1	Clifford A. Chanler, State Bar No. 135534		
2	Greg Sheffer, State Bar No. 173124 Josh Voorhees, State Bar No. 241436		
3	THE CHANLER GROUP 2560 Ninth Street		
4	Parker Plaza, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710-2565		
5	Telephone: (510) 848-8880 Facsimile: (510) 848-8118		
6	Attorneys for Plaintiff		
7	JOHN MOORE		
8	Timothy H. Irons, State Bar No. 191758 BROWNSTEIN HYATT FARBER		
9	SCHRECK, LLP 2029 Century Park East, Suite 2100		
10	Los Angeles, CA 90067 Telephone: (310) 500-4600		
11	Facsimile: (310) 500-4602		
12	Attorneys for Defendants GAIAM, INC. and		
13	SPRI PRODUCTS, INC.		
14	GLIDEDIOD GOLUDE		
15	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
16	FOR THE CITY AND COUNTY OF MARIN		
17	UNLIMITE	D CIVIL JURISDICTION	
18			
19	JOHN MOORE,) Case No. CIV-1002842	
20	Plaintiff,) UNLIMITED JURISDICTION	
21	v.) [PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT	
22	BELL SPORTS, INC., et al.,	AS TO GAIAM, INC. AND SPRI PRODUCTS, INC.	
23	Defendants.) Dept:	
2.4		\ Βέρι.	
24		Judge:	
24 25		Judge: Date: None set Complaint Filed: June 2, 2010	
		Date: None set	
25		Date: None set	

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 John Moore, Gaiam, Inc. and SPRI Products, Inc.

This Consent Judgment is entered into by and between plaintiff John Moore (õMooreö or õPlaintiffö) on the one hand, and Gaiam, Inc. and SPRI Products, Inc. (collectively õDefendantsö), on the other hand, with Plaintiff and Defendants collectively referred to as the õparties.ö

1.2 John Moore

Plaintiff alleges that he is an individual residing in the State of California who seeks to promote awareness of exposure to toxic chemicals and to improve human health by reducing or eliminating hazardous substances contained in consumer and commercial products.

1.3 Gaiam, Inc. and SPRI Products, Inc.

Plaintiff alleges that Defendants each employ ten or more persons and are each persons in the course of doing business for purposes of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California Health & Safety Code § 25249.6, *et seq.* (õProposition 65ö).

1.4 **General Allegations**

Plaintiff alleges that Defendants have manufactured, imported, distributed and/or sold exercise /fitness mats which contain phthalates, including di(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate (õDEHPö), without the requisite Proposition 65 warnings. DEHP is on the Proposition 65 list as known to cause cancer as well as birth defects and other reproductive harm.

1.5 Product Description

The products that are covered by this Consent Judgment are defined as follows: all exercise /fitness mats containing DEHP including, but not limited to, *SPRI FoldingMat, TFM-1R (37 59026 46197 4)*, and, in the event no public enforcer diligently prosecutes the allegations set forth in the December 9, 2010, 60 Day Notice of Violation discussed in Section 1.6 below, all exercise balls containing DEHP including, but not limited to, *Pro Plus Xercise Balls, Model No. SXBPP45G*. The exercise/fitness mats and balls shall be collectively referred to hereinafter as the õProducts.ö

1.6 <u>Notices of Violation</u>

On March 19, 2010, Moore served Defendants and various public enforcement agencies, with

a document entitled õ60-Day Notice of Violationö (theõNoticeö) that provided Defendants and public enforcers with notice of alleged violations of California Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 for failing to warn consumers that exercise/fitness mats sold by Defendants, exposed users in California to DEHP. To the best of the partiesøknowledge, no public enforcer has prosecuted the allegations set forth in the March 19, 2010 Notice.

On or about December 9, 2010, Moore served Defendants and various public enforcement agencies, with a document entitled õSupplemental 60-Day Notice of Violationö that provided Defendants and public enforcers with notice of alleged violations of California Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 for failing to warn consumers that exercise balls sold by Defendants, exposed users in California to DEHP. The March 19, 2010 Notice and the December 9, 2010 Notice shall hereinafter be referred to collectively as õthe Noticesö.

1.7 <u>Complaint</u>

On June 2, 2010, Moore filed a complaint in the Superior Court in and for the County of Marin against Natco Products Corporation and Does 1 through 150, *Moore v. Natco, et al.*, Case No. CIV-1002842 (the õActionö), alleging violations of California Health & Safety Code § 25249.6, based on the alleged exposures to DEHP contained in certain vinyl flooring products sold by Natco. On July 2, 2010, Moore filed a First Amended Complaint (the õComplaintö) in the Action, renaming it as *Moore v. Bell Sports, Inc., et al.*, adding Gaiam, Inc., SPRI Products, Inc., and others, as defendants, alleging additional violations of California Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 based on the alleged exposures to DEHP contained in Products manufactured by or on behalf of, imported, distributed and/or sold by the Defendants.

1.8 **No Admission**

Defendants deny the material, factual and legal allegations contained in Moore Notice and Complaint, deny that Plaintiff is entitled to any relief, and maintain that all products that they have sold, manufactured, imported and/or distributed in California, including the Products, have been and are in compliance with all laws. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission by Defendants of any fact, finding, issue of law, or violation of law, nor shall compliance

1.9 **Consent to Jurisdiction**

with this Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by Defendants of any fact, finding, conclusion, issue of law, or violation of law, such being specifically denied by Defendants. However, this section shall not diminish or otherwise affect Defendantsøobligations, responsibilities, and duties under this Consent Judgment.

For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over Defendants as to the allegations contained in the Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of Marin and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter and enforce the provisions of this Consent Judgment.

1.10 Effective Date

For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term õEffective Dateö shall mean December 15, 2010.

2. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF: REFORMULATION AND WARNINGS

2.1 <u>Reformulation Standards</u>

Reformulated Products are defined as Products containing DEHP in concentrations of 0.1 percent (1,000 parts per million) or less in each accessible component when analyzed pursuant to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency testing methodologies 3580A and 8270C, U.S. Consumer Product Safety Commission Test Method CPSC-CH-C1001-09.3, õStandard Operating Procedure for Determination of Phthalatesö, or any other methodology utilized by federal or state agencies for the purpose of determining DEHP content in a solid substance. Reformulated Products are exempt from the warning requirements of Proposition 65. As of January 1, 2011, Defendants shall ship, sell or offer to be shipped for sale in California only Reformulated Products.

2.2 Representation Regarding Product Exemption

Plaintiff and Plaintiff counsel (i) acknowledge that the Defendantshave provided them independent testing for the Products which indicate DEHP levels less than 0.1 percent content and (2) accept and agree that the Products, as per the test results, qualify as Reformulated Products under this Consent Judgement and settlement agreement and comply with Proposition 65 as it relates

to the presence of DEHP in the exercise/fitness mats.

3. PAYMENT OF PENALTIES

3.1 Civil Penalty

In settlement of all the claims referred to in this Consent Judgment, Defendants shall collectively pay \$4,900 in civil penalties. This civil penalty reflects a \$20,000 credit due to Defendantsøcommitment to reformulate all Products on or before January 1, 2011. The civil penalty shall be apportioned in accordance with California Health & Safety Code § 25249.12(c) & (d), with 75% of these funds remitted to the State of California® Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment (õOEHHAö) and the remaining 25% of the penalty remitted to John Moore. Defendants shall issue two separate checks for the penalty payment: (a) one check made payable to õThe Chanler Group in Trust For OEHHAö in the amount of \$3,675, representing 75% of the total penalty; and (b) one check to õThe Chanler Group in Trust for John Mooreö in the amount of \$1,225, representing 25% of the total penalty. Two separate 1099s shall be issued for the above payments: (a) OEHHA, P.O. Box 4010, Sacramento, CA, 95814 (EIN: 68-0284486); and (b) John Moore, whose information shall be provided five calendar days before the payment is due.

Payment shall be delivered to defense counsel and held in a client trust account within seven (7) calendar days of the Effective Date. Payment shall then be delivered to Mooreøs counsel, within seven (7) calendar days of written notice of the Courtøs approval of this Consent Judgment, to the following address:

The Chanler Group Attn: Proposition 65 Controller 2560 Ninth Street Parker Plaza, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710

4. REIMBURSEMENT OF ATTORNEY'S FEES AND COSTS

The parties reached an accord on the compensation due to Moore and his counsel under general contract principles and the private attorney general doctrine codified at California Code of Civil Procedure (CCP) §1021.5. Defendants shall reimburse Moore and his counsel \$40,000 for fees and costs incurred as a result of investigating, bringing this matter to its attention, and negotiating a

settlement in the public interest. This figure includes Moore future fees and costs including attorney fees to be incurred in seeking judicial approval of this Consent Judgment as well as any other legal work performed after the execution of this Consent Judgment incurred in an effort to obtain finality of the case. However, in the event a third party were to appeal entry of this Consent Judgment, Plaintiff and Defendants may each, in their sole discretion, choose not to defend the appeal. In the event that one party chooses not to defend an appeal of the entry of this Consent Judgment, the other party may still defend the appeal but shall do so at its sole cost and expense. In no event shall Plaintiff or Plaintiff's Counsel be entitled to seek fees and costs from Defendants or their counsel for defense of an appeal of this Consent Judgment. Similarly, Defendants shall not be entitled to seek fees and costs from Plaintiff or his counsel for defense of an appeal of this Consent Judgment.

Payment shall be delivered to defense counsel and held in a client trust account within seven (7) calendar days of the Effective Date. A check shall then be made payable to õThe Chanler Groupö andbe deliverd within seven (7) calendar days of written notice of the Courtøs approval of this Consent Judgment, to the following address:

The Chanler Group Attn: Proposition 65 Controller 2560 Ninth Street Parker Plaza, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710

A separate 1099 shall be issued to õThe Chanler Groupö (EIN: 94-3171522) for the amount of the reimbursement of Plaintiff& fees and costs.

5. <u>JOINT AND SEVERAL LIABILITY</u>

Defendants shall be jointly and severally liable for the payments required under Sections 3 and 4 of this Consent Judgment.

6. RELEASE OF ALL CLAIMS

6.1 Moore's Release of Defendants

In further consideration of the promises and agreements herein contained, and for the payments to be made pursuant to Sections 3 and 4, Moore, on behalf of himself, his past and current

agents, representatives, attorneys, successors, and/or assignees, and in the interest of the general public pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(d), hereby waives all rights to institute or participate in, directly or indirectly, any form of legal action and releases all claims, including, without limitation, all actions, and causes of action, in law or in equity, suits, liabilities, demands, obligations, agreements, promises, royalties, accountings, damages, costs, fines, penalties, losses, or expenses (including, but not limited to, investigation fees, expert fees, and attorneys fees) of any nature whatsoever, whether known or unknown, whether suspected or unsuspected, fixed or contingent (collectively oclaimso), against Defendants and each of their downstream manufacturers, wholesalers, licensors, licensees, auctioneers, retailers (including, but not limited to, Walmart, Amazon and Target), resellers, distributors, franchisees, dealers, customers, owners, purchasers, users, parent companies, corporate affiliates, subsidiaries, and their respective officers, directors, attorneys, representatives, shareholders, agents, and employees, and sister and parent entities, (collectively oceanies) that arise under Proposition 65 with respect to the Products.

Moore also, on behalf of himself and his agents, attorneys, representatives, successors and assigns, in his individual capacity only and *not* in his representative capacity, provides a general release herein which shall be effective as a full and final accord and satisfaction, as a bar to all actions, causes of action, obligations, costs, expenses, attorneysøfees, damages, losses, claims, liabilities and demands of Moore of any nature, character or kind, known or unknown, suspected or unsuspected, arising out of the subject matter of this dispute. Moore acknowledges that he is familiar with Section 1542 of the California Civil Code, which provides as follows:

A general release does not extend to claims which the creditor does not know or suspect to exist in his or her favor at the time of executing the release, which if known by him or her must have materially affected his or her settlement with the debtor.

Moore, in his individual capacity only and *not* in his representative capacity, on behalf of himself and his agents, attorneys, representatives, successors and assigns, expressly waives and relinquishes any and all rights and benefits which he may have under, or which may be conferred on him by the provisions of Section 1542 of the California Civil Code as well as under any other state or federal statute or common law principle of similar effect, to the fullest extent that he may lawfully

waive such rights or benefits pertaining to the released matters. In furtherance of such intention, the release hereby given shall be and remain in effect as a full and complete release notwithstanding the discovery or existence of any such additional or different claims or facts arising out of the released matters.

The Parties further understand and agree that this release shall not extend upstream to any entities that manufactured the Products for Defendants or any component parts thereof or to any distributors or suppliers who sold the Products or any component parts thereof to Defendants.

6.2 <u>Defendants' Release of Moore</u>

Defendants, on behalf of themselves and their Releasees, waive any and all claims against Moore, his attorneys, and other representatives for any and all actions taken by Moore and his attorneys and other representatives, whether in the course of investigating claims or otherwise seeking enforcement of Proposition 65 against it in this matter, and/or with respect to the Products.

Defendants also provide a general release herein which shall be effective as a full and final accord and satisfaction, as a bar to all actions, causes of action, obligations, costs, expenses, attorney fees, damages, losses, claims, liabilities and demands of Defendants as against Plaintiff and his counsel of any nature, character or kind, known or unknown, suspected or unsuspected, arising out of the subject matter of the Action. Defendants acknowledge that they are familiar with Section 1542 of the California Civil Code, which provides as follows:

A general release does not extend to claims which the creditor does not know or suspect to exist in his or her favor at the time of executing the release, which if known by him or her must have materially affected his or her settlement with the debtor.

Defendants expressly waive and relinquish any and all rights and benefits as against Plaintiff and his counsel that they may have under, or that may be conferred on it by, the provisions of Section 1542 of the California Civil Code as well as under any other state or federal statute or common law principle of similar effect, to the fullest extent that it may lawfully waive such rights or benefits pertaining to the released matters. In furtherance of such intention, the release hereby given shall be and remain in effect as a full and complete release notwithstanding the discovery or existence of any such additional or different claims or facts arising out of the released matters.

7. COURT APPROVAL

This Consent Judgment is not effective until it is approved and entered by the Court and shall be null and void if, for any reason, it is not approved and entered by the Court within one year after it has been fully executed by all parties.

8. <u>SEVERABILITY</u>

If, subsequent to the execution of this Consent Judgment, any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment are held by a court to be unenforceable, the validity of the enforceable provisions remaining shall not be adversely affected.

9. <u>GOVERNING LAW</u>

The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California and apply within the State of California. In the event that Proposition 65 is repealed, preempted or is otherwise rendered inapplicable by reason of law generally, or if any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment are rendered inapplicable or no longer require as a result of any such repeal or preemption or rendered inapplicable by reason of law generally as to the Products, then Defendants shall have no further obligations pursuant to this Consent Judgment with respect to, and to the extent that, the Products are so affected.

10. NOTICES

Unless specified herein, all correspondence and notices required to be provided pursuant to this Consent Judgment shall be in writing and (1) personally delivered; (ii) sent by first-class, (registered or certified mail) return receipt requested; or (iii) sent by overnight courier to one party from the other party at the following addresses:

To Defendants:	To Moore:

Timothy H. Irons, Esq.	Proposition 65 Coordinator
Brownstein Hyatt	The Chanler Group
Farber Schreck, LLP	2560 Ninth Street
2029 Century Park East, Suite 2100	Parker Plaza, Suite 214
Los Angeles, CA 90067	Berkeley, CA 94710-2565

Any party, from time to time, may specify in writing to the other party a change of address to which all notices and other communications shall be sent.

11. COUNTERPARTS; FACSIMILE SIGNATURES

This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by facsimile or pdf signature, each of which shall be deemed an original, and all of which, when taken together, shall constitute one and the same document. A facsimile or pdf signature shall be as valid as the original.

12. <u>COMPLIANCE WITH HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 25249.7(f)</u>

Moore and his attorneys agree to comply with the reporting form requirements referenced in California Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(f).

13. ADDITIONAL POST EXECUTION ACTIVITIES

13.1. Moore and Defendants agree to mutually employ their, and their counsels, best efforts to support the entry of this agreement as a Consent Judgment and obtain approval of the Consent Judgment by the Court in a timely manner. The parties acknowledge that, pursuant to California Health & Safety Code § 25249.7, a noticed motion is required to obtain judicial approval of this Consent Judgment, which Moore shall draft and file, and Defendants shall join. Moore shall provide Defendants a draft of the motion for review, shall not file the motion without written approval from Defendants and such approval shall not be unreasonably withheld or delayed. If any third party objection to the noticed motion is filed, Moore and Defendants shall work together to file a joint reply and appear at any hearing before the Court. This provision is a material component of the Consent Judgment and shall be treated as such in the event of a breach.

13.2 If a third party timely appeals the Consent Judgment and the Consent Judgment is overturned by the Court of Appeal, then, within 15 calendar days of remittitur, all payments made pursuant to this Consent Judgment will be returned to counsel for Defendants.

14. **MODIFICATION**

This Consent Judgment may be modified only: (1) by written agreement of the parties and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court thereon; or (2) upon a successful motion of any party to this Consent Judgment and entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court.

15. <u>AUTHORIZATION</u>

The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment on behalf of their

1	respective parties and have read, understood,	and agree to all of the terms and conditions of this	
2	Consent Judgment. Each party acknowledges that it has consulted with and has had the advice of		
3	legal counsel. Each party executes this agreement and Consent Judgment voluntarily and with full		
4	knowledge of its significance, and with the ex	press intention of effecting the extinquishment of any	
5	and all obligations, liabilities, or claims arising out of the matters, claims and controversies specified		
6	herein. The persons executing this agreement represent and warrant that they have all necessary and		
7	proper legal authority to execute this agreement on behalf of the parties to this Consent Judgment.		
8	AGREED TO:	AGREED TO:	
9			
10	Date: December 10, 2010	Date:	
11	Date: DECEMBER 10, 2010 By:	D	
12	Plaintiff, John Moore	By: Defendant, Gaiam, Inc.	
13			
14		AGREED TO:	
15		Data	
16		Date:	
17		By: Defendant, SPRI Products, Inc.	
18		Defendant, SPRI Products, Inc.	
19			
20			
21		•	
22			
23			
24 25	•.		
25 26			
26 27			
$\begin{bmatrix} 27 \\ 28 \end{bmatrix}$			

respective parties and have read, understood, and agree to all of the terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment. Each party acknowledges that it has consulted with and has had the advice of legal counsel. Each party executes this agreement and Consent Judgment voluntarily and with full knowledge of its significance, and with the express intention of effecting the extinquishment of any and all obligations, liabilities, or claims arising out of the matters, claims and controversies specified herein. The persons executing this agreement represent and warrant that they have all necessary and proper legal authority to execute this agreement on behalf of the parties to this Consent Judgment. AGREED TO: **AGREED TO:** By: Plaintiff, John Moore **AGREED TO:** Date: