WILLIAM VERICK, SBN 140972 FREDRIC EVENSON, SBN 198059 KLAMATH ENVIRONMENTAL LAW CEI 424 First Street Eureka, CA 95501 Telephone: (707) 268-8900 Facsimile: (707) 268-8901 E-mail: wverick@igc.org DAVID WILLIAMS, SBN 144479 BRIAN ACREE, SBN 202505 PUBLIC INTEREST LAWYERS GROUP 370 Grand Avenue, Suite 5 Oakland, CA 94610 Telephone: (510) 647-1900 Facsimile: (510) 647-1905 E-mail: davidhwilliams@earthlink. Attorneys for Plaintiff MATEEL ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICI FOUNDATION	net	
SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO		
MATEEL ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE FOUNDATION,, Plaintiff, v. KEE ACTION SPORTS LLC, Defendant.	CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO DEFENDANT KEE ACTION SPORTS LLC	
1. INTRODUCTION 1.1 On August 3, 2010, the MATEEL ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE FOUNDATION ("Mateel") acting on behalf of itself and the general public, filed a Complaint for civil penalties and injunctive relief ("Complaint") in San Francisco County Superior Court, Case No. CGC-10-502144, against Defendant Kee Action Sports LLC ("Kee" or "Defendant"). The Complaint alleges, among other things, that Defendant CONSENT JUDGMENT MATEEL V. KEE ACTION SPORTS		
	KLAMATH ENVIRONMENTAL LAW CE 424 First Street Eureka, CA 95501 Telephone: (707) 268-8900 Facsimile: (707) 268-8901 E-mail: wverick@igc.org DAVID WILLIAMS, SBN 144479 BRIAN ACREE, SBN 202505 PUBLIC INTEREST LAWYERS GROUP 370 Grand Avenue, Suite 5 Oakland, CA 94610 Telephone: (510) 647-1900 Facsimile: (510) 647-1905 E-mail: davidhwilliams@earthlink. Attorneys for Plaintiff MATEEL ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE FOUNDATION SUPERIOR COURT OF FOR THE COUNT MATEEL ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE FOUNDATION, Plaintiff, V. KEE ACTION SPORTS LLC, Defendant. 1. INTRODUCTION 1.1 On August 3, 2010, the MA FOUNDATION ("Mateel") acting on bel Complaint for civil penalties and injunctif Superior Court, Case No. CGC-10-50214 ("Kee" or "Defendant"). The Complaint	

violated provisions of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, Health and Safety Code Sections 25249.5, *et seq.* ("Proposition 65"). In particular, Mateel alleges that Kee has knowingly and intentionally exposed persons to paintball guns and accessories that utilize fittings made of brass containing lead and/or lead compounds (hereinafter "leaded brass"), which are chemicals known to the State of California to cause cancer and birth defects or other reproductive harm, without first providing a clear and reasonable warning to such individuals.

- 1.2 On February 16, 2010, Mateel sent a 60-Day Notice of Violation ("Notice") to Kee, the California Attorney General, all California District Attorneys, and all City Attorneys of every California cities that have populations exceeding 750,000.
- 1.3 Kee is a business that employs ten or more persons and manufactures, distributes, and/or markets paintball guns and accessories, within the State of California. Some of those products are alleged to contain lead and/or lead compounds. Lead and lead compounds are chemicals known to the State of California to cause cancer, and lead is a chemical known to the State of California to cause reproductive toxicity pursuant to Health and Safety Code Section 25249.9. Under specified circumstances, products containing lead and/or lead compounds that are sold or distributed in the State of California are subject to the Proposition 65 warning requirement set forth in Health and Safety Code Section 25249.6. Plaintiff Mateel alleges that leaded brass fittings on paintball guns and their accessories manufactured, distributed, sold and/or marketed by Kee for use in California require a warning under Proposition 65.
- 1.4 For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term "Covered Products" shall be defined as BB guns, pellet guns and paintball guns and the accessories for such guns that utilize leaded-brass fittings, to the extent such products are distributed and sold within the state of California, and that are manufactured, distributed, marketed and/or sold by Kee, regardless of whether they bear Kee labels.
- 1.5 For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the Complaint and personal

jurisdiction over Kee as to the acts alleged in the Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of San Francisco and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment as a full settlement and resolution of the allegations contained in the Complaint and of all claims that were or could have been raised by any person or entity based in whole or in part, directly or indirectly, on the facts alleged therein or arising therefrom or related thereto.

1.6 This Consent Judgment resolves claims that are denied and disputed. The parties enter into this Consent Judgment pursuant to a full and final settlement of any and all claims between the parties for the purpose of avoiding prolonged litigation. This Consent Judgment shall not constitute an admission with respect to any material allegation of the Complaint, each and every allegation of which Kee denies, nor may this Consent Judgment or compliance with it be used as evidence of any wrongdoing, misconduct, culpability or liability on the part of Kee or any other Defendant.

2. <u>SETTLEMENT PAYMENT</u>

- 2.1 In settlement of all of the claims referred to in this Consent Judgment against the Settling Defendant, within ten (10) business days of notice of entry of this Consent Judgment, Kee shall pay \$15,000 to the Klamath Environmental Law Center ("KELC") to cover Mateel's attorneys' fees and costs.
- 2.2 Additionally, within ten (10) business days of notice of entry of this Consent Judgment, Kee shall pay \$5,000 to the Ecological Rights Foundation and \$5,000 to Californians for Alternatives to Toxics. Both are California non-profit environmental organizations that advocate for workers' and consumers' safety, and for awareness and reduction of toxic exposures.

3. <u>ENTRY OF CONSENT JUDGMENT</u>

3.1 The parties hereby request that the Court promptly enter this Consent Judgment. Upon entry of the Consent Judgment, Kee and Mateel waive their respective rights to a hearing or trial on the allegations of the Complaint.

7

8

9 10

11

12

13 14

15

16

1718

19 20

2122

23

2425

26

27

28

4. MATTERS COVERED BY THIS CONSENT JUDGMENT

- 4.1 As to lead exposures allegedly caused by Covered Products, this Consent Judgment is a final and binding resolution between Mateel, acting on behalf of itself and the public interest, and Kee, of: (i) any violation of Proposition 65 with respect to the Covered Products, and (ii) any other statutory or common law claim, to the fullest extent that any of the foregoing described in (i) or (ii) were or could have been asserted by any person or entity against Kee based upon, arising out of or relating to Kee's compliance with Proposition 65, or regulations promulgated thereunder, with respect to the Covered Products, and any other claim based in whole or part on the facts alleged in the Complaint, whether based on actions committed by Kee, or by any other entity within the chain of distribution, including, but not limited to, manufacturers, wholesale or retail sellers or distributors and any other person in the course of doing business. As to lead exposures allegedly caused by Covered Products, compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment resolves any issue, now and in the future, concerning compliance by Kee and its parents, subsidiaries or affiliates, predecessors, officers, directors, employees, and all of their manufacturers, customers, distributors, wholesalers, retailers or any other person in the course of doing business, and the successors and assigns of any of these who may manufacture, use, maintain, distribute, market or sell Covered Products, with the requirements of Proposition 65.
- 4.2 As to lead exposures allegedly caused by Covered Products, Mateel, acting on behalf of itself and the public interest, and its agents, successors and assigns, waives all rights to institute any form of legal action, and releases all claims against Kee and its parents, subsidiaries or affiliates, predecessors, officers, directors, employees, and all of its customers, manufacturers, distributors, wholesalers, retailers or any other person in the course of doing business, and the successors and assigns of any of them, who may manufacture, use, maintain, distribute or sell the Covered Products, whether under Proposition 65 or otherwise, arising out of or resulting from, or related directly or indirectly to, in whole or in part, the Covered Products and claims identified in Mateel's

waives any and all rights and benefits which it now has, or in the future may have, conferred upon it with respect to the Covered Products by virtue of the provisions of Section 1542 of the California Civil Code, which provides as follows:

"A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO

Notice Letter. In furtherance of the foregoing, Mateel, acting on behalf of itself hereby

"A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO
CLAIMS WHICH THE CREDITOR DOES NOT KNOW OR
SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS FAVOR AT THE TIME OF
EXECUTING THE RELEASE, WHICH IF KNOWN BY
HIM MUST HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS
SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR."

Mateel understands and acknowledges that the significance and consequence of this waiver of California Civil Code Section 1542 is that even if Mateel suffers future damages arising out of or resulting from, or related directly or indirectly to, in whole or in part, the Covered Products, it will not be able to make any claim for those damages against Kee, its parents, subsidiaries or affiliates, predecessors, officers, directors, employees, and all of its customers, manufacturers, distributors, wholesalers, retailers or any other person in the course of doing business, and the successors and assigns of any of them, who may manufacture, use, maintain, distribute or sell the Covered Products. Furthermore, Mateel acknowledges that it intends these consequences for any such claims which may exist as of the date of this release but which Mateel does not know exist, and which, if known, would materially affect its decision to enter into this Consent Judgment, regardless of whether its lack of knowledge is the result of ignorance, oversight, error, negligence, or any other cause.

5. <u>ENFORCEMENT OF JUDGMENT</u>

5.1 The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be enforced exclusively by the parties hereto. The parties may, by noticed motion or order to show cause before the Superior Court of San Francisco County, giving the notice required by law, enforce the terms and conditions contained herein.

6. MODIFICATION OF JUDGMENT

Except as provided for in Paragraph 7.2(c), this Consent Judgment may be modified only upon written agreement of the parties and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court thereon, or upon motion of any party as provided by law and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court.

7. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF - CLEAR AND REASONABLE WARNING

- exempt from any Proposition 65 warning requirements if the brass fittings that are part of the Covered Products meet the following criteria: (a) the brass alloy from which the brass fittings are made shall have no lead as an intentionally added constituent; and (b) the brass alloy from which the brass fittings are made shall have a lead content by weight of no more than 0.03% (300 parts per million, or "300 ppm"). Kee may comply with the above requirements by relying on information obtained from its suppliers regarding the content of the brass alloy from which the brass fittings are made, provided such reliance is in good faith. Obtaining test results showing that the lead content is no more than 0.03%, using a method of sufficient sensitivity to establish a limit of quantification (as distinguished from detection) of less than 300 ppm shall be deemed to establish good faith reliance.
- 7.2 Covered Products that do not meet the warning exemption standard set forth in Section 7.1 of the Consent Judgment shall be accompanied by a warning as described in paragraph 7.3 below. The warning requirements set forth in paragraph 7.3 shall apply only to: (1) Covered Products that Kee ships for distribution after 270 days after entry of this Consent Judgment ("the Effective Date"); and (2) Covered Products manufactured, distributed, marketed, sold or shipped for sale or use inside the State of California.
 - 7.3 Kee shall provide Proposition 65 warnings as follows:
 - (a) Defendant Kee shall provide either of the following warning statements:

WARNING: This product contains lead, a chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer and birth defects or other reproductive harm. Do not place your hands in your mouth after handling the product. *Wash your hands after touching this product*.

or

WARNING: This product contains one or more chemicals that are known to the State of California to cause cancer and birth defects or other reproductive harm. *Wash hands after handling*.

The word "WARNING" shall be in bold. The words "Wash hands after handling" shall be in bold and italicized.

Kee shall provide such warning with the unit package of the Covered Products. Such warning shall be prominently affixed to or printed on each Covered Product's label or package. The warning shall be at least the same size as the largest of any other safety warnings, if any, on the product container. If printed on the label itself, the warning shall be contained in the same section that states other safety warnings, if any, concerning the use of the product.

- (b) The requirements for product labeling, set forth in subparagraph (a) above are imposed pursuant to the terms of this Consent Judgment. The parties recognize that product labeling is not the exclusive method of providing a warning under Proposition 65 and its implementing regulations.
- (c) If Proposition 65 warnings for lead or lead compounds should no longer be required, Kee shall have no further warning obligations pursuant to this Consent Judgment.

8. AUTHORITY TO STIPULATE

Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorized by the party he or she represents to enter into this Consent Judgment and to execute it on behalf of the party represented and legally to bind that party.

9. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION

This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement the Consent Judgment.

10. ENTIRE AGREEMENT

This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the parties with respect to the entire subject matter hereof, and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments and understandings related hereto. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those contained herein have been made by any party hereto. No other agreements not specifically referred to herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the parties.

11. GOVERNING LAW

The validity, construction and performance of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California, without reference to any conflicts of law provisions of California law.

12. <u>COURT APPROVAL</u>

If this Consent Judgment is not approved by the Court, it shall be of no force or effect, and cannot be used in any proceeding for any purpose.

1	1 IT IS SO STIPULATED:	
2	2	
3	DATED: MATEEL ENVIRONMENTA FOUNDATION	L JUSTICE
4	4	
5	CEO Mateel Environmental Ju	stice
6 7	Klamath Environmental Law (Center
8		
9		
10	By JGFF HOROWIZ	
11	Its Use periods the Ce	nebar
12	2 IT IS SO ORDERED. ADMINGED AND DESPEED.	
13	IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED:	
14		COLIDE
15	JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR	COURT
16	6	
17	7	
18	8	•
19	9	
20	0	
21	1	
22	2	
23	3	
24	4	
25	5	
26	6	
27	7	
28	8	
:	CONSENT JUDGMENT MATEEL V. KEE ACTION SPORTS CASE NO. CGC-10-502144	