Michael Freund SBN (99687) Law Office of Michael Freund 1919 Addison Street, Suite 105 Berkeley, CA 94704 Telephone: (510) 540-1992 Facsimile: (510) 540-5543

Attorney for Plaintiff Environmental Research Center

Martin Özinga Phillips Murrah P.C. Corporate Tower, 13th Floor 101 N. Robinson Ave. Oklahoma City, OK 73102 Telephone: (405) 235-4100 Facsimile: (405) 235-4133

Attorneys for Defendants AMS Health Sciences, Inc. And AMS Health Sciences, LLC

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

COUNTY OF ALAMEDA

ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH CENTER, a California non-profit corporation

Plainitff;

Case No. CGC-11-513255

[PROPOSED] STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT; [PROPOSED] ORDER

[Health & Safety Code Section 25249.5 et seq.]

AMS HEALTH SCIENCES, INC; AMS HEALTH SCIENCES, LLC and DOES 1-100

Defendants.

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 On August 10, 2011, Plaintiff Environmental Research Center ("ERC"), a non-

profit corporation, as a citizen enforcer, and in the public interest: initiated this action by filing a

EXECUTION COPY OF STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT

Page 1

Complaint for Injunctive and Declaratory Relief and Civil Penalties pursuant to the provisions of Cal. Health & Safety Code Section 25249.5 et seq.'("Proposition 65"), against Defendant AMS Health Sciences; Inc: and its successor AMS Health Sciences LLC (collectively "AMS"). In this action, ERC claims that products manufactured and distributed by AMS contain lead, a

chemical listed under Proposition 65 as a carcinogen and reproductive toxin, and exposes consumers at a level requiring a Proposition 65 warning. These products are: AMS Health Sciences Uropower: AMS Health Sciences Gold Plus Antioxidant: and AMS Health Sciences AM-300, 90 Caplets (the "Covered Products"). ERC has removed AMS Health Sciences Weight Loss

Formula Natural ACE as a Covered Product as ERC's test results revealed that this product lead content is below 0.5 µg per day based on the maximum dose recommended on the label and therefore not subject to a warning requirement pursuant to Section 3.1 herein and Proposition 65 warning requirements. ERC and AMS shall sometimes be referred to individually as a "Party" or collectively as the "Parties."

1.2 ERC is a California non-profit corporation dedicated to, among other causes, helping safeguard the public from health hazards by bringing about a reduction in the use and misuse of hazardous and toxic chemicals, facilitating a safe environment for consumers and employees and encouraging corporate responsibility. ERC has diligently prosecuted this matter and is settling this case in the public interest.

1.3 AMS Health Sciences, Inc. was a business entity that employed ten or more persons. AMS Health Sciences, I.I.C is a business entity that employs ten or more persons and as of September of 2011 is the successor in interest to AMS Health Sciences, Inc. Currently, AMS Health Sciences, LLC arranges the manufacture, distribution and sale of the Covered Products.

EXECUTION COPY OF STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT

Page 2

06-051

1.4 The Complaint is based on allegations contained in two Notices of Violation dated July 9, 2010 and January 14, 2011 ("Notices of Violation") that were served on the California Attorney General, other public enforcers and AMS. A true and correct copy of these Notices of Violation is attached hereto as Exhibit A. More than 60-days have passed since these

Notices of Violation were mailed and no public enforcement entity has filed a complaint against AMS, with regard to the Covered Products or the alleged violations.

1.5 ERC's Notices of Violation and the Complaint allege that the Covered Products expose persons in California to lead without first providing clear and reasonable warnings, in violation of Cal. Health & Safety Code Section 25249.6. AMS denies all allegations contained in the Notices of Violation and Complaint and specifically denies that the Covered Products require a Proposition 65 warning or otherwise cause harm to any person.

1.6 The Parties have entered into this Consent Judgment in order to settle, compromise and resolve disputed claims and thus avoid prolonged and costly litigation. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall constitute or be construed as an admission by any of the Parties,

or by any of their respective officers, directors, shareholders, employees, agents, parent companies, subsidiaries, divisions, affiliates, franchises, licensees, customers, distributors, wholesalers, or retailers, of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, violation of law, fault, wrongdoing, or liability, including without limitation, any admission concerning any alleged violation of Proposition 65, nor shall this Consent Judgment be offered or admitted as evidence

in any administrative or judicial proceeding or litigation in any court, agency, or forum, except with respect to an action seeking to enforce the terms of this Consent Judgment.

1.7 The Effective Date of this Consent Judgment shall be the date on which it is entered as a Judgment by this Court.

EXECUTION COPY OF STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT

1.8 Since approximately October 1 of 2011, AMS has been providing warnings on the Covered Products that are conspicuously affixed to or printed upon the product's label of the Covered Products.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the Complaint and personal jurisdiction over AMS as to the acts alleged in the Complaint, that venue is proper in Alameda County, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment as a full and final resolution of all claims which were or could have been asserted in this action based on the facts alleged in the Notices of Violation and the Complaint.

INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

3.1 Clear and Reasonable Warnings. For those Covered Products that are subject to the warning requirement of Section 3.1, AMS shall provide the following warning as specified below:

WARNING: This product contains lead, a chemical known to the State of

California to cause birth defects, cancer or other reproductive harm.

The term "cancer" shall be used in the warning only if the recommended dose on the label contains more than 15 micrograms of lead while using the testing protocol set forth in Section

3.3. Notwithstanding the foregoing, if the test result using the methodology pursuant to Section 3.3 herein show that a Covered Product referenced in Section 1.1 above contains no more than

0.50 micrograms of lead, using the maximum daily dose recommended, no labeling is required and AMS is not subject to the warning requirement of Proposition 65.

EXECUTION COPY OF STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT

3.2 The warning shall be prominently affixed to or printed upon the product's label of a each of the Covered Products so as to be reasonably conspicuous, as compared with other statements or designs on the label as to render it likely to be read and understood by an ordinary purchaser or user of the product.

3.3 Testing. Once a year, on or before the anniversary of the entry of the Consent Judgment, AMS shall test, or cause to be tested, a randomly selected sample of each of the Covered Products described in Section 1.1 above (in the form intended for sale to California, manufactured after the date of the prior year's random test) for lead content. Testing for lead shall be performed using Inductively Coupled Plasma-Mass Spectrometry ("ICP-MS") and closed-vessel, microwave-assisted digestion employing high-purity reagents or any other testing method subsequently agreed upon in writing by the Parties. AMS shall retain all test results for a period of two years from the date of each respective test.

3.4. No Obligations Imposed upon AMS Health Sciences, Inc for Covered Product Sold After September 30, 2011. It is understood and acknowledged that AMS Health Sciences LLC is the successor in interest to the business formerly conducted by AMS Health Sciences, Inc. by virtue of an Asset Purchase Agreement dated on or about September 30, 2011 and that AMS Health Sciences, Inc. currently is no longer engaged in the business of manufacturing or distributing any of the Covered Products, but will remain fully liable for all claims for products it manufactured and distributed prior to September 30, 2011 (except with

respect to those claims that are released pursuant to Article 8 thereof).

SETTLEMENT PAYMENT

4.1 In full and final satisfaction of all potential civil penalties, payment in lieu of civil penalties, attorneys' fees, and costs, AMS shall make a total payment of \$30,000.00, payable in

EXECUTION COPY OF STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT

five monthly installments of \$6,000.00 each as set forth below, within the first payment within ten (10) business days of receiving the Notice of Entry of this Consent Judgment and each successive payment within thirty (30) day of the prior payment. The payments shall be delivered to the Law Office of Michael Freund: Mr. Freund shall be responsible for allocating and sending the payments to the other recipients as follows:

4.2 \$1,675.00 as civil penalties pursuant to California Health & Safety Code section 25249.7(b)(1). Of this amount, \$1,256.25 shall be payable to OEHHA, and \$418.75 shall be payable to ERC. Cal. Health & Safety Code §§ 25249.12(c)(1) & (d). ERC's counsel shall forward the civil penalty payment to OEHHA, and send a copy of the transmittal letter to counsel for AMS.

4.3 \$8,780.75 payable to ERC, as reimbursement to ERC for reasonable investigation costs associated with the enforcement of Proposition 65 and other costs incurred as a result of investigating, bringing this matter to AMS's attention, litigating and negotiating this settlement in the public interest.

4:4 \$15,578.75 payable to Michael Freund and \$3,965.50 to Karen A. Evans as reimbursement of ERC's attorneys' fees

4.5 The installments shall be payable to Environmental Research Center.

MODIFICATION OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

5.1 This Consent Judgment may be modified only by written agreement and stipulation of the Parties, or upon noticed motion filed by any Party, followed by entry of a modified consent judgment by the Court.

6. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION, ENFORCEMENT OF CONSENT

6.1 This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to enforce, modify or terminate

JUDGMENT

this Consent Judgment."

EXECUTION COPY OF STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT

Page (

06

6.2 Only after it complies with Section 10 below, any Party may, by motion or application for an order to show cause filed with this Court, enforce the terms and conditions contained in this Consent Judgment. The prevailing party may request that the Court award its

reasonable attorneys' fees and costs associated with such motion or application. As used in the preceding sentence, the term "prevailing party" means a party who is successful in obtaining 'relief more favorable to it than the relief that the other party was amenable to providing during

the parties' good faith attempt to resolve the dispute that is the subject of such enforcement action.

APPLICATION OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

in i s

This Consent Judgment shall apply to, be binding upon and benefit the Parties, and their respective officers, directors, shareholders, employees, agents, parent companies, subsidiaries, divisions, affiliates, suppliers, franchisees, licensees, customers, distributors, wholesalers, retailers, and all other entities in the distribution chain of any Covered Product, the predecessors, successors and assigns of any of them, and ERC on its own behalf and the public interest as set forth in Paragraph 8.

8. BINDING EFFECT, CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASED

8.1 This Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution between ERC, on behalf of itself, and in the public interest, and AMS, of any alleged violation of Proposition 65 or its implementing regulations, and fully and finally resolves all claims that have been or could have been asserted in this action against AMS for failure to provide Proposition 65 warnings for the Covered Products regarding lead. ERC, on behalf of itself, and in the public interest, hereby fully releases and forever discharges AMS and its respective officers, directors, shareholders, employees, agents, parent companies, subsidiaries, divisions, affiliates, suppliers, franchisees, licensees, customers, distributors, wholesalers, retailers, and all other entities in the distribution .

EXECUTION COPY OF STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT

chiain of any Covered Product, and the predecessors, successors and assigns of any of them (collectively, "Released Parties"), from any and all claims asserted, or that could have been asserted, in this action arising from or related to the alleged failure to provide Proposition 65 warnings for the Covered Products regarding lead as set forth in the Notices of Violation.

8.2 In consideration of this agreements contained herein and for other good and valuable consideration, the receipt and sufficiency of which are hereby acknowledged, ERC, hereby fully releases and forever discharges the Released Parties from any and all known and unknown past, present, and future rights, claims, causes of action, suits, damages, penalties, liabilities, injunctive relief, declaratory relief, and attorneys' fees, costs, and expenses arising from or related to the claims asserted, or that could have been asserted, under state or federal law, regarding the presence of lead in the Covered Products and the facts alleged in the Notices of Violation or the Complaint, including without limitation any and all claims concerning exposure of any person to lead in the Covered Products as set forth in the Notices of Violation.
8.3 Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment shall constitute compliance

by the Released Parties with Proposition 65 with respect to alleged exposures to lead contained in the Notices of Violation. For the purpose of this Article 8, the term "Covered Products" shall include in its meaning the Covered Products as defined in Section 1.1 above and each other product referenced in the Notices of Violation.

8.4 <u>Unknown Claims</u>. With regard to ERC's personal release only, it is expressly understood by ERC that the releases given by ERC pursuant to this Consent Judgment include the release of all claims, known or unknown which ERC may now or in the future have against AMS or their respective Releases arising out of or related to the circumstances underlying ERC's Notices of Violation.

ERC expressly declares that it has read, understood and knowingly waived any and all rights it may have under the provisions of California Civil Code Section 1542. California Civil Code section 1542 reads as follows:

EXECUTION COPY OF STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT

Page

06.05-12

"A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS WHICH THE CREDITOR DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE, WHICH IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER MUST HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR."

ERC, on behalf of itself only, acknowledges and understands the significance and consequences of this specific waiver of California Civil Code section 1542.

8.5 ERC, on the one hand, and AMS, on the other hand, release and waive all claims they may have against each other for any statements or actions made or undertaken by them in

connection with the Notices of Violation or this action.

CONSTRUCTION OF CONSENT JUDGMENT, SEVERABILITY

9.1 The terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment have been reviewed by the respective counsel for the Parties prior to its signing, and each Party has had an opportunity to fully discuss the terms and conditions with its counsel. In any subsequent interpretation or construction of this Consent Judgment, the terms and conditions shall not be construct against any Party.

9.2 . In the event that any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment are held by a court to be unenforceable, the validity of the enforceable provisions shall not be adversely affected.

10. GOOD FAITH ATTEMPT TO RESOLVE DISPUTES.

In the event a dispute arises with respect to either party's compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment entered by the Court, the Parties shall meet either in person or by telephone and endeavor to resolve the dispute in an amicable manner. No action or motion may be filed in the absence of such a good faith attempt to resolve the dispute beforehand.

EXECUTION COPY OF STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT

GOVERNING LAW

The terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by and construed in accordance with the laws of the State of California.

12. PROVISION OF NOTICE

All notices required by this Consent Judgment shall be sent by first-class, registered, or certified mail, or overnight delivery, to the following:

For Environmental Research Center:

Chris Heptinstall, Executive Director Environmental Research Center 3111 Camino del Rio North, Suite 400 San Diego, CA 92108

Michael Bruce Freund Law Offices of Michael Freund 1919 Addison Street, Suite 105 Berkeley, CA 94704 Karen Evans Coordinating Counsel

Environmental Research Center 4218 Biona Place San Diego, CA 92116

For AMS:

Martin Ozinga Phillips Murrah P.C. Corporate Tower, 13th Floor 101 N. Robinson Ave Oklahoma City, OK 73102.

Dhamendra Lachman, Managing Member AMS Health Sciences, LLC 106-23,78th Street Ozone Park, NY 11417

13. COURT APPROVAE

13.1. If this Stipulated Consent Judgment is not approved by the Court, it shall be void and have no force or effect.

EXECUTION COPY OF STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT

13.2 ERC shall comply with California Health & Safety Code section 25249.7(f) and with Title 11 of the California Code Regulations, section 3003.

14. EXECUTION AND COUNTERPARTS

This Stipulated Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts, which taken together shall be deemed to constitute one document. A facsimile or pdf signature shall be construed as valid as the original signature.

15. ENTIRE AGREEMENT, AUTHORIZATION

15.1 This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter hereof, and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments and understandings related hereto. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those contained herein have been made by any Party. No other agreements not specifically referred to herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties.

15.2 Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorized by the Party he or she represents to stipulate to the terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment, to enter into and execute this Consent Judgment on behalf of the Party represented, and legally to bind that Party to this Consent Judgment. The undersigned have read, understand and agree to all of the terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment. Except as explicitly provided herein, each Party shall bear its own fees and costs.

16. REQUEST FOR FINDINGS, APPROVAL OF SETTLEMENT AND ENTRY OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

16.1 This Consent Judgment has come before the Court upon the request of the Parties. The Parties request the Court to fully review this Consent Judgment and, being fully informed

regarding the matters which are the subject of this action, to:

(1) Find that the terms and provisions of this Consent Judgment represent a fair and

EXECUTION COPY OF STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT

cquitable settlement of all matters raised by the allegations of the Complaint, that the matter has been diligently prosecuted, and that the public interest is served by such settlement; and

(2) Make the findings pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7 (f) (4), approve the

Settlement and approve this Consent Judgment.

IT IS SO STIPULATED:

ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH GENTER

Christleptinstall, Executive Director

chinis inclamistan, Executive Difecto

AMS Health Sciences, LLC.

Dhamendra Lachman, Managing Member

Dated:

Dated: 06-05-2012.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

PHILLIPS MURRAH, LI Martin Ozinga, Counsel for AMS

LAW OFFICE OF MICHAEL FREUND

Michael Freund, Counsel for ERC

Dated

Dated

06.03

EXECUTION COPY OF STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT Rage T

JUDGMENT

EXECUTION COPY OF STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT

Page 13

06-25-12

Based upon the Parties' Stipulation, and good cause appearing, this Consent Judgment is approved and judgment is hereby entered according to its terms.

IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED.

:-- Dated: _____. 2012

Judge, Superior Court of the State of California

MICHAEL FREUND

ATTORNEY AT LAW 1915 ADDISON STREET BERKELEY, CALIFORNIA 94704-1101

> TEL 510/540-1992 FAX 510/540-5543 EMAIL FREUNDI@AOL.COM

July 9, 2010

Re: Notice of Violation Against AMS Health Sciences, Inc. for Violation of California Health & Safety Code Section 25249.6

Dear Prosecutors:

I represent the Environmental Research Center ("ERC"), a non-profit California corporation whose mission is to safeguard the public from health hazards that impact families, workers and the environment. ERC is dedicated to reducing the use and misuse of hazardous and toxic chemicals, facilitating a safe environment for consumers and employees and encouraging corporate responsibility. ERC is located at 5694 Mission Center Road, # 199, San Diego, CA 92108. Through this Notice of Violation, ERC seeks to reduce exposure to the public from lead contained in the named products manufactured and distributed by AMS Health Sciences, Inc.

This letter constitutes notification that AMS Health Sciences, Inc. located at 4000 N. Lindsay Ave., Oklahoma City, OK 73105 has violated the warning requirement of Proposition 65, the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act (commencing with section 25249.5 of the Health and Safety Code).

In particular, this company has manufactured and distributed products which have exposed and continue to expose numerous individuals within California to lead. Lead was listed pursuant to Proposition 65 as a chemical known to cause developmental toxicity, and male and female reproductive toxicity on February 27, 1987. Lead was listed pursuant to Proposition 65 as a carcinogen on October 1, 1992. The time period of these violations commenced one year after the listed dates above. The primary route of exposure has been oral through ingestion.

AMS Health Sciences, Inc. is exposing people to lead from the following products: AMS Health Sciences Saba Weight-Loss Formula Borojo Juice; AMS Health Sciences Uropower; AMS Health Sciences Prime One Concentrate Mixed Fruit; AMS Health Sciences Weight Loss Formula Natural A.C.E.; AMS Health Sciences Gold Plus Antioxidant; and AMS Health Sciences Co-Clenz.

Proposition 65 requires that a clear and reasonable warning be provided prior to exposure to certain listed chemicals. AMS Health Sciences, Inc. is in violation of Proposition 65 because the company failed to provide a warning to persons using their products that they are being exposed to lead. (22 C.C.R. section 12601.) While in the course of doing business, the company is knowingly and intentionally exposing people to lead, without first providing clear and reasonable warning. (Health and Safety Code section 25249.6.) The method of warning should be a warning that appears on the product's label. 22 C.C.R. section 12601 (b)(1) (A).

Proposition 65 requires that notice and intent to sue be given to a violator 60-days before the suit is filed. With this letter, ERC gives notice of the alleged violation to the noticed party and the appropriate governmental authorities. This notice covers all violations of Proposition 65 that are currently known to ERC from information now available. ERC may continue to investigate other products that may reveal further violations. A summary of Proposition 65, prepared by the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment, and referenced as Appendix A, has been provided to the noticed party.

Based on the allegations set forth in this Notice, ERC intends to file a citizen enforcement action against AMS Health Sciences, Inc. unless the company agrees in an enforceable written instrument to: (1) reformulate these products so as to eliminate further lead exposures; and (2) pay an appropriate civil penalty. Consistent with the public interest goals of Proposition 65 and my client's objectives in pursuing this Notice, ERC will focus its efforts in seeking a constructive resolution of this matter. Such resolution will avoid both further unwarned consumer exposures to lead and expensive and time-consuming litigation.

If you have any questions, please contact my office at your earliest convenience.

Sincerely,

Michael Freund

cc: Chris Heptinstall, ERC Karen Evans, ERC

CERTIFICATE OF MERIT

Health and Safety Code Section 25249.7 (d)

I, Michael Freund hereby declare:

1. This Certificate of Merit accompanies the Notice of Violation in which it is alleged that the party identified in the Notice has violated Health and Safety Code Section 25249.6 by failing to provide clear and reasonable warnings.

2. I am the attorney for the noticing party Environmental Research Center ("ERC"). ERC is dedicated to reducing the use and misuse of hazardous and toxic chemicals, facilitating a safe environment for consumers and employees and encouraging corporate responsibility The Notice of Violation alleges that the party identified has exposed persons in California to lead from products that it manufactures and distributes. Please refer to the Notice of Violation for additional details regarding the alleged violations.

3. I have consulted with one or more persons with relevant and appropriate experience or expertise who has reviewed facts, studies, or other data regarding the alleged exposure to the listed chemical that is the subject of the action. In particular, I have consulted with the laboratory that conducted the testing to determine the concentration of lead in the products identified in the Notice of Violation and I have relied on the testing results. The testing was conducted by a reputable testing laboratory with substantial experience in testing for lead. These facts, studies or other data derived through this investigation overwhelmingly demonstrate that the party identified in the Notice of Violation exposes persons to lead through oral exposure (ingestion).

4. Based on my consultation with the laboratory, the results of the laboratory testing, as well as published studies on lead, it is clear that there is sufficient evidence that human

1

exposures exist from exposure to the products from the noticed party. Furthermore, as a result of the above, I have concluded that there is a reasonable and meritorious case for the private action. I understand that "reasonable and meritorious case for the private action" means that the information provides a credible basis that all elements of the plaintiff's case can be established and the information did not prove that the alleged violator will be able to establish any of the affirmative defenses set forth in the statute.

5. The copy of this Certificate of Merit served on the California Attorney General attaches to it factual information sufficient to establish the basis for this certificate, including the information identified in Health & Safety Code Section 25249.7 (h) (2), i.e., (1) the identity of the persons consulted with and relied on by the certifier, and (2) the facts, studies or other data reviewed by those persons.

Dated: July 8, 2010

Michael Freund Attorney for Environmental Research Center

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I am a citizen of the United States and a resident of the County of Alameda. I am over the age of eighteen years and not a party to the within entitled action; my business address is 1915 Addison Street, Berkeley, California 94704. On July 9, 2010 I served the within:

Notice of Violation and Certificate of Merit (Supporting documentation pursuant to 11 CCR section 3102 sent to Attorney General only)

on the parties in said action, by placing a true copy thereof enclosed in a sealed envelope with postage thereon fully prepaid, in the United States Post Office mail box in Oakland, California to said parties addressed as follows:

See Attached Service List

I, Michael Freund, declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on July 9, 2009 at Berkeley, California.

MF

Michael Freund

District Attorney of Alameda County 1225 Fallon Street, Room 900 Oakland, CA 94612

District Attorney of Colusa County 547 Market Street Colusa, CA 95932

District Attorney of Contra Costa County 627 Ferry Street Martinez, CA 94553

District Attorney of Alpine County PO Box 248 Markleeville, CA 96120

District Attorney of Del Norte County 450 H Street, Ste 171 Crescent City, CA 95531

District Attorney of Amador County 708 Court Street, # 202 Jackson, CA 95642

District Attorney of Butte County 25 County Center Drive Oroville, CA 95965

District Attorney of El Dorado County 515 Main Street Placerville, CA 95667

District Attorney of Calaveras County 891 Mountain Ranch Road San Andreas, CA 95249

District Attorney of Fresno County 2220 Tulare Street, # 1000 Fresno, CA 93721 District Attorney of Glenn County PO Box 430 Willows, CA 95988

District Attorney of Kings County 1400 West Lacey Hanford, CA 93239

District Attorney of Lake County 255 N. Forbes Street Lakeport, CA 95453

District Attorney of Humboldt County 825 5th Street Eureka, CA 95501

District Attorney of Imperial County 939 Main Street El Centro, CA 92243

District Attorney of Lassen County 220 S. Lassen St., Ste 8 Susanville, CA 96130

District Attorney of Inyo County PO Drawer D Independence, CA 93526

District Attorney of Los Angeles County 210 W. Temple Street, Room 345 Los Angeles, CA 90012

District Attorney of Madera County 209 West Yosemite Ave. Madera, CA 93637

District Attorney of Kern County 1215 Truxtun Ave. Bakersfield, CA 93301 District Attorney of Marin County 3501 Civic Center Dr., Room 130 San Rafael, CA 94903

District Attorney of Mono County PO Box 617 Bridgeport, CA 93517

District Attorney of Mariposa County PO Box 730 Mariposa, CA 95338

District Attorney of Monterey County 230 Church Street, Bdg. 2 Salinas, CA 93901

District Attorney of Mendocino County PO Box 1000 Ukiah, CA 95482

District Attorney of Napa County 931 Parkway Mall Napa, CA 94559

District Attorney of Merced County 2222 "M" Street Merced, CA 95340

District Attorney of Nevada County 110 Union Street Nevada City, CA 95959-2503

District Attorney of Orange County 401 Civic Center Drive West Santa Ana, CA 92701

District Attorney of Modoc County 204 S. Court Street Alturas, CA 96101-4020 District Attorney of Placer County 2501 North Lake Blvd. Tahoe City, CA 96145

District Attorney of San Bernardino Cty 316 N. Mountain View Ave. San Bernardino, CA 92415

District Attorney of Plumas County 520 Main Street, Room 404 Quincy, CA 95971

District Attorney of San Diego County 330 West Broadway, Suite 1320 San Diego, 92101

District Attorney of Riverside County 4075 Main Street Riverside, CA 92501

District Attorney of San Francisco County 850 Bryant Street, Room 325 San Francisco, CA 94103

District Attorney of Sacramento County 901 "G" Street Sacramento, CA 95814

District Attorney of San Joaquin County PO Box 990 Stockton, CA 95201

District attorney of San Luis Obispo County 1050 Monterey St., Room 450 San Luis Obispo, CA 93408

District Attorney of San Benito County 119 Fourth Street, 2nd Floor Hollister, CA 95023 District Attorney of San Mateo County 400 County Ctr., 3rd Floor Redwood City, CA 94063

District Attorney of Sierra County Courthouse, PO Box 457 Donieville, CA 95936

District Attorney of Santa Barbara County 1105 Santa Barbara Street Santa Barbara, 93101

District Attorney of Siskiyou County PO Box 986 Yreka, CA 96097

District Attorney of Solano County 675 Texas Street, Suite 4500 Fairfield, CA 94533

District Attorney of Santa Clara County 70 West Hedding Street, West Wing San Jose, CA 95110

District Attorney of Santa Cruz County 701 Ocean Street, Room 200 Santa Cruz, CA 95060

District Attorney of Sonoma County 600 Administration Drive, Room 212J Santa Rosa, CA 95403

District Attorney of Shasta County 1525 Court Street, Third Floor Redding, CA 96001-1632

District Attorney of Stanislaus County 800 11th Street, Room 200 PO Box 442 Modesto, CA 95353 District Attorney of Sutter County 446 Second Street Yuba City, CA 95991

District Attorney of Ventura County 800 South Victoria Ave. Ventura, CA 93009

District Attorney of Tehama County PO Box 519 Red Bluff, CA 96080

District Attorney of Yolo County 301 Second Street Woodland, CA 95695

District Attorney of Trinity County PO Box 310 11 Court Street Weaverville, CA 96093

District Attorney of Yuba County 215 Fifth Street Marysville, CA 95901

District Attorney of Tulare County 221 S. Mooney Ave., Room 224 Visalia, CA 93291

District Attorney of Tuolumne County 423 No. Washington Street Sonora, CA 95370

San Jose City Attorney's Office 200 East Santa Clara Street San Jose, CA 95113

Los Angeles City Attorney's Office 800 City Hall East 200 N. Main Street Los Angeles, CA 90012 San Diego City Attorney's Office 1200 3rd Ave. # 1620 San Diego, CA 92101

San Francisco City Attorney's Office City Hall, Room 234 San Francisco, CA 94102

California Attorney General's Office Attn: Proposition 65 Coordinator 1515 Clay Street, Suite 2000 PO Box 70550 Oakland, CA 94612

Karen A. Evans, General Counsel Environmental Research Center Law Office of Karen A. Evans. 4218 Biona Place San Diego, CA 92116

James Ditanna, President AMS Health Sciences, Inc. 4000 N. Lindsay Ave. Oklahoma City, OK 73105 Winnans worked extensively with atomic absorption. Mr. Cain has run the laboratory since 1968. Mr. Cain specializes in chemical analysis and has tested numerous products and raw materials involving many varying chemicals and metals. Mr. Cain has monitored landfills for lead toxicity. Mr. Cain has worked on many environmental projects throughout the United States over the past four decades.

III. Facts, Studies or Other Data Regarding the Exposure to Lead

Technical Laboratories has reviewed facts, studies and other data regarding the concentration of lead from the products of the noticed party. In particular, the laboratory tested various products to determine the total lead in these products. The concentration of lead was measured in parts per million. Technical Laboratories used EPA Method 6010 B to determine the concentration of lead in the products. A letter from the President of Technical Laboratories summarizing the procedure used to calculate lead concentration in the listed products is attached as Exhibit A. A true and correct copy of EPA Method 6010 B is attached as Exhibit B. A true and correct copy of the lab results and the conversion formula spreadsheet is marked Exhibit C. The test results provide sufficient basis to issue the Notice of Violation.



Environmental Research Center

5694 Mission Center Road #199 San Diego, CA 92108 619.309.4194

January 14, 2011

VIA CERTIFIED MAIL

<u>VIA PRIORITY MAIL</u>

Current President or CEO AMS Health Sciences, Inc. 4000 N Lindsay Ave Oklahoma City, OK 73105 District Attorneys of All California Counties and Select City Attorneys (See Attached Certificate of Service)

David J. Ketelsleger C/O McAfee & Taft A Professional Corporation (AMS Health Sciences, Inc.'s Registered Agent for Service of Process) 10th Floor, Two Leadership Square 211 North Robinson Oklahoma City, OK 73102

Office of the California Attorney General Prop 65 Enforcement Reporting 1515 Clay Street, Suite 2000 P.O. Box 70550 Oakland, CA 94612-0550

Re: Notice of Violations of California Health & Safety Code Section 25249.5 et seq.

Dear Addressees:

I am the Executive Director of the Environmental Research Center ("ERC") in connection with this Notice of Violations of California's Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, which is codified at California Health & Safety Code Section 25249.5 *et seq.* and also referred to as Proposition 65.

ERC is a California non-profit corporation dedicated to, among other causes, helping safeguard the public from health hazards by bringing about a reduction in the use and misuse of hazardous and toxic chemicals, facilitating a safe environment for consumers and employees, and encouraging corporate responsibility.

The name of the Company covered by this Notice that violated Proposition 65 is:

AMS Health Sciences, Inc.

The product that is the subject of this Notice and the chemical in that product identified as exceeding allowable levels is:

AMS Health Sciences Inc. Am-300 90 Caplets

On February 27, 1987, the State of California officially listed lead as a chemical known to cause developmental toxicity, and male and female reproductive toxicity. On October 1, 1992, the State of California officially listed lead as chemical known to cause cancer.

This letter is a Notice to AMS Health Sciences, Inc. and the appropriate governmental authorities of the Proposition 65 violations concerning the listed products. This Notice covers all violations of Proposition 65 involving AMS Health Sciences, Inc. currently known to ERC from the information now available. ERC may continue to investigate other products that may reveal further violations. A summary of Proposition 65, prepared by the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment, has been provided to the Noticed Company with a copy of this letter.

AMS Health Sciences, Inc. has manufactured, marketed, distributed, and/or sold the listed products, which have exposed and continue to expose numerous individuals within California to the identified chemicals. The primary route of exposure to these chemicals has been through ingestion, but may have also occurred through inhalation and/or dermal contact. Proposition 65 requires that a clear and reasonable warning be provided prior to exposure to the identified chemicals. The method of warning should be a warning that appears on the product's label. AMS Health Sciences, Inc. violated Proposition 65 because the Company has failed to provide an appropriate warning to persons using these products that they are being exposed to the identified chemical.

Pursuant to Section 25249.7(d) of the statute, ERC intends to file a citizen enforcement action sixty days after effective service of this Notice unless AMS Health Sciences, Inc. agrees in an enforceable written instrument to: (1) reformulate the listed products so as to eliminate further exposures to the identified chemicals; and (2) pay an appropriate civil penalty. Consistent with the public interest goals of Proposition 65 and ERC 's objectives in pursuing this Notice, ERC is interested in seeking a constructive resolution to this matter. Such resolution will avoid both further unwarned consumer exposures to the identified chemicals and expensive and time consuming litigation.

Please direct all questions concerning this notice to ERC's attorney, Michael Freund, address: 1915 Addison Street, Berkley, California, 94704-1101, telephone no.: 510-540-1992, e-mail: Freund1@aol.com.

Sincerely,

2 And the second second

Chris Heptinstall, Executive Director Environmental Research Center

cc: Karen Evans

Attachments

- Certificate of Merit
- Certificate of Service

OEHHA Summary (to AMS Health Sciences, Inc. and its Registered Agent for Service only) Additional Supporting Information for Certificate of Merit (to AG only)

CERTIFICATE OF MERIT

Re: Environmental Research Center's Notice of Proposition 65 Violations by AMS Health Sciences, Inc.

I, Michael Freund, declare:

- 1. This Certificate of Merit accompanies the attached sixty-day Notice in which it is alleged the party identified in the Notice violated California Health & Safety Code Section 25249.6 by failing to provide clear and reasonable warnings.
- 2. I am an attorney for the noticing party.
- 3. I have consulted with one or more persons with relevant and appropriate experience or expertise who have reviewed facts, studies, or other data regarding the exposure to the listed chemical that is the subject of the Notice.
- 4. Based on the information obtained through those consultants, and on other information in my possession, I believe there is a reasonable and meritorious case for the private action. I understand that "reasonable and meritorious case for the private action" means that the information provides a credible basis that all elements of the plaintiff's case can be established and that the information did not prove that the alleged violator will be able to establish any of the affirmative defenses set forth in the statute.
- 5. Along with the copy of this Certificate of Merit served on the Attorney General is attached additional factual information sufficient to establish the basis for this Certificate, including the information identified in California Health & Safety Code §25249.7(h)(2), i.e., (1) the identity of the persons consulted with and relied on by the certifier, and (2) the facts, studies, or other data reviewed by those persons.

Dated: January 14, 2011

Mile French

Michael Freund Attorney for Environmental Research Center

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, the undersigned, declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the following is true and correct:

I am a citizen of the United States, over the age of 18 years of age, and am not a party to the within entitled action. My business address is 306 Joy Street, Fort Oglethorpe, Georgia 30742

On January 14, 2011, I served the following documents: NOTICE OF VIOLATIONS OF CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.5 ET SEQ.; CERTIFICATE OF MERIT; "THE SAFE DRINKING WATER AND TOXIC ENFORCEMENT ACT OF 1986 (PROPOSITION 65): A SUMMARY" on the following parties by placing a true and correct copy thereof in a sealed envelope, addressed to the party listed below and depositing it in a US Postal Service Office for delivery by Certified Mail:

Current President or CEO AMS Health Sciences, Inc. 4000 N Lindsay Ave Oklahoma City, OK 73105

David J. Ketelsleger C/O McAfee & Taft A Professional Corporation (AMS Health Sciences, Inc.'s Registered Agent for Service of Process) 10th Floor, Two Leadership Square 211 North Robinson Oklahoma City, OK 73102

On January 14, 2011, I served the following documents: NOTICE OF VIOLATION, CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.5 ET SEQ.; CERTIFICATE OF MERIT; ADDITIONAL SUPPORTING INFORMATION FOR CERTIFICATE OF MERIT AS REQUIRED BY CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.7(d)(1) on the following parties by placing a true and correct copy thereof in a sealed envelope, addressed to the party listed below and depositing it in a US Postal Service Office for delivery by Certified Mail:

Office of the California Attorney General Prop 65 Enforcement Reporting 1515 Clay Street, Suite 2000 Post Office Box 70550 Oakland, CA 94612-0550

On January 14, 2011, I served the following documents: NOTICE OF VIOLATION, CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.5 ET SEQ.; CERTIFICATE OF MERIT on each of the parties on the Service List attached hereto by placing a true and correct copy thereof in a sealed envelope, addressed to each of the parties on the Service List attached hereto, and depositing it with the U.S. Postal Service for delivery by Priority Mail.

Executed on January 14, 2011, in Fort Oglethorpe, Georgia.

And the second second

Chris Heptinstall

Service List

District Attorney, Alameda County 1225 Fallon Street, Room 900 Oakland, CA 94612

District Attorney, Alpine County P.O. Box 248 Markleeville, CA 96120

District Attorney, Amador County 708 Court Street, #202 Jackson, CA 95642

District Attorney, Butte County 25 County Center Drive Oroville, CA 95965

District Attorney, Calaveras County 891 Mountain Ranch Road San Andreas, CA 95249

District Attorney, Colusa County 547 Market Street Colusa, CA 95932

District Attorney, Contra Costa County 900 Ward Street Martinez, CA 94553

District Attorney, Del Norte County 450 H Street, Ste. 171 Crescent City, CA 95531

District Attorney, El Dorado County 515 Main Street Placerville, CA 95667

District Attorney, Fresno County 2220 Tulare Street, #1000 Fresno, CA 93721

District Attorney, Glenn County Post Office Box 430 Willows, CA 95988

District Attorney, Humboldt County 825 5th Street Eureka, CA 95501

District Attorney, Imperial County 939 West Main Street, Ste 102 El Centro, CA 92243

District Attorney, Inyo County 230 W. Line Street Bishop, CA 93514

District Attorney, Kern County 1215 Truxtun Avenue Bakersfield, CA 93301 District Attorney, Kings County 1400 West Lacey Boulevard Hanford, CA 93230

District Attorney, Lake County 255 N. Forbes Street Lakeport, CA 95453

District Attorney, Lassen County 220 South Lassen Street, Ste. 8 Susanville, CA 96130

District Attorney, Los Angeles County 210 West Temple Street, Rm 345 Los Angeles, CA 90012

District Attorney, Madera County 209 West Yosemite Avenue Madera, CA 93637

District Attorney, Marin County 3501 Civic Center, Room 130 San Rafael, CA 94903

District Attorney, Mariposa County Post Office Box 730 Mariposa, CA 95338

District Attorney, Mendocino County Post Office Box 1000 Ukiah, CA 95482

District Attorney, Merced County 2222 M Street Merced, CA 95340

District Attorney, Modoc County 204 S Court Street, Room 202 Alturas, CA 96101-4020

District Attorney, Mono County Post Office Box 617 Bridgeport, CA 93517

District Attorney, Monterey County 230 Church Street, Bldg 2 Salinas, CA 93901

District Attorney, Napa County 931 Parkway Mall Napa, CA 94559

District Attorney, Nevada County 110 Union Street Nevada City, CA 95959

District Attorney, Orange County 401 Civic Center Drive West Santa Ana, CA 92701

District Attorney, Placer County 10810 Justice Center Drive, Ste 240 Roseville, CA 95678

District Attorney, Plumas County 520 Main Street, Room 404 Quincy, CA 95971

District Attorney, Riverside County 4075 Main Street, 1st Floor Riverside, CA 92501

District Attorney, Sacramento County 901 "G" Street Sacramento, CA 9581

District Attorney, San Benito County 419 Fourth Street, 2nd Floor Hollister, CA 95023

District Attorney, San Bernardino County 316 N. Mountain View Avenue San Bernardino, CA 92415-0004

District Attorney, San Diego County 330 West Broadway, Room 1300 San Diego, CA 92101

District Attorney, San Francisco County 850 Bryant Street, Room 325 San Francsico, CA 94103

District Attorney, San Joaquin County Post Office Box 990 Stockton, CA 95201

District Attorney, San Luis Obispo County 1050 Monterey Street, Room 450 San Luis Obispo, CA 93408

District Attorney, San Mateo County 400 County Ctr., 3rd Floor Redwood City, CA 94063

District Attorney, Santa Barbara County 1105 Santa Barbara Street Santa Barbara, CA 93101

District Attorney, Santa Clara County 70 West Hedding Street San Jose, CA 95110

District Attorney, Santa Cruz County 701 Ocean Street, Room 200 Santa Cruz, CA 95060

District Attorney, Shasta County 1525 Court Street, Third Floor Redding, CA 96001-1632

District Attorney, Sierra County PO Box 457 Downieville, CA 95936 District Attorney, Siskiyou County Post Office Box 986 Yreka, CA 96097

District Attorney, Solano County 675 Texas Street, Ste 4500 Fairfield, CA 94533

District Attorney, Sonoma County 600 Administration Drive, Room 212J Santa Rosa, CA 95403

District Attorney, Stanislaus County 832 12th Street, Ste 300 Modesto, CA 95353

District Attorney, Sutter County 446 Second Street Yuba City, CA 95991

District Attorney, Tehama County Post Office Box 519 Red Bluff, CA 96080

District Attorney, Trinity County Post Office Box 310 Weaverville, CA 96093

District Attorney, Tulare County 221 S. Mooney Avenue, Room 224 Visalia, CA 93291

District Attorney, Tuolumne County 423 N. Washington Street Sonora, CA 95370

District Attorney, Ventura County 800 South Victoria Avenue Ventura, CA 93009

District Attorney, Yolo County 301 2nd Street Woodland, CA 95695

District Attorney, Yuba County 215 Fifth Street Marysville, CA 95901

Los Angeles City Attorney's Office City Hall East 200 N. Main Street, Rm 800 Los Angeles, CA 90012

San Diego City Attorney's Office 1200 3rd Avenue, Ste 1620 San Diego, CA 92101

San Francisco City Attorney's Office City Hall, Room 234 1 Drive Carlton B Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102

San Jose City Attorney's Office 200 East Santa Clara Street San Jose, CA 95113