1	Clifford A. Chanler, State Bar No. 135534 Jennifer Henry, State Bar No. 208221 THE CHANLER GROUP		
2 3	2560 Ninth Street Parker Plaza, Suite 214		
4	Berkeley, CA 94710-2565 Telephone: (510) 848-8880		
5	Facsimile: (510) 848-8118		
6	Attorneys for Plaintiff RUSSELL BRIMER		
7			
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
9	FOR THE COUNTY OF ALAMEDA		
10	UNLIMITED CIVIL JURISDICTION		
12			
13	RUSSELL BRIMER,	Case No. RG10543915	
14	Plaintiff,	[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT	
15	V.		
16	CHARDON CORP.; TRUE VALUE COMPANY; and DOES 1-150, inclusive,		
17	Defendants.		
18		-	
19			
20			
21   22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	CONSENT JUDGMENT		

### 1.

## 2

1

3 4

# 5 6

7 8

9

### 10

11 12

13

# 14

15 16

# 17

18

19 20

# 21

22 23

24

25

26

27

## 28

#### INTRODUCTION

#### 1.1 The Parties

This Consent Judgment is entered into by and between plaintiff, Russell Brimer, ("Brimer" or "Plaintiff") and defendants, True Value Company and Chardon Corp. (collectively "Defendants"), with Plaintiff and Defendants collectively referred to as the "Parties."

#### 1.2 **Plaintiff**

Brimer is an individual residing in the State of California who seeks to promote awareness of exposure to toxic chemicals and to improve human health by reducing or eliminating hazardous substances contained in consumer products.

#### 1.3 **Defendants**

Defendants True Value and Chardon Corp. ("Chardon) each employ ten or more individuals and are persons in the course of doing business for purposes of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 et seq. ("Proposition 65").

#### 1.4 **General Allegations**

Brimer alleges that Chardon and True Value each have manufactured, distributed, and/or sold in the State of California, Proman Tool Flashlights (hereinafter referred to as "Products") that expose users to lead without first providing a "clear and reasonable warning," as required by Proposition 65. Lead is listed as a reproductive and developmental toxicant pursuant to Proposition 65 and shall be referred to hereinafter as the "Listed Chemical."

#### 1.5 **Notices of Violation**

On July 1, 2010, Brimer served Chardon, True Value and various public enforcement agencies with a document entitled "60-Day Notice of Violation" that provided the public enforcers, Chardon and True Value with notice of alleged violations of Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 for failing to warn consumers that the Products Chardon and True Value manufactured, distributed and/or sold exposed users in California to excessive amounts of the Listed Chemical.

1

# 1.6 Complaint

On October 27, 2010, Brimer, acting in a representative capacity in the interest of the general public in California, filed the instant action in the Superior Court for the County of Alameda alleging violations of Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 based on the exposures to the Listed Chemical contained in the Products manufactured, distributed, and/or sold by Chardon and True Value ("Complaint").

### 1.7 No Admission

Defendants deny the material, factual, and legal allegations contained in the Notice and Complaint, and maintain that all of the products they have manufactured, distributed, and/or sold in California, including the Products, have been, and are, in compliance with all laws. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission by Defendants of any fact, finding, conclusion, issue of law, or violation of law, nor shall compliance with this Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by Defendants of any fact, finding, conclusion, issue of law, or violation of law, the same being specifically denied by Defendants. However, this Section shall not diminish or otherwise affect the Parties' obligations, responsibilities, and/or duties under this Consent Judgment.

### 1.8 Consent to Jurisdiction

For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over Defendants as to the allegations contained in the Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of Alameda, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter and enforce the provisions of this Consent Judgment pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure § 664.6.

#### 1.9 Effective Date

For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term "Effective Date" shall mean March 31, 2011.

# 2. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

## 2.1 Reformulation

As of the Effective Date, Defendants shall not sell or offer for sale in California any Products (as that terms is defined in paragraph 1.4 above) that are not Lead Free. For purposes

of this Consent Judgment, "Lead Free" Products shall mean Products that contain no more than 100 ppm of lead when analyzed pursuant to Environmental Protection Agency testing methodologies 3050B or equivalent methods and yield no more than 1.0 micrograms of lead when analyzed pursuant to NIOSH Test Method 9100 performed on any accessible component (i.e. any component part that may be handled, touched or mouthed during the reasonably foreseeable use or misuse by a consumer).

## 3. MONETARY PAYMENTS

## 3.1 Payments Pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b)

In settlement of all the claims related to the Products and the Listed Chemical referred to in the Complaint and this Consent Judgment against it, Defendants shall collectively pay a civil penalty of \$2,500 to be apportioned in accordance with Health & Safety Code § 25249.12 (c)(1) and (d), with 75% of these funds remitted to the State of California's Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment and the remaining 25% of these penalty monies remitted to Brimer as provided by Health & Safety Code § 25249.12(d).

Defendants shall issue two checks for the penalty payment: (a) one check made payable to "The Chanler Group in Trust for Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment" in the amount of \$1,875, and (b) one check to "The Chanler Group in Trust for Russell Brimer" in the amount of \$625. Two 1099s shall be issued for the above payments to: (a) Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment, P.O. Box 4010, Sacramento, CA 95814 (EIN: 68-0284486); and (b) Russell Brimer, whose address and tax identification number shall be furnished, upon request. All payments shall be delivered to the following address on or before May 6, 2011:

The Chanler Group Attn: Proposition 65 Controller 2560 Ninth Street, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710

#### 4. REIMBURSEMENT OF FEES AND COSTS

# 4.1 Attorney Fees and Costs

The parties acknowledge that Brimer and his counsel offered to resolve this dispute without reaching terms on the amount of attorney fees and costs to be reimbursed to them, thereby leaving the fee issue to be resolved after the material terms of the agreement had been settled. Defendants then expressed a desire to resolve the fee and cost issue shortly after the other settlement terms had been finalized. The parties then attempted to (and did) reach an accord on the compensation due to Brimer and his counsel under general contract principles and the private attorney general doctrine codified at California Code of Civil Procedure § 1021.5, for all work performed through the mutual execution of this agreement and entry of this Consent Judgment, except for fees on appeal. Defendants shall pay the total amount of \$31,500 for all fees and costs relating to the Notice, Complaint, and this Consent Judgment, including, without limitation, investigating, bringing this matter to Defendants' attention, litigating and negotiating a settlement in the public interest, and future fees and costs related to preparing and filing a Motion to Approve Consent Judgment, not including any fees and costs of appeal.

Payment shall be made to "The Chanler Group" at the following address on or before May 6, 2011:

The Chanler Group Attn: Proposition 65 Controller 2560 Ninth Street Parker Plaza, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710-2565

Defendants shall issue a 1099 form to The Chanler Group (EIN: 94-3171522) for the payment made pursuant to this section.

#### 5. RELEASE OF ALL CLAIMS

## 5.1 Brimer's Release of Defendants

In further consideration of the promises and agreements herein contained, and for the payments to be made pursuant to Sections 3.1 and 4.1 above, Brimer, on behalf of himself, his past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors, and/or assignees, and in the interest of the general public, hereby waives all rights to institute or participate in, directly or indirectly,

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

any form of legal action and releases all claims, including, without limitation, all actions, and causes of action, in law or in equity, suits, liabilities, demands, obligations, damages, costs, fines, penalties, losses or expenses of any nature whatsoever (collectively "Claims"), against Defendants and each of their downstream distributors, wholesalers, licensors, licensees, auctioneers, retailers, franchisees, dealers, customers, owners, purchasers, users, parent companies, corporate affiliates, subsidiaries, and their respective officers, directors, attorneys, representatives, shareholders, agents, and employees, and sister and parent entities (collectively "Releasees"). This release is limited to those claims that arise under Proposition 65 as such claims relate to Defendants' alleged failure to warn about exposures to or identification of the Listed Chemical contained in the Products. The Parties further understand and agree that this release shall not extend upstream to any entities that manufactured the Products or any component parts thereof, or to any distributors or suppliers who sold Products or any component parts thereof to Defendants. However, to the extent that True Value is upstream from Chardon in the chain of distribution, or vice versa, the exclusion in the preceding sentence does not apply to exclude the release of either Defendant and/or the intermediary distributors or suppliers between the two Defendants, as related to the Listed Chemical in the Products.

Brimer also, on behalf of himself and his agents, attorneys, representatives, successors and assigns, in his individual capacity only and *not* in his representative capacity, provides a general release herein which shall be effective as a full and final accord and satisfaction, as a bar to all actions, causes of action, obligations, costs, expenses, attorneys' fees, damages, losses, claims, liabilities and demands of Brimer of any nature, character, or kind, known or unknown, suspected or unsuspected, and agrees not to initiate, participate in, or maintain any further legal action in any judicial or administrative forum, including any Claim against Defendants and Releasees arising from any alleged violations of Proposition 65 with respect to the Listed Chemical in the Products.

#### 5.2 Defendants' Release of Brimer

Defendants waive any and all claims against Brimer, his attorneys, and other representatives for any and all actions taken or statements made (or those that could have been

taken or made) by Brimer and his attorneys and other representatives, whether in the course of investigating claims or otherwise seeking enforcement of Proposition 65 against them in this matter, and/or with respect to the Products.

### 5.3 Waiver of Unknown Claims.

With respect to the releases in this Agreement, and pursuant to Section 1542 of the Civil Code of the State of California or under any other similar state or federal statute or under any common law principle of similar effect, Plaintiff expressly waives any and all rights that it may have against the Releasees, and Defendants expressly waive any and all rights that they may have against Plaintiff related to the Claims in this Agreement. The consequences of such waiver have been explained to the Plaintiff and Defendants who each represent that it fully understands the consequences of such waiver. California Civil Code Section 1542 states as follows:

"A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS WHICH THE CREDITOR DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE, WHICH IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER MUST HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR."

Plaintiff and Defendants acknowledge that they each may subsequently discover facts different from, or in addition to, those that it now knows or believes to be true with respect to the Claims released herein and agrees that the settlement, this Agreement and the releases contained herein shall be and remain effective in all respects notwithstanding the discovery of such different or additional facts.

#### 6. SEVERABILITY

If, subsequent to Court approval of this Consent Judgment, any of the provisions contained herein are held by a court to be unenforceable, the validity of the enforceable provisions remaining shall not be adversely affected.

# 7. COURT APPROVAL

This Consent Judgment is not effective until it is approved and entered by the Court and shall be null and void if, for any reason, it is not approved and entered by the Court within twelve months after it has been fully executed by all Parties. If the Superior Court does not approve the

3 4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22 23

24

25 26

27

28

motion to approve this Consent Judgment within one-year of execution, all payments made by Defendants shall be returned to counsel for Defendants.

### **GOVERNING LAW**

The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California. In the event that Proposition 65 is repealed or is otherwise rendered inapplicable by reason of law generally, or as to the Products, then Defendants shall provide written notice to Brimer of any asserted change in the law, and shall have no further obligations pursuant to this Consent Judgment with respect to, and to the extent that, the Products are so affected.

#### 9. **NOTICES**

When any Party is entitled to receive any notice under this Consent Judgment, the notice shall be sent by certified mail to the person(s) identified below:

To Defendants:

Lucas Novak, Esq. Clinton & Clinton 100 Oceangate, Suite 1400 Long Beach, CA 90802

To Brimer:

The Chanler Group Attn: Proposition 65 Coordinator 2560 Ninth Street Parker Plaza, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710-2565

Any Party may modify the person and address to whom the notice is to be sent by sending each other Party notice by certified mail and/or other verifiable form of written communication.

#### 10. ADDITIONAL POST-EXECUTION ACTIVITIES

Brimer agrees to comply with the reporting form requirements referenced, in California Health & Safety Code §25249.7(f) and to file a motion for approval of this Consent Judgment. The Parties acknowledge that, pursuant to Health & Safety Code §25249.7, a noticed motion is required to obtain judicial approval of this Consent Judgment. In furtherance of obtaining such approval, Brimer, Defendants and their respective counsel agree to mutually employ their best efforts to support the entry of this agreement as a Consent Judgment and obtain approval of the Consent Judgment by the Court in a timely manner. Brimer shall prepare and file all documents necessary to obtain court approval of this Consent Judgment. For purposes of this paragraph, best efforts shall include, at a minimum, cooperating on the drafting and filing any papers in support of the required motion for judicial approval.

×

# 11. MODIFICATION

This Consent Judgment may be modified only: (1) by written agreement of the Parties; or (2) upon a successful motion of any party and entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court.

# 12. ENTIRE AGREEMENT

This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter hereof, and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments, and understandings related hereto. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those contained herein have been made by any party hereto. No other agreements not specifically referred to herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the parties.

## 13. COUNTERPARTS, FACSIMILE SIGNATURES

This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by facsimile or portable document format (PDF), each of which shall be deemed an original, and all of which, when taken together, shall constitute one and the same documents.

## 14. AUTHORIZATION

AGREED TO:

The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment on behalf of their respective Parties and have read, understood, and agree to all of the terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment.

AGREED TO:

Date: 627. 11	Date:
By: RUSSILL URIMIER	By:TRUE VALUE COMPANY
	AGREED TO:
	Date: 6/3/11
	By:
_	TI/IRDUN CORK

CONSIENT JUDGMENT

## 11. MODIFICATION

This Consent Judgment may be modified only: (1) by written agreement of the Parties; or (2) upon a successful motion of any party and entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court.

## 12. ENTIRE AGREEMENT

This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter hereof, and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments, and understandings related hereto. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those contained herein have been made by any party hereto. No other agreements not specifically referred to herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the parties.

## 13. COUNTERPARTS, FACSIMILE SIGNATURES

This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by facsimile or portable document format (PDF), each of which shall be deemed an original, and all of which, when taken together, shall constitute one and the same documents.

#### 14. AUTHORIZATION

The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment on behalf of their respective Parties and have read, understood, and agree to all of the terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment.

AGREED TO:	AGREED TO:
Date:	Date:
Ву:	By: 10ha Ken
RUSSELL BRIMER	TRUE VALUE COMPANY
	AGREED TO:
	Date:
	Bv:
	CHARDON CORP.