	<u> </u>		
1	WILLIAM VERICK, SBN 140972 FREDRIC EVENSON, SBN 198059 KLAMATH ENVIRONMENTAL LAW CENT	i I ICIR	
2	424 First Street	·	
3	Eureka, CA 95501 Telephone: (707) 268-8900		
4	Facsimile: (707) 268-8901 E-mail: wverick@igc.org	,	
5	DAVID WILLIAMS, SBN 144479 BRIAN ACREE, SBN 202505		
6	BRIAN ACREE, SBN 202505 PUBLIC INTEREST LAWYERS GROUP		
7	370 Grand Avenue, Suite 5 Oakland, CA 94610		
8	Telephone: (510) 271-0826 Facsimile: (510) 271-0829		
9	E-mail: dhwill7@gmail.com		
10	Attorneys for Plaintiff MATEEL ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE 1	FOUNDATION	
11			
12	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE	STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
13	FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO		
14	MATEEL ENVIRONMENTAL	Case No. CGC-10-502296	
15	JUSTICE FOUNDATION,	CONSENT JUDGMENT	
16	Plaintiff,	(JOHNSON LEVEL & TOOL MFG.	
17	v.	CO., INC. and JOHNSON-ROSE	
18	CAMPBELL MANUFACTURING CO, INC., et al.,	CORP.)	
19	Defendants.		
20			
21			
22	1. INTRODUCTION		
2 3	1.1 On August 5, 2010, the MATEE	L ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE	
24	FOUNDATION ("Mateel") acting on behalf of itself and the general public, filed a		
25	Complaint for civil penalties and injunctive relief in San Francisco Superior Court, Case		
26	No. 502296, against Defendant Johnson Level & Tool Mfg. Co., Inc. ("Johnson Level");		
27	and on August 5, 2010, Mateel also acting on behalf of itself and the general public, filed		

 a Complaint for civil penalties and injunctive relief in San Francisco Superior Court, Case No. 502288 against Defendant Johnson-Rose Corp. ("Johnson-Rose"). Collectively hereinafter the complaints filed in Case Nos. 502296 and 502288 shall be referred to as the "Complaints." Johnson Level and Johnson-Rose may be referred to individually as "Settling Defendant" and collectively as "Settling Defendants." The Complaints allege, among other things, that Defendants violated provisions of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, Health and Safety Code Sections 25249.5, et seq. ("Proposition 65"). In particular, Mateel alleges that Settling Defendants knowingly and intentionally exposed persons to hand tools and home products (collectively "leaded brass products") that are made of or that include a component made of leaded brass, without first providing a clear and reasonable warning to such individuals. Lead and lead compounds are chemicals known to the State of California to cause cancer and birth defects or other reproductive harm.

- 1.2 By this single consent judgment the parties Johnson Level, Johnson-Rose, and Mateel intend to resolve litigation as against Johnson Level in the above-captioned case, and as against Johnson-Rose in Case No. 502288. Johnson-Rose agrees to be bound by this consent judgment. Upon entry of this consent judgment by the court, Mateel shall dismiss without prejudice Case No. 502288 as against Johnson-Rose.
- 1.3 On May 13, 2010, Mateel sent 60-Day Notice letters ("Notice Letters") to Defendants, the California Attorney General, all California District Attorneys, and all City Attorneys of every California city with populations exceeding 750,000.
- 1.4 Settling Defendants are both businesses that employ ten or more persons and both manufacture, distribute, and/or market brass products, within the State of California. These products are alleged to contain lead and/or lead compounds. Lead and lead compounds are chemicals known to the State of California to cause cancer, and lead is a chemical known to the State of California to cause reproductive toxicity pursuant to Health and Safety Code Section 25249.9. Under specified circumstances, products

California are subject to the Proposition 65 warning requirement set forth in Health and Safety Code Section 25249.6. Plaintiff Mateel alleges that products that are made from leaded brass, or that have leaded brass components, are manufactured, distributed, sold and/or marketed by Settling Defendants for use in California and require a warning under Proposition 65.

- 1.5 In the Complaints, Mateel alleges that Settling Defendants have violated Cal. Health & Safety Code Section 25249.6 by knowingly and intentionally exposing persons to products that are made of brass, or include a component made of brass, that contain lead and/or lead compounds, without first providing a clear and reasonable warning to such individuals. Lead and lead compounds are chemicals known to the State of California to cause cancer and birth defects or other reproductive harm.
- 1.6 For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term "Covered Products" shall be defined as: (a) brass products that are made from leaded brass or that have leaded brass components and that are manufactured, distributed, marketed and/or sold by Johnson Level and (b) brass products that are made from leaded brass or that have leaded brass components and that are manufactured, distributed, marketed and/or sold by Johnson-Rose. The "Effective Date" shall be defined as the date of entry of this Consent Judgment.
- 1.7 For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the Complaints and personal jurisdiction over Johnson Level and Johnson-Rose as to the acts alleged in the Complaints, that venue is proper in the County of San Francisco and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment as a full settlement and resolution of the allegations contained in the Complaints and of all claims that were or could have been raised by any person or entity based in whole or in part, directly or indirectly, on the facts alleged therein or arising therefrom or related thereto.

1.8 This Consent Judgment resolves claims that are denied and disputed. The parties enter into this Consent Judgment pursuant to a full and final settlement of any and all claims between the parties for the purpose of avoiding prolonged litigation. This Consent Judgment shall not constitute an admission with respect to any material allegation of the Complaints, each and every allegation of which, Johnson Level and Johnson-Rose deny, nor may this Consent Judgment or compliance with it be used as evidence of any wrongdoing, misconduct, culpability or liability on the part of Johnson Level or Johnson-Rose.

1.9 Concurrently with the entry of this Consent Judgment, Johnson-Rose shall be deemed to have been added as a party defendant to this Action, and plaintiff Mateel shall file a Request for Dismissal without prejudice of Johnson-Rose in the action *Mateel v. Harold Import Co., Inc., et al.*, Superior Court Case No., CGC 10-502288.

2. SETTLEMENT PAYMENTS

- 2.1 In settlement of all of the claims referred to in this Consent Judgment, Settling Defendants shall collectively pay an aggregate of \$35,000 (thirty-five_thousand dollars) in total monetary relief.
- 2.2 Of the foregoing \$35,000 amount, a total of \$15,000 (fifteen thousand dollars) shall collectively be paid by the Settling Defendants in lieu of, and as an offset for, any civil penalty. The \$15,000 amount shall be divided by the Settling Defendants in whatever proportion they have agreed among themselves, but such that \$7,500 (seven thousand five hundred dollars) shall ultimately be made payable to Ecological Rights Foundation, and \$7,500 (seven thousand five hundred dollars) shall ultimately be made payable to Californians for Alternatives to Toxics.
- 2.3 In addition, of the \$35,000 amount specified in Paragraph 2.1 above, a total amount of \$20,000 (twenty thousand dollars) shall be paid collectively by the Settling Defendants to the Klamath Environmental Law Center ("KELC") as reimbursement for attorneys fees and costs incurred by KELC on behalf of Plaintiff in investigating and

prosecuting this matter and in negotiating this Consent Judgment on behalf of itself and in the public interest. The \$20,000 amount required by this paragraph may be divided by the Settling Defendants in whatever proportion they have agreed among themselves and made payable in either one or two checks to "KELC."

- 2.4 The payments described in Paragraphs 2.2 and 2.3 above shall be delivered at least 5 days prior to any hearing on a motion to approve this settlement, to William Verick, 424 First Street, Eureka, CA 95501. If payment has not been received as provided in this paragraph, Plaintiff may withdraw any motion to approve and enter the agreement and the agreement shall become null and void. If this Consent Judgment has not been approved and entered by the Court within 120 days of the execution of the agreement by the parties, the payments described above shall be promptly returned to the Settling Defendants, and the terms of this agreement shall be null and void.
- 2.5 MEJF and KELC represent and warrant that Ecological Rights Foundation and Californians for Alternatives to Toxics are each a tax exempt, section 501(c)(3) non-profit organization and that funds distributed to these organizations pursuant to this Consent Judgment may only be spent to reduce harm from toxic chemicals, or to increase consumer, worker and community awareness of health hazards posed by lead and other toxic chemicals.
- 2.6 Except as specifically provided in this Consent Judgment, each side shall bear its own costs and attorney's fees.

3. ENTRY OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

3.1 The parties hereby request that the Court promptly enter this Consent Judgment. Upon entry of the Consent Judgment, Johnson Level, Johnson-Rose, and Mateel waive their respective rights to a hearing or trial on the allegations of the Complaints.

4. MATTERS COVERED BY THIS CONSENT JUDGMENT

4.1 This Consent Judgment is a full, final and binding resolution between Settling Defendants and Mateel, acting on behalf of itself and, as to those matters raised in the 60-Day Notice Letters, acting in the public interest pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(d), of all matters that are or that could have been alleged in the Complaints, including any violation of Proposition 65, or the regulations promulgated thereunder, to the fullest extent that any violation could have been asserted by Mateel against the Settling Defendants based upon, arising out of, or relating to Settling Defendants' compliance with Proposition 65, or regulations promulgated thereunder, with respect to exposures to lead or lead compounds from the Covered Products (and components thereof), whether based on actions committed by Settling Defendants, or by any other entity within the chain of manufacture, distribution and sale of the Covered Products. As to alleged lead and lead compound exposures from Covered Products, compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment resolves any issue, now and in the future, concerning compliance by Settling Defendants and their parents, subsidiaries or affiliates, divisions, predecessors, successors, assigns, officers, directors, shareholders, attorneys, representatives, agents, employees, and all manufacturers, customers, distributors, wholesalers, retailers or any other person in the course of doing business involving the Covered Products, and the successors and assigns of any of these who may manufacture, use, maintain, distribute, market or sell Covered Products, with the current requirements and standards of Proposition 65. This Consent Judgment also is a full, final, and binding resolution between Plaintiff and Settling Defendants as to any other claims that could have been asserted against Settling Defendants or their affiliates, parents or subsidiaries, divisions, successors, officers, directors, shareholders, attorneys, representatives, agents, assigns, distributors, manufacturers, retailers, or customers for failure to disclose the presence of lead (or lead compounds) in or associated with use of the Covered Products.

27 28

1 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

3 4

5

6

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

2223

24

25

26

27

28

As to alleged lead exposures associated with Covered Products, Mateel, acting on behalf of itself, and its agents, attorneys, representatives, successors and assigns, waives all rights to institute or participate in, directly, or indirectly, any form of legal action, and releases all claims as between Mateel and Settling Defendants, including, without limitation, all actions, and causes of action, in law or in equity, suits, liabilities, demands, obligations, agreements, promises, royalties, accountings, damages, costs, fines, penalties, losses, or expenses (including, but not limited to, investigation fees, expert fees, and attorney's fees) of any nature whatsoever, whether known or unknown, fixed or contingent (collectively "claims"), against Settling Defendants and their parents, subsidiaries or affiliates, predecessors, officers, directors, shareholders, attorneys, representatives, agents, employees, and all customers, manufacturers, distributors, wholesalers, retailers, or any other person in the course of doing business involving the Covered Products, and the successors and assigns of any of them, who may manufacture, use, maintain, distribute or sell the Covered Products or components found in the Covered Products, including, but not limited to, any claims regarding exposure to, and/or failure to warn with respect to, the Covered Products. In furtherance of the foregoing, Mateel hereby waives any and all rights and benefits which it now has, or in the future may have respecting the Covered Products, conferred upon it with respect to claims involving Covered Products by virtue of the provisions of Section 1542 of the California Civil Code, which provides as follows:

"A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS WHICH THE CREDITOR DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE, WHICH IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER MUST HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR."

Mateel understands and acknowledges that the significance and consequence of this waiver of California Civil Code Section 1542 is that even if Mateel suffers future damages arising out of or resulting from, or related directly or indirectly to, in whole or in

part, the Covered Products, including but not limited to any exposure to, or failure to warn with respect to exposure to lead or lead compounds from, the Covered Products, Mateel will not be able to make any claim for those damages against the Settling Defendant, its parents, subsidiaries or affiliates, predecessors, officers, directors, shareholders, representatives, attorneys, agents, employees, and all customers, manufacturers, distributors, wholesalers, retailers or any other person in the course of doing business involving the Covered Products, and the successors and assigns of any of them, who may manufacture, use, maintain, distribute or sell the Covered Products. Furthermore, Mateel acknowledges that it intends these consequences for any such claims and any other claims which may exist as of the date of this release but which Mateel does not know exist, and which, if known, would materially affect its decision to enter into this Consent Judgment, regardless of whether its lack of knowledge is the result of ignorance, oversight, error, negligence, or any other cause.

5. ENFORCEMENT OF JUDGMENT

5.1 The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be enforced exclusively by the parties hereto. The parties may, by noticed motion or order to show cause before the Superior Court of San Francisco County, giving the notice required by law, enforce the terms and conditions contained herein. The parties hereto agree that prior to any such enforcement action, they will notify each other of any perceived violation of this Consent Judgment. The parties further agree to take no enforcement action for 30 days after such notice is given, in order to allow the parties to meet and confer in good faith in an effort to resolve the alleged violation.

6. MODIFICATION OF JUDGMENT

6.1 Except as provided for in Paragraph 7.3(c), this Consent Judgment may be modified only upon written agreement of the parties and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court thereon, or upon motion of any party as provided by law and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court.

7. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF - CLEAR AND REASONABLE WARNING

- 7.1 Covered Products' brass components shall be deemed to comply with current requirements of Proposition 65 for lead and be exempt from any Proposition 65 warning requirements for lead if the brass that is part of the Covered Products meets the following criteria: (a) the brass alloy from which the brass components are made shall have no intentionally added lead and a lead content by weight of no more than 0.03% (300 parts per million, or "300 ppm"). Settling Defendants may comply with the above requirements by relying on information obtained from their suppliers regarding the content of the brass alloy from which the brass products or components are made, provided such reliance is in good faith. Obtaining test results showing that the lead content is no more than 0.03%, using a method of sufficient sensitivity to establish a limit of quantification (as distinguished from detection) of less than 300 ppm shall be deemed to establish good faith reliance.
- 7.2 Covered Products that do not meet the warning exemption standard set forth in Section 7.1 of the Consent Judgment shall be accompanied by a warning as described in paragraph 7.3 below. The warning requirements set forth in paragraph 7.3 shall apply only to products Settling Defendants manufacture, distribute, market, sell or ship for distribution after 120 days after the Effective Date for sale or use inside the State of California.
 - 7.3 Each Settling Defendant shall provide Proposition 65 warnings as follows:
 - (a) Each Settling Defendant shall provide either of the following warning statements:

WARNING: This product contains lead, a chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer and birth defects or other reproductive harm. Do not place your hands in your mouth after handling the product. Wash your hands after touching this product.

or

WARNING: This product contains one or more chemicals, including lead, known to the State of California to cause cancer and birth defects or other reproductive harm. Wash hands after handling.

The word "WARNING" shall be in bold and capitalized. The words "Wash hands after handling" shall be in bold and italicized.

Settling Defendant shall provide such warning with the unit package of the Covered Products. Such warning shall be prominently affixed to or printed on each Covered Product's label or package. The warning shall be at least the same size as the largest of any other safety warnings, if any, on the product container. If printed on the label itself, the warning shall be contained in the same section that states other safety warnings, if any, concerning the use of the product.

- (b) The requirements for product labeling, set forth in subparagraph (a) above are imposed pursuant to the terms of this Consent Judgment. The parties recognize that product labeling is not the exclusive method of providing a warning under Proposition 65 and its implementing regulations.
- (c) If Proposition 65 warnings for lead or lead compounds should no longer be required, Settling Defendants shall have no further warning obligations pursuant to this Consent Judgment. In the event that either Settling Defendant ceases to implement or modifies the warnings required under this Consent Judgment (because of a change in the law or otherwise), that Settling Defendant shall provide written notice to Mateel (through KELC) of its intent to do so, and of the basis for its intent, no less than thirty (30) days in advance. Mateel shall notify the Settling Defendant in writing of any objection within thirty (30) days of its receipt of such notice, or such objection by Mateel shall be waived.

16 17

15

18 19

20 21

22

23 24

25 26

27

28

- If following 120 days after the Effective Date, either Settling (d): Defendant ships Covered Products to a retailer or distributor outside of California that neither provide the warnings specified in this paragraph nor meet the Reformulation Standard specified in paragraph 7.1 of this Consent Judgment ("Non-Conforming Covered Products"), and if the retailer or distributor then offers those Non-Conforming Covered Products for sale in California, then as to those Non-Conforming Covered Products, that retailer or distributor, and their customers, are not released pursuant to Sections 4.1 and 4.2 above.
- (e) Except as provided in paragraph 4.2 hereof, nothing in this Consent Judgment shall create a limitation on a Proposition 65 enforcement action based on future conduct if such future conduct is not in compliance with the injunctive terms of this Consent Judgment.

8. **AUTHORITY TO STIPULATE**

Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorized by the party he or she represents to enter into this Consent Judgment and to execute it on behalf of the party represented and legally to bind that party.

RETENTION OF JURISDICTION 9.

This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement the Consent Judgment.

10. ENTIRE AGREEMENT

This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the parties with respect to the entire subject matter hereof, and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments and understandings related hereto. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those contained herein have been made by any party hereto. No other agreements not specifically referred to herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the parties.

11. GOVERNING LAW

The validity, construction and performance of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California, without reference to any conflicts of law provisions of California law.

12. NOTICES

Unless specified herein, all correspondence and notices required to be provided pursuant to this Consent Judgment shall be in writing and personally delivered or sent by: (i) first-class, (registered or certified mail) return receipt requested; or (ii) overnight currier on any party by the other party at the following addresses:

10

11

14

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

To Mateel:

William Verick, Esq.

Klamath Environmental Law Center

13 | 424 First Street

| Eureka, CA 95501

15 To Johnson Level:

16 William Johnson

Johnson Level & Tool Manufacturing Company

6333 West Donges Bay Road

18 Mequon, WI 53092-4456

19 With a copy to:

20 Robert Falk, Esq.

21 Morrison & Foerster LLP

425 Market Street, 32nd Floor

22 San Francisco, California 94105

To Johnson-Rose:

24

Ernie Berman
Johnson-Rose Corp.

5303 Crown Drive

26 Lockport, NY 14095-0447

27

With a copy to:

Robert Falk, Esq. Morrison & Foerster LLP 425 Market Street, 32nd Floor San Francisco, California 94105

1	13. COURT APPROVA	L
2	If this Consent Judgm	nent is not approved by the Court, it shall be of no force
3	or effect, and cannot be used in any proceeding for any purpose.	
4	IT IS SO STIPULATED:	•
5		
6	DATED:	MATEEL ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE FOUNDATION
7		TOUNDATION
8		William Verick
9		CEO Mateel Environmental Justice Foundation.
10		Klamath Environmental Law Center
11		
12	DATED:	JOHNSON LEVEL & TOOL MFG. CO, INC.
13		Bv:
14		By: Its:
15 16		•
17	DATED:	JOHNSON-ROSE CORP.
18		The same
19		By: E.BERHAN Its: PRESIDENT
20		Its: PRESIDENT
21	IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED:	
22	11 10 00 01 21 22,1 25 01	
23	DATED:	HIDOD OF THE CURENION COLUMN
24		JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
25		
26		
27		

13. COURT APPROVAL

If this Consent Judgment is not approved by the Court, it shall be of no force or effect, and cannot be used in any proceeding for any purpose.

IT IS SO STIPULATED:

5

6

1

2

3

4

DATED:	MATEEL ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE
	FOUNDATION A A

7

9

William Verick CEO Mateel Environmental Justice

Foundation, Klamath Environmental Law Center

1011

12

13

14

October 19,2010

JOHNSON LEVEL & TOOK NIFG. CO,

By: William 6 Joh Its: Ol

15

16

17

DATED:

JOHNSON-ROSE CORP.

By: Its:

18

19

20

21

IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED:

22

23 | DATED:

JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT

25

24

26

27