1 2	LEXINGTON LAW GROUP Mark N. Todzo, State Bar No. 168389 Howard Hirsch, State Bar No. 213209			
3	Lisa Burger, State Bar No. 239676 1627 Irving Street			
4	San Francisco, CA 94122 Telephone: (415) 759-4111			
5	Facsimile: (415) 759-4112			
6	Attorneys for Plaintiff CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH			
7				
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE	STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
9				
10	COUNTY O	FMARIN		
11				
12	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH,) a non-profit corporation,)	Case No. CIV 10-03909		
13	Plaintiff,	[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO SAKAR INTERNATIONAL,		
14	v. ,	INC.		
15)			
16	SAKAR INTERNATIONAL, INC.; TOYS "R") US, INC.; and DOES 1 through 200, inclusive,			
17	Defendants.)			
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
2425				
26				
27				
28				

1. INTRODUCTION

2.1

- 1.1 On July 27, 2010, Plaintiff the Center for Environmental Health ("CEH"), a non-profit corporation acting in the public interest, filed a complaint entitled *Center for Environmental Health v. Sakar International, Inc., et al.*, Marin County Superior Court Case Number CIV 10-03909, for civil penalties and injunctive relief pursuant to the provisions of Cal. Health & Safety Code § 25249.5, *et seq.* ("Proposition 65") and naming Sakar International, Inc. ("Defendant") as a defendant.
- 1.2 Defendant is a corporation that employs ten or more persons and manufactured, distributed and/or sold The Biggest Loser Fitness Kit in the State of California. The scope and applicability of this Consent Judgment shall extend and apply only to The Biggest Loser Fitness Kit (the "Products").
- 1.3 On or about April 20, 2010, CEH served Defendant and the appropriate public enforcement agencies with the requisite 60-day Notice (the "Notice") alleging that Defendant was in violation of Proposition 65. CEH's Notice and the Complaint in the CEH Action allege that Defendant exposes people who use or otherwise handle the Products to lead and lead compounds (collectively referred to herein as "Lead"), chemicals known to the State of California to cause cancer, birth defects and other reproductive harm, without first providing clear and reasonable warning to such persons regarding the carcinogenicity and reproductive toxicity of Lead. The Notice and Complaint allege that Defendant's conduct violates Health & Safety Code § 25249.6, the warning provision of Proposition 65. Defendant disputes such allegations and asserts that all of its Products comply with all applicable laws.
- 1.4 For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of the violations alleged in CEH's Complaint and personal jurisdiction over Defendant as to the acts alleged in CEH's Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of Marin, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment as a full and final resolution of all claims which were or could have been raised in the Complaint based on the facts alleged therein.
 - 1.5 The Parties enter into this Consent Judgment pursuant to a settlement of

2.1

2.1 Initial Reformulation Standard. As of April 1, 2011 (the "Complianc Date"), Defendant shall not manufacture, purchase, distribute, ship, or sell, or cause to be manufactured, distributed, shipped or sold, any Product in California that contains any component or is made of any material that is more than 300 parts per million ("ppm") Lead.

2.1.1. Additional Reformulation Standard. By August 14, 2011 (the "Additional Reformulation Date"), Defendant shall attempt to meet the Additional Reformulation Standard. For purposes of this Consent Judgment, a Product is in compliance with the "Additional Reformulation Standard" if it contains any component or is made of any material that is no more than 100 ppm Lead. If Defendant is able to meet the Additional Reformulation Standard, following the Additional Reformulation Date, Defendant: (a) shall not manufacture, purchase, distribute, ship, or sell, or cause to be manufactured, distributed, shipped or sold, any Product in California that contains any component or is made of any material that is more than 100 ppm Lead; (b) must comply with Sections 2.2 and 2.3 to ensure compliance with this Section 2.1.1; and (c) may waive the second installment of the payment in lieu of penalty set forth in Section 3.3.2 below. Prior to the Additional Reformulation Date, Defendant shall notify CEH in writing whether or not Defendant has achieved the Additional Reformulation Standard.

2.2 Certification From Suppliers. Defendant shall issue specifications to its suppliers requiring that the Products comply with the Lead content requirements of Section 2.1. Defendant shall obtain written certifications from its suppliers of the Products certifying that the

2.3 Defendant's Testing. In order to ensure compliance with the Lead content requirements of Section 2.1, Defendant shall cause to be conducted testing to confirm that the Products do not exceed the reformulation standards identified in Section 2.1. Testing shall be conducted in compliance with Section 2.1. All testing pursuant to this section shall be performed by an independent laboratory in accordance with testing protocol EPA 3050B (the "Test Protocol"). At the request of CEH, the results of the testing performed pursuant to this section shall be made available to CEH on a confidential basis.

2.3.1 Testing Frequency. For each of the first two orders of Products purchased from each of Defendant's suppliers after the Compliance Date, Defendant shall randomly select and test four Products purchased from each supplier of the Products intended for sale in California. Following the testing of the first two orders as described above, Defendant shall, for each subsequent order, randomly select and test two Products purchased in that calendar year for sale in California from each supplier of the Products.

2.3.2 Products That Contain Lead Pursuant to Defendant's Testing. If the results of the testing required pursuant to Section 2.3 demonstrates Lead levels in excess of the requirements of Section 2.1 in a Product, Defendant shall: (1) refuse to accept all of the Products that were purchased under the particular purchase order; (2) send a notice to the supplier explaining that such Products do not comply with the suppliers' certification; and (3) apply the testing frequency set forth in 2.3.1 as though the next shipment from the supplier were the first one following the Compliance Date.

2.4 Confirmatory Testing by CEH. CEH intends to conduct confirmatory testing of the Products. Any such testing shall be conducted by CEH at an independent laboratory, in accordance with the Test Protocol identified in Section 2.3 above. In the event that CEH's testing demonstrates Lead levels in excess of the requirements of Section 2.1 subsequent to the Compliance Date, CEH shall inform Defendant of the test results, including information sufficient to permit Defendant to identify the Product(s). Defendant shall, within 30 days following such notice, provide CEH, at the address listed in Section 10, with the

1 certification and testing information demonstrating its compliance with Sections 2.2 and 2.3 of 2 this Consent Judgment. If Defendant fails to provide CEH with information demonstrating that 3 it complied with Sections 2.2 and/or 2.3, Defendant shall be liable for stipulated payments in lieu 4 of penalties for Products for which CEH produces tests demonstrating the presence of Lead in 5 the Products. The payments shall be made to CEH and used for the purposes described in Section 3.1. 6 7 2.4.1 Stipulated Payments In Lieu of Penalties. If stipulated 8 payments in lieu of penalties are warranted under Section 2.4, the stipulated payment amount 9 shall be as follows for each unit of Product for which CEH produces a test result showing that 10 Defendant sold a Product that exceeds the Lead content requirements of Section 2.1 after the Compliance Date: 11 12 First Occurrence: \$500 13 Second Occurrence: \$750 Third Occurrence: 14 \$1,000 15 Thereafter: \$2,500 2.4.2 Good Faith Independent Laboratory Test Result Conducted by 16 17 18

Defendant as to Any Supplier Showing Compliance With Section 2.1 Deemed Compliant and Shall Exempt Defendant From Stipulated Payments in Lieu of Penalties. If Defendant relies on a laboratory test result conducted in accordance with the Test Protocol by an independent laboratory that CEH has approved (note: CEH has approved the Bureau Veritas laboratory test report submitted by Defendant earlier in this matter, and may approve other lab(s)) conducted as to Products showing that such Products comply with the Lead content requirements of Section 2.1 herein from any supplier, and provides a copy of such independent laboratory test to CEH within 30 days of notice or request from CEH, such laboratory test result shall be deemed good faith compliance and shall exempt Defendant from stipulated payments in lieu of penalties or any other liability to CEH.

3. SETTLEMENT PAYMENTS

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

3.1 Payments From Defendant. All of the payments made pursuant to this

4.1 This Consent Judgment may be modified by written agreement of CEH and Defendant, or upon motion of CEH or Defendant as provided by law.

5. ENFORCEMENT OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

5.1 Enforcement Procedures. Prior to bringing any motion or order to show cause to enforce the terms of this Consent Judgment, a Party seeking to enforce shall provide the violating party thirty (30) days advanced written notice of the alleged violation. The Parties shall meet and confer during such thirty (30) day period in an effort to try to reach agreement on an appropriate cure for the alleged violation. After such thirty (30) day period, the Party seeking to enforce may, by new action, motion or order to show cause before the Superior Court of Marin, seek to enforce the terms and conditions contained in this Consent Judgment. Should the Party seeking to enforce prevail on any motion or application under this section, such Party shall be entitled to recover its reasonable attorneys' fees and costs associated with such motion or order to show cause from the non-moving Party.

6. APPLICATION OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

6.1 This Consent Judgment shall apply to and be binding upon the Parties hereto, their divisions, subdivisions and subsidiaries, and the successors or assigns of any of them.

7. CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASE OF CLAIMS

7.1 This Consent Judgment is a full, final and binding resolution between CEH and Defendant of any violation of Proposition 65 that was or could have been asserted in the Complaint against Defendant (including any claims that could be asserted in connection with any of the Products covered by this Consent Judgment) or its parents, subsidiaries, affiliates, directors, officers, employees, agents, attorneys, distributors, customers or retailers (collectively, "Defendant Releasees") based on failure to warn about alleged exposures to Lead resulting from any Products manufactured, distributed or sold by Defendant ("Covered Claims") on or prior to the date of entry of this Consent Judgment. CEH, its directors, officers, employees and attorneys hereby release all Covered Claims against Defendant Releasees. Compliance with the terms of

I				
1	this Consent Judgment constitutes compliance with Proposition 65 for purposes of Lead			
2	exposures from the Products.			
3	8.	GOV	ERNING LAW	
4		8.1	The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the	
5	State of Calif	ornia.		
6	9.	RETI	ENTION OF JURISDICTION	
7		9.1	This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement and enforce	
8	the terms this Consent Judgment.			
9	10.	PRO	VISION OF NOTICE	
10		10.1	All notices required pursuant to this Consent Judgment and	
11	correspondence shall be sent to the following:			
12	For CEH:			
13			Lisa Burger	
14			Lexington Law Group 1627 Irving Street	
15			San Francisco, CA 94122	
16	For Defendant:			
17			James C. Tuttle Law Offices of James C. Tuttle	
18			82 Wall Street, Suite 1105	
19			New York, NY 10005	
20	11.	ATT(ORNEYS' FEES	
21		11.1	A Party who unsuccessfully brings or contests an action arising out of this	
22	Consent Judgment shall be required to pay the prevailing Party's reasonable attorneys' fees and			
23	costs unless the unsuccessful Party has acted with substantial justification. For purposes of this			
24	Consent Judgment, the term substantial justification shall carry the same meaning as used in the			
25	Civil Discovery Act of 1986, Code of Civil Procedure §§ 2016.010, et seq.			
26		11.2	Notwithstanding Section 11.1, a Party who prevails in a contested	
27	enforcement action brought pursuant to Section 5.1 may seek an award of attorneys' fees			
28	pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure § 1021.5 against a Party that acted with substantial			

1	justification. The Party seeking such an award shall bear the burden of meeting all of the			
2	elements of § 1021.5, and this provision shall not be construed as altering any procedural or			
3	substantive requirements for obtaining such an award.			
4	11.3 Nothing in this Section 11 shall preclude a Party from seeking an award of			
5	sanctions pursuant to law.			
6	12. COURT APPROVAL			
7	12.1 CEH will comply with the settlement notice provisions of Health & Safet			
8	Code § 25249.7(f) and Title 11 of the California Code of Regulations § 3003 by preparing and			
9	filing a motion for approval of this Consent Judgment and Defendant shall support approval of			
10	such motion.			
11	13. COUNTERPARTS			
12	13.1 The stipulations to this Consent Judgment may be executed in			
13	counterparts.			
14	14. AUTHORIZATION			
15	14.1 Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully			
16	authorized by the party he or she represents to stipulate to this Consent Judgment and to enter			
17	into and execute the Consent Judgment on behalf of the party represented and legally bind that			
18	party. The undersigned have read, understand and agree to all of the terms and conditions of this			
19	Consent Judgment. Except as explicitly provided herein, each party is to bear its own fees and			
20	costs.			
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				

1	AGREED TO:
2	
3	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH
4	
5	
6	
7	CHARLIE PIZARRO
8	[Name]
9	Associate Director
10	[Title]
11	[Title]
12	
13	SAKAR INTERNATIONAL, INC.
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	[Name]
19	
20	
21	[Title]
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

I	AGREED TO:
2	
2	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH
4	
5	
6	
4 5 6 7 8	
8	[Name]
10 11	[Title]
12	
13	SAKAR INTERNATIONAL, INC.
14 15	Mrs Sw
16	
16 17 18	QUACPH SASSOY
18	[Name]
: '	
20	V
21	[Title]
23	
24	
1 ! 25	
26	
20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28	
28	
1 1	- 9 -

JUDGMENT Based upon the stipulated Consent Judgment between CEH and Sakar International, Inc., the settlement is approved and the clerk is directed to enter judgment in accordance with the terms herein. Dated: Judge, Superior Court of the State of California