, dealers, customers, owners, purchasers, users, parent companies, predecessors, successors, corporate affiliates, subsidiaries, and their respective officers, directors, attorneys, representatives, shareholders, agents, and employees, specifically including, but not limited to Big Lots Stores, Inc., 99 Cent Only Stores, Inc., Dollar Tree, Inc., and Fry's Electronics, Inc. (collectively "Releasees"), that arise under Proposition 65, as such claims relate to Powermax's and/or the Releasees' alleged failure to warn about exposures to the Listed Chemical contained in the Products.

5.2 Powermax's Release of Brimer

Powermax, on behalf of itself and its Releasees, waives any and all claims against Brimer, his attorneys, and other representatives for any and all actions taken by Brimer and his attorneys and other representatives, whether in the course of investigating claims or otherwise seeking enforcement of Proposition 65 against them in this matter, and/or with respect to the Products.

6. COURT APPROVAL

This Consent Judgment is not effective until it is approved and entered by the Court and shall be null and void if, for any reason, it is not approved and entered by the Court within one year after it has been fully executed by all Parties, in which event any monies that have been provided to Brimer, or his counsel pursuant to Section 3 and/or Section 4 above, shall be refunded within fifteen

[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT

(15) days after receiving written notice from Powermax that the one year period has expired.

7. SEVERABILITY

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

5.9

If, subsequent to the execution of this Consent Judgment, any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment are held by a court to be unenforceable, the validity of the enforceable provisions remaining shall not be adversely affected.

8. GOVERNING LAW

The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California and apply within the State of California. In the event that Proposition 65 is repealed, preempted, or is otherwise rendered inapplicable by reason of law generally, or as to the Products specifically, then Powermax may provide written notice to Brimer of any asserted change in the law, and shall have no further obligations pursuant to this Consent Judgment with respect to, and to the extent that, the Products are so affected.

9. NOTICES

Unless specified herein, all correspondence and notices required to be provided pursuant to this Consent Judgment shall be in writing and personally delivered or sent by. (i) first-class, registered or certified mail, return receipt requested; or (ii) overnight courier on any party by the other party at the following addresses:

For Powermax:

Andrew Lichtman, Esq. Attorney at Law 255 South Grand Avenue #215 Los Angeles, CA 90012

For Brimer:

Proposition 65 Coordinator The Chanler Group 2560 Ninth Street Parker Plaza, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710

Any party, from time to time, may specify in writing to the other party a change of address to which all notices and other communications shall be sent. The Parties intend and agree that this [PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT

A. Lichtman

8

10

12

15 16

14

17

19

18

20 21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

8.9

Consent Judgment shall be given full effect for purposes of precluding claims regarding the Products against Powermax or the Releasees under Proposition 65 as covered under the release in Section 5 of this Consent Judgment.

10. COUNTERPARTS: FACSIMILE SIGNATURES

This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by facsimile and by electronic signature or pdf, each of which shall be deemed an original, and all of which, when taken together, shall constitute one and the same document.

11. COMPLIANCE WITH HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.7(f)

Brimer agrees to comply with the reporting form requirements referenced in California

Health & Safety Code §25249 7(f) and any applicable provisions of the Occupational Safety Health

Act ("OSH Act").

12. ADDITIONAL POST EXECUTION ACTIVITIES

The Parties acknowledge that, pursuant to Health & Safety Code §25249.7 and any applicable provisions of the OSH Act, a noticed motion is required to obtain judicial approval of this Consent Judgment. In furtherance of obtaining such approval, Brimer, Powermax and their respective counsel agree to mutually employ their best efforts to support the entry of this agreement as a Consent Judgment and obtain approval of the Consent Judgment by the Court in a timely manner. Brimer shall prepare and file all documents necessary to obtain court approval of this Consent Judgment. For purposes of this paragraph, best efforts shall include, at a minimum, cooperating on the drafting and filing any papers in support of the required motion for judicial approval.

13. MODIFICATION

This Consent Judgment may be modified only: (1) by written agreement of the Parties and upon entry of a modified consent judgment by the Court thereon; or (2) upon a successful motion of any party and entry of a modified consent judgment by the Court

14. AUTHORIZATION

The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment on behalf of their respective Parties and have read, understood, and agree to all of the terms and conditions hereof

[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT

1	AGREED TO: AGREED TO:
2	Date: 8/2/2010
3	
4	By: By:
5	1/2/1/2/12
6	Russell Brimer New IPE Cin, President
7	POWERMAX BATTERY (U.S.A.) INC.
. 8	•
9	IT IS SO ORDERED.
10	
11	Date:
12	JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT

Aug O2 10 09:13p A. Lichtman (Voice ph# 949 586-6666) p.7