1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	FREDRIC EVENSON, SBN 198059	NTER		
9 10	E-mail: davidhwilliams@earthlink.net Attorneys for Plaintiff MATEEL ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE			
11 12 13 14	FOUNDATION SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO			
15 16 17 18	MATEEL ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE FOUNDATION,, Plaintiff, V.	Case No. CGC-10-502288 CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO DEFENDANT NORDIC PRODUCTS, INC.		
19	NORDIC PRODUCTS, INC.,			
20	Defendant.			
21 22 23	2 1. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>			
24	FOUNDATION ("Mateel") acting on behalf of itself and the general public, filed a			
25 26	Complaint for civil penalties and injunctive relief ("Complaint") in San Francisco County Superior Court, Case No. CGC-10-502288, against Defendant Nordic Products, Inc.			
20	("Nordic" or "Defendant"). The Complaint alleges, among other things, that Defendant			
28	violated provisions of the Safe Drinking W	Ater and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986,		

Health and Safety Code Sections 25249.5, et seq. ("Proposition 65"). In particular,
 Mateel alleges that Nordic has knowingly and intentionally exposed persons to cookware
 and cookware accessories (collectively hereinafter, "cookware") that utilize fittings made
 of brass containing lead and/or lead compounds (hereinafter "leaded brass"), without first
 providing a clear and reasonable warning to such individuals. Lead and lead compounds
 are chemicals known to the State of California to cause cancer, birth defects and other
 reproductive harm.

8 1.2 On May 13, 2010, Mateel sent a 60-Day Notice of Violation ("Notice") to
9 Nordic, the California Attorney General, all California District Attorneys, and all City
10 Attorneys of California cities that have populations exceeding 750,000.

11 Nordic is a business that employs ten or more persons and manufactures, 1.3 distributes, and/or markets leaded brass cookware, within the State of California. Some of 12 13 those products are alleged to contain lead and/or lead compounds. Lead and lead 14 compounds are chemicals known to the State of California to cause cancer, and lead is a 15 chemical known to the State of California to cause reproductive toxicity pursuant to Health and Safety Code Section 25249.9. Under specified circumstances, products 16 17 containing lead and/or lead compounds that are sold or distributed in the State of California are subject to the Proposition 65 warning requirement set forth in Health and 18 19 Safety Code Section 25249.6. Plaintiff Mateel alleges that leaded brass fittings on cookware manufactured, distributed, sold and/or marketed by Nordic for use in California 20 21 require a warning under Proposition 65.

1.4 For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term "Covered Products" shall
be defined as cookware and cookware accessories that utilize leaded-brass parts and/or
fittings, to the extent such products are distributed and sold within the state of California,
and that are manufactured, distributed, marketed and/or sold by Nordic, regardless of
whether they bear Nordic labels. "Covered Products" does not include any product used
to cook or store food if, in the normally intended use of the product, leaded brass contacts
food while the food is being cooked or store \$\overline{2}\$.

CONSENT JUDGMENT MATEEL V. NORDIC CASE NO. CGC-10-502288

1 1.5 For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the parties stipulate that this Court 2 has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the Complaint and personal 3 jurisdiction over Nordic as to the acts alleged in the Complaint, that venue is proper in the 4 County of San Francisco and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent 5 Judgment as a full settlement and resolution of the allegations contained in the Complaint 6 and of all claims that were or could have been raised by any person or entity based in 7 whole or in part, directly or indirectly, on the facts alleged therein or arising therefrom or 8 related thereto.

9 1.6 This Consent Judgment resolves claims that are denied and disputed. The
parties enter into this Consent Judgment pursuant to a full and final settlement of any and
all claims between the parties for the purpose of avoiding prolonged litigation. This
Consent Judgment shall not constitute an admission with respect to any material allegation
of the Complaint, each and every allegation of which Nordic denies, nor may this Consent
Judgment or compliance with it be used as evidence of any wrongdoing, misconduct,
culpability or liability on the part of Nordic or any other Defendant.

16

2. <u>SETTLEMENT PAYMENT</u>

17 2.1 In settlement of all of the claims referred to in this Consent Judgment
18 against the Settling Defendant, Nordic shall pay \$15,000 to the Klamath Environmental
19 Law Center ("KELC") to cover Mateel's attorneys' fees and costs.

20 2.2 Additionally, Nordic shall pay \$5,000 to the Ecological Rights Foundation 21 and \$5,000 to Californians for Alternatives to Toxics. Both are California non-profit 22 environmental organizations that advocate for workers' and consumers' safety, and for awareness and reduction of toxic exposures. The payments specified in paragraphs 2.1 23 24 and 2.2 shall be forwarded by Nordic so they are received at least 5 days prior to the 25 hearing date scheduled for approval of this Consent Judgment. In the event the Consent Judgment is not approved within 120 days of the date scheduled for approval, the above-26 described payments shall be returned and the provisions of this Consent Judgment shall 27 28 become null and void. 3 CONSENT JUDGMENT MATEEL V. NORDIC

CASE NO. CGC-10-502288

3.

1

2

3

4

ENTRY OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

3.1 The parties hereby request that the Court promptly enter this Consent Judgment. Upon entry of the Consent Judgment, Nordic and Mateel waive their respective rights to a hearing or trial on the allegations of the Complaint.

5

4. <u>MATTERS COVERED BY THIS CONSENT JUDGMENT</u>

6 4.1 As to lead exposures allegedly caused by Covered Products, this Consent 7 Judgment is a final and binding resolution between Mateel, acting on behalf of itself and 8 the public interest, and Nordic, of: (i) any violation of Proposition 65 with respect to the 9 Covered Products, and (ii) any other statutory or common law claim, to the fullest extent 10 that any of the foregoing described in (i) or (ii) were or could have been asserted by any 11 person or entity against Nordic based upon, arising out of or relating to Nordic's 12 compliance with Proposition 65, or regulations promulgated thereunder, with respect to 13 the Covered Products, and any other claim based in whole or part on the facts alleged in 14 the Complaint, whether based on actions committed by Nordic, or by any other Defendant 15 or entity within the chain of distribution, including, but not limited to, manufacturers, 16 wholesale or retail sellers or distributors and any other person in the course of doing 17 business. As to lead exposures allegedly caused by Covered Products, compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment resolves any issue, now and in the future, concerning 18 19 compliance by Nordic and its parents, subsidiaries or affiliates, predecessors, officers, directors, employees, and all of their manufacturers, customers, distributors, wholesalers, 20 21 retailers or any other person in the course of doing business, and the successors and 22 assigns of any of these who may manufacture, use, maintain, distribute, market or sell 23 Covered Products, with the requirements of Proposition 65.

4.2 As to lead exposures allegedly caused by Covered Products, Mateel, acting
 on behalf of itself and the public interest, and its agents, successors and assigns, waives all
 rights to institute any form of legal action, and releases all claims against Nordic and its
 parents, subsidiaries or affiliates, predecessors, officers, directors, employees, and all of
 its customers, manufacturers, distributors, wholesalers, retailers or any other person in the
 CONSENT JUDGMENT MATEEL V. NORDIC
 CASE NO. CGC-10-502288

1	course of doing business, and the successors and assigns of any of them, who may					
2	manufacture, use, maintain, distribute or sell the Covered Products, whether under					
3	Proposition 65 or otherwise, arising out of or resulting from, or related directly or					
4	indirectly to, in whole or in part, the Covered Products and claims identified in Mateel's					
5	Notice Letter. In furtherance of the foregoing, Mateel, acting on behalf of itself hereby					
6	waives any and all rights and benefits which it now has, or in the future may have,					
7	conferred upon it with respect to the Covered Products by virtue of the provisions of					
8	Section 1542 of the California Civil Code, which provides as follows:					
9	"A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO					
10	CLAIMS WHICH THE CREDITOR DOES NOT KNOW OR					
11	SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS FAVOR AT THE TIME OF					
12	EXECUTING THE RELEASE, WHICH IF KNOWN BY					
13	HIM MUST HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS					
14	SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR."					
15	Mateel understands and acknowledges that the significance and consequence of this					
16	waiver of California Civil Code Section 1542 is that even if Mateel suffers future damages					
17	arising out of or resulting from, or related directly or indirectly to, in whole or in part, the					
18	Covered Products, it will not be able to make any claim for those damages against Nordic,					
19	its parents, subsidiaries or affiliates, predecessors, officers, directors, employees, and all					
20	of its customers, manufacturers, distributors, wholesalers, retailers or any other person in					
21	the course of doing business, and the successors and assigns of any of them, who may					
22	manufacture, use, maintain, distribute or sell the Covered Products. Furthermore, Mateel					
23	acknowledges that it intends these consequences for any such claims which may exist as					
24	of the date of this release but which Mateel does not know exist, and which, if known,					
25	would materially affect its decision to enter into this Consent Judgment, regardless of					
26	whether its lack of knowledge is the result of ignorance, oversight, error, negligence, or					
27	any other cause.					
28	5					
	CONSENT JUDGMENT MATEEL V. NORDIC CASE NO. CGC-10-502288					

.-

ł

CASE NO. CGC-10-502288

.

•

1

5. <u>ENFORCEMENT OF JUDGMENT</u>

5.1 The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be enforced exclusively by the
parties hereto. The parties may, by noticed motion or order to show cause before the
Superior Court of San Francisco County, giving the notice required by law, enforce the
terms and conditions contained herein.

6

6. MODIFICATION OF JUDGMENT

Except as provided for in Paragraph 7.2(c), this Consent Judgment may be
modified only upon written agreement of the parties and upon entry of a modified Consent
Judgment by the Court thereon, or upon motion of any party as provided by law and upon
entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court.

11

28

7. <u>INJUNCTIVE RELIEF - CLEAR AND REASONABLE WARNING</u>

12 7.1 Covered Products shall be deemed to comply with Proposition 65 and be 13 exempt from any Proposition 65 warning requirements if the brass parts and/or fittings 14 that are part of the Covered Products meet the following criteria: (a) the brass alloy from 15 which the brass fittings are made shall have no lead as an intentionally added constituent; 16 and (b) the brass alloy from which the brass fittings are made shall have a lead content by 17 weight of no more than 0.03% (300 parts per million, or "300 ppm"). Nordic may comply 18 with the above requirements by relying on information obtained from its suppliers 19 regarding the content of the brass alloy from which the brass fittings are made, provided 20 such reliance is in good faith. Obtaining test results showing that the lead content is no 21 more than 0.03%, using a method of sufficient sensitivity to establish a limit of 22 quantification (as distinguished from detection) of less than 300 ppm shall be deemed to 23 establish good faith reliance.

7.2 Covered Products that do not meet the warning exemption standard set forth
in Section 7.1 of the Consent Judgment shall be accompanied by a warning as described in
paragraph 7.3 below. The warning requirements set forth in paragraph 7.3 shall apply
only to: (1) Covered Products that Nordic ships for distribution after 270 days after entry

CONSENT JUDGMENT MATEEL V. NORDIC CASE NO. CGC-10-502288 6

1	of this Corr	ant Indoment ("the Effective Deter"), and (2) Classed Dectured monufactured			
	of this Consent Judgment ("the Effective Date"); and (2) Covered Products manufactured,				
2	· · ·	distributed, marketed, sold or shipped for sale or use inside the State of California.			
3	7.3	Nordic shall provide Proposition 65 warnings as follows:			
4		(a) Defendant Nordic shall provide either of the following warning			
5		statements:			
, 6	WARNING: This product contains lead, a chemical known to the State of				
7	California to cause cancer and birth defects or other reproductive harm. Do				
8		not place your hands in your mouth after handling the product. Wash your			
9	hands after touching this product.				
10		or			
11	WARNING: This product contains one or more chemicals, including lead,				
12	that are known to the State of California to cause cancer and birth defects or				
13	other reproductive harm. Wash hands after handling.				
14	The word "WARNING" shall be in bold. The words "Wash hands				
15	after handling" shall be in bold and italicized.				
16	Nordic shall provide such warning with the unit package of the				
17	Covered Products. Such warning shall be prominently affixed to or printed				
18	on each Covered Product's label or package. The warning shall be at least				
19	the same size as the largest of any other safety warnings, if any, on the				
20	product container. If printed on the label itself, the warning shall be				
21	contained in the same section that states other safety warnings, if any,				
22	concerning the use of the product.				
23		(b) The requirements for product labeling, set forth in subparagraph (a)			
24		above are imposed pursuant to the terms of this Consent Judgment. The			
25	parties recognize that product labeling is not the exclusive method of				
26		providing a warning under Proposition 65 and its implementing regulations.			
27		(c) If Proposition 65 warnings for lead or lead compounds should no			
28		longer be required, Nordic shall have no further warning obligations			
	CONSENT JUDG CASE NO. CGC-1	MENT MATEEL V. NORDIC 0-502288			
I	7.				

1		pursuant to this Consent Judgment. In the event that Nordic ceases to	
2		implement or modifies the warnings required under this Consent Judgment	
3		(because of a change on the law or otherwise), Nordic shall provide written	
4		notice to Mateel (through KELC) of its intent to do so, and of the basis for	
5		its intent, no less than thirty (30) days in advance. Mateel shall notify	
6		Nordic in writing of any objection within thirty (30) days of its receipt of	
7		such notice, or such objection by Mateel shall be waived.	
8	8.	AUTHORITY TO STIPULATE	
9		Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully	
10	authorized by the party he or she represents to enter into this Consent Judgment and to		
11	execute it on behalf of the party represented and legally to bind that party.		
12	9.	RETENTION OF JURISDICTION	
13		This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement the Consent	
14	Judgment.		
15	10.	ENTIRE AGREEMENT	
16		This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and	
17	understanding of the parties with respect to the entire subject matter hereof, and any and		
18	all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments and understandings related hereto. No		
19	representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those contained herein		
20	have been made by any party hereto. No other agreements not specifically referred to		
21	herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the parties.		
22	11.	GOVERNING LAW	
23		The validity, construction and performance of this Consent Judgment shal	
24	be governed by the laws of the State of California, without reference to any conflicts of		
25	law provisions of California law.		
26			
27		· ·	
28		8	

•

1 2 12. **COURT APPROVAL** 3 If this Consent Judgment is not approved by the Court, it shall be of no force or effect, and cannot be used in any proceeding for any purpose. 4 5 **IT IS SO STIPULATED:** 6 DATED: MATEEL ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE 7 FOUNDATION 8 9 William Verick **CEO** Mateel Environmental Justice 10 Foundation, Klamath Environmental Law Center 11 DATED: 12 11/17/10 NORDIC CORPORATION 13 14 Miller 15 16 IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED: 17 18 DATED: JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 9 CONSENT JUDGMENT MATEEL V. NORDIC CASE NO. CGC-10-502288