1 2 3 4 5	LAW OFFICE OF MICHAEL FREUND Michael Freund (State Bar No. 99687) freund1@aol.com Ryan Hoffman (State Bar No. 283297) 1919 Addison Street, Suite 105 Berkeley, CA 94704 Telephone: (510) 540-1992 Facsimile: (510) 540-5543	
6	Attorneys for Plaintiff ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH CENTER	
7 8 9	ARNOLD & PORTER LLP Trenton H. Norris (State Bar No. 164781) Sarah Esmaili (State Bar No. 206053) Three Embarcadero Center, 7th Floor San Francisco, CA 94111 Telephone: (415) 471-3100 Facsimile: (415) 471-3400	
11	Attorneys for Defendant OPTIMUM NUTRITION, INC.	
13 14	SUPERIOR COURT OF TH	IE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
15 16	COUNTY O	F ALAMEDA
17	ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH CENTER, a California Non-Profit Corporation,	Case No. RG11555006
18	Plaintiff, v.	[PROPOSED] STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT; [PROPOSED] ORDER
20 21	OPTIMUM NUTRITION, INC. and DOES 1-100,	Health & Safety Code § 25249.5 et seq.
22	Defendants.	ACTION FILED: January 10, 2011
23   24		
25		
26 27		
28		
iv2	[PROPOSED] STIPULATED CONSEN	T JUDGMENT; [PROPOSED] ORDER

2

8

12

10

13

14 15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22 23

24

25 26

27

28

- On January 10, 2011, Plaintiff Environmental Research Center ("ERC"), a non-1.1 profit corporation, as a private enforcer, and in the public interest, initiated this action by filing a Complaint for Injunctive and Declaratory relief and Civil Penalties pursuant to the provisions of Cal. Health & Safety Code Section 25249.5 et seq. ("Proposition 65"), against Optimum Nutrition, Inc. ("Optimum" or "ON") and DOES 1-100. On September 19, 2012, ERC's First Amended Complaint ("Amended Complaint") for Injunctive and Declaratory Relief and Civil Penalties was filed. In this action, ERC alleges that the products manufactured, distributed or sold by Optimum, or its subsidiary American Body Building Products, LLC ("ABB"), as more fully described below, contain lead, a chemical listed under Proposition 65 as a carcinogen and reproductive toxin, and that such products expose consumers at a level requiring a Proposition 65 warning. These products are: ABB Speed Stack Pumped N.O. Grape Blast; ABB Speed Stack Grape; ON Glucosamine + CSA Super Strength; ON Opti-Men; ON Thermo Cuts; ON Mega Fat Burners; ON Chitosan Diet Formula; and ON Tribulus (collectively, the "Products"). ERC and Optimum shall sometimes be referred to individually as a "Party" or collectively as the "Parties."
- Based on additional information provided by Optimum to ERC, ERC agrees not 1.2 to dispute that ABB Speed Stack Pumped N.O. Grape Blast; ABB Speed Stack Grape; and ON Tribulus are Proposition 65 compliant. Those products are not subject to the injunctive terms of Section 3. In addition, Optimum provided ERC recent testing results for ON Glucosamine + CSA Super Strength which indicated compliance with Proposition 65; however, ERC maintains that its earlier test results demonstrated non-compliance with Proposition 65 such that the product was properly put at issue by ERC in the action, which Optimum denies and disputes. The Products covered by the injunctive terms of Section 3 are the following: ON Opti-Men; ON Thermo Cuts; ON Mega Fat Burners; ON Chitosan Diet Formula; and ON Glucosamine + CSA Super Strength (collectively the "Covered Products").
- ERC is a California non-profit corporation dedicated to, among other causes, 1.3 helping safeguard the public from health hazards by bringing about a reduction in the use and misuse of hazardous and toxic chemicals, facilitating a safe environment for consumers and

employees and encouraging corporate responsibility.

- 1.4 Optimum is a business entity that at all times relevant for purposes of this Consent Judgment employs ten or more persons.
- 1.5 The Amended Complaint is based on allegations contained in ERC's Notices of Violation dated September 4, 2010, October 8, 2010 and March 11, 2011 (collectively, "Notices of Violation") that were served on the California Attorney General, other public enforcers and Optimum. True and correct copies of the Notices of Violation are attached hereto as Exhibit A. More than 60 days have passed since the Notices of Violation were mailed and no designated governmental entity has filed a complaint against Optimum with regard to the Products or the alleged violations.
- Products exposes persons in California to lead without first providing clear and reasonable warnings in violation of Cal. Health & Safety Code Section 25249.6. Optimum denies all material allegations contained in the Notices of Violation and Amended Complaint and specifically denies that any of the Products have required a Proposition 65 warning, or that they have caused harm to any person. Nothing in the Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission by Optimum of any fact, issue of law or violation of law, nor shall compliance with the Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by Optimum of any fact, issue of law or violation of law, at any time, for any purpose. Nothing in the Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive or impair any right, remedy or defense that Optimum may have in any other or further legal proceedings.
- 1.7 The Parties have entered into this Consent Judgment in order to settle, compromise and resolve disputed claims and thus avoid prolonged and costly litigation. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall constitute or be construed as an admission by any of the Parties, or by any of their respective officers, directors, shareholders, employees, agents, parent companies, subsidiaries, divisions, affiliates, franchises, licensees, customers, suppliers, distributors, wholesalers, or retailers, of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, violation of law, fault, wrongdoing, or liability, including without limitation, any admission concerning any alleged violation of Proposition 65.

8

11

14 15

16 17

18 19

20 21

22 23

24

25 26

27

28

111

- Except as expressly set forth herein, nothing in this Consent Judgment shall 1.8 prejudice, waive or impair any right, remedy, argument, or defense the Parties may have in any other or future legal proceeding unrelated to these proceedings.
- The Effective Date of this Consent Judgment shall be the date on which it is 1.9 entered as a Judgment by this Court. As used herein, the term "Compliance Deadline" is the date that is six (6) months after the Effective Date.
- Since receiving ERC's Notices of Violation, Optimum has engaged in efforts to 1.10 attempt to reformulate its products. Optimum has achieved a reformulation of ON Opti-Men that Optimum maintains will meet the standards set out in Section 3. In addition, ON discontinued Chitosan, and installed a water treatment system in a manufacturing facility to reduce the levels of lead in the municipal water supplied to it.

## JURISDICTION AND VENUE

For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the Amended Complaint and personal jurisdiction over Optimum as to the acts alleged in the Amended Complaint, that venue is proper in Alameda County, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment as a full and final resolution of all claims which were or could have been asserted in his action based on the facts alleged in the Notices of Violation or the Amended Complaint.

## INJUNCTIVE RELIEF, REFORMULATION, TESTING AND WARNINGS 3.

Any Covered Products manufactured on or after the Compliance Deadline that 3.1 Optimum thereafter sells in California, markets or distributes for sale into California, or offers for sale to a third party for retail sale to California must either: (1) qualify as a "Reformulated Covered Product" under Section 3.3 below, or (2) meet the warning requirements set out in Section 3.2. Products manufactured before the Compliance Deadline are therefore not subject to the obligations imposed by Section 3 irrespective of when they are distributed or sold. The final lot numbers of Covered Products manufactured before the Compliance Deadline will be provided to ERC no more than twenty (20) days after the Compliance Deadline.

If Optimum provides a warning pursuant to Section 3.1, the warning shall comply with the requirements of either Section 3.2.1 or 3.2.2:

# 3.2.1 Optimum shall provide the following warning:

[California Proposition 65] WARNING: This product contains lead, a chemical known to the State of California to cause [cancer and] birth defects or other reproductive harm.

The term "cancer and" shall be used in the warning only if the maximum daily dose recommended on the label contains more than 15 micrograms of lead as determined pursuant to Section 3.4. The words "California Proposition 65" may be included at Optimum's option.

The warning shall be securely affixed to or printed upon the container, cap, or label of the Covered Product. The warning shall be displayed with such conspicuousness, as compared with other words, statements, or design of the label, container or cap, as applicable, to render the warning likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual under customary conditions of purchase or use of the product. The warning appearing on the label, container or cap shall be at least the same size as the largest of any other health or safety warnings correspondingly appearing on the label, container or cap, as applicable, of such product, and the word "warning" shall be in all capital letters and in bold print.

3.2.2 In the alternative to Section 3.2.1, Optimum shall provide the warning in accordance with Section 2.2 of the consent judgment attached as Exhibit B hereto, which was entered in *People v. 21<sup>st</sup> Century Healthcare, Inc., et al.*, Alameda County Superior Court No. RG08-426937.

## 3.3 Reformulated Covered Products

A Reformulated Covered Product is one for which the maximum recommended daily serving on the label contains no more than 0.5 micrograms of lead per day as determined by the quality control methodology described in Section 3.4.2 and with daily exposure calculated pursuant to Section 3.4.1, after subtracting the amount of lead pursuant to Section 3.3.1 and Table 1. As used in this Consent Judgment, "no more than 0.5 micrograms of lead per day" means that the samples tested by Optimum under Section 3.4 collectively yield an average daily exposure of no more than

12

11

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

111

111

28

0.5 micrograms of lead (with daily exposure calculated pursuant to Section 3.4.1), after excluding levels of lead pursuant to Section 3.3.1.

## 3.3.1 Calculation of Lead Levels

For purposes of calculating permissible lead content, Optimum may exclude the amount of lead in the mineral ingredients listed below in Table 1 in accordance with the Attorney General's Stipulation Modifying Consent Judgments in People v. Warner Lambert et al. (San Francisco Super. Ct. Case No. 984503). Should Optimum seek to exclude such lead levels in its calculation of overall lead content for any Covered Product, Optimum shall provide a separate document to ERC to include a complete list of the ingredients in the Covered Product and the corresponding percentages of each ingredient within such Covered Product to be held in confidence and kept confidential by ERC. Optimum shall additionally provide to ERC test results or other data that independently confirm the percentage of such ingredient(s) being used in each Covered Product(s). For purposes of this Section 3.3.1 and Section 5.5.1, "other data that independently confirm the percentage" includes (but is not limited to) a written certification signed by an officer of Optimum. In the event that a dispute arises with respect to compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment as to any contribution from naturally occurring lead levels under this Section 3.3.1, ERC and Optimum shall employ good faith efforts to seek entry of a protective order that governs access to and disclosure of the information provided confidentially by Optimum to ERC in any litigation or proceeding, before any such information is disclosed by ERC in connection with that litigation or proceeding. Notwithstanding the foregoing, unless ERC obtains Optimum's prior written consent, ERC shall not be permitted to disclose under any circumstance any information provided by Optimum under this Section 3.3.1 regarding ingredients other than Table 1 ingredients or to use such information for any purpose other than to verify percentages of Table 1 ingredients contained within a Covered Product. // III

## TABLE 1

INGREDIENT	NATURALLY OCCURRING AMOUNT OF LEAD
Calcium (elemental)	0.8 mcg lead per gram of elemental calcium
Ferrous Fumarate	0.4 mcg lead per gram of ferrous fumarate
Zinc Oxide	8.0 mcg lead per gram of zinc oxide
Magnesium Oxide	0.4 mcg lead per gram of magnesium oxide
Magnesium Carbonate	0.332 mcg lead per gram of magnesium carbonate
Zinc Gluconate	0.8 mcg lead per gram of zinc gluconate
Potassium Chloride	1.0 mcg lead per gram of potassium chloride

#### 3.4 **Testing and Quality Control Methodology**

For purposes of this Consent Judgment, daily lead exposure levels 3.4.1 shall be measured in micrograms, and shall be calculated using the following formula: micrograms of lead per gram of product, multiplied by grams of product per serving of the product (using the largest serving size appearing on the product label), multiplied by servings of the product per day (using the largest number of servings in a recommended dosage appearing on the product label), which equals micrograms of lead exposure per day, but excluding any naturally occurring levels of lead as set forth in Section 3.3.1.

All testing pursuant to this Consent Judgment shall be performed 3.4.2 using a laboratory method that complies with the performance and quality control factors appropriate for the method used (including limit of detection, limit of quantification, accuracy, and precision) and meets the following criteria: Closed-vessel, microwave-assisted digestion employing high-purity reagents followed by Inductively Coupled Plasma-Mass Spectrometry (ICP-MS) achieving a limit of quantification of less than or equal to 0.010 mg/kg, or any other testing method subsequently agreed upon in writing by the Parties.

All testing pursuant to this Consent Judgment shall be performed by a 3.4.3 laboratory certified by the California Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Program for the analysis of heavy metals or a laboratory that is approved by, accredited by, or registered with the

10 11

12

14

13

15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22 23

24

25

26

27

28

III

United States Food & Drug Administration for the analysis of heavy metals. Optimum may test the Covered Products if Optimum is a qualified laboratory as described above. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall limit Optimum's ability to conduct, or require that others conduct, additional testing of the Covered Products, including the raw materials used in their manufacture.

Before Optimum's first distribution or sale of a Covered Product in 3.4.4 California manufactured after the Compliance Deadline, and at least once a year for three (3) years thereafter, Optimum shall arrange for the lead testing of five (5) randomly selected samples of each Covered Product (in the form intended for sale to the end-user) to be distributed or sold to California. The testing shall continue so long as the Covered Products are sold in California or sold to a third party for retail sale in California; provided however, if tests conducted pursuant to this Section 3.4 demonstrate that no warning is required for a Covered Product during each of three (3) consecutive years, then the testing requirements of this Section 3.4 are no longer required as to that Covered Product. However, if after the three-year period, Optimum changes ingredient suppliers for any of the Covered Products and/or reformulates any of the Covered Products, Optimum shall test that Covered Product at least once after such reformulation or change is made. The testing requirements of Section 3 do not apply to a Covered Product for which Optimum has provided the warning specified in Section 3.2 since the Compliance Deadline or during the preceding year.

Upon written request by ERC, Optimum shall provide to ERC any 3.4.5 test results and documentation of testing undertaken by Optimum pursuant to this Section 3 within ten working days of receipt by Optimum of ERC's request. Optimum shall retain all test results and documentation for a period of three (3) years from the date of each test.

#### SETTLEMENT PAYMENT 4.

In full satisfaction of all potential civil penalties, payment in lieu of civil 4.1 penalties, attorney's fees and costs (which includes, but is not limited to, filing fees and costs of attorneys, experts and investigators and testing nutritional health supplements), Optimum shall make a total payment of \$170,000.00 within ten (10) business days of receiving the Notice of Entry of Judgment. Said payment shall be for the following:

16 17

18

19

20 21

22 23

24

25 26

27

28

111

- 4.1.1 \$22,500 shall be for civil penalties pursuant to Health & Safety Code Section 25249.7(b)(1). Of this amount, \$16,875 shall be payable to the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA") and \$5,625 shall be payable to Environmental Research Center. Cal. Health & Safety Code Section 25249.12(c)(1) & (d). Optimum shall send both civil penalty payments to ERC's counsel who shall be responsible to forward the civil penalty.
- \$95,024 shall be payable to ERC in one check. Of this amount, 4.1.2 \$27,500 shall be for reimbursement to Environmental Research Center for reasonable costs associated with the enforcement of Proposition 65 and other costs incurred as a result of work in bringing this action, and \$67,524 shall be for the Environmental Research Center, in lieu of further civil penalties, to cover activities directed to California such as (1) continued enforcement of Proposition 65, which includes analysis, researching and testing consumer products that may contain Proposition 65 chemicals which addresses the same or similar type of ingestible products that are the subject matter of the current action; (2) the continued monitoring of past consent judgments and settlements to ensure companies are in compliance with Proposition 65; and (3) ERC awarding a grant in the amount of \$3,375 to Communities for a Better Environment to address reducing toxic air contaminants in California.
- \$48,283 payable to Michael Freund as reimbursement of ERC's 4.1.3 attorney's fees for Michael Freund and Ryan Hoffman, \$3,713 payable to Karen Evans as reimbursement ERC's attorney's fees, and \$480 payable to Andrew Packard as reimbursement ERC's attorney's fees.
- Optimum's payments shall be mailed or delivered to the Law Office of Michael 4.2 Freund. Optimum shall be provided with a completed W-9 for each payee in order to enable Optimum to process the payment.

## MODIFICATION OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

This Consent Judgment may be modified only upon written agreement and 5.1 stipulation of the Parties and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court, or as otherwise provided in this Section 5.

4

8

6

10

16

24

- If Optimum seeks to modify this Consent Judgment under Section 5.1, then 5.2 Optimum shall provide written notice to ERC of its intent ("Notice of Intent"). If ERC seeks to meet and confer regarding the proposed modification in the Notice of Intent, then ERC shall provide written notice to Optimum within thirty (30) days of receiving the Notice of Intent. If ERC notifies Optimum in a timely manner of ERC's intent to meet and confer, then the Parties shall meet and confer in good faith as required in this Section 5.2. The Parties shall meet in person within thirty (30) days of ERC's notification of its intent to meet and confer. Within thirty (30) days of such meeting, if ERC disputes the proposed modification, ERC shall provide to Optimum a written factual basis for its position. The Parties shall continue to meet and confer for an additional thirty (30) days in an effort to resolve any remaining disputes. The Parties may agree in writing to different deadlines for the meet and confer period.
- In the event of a modification under Section 5.1 that is initiated or otherwise 5.3 requested by Optimum, Optimum shall reimburse ERC its reasonable attorneys' fees for the time spent in the meet and confer process and filing and arguing a joint motion or application in support of a modification of the Consent judgment as well as ERC's reasonable costs; provided however, that these fees and costs shall not exceed \$5,000 (five thousand dollars) total without the prior written consent of Optimum.
- Where the meet and confer process does not lead to a joint motion or application 5.4 in support of a modification of the Consent Judgment, then either Party may seek judicial relief on its own. In such a situation, the prevailing party may seek to recover costs and reasonable attorney's fees. As used in the preceding sentence, the term "prevailing party" means a party who is successful in obtaining relief more favorable to it than the relief that the other party was amenable to providing during the parties' good faith attempt to resolve the dispute that is the subject of the modification.
- Should ERC or the California Attorney General reach a settlement of a Proposition 5.5 65 claim regarding the same ingredient(s) as contained in a Covered Product that establishes allowances for naturally occurring lead that results in less stringent lead standards ("Alternative Lead Standard") than those specified in Section 3.3, then Optimum shall be entitled to seek to

4

5 6 7

9 10

8

11 12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21 22

23

24

25 26

27 28 modify the Consent Judgment to adopt such Alternative Lead Standard as to such product, subject to the meet and confer procedures of Section 5, and as set forth in Section 5.5.1, below.

If such a settlement referenced in Section 5.5 takes place, Optimum 5.5.1 may elect to exclude such naturally occurring lead in its calculation of overall lead content for any of the Covered Products. During the meet and confer process, Optimum shall provide to ERC a complete list of all ingredients for which such a naturally occurring exclusion is sought and the corresponding percentage of each ingredient within each product, including test results or other data that independently confirm the percentage of the ingredients being used in each Covered Product. In addition, during the meet and confer process, Optimum shall provide ERC any other information that independently supports Optimum's contention that such lead that it seeks to exclude is naturally occurring. Optimum is entitled to submit to ERC documentation pursuant to this Section 5.5 which shall be held in confidence by ERC and kept confidential by ERC. Unless ERC obtains Optimum's prior written consent, ERC shall not be permitted to disclose under any circumstance any information provided by Optimum under this Section 5.5 regarding ingredients other than those ingredients for which a naturally occurring exclusion is sought or to use such information for any purpose other than to verify percentages of ingredients for which a naturally occurring exclusion is sought which are contained within a Covered Product.

#### RETENTION OF JURISDICTION, ENFORCEMENT OF CONSENT JUDGMENT 6.

- This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to enforce, modify or terminate 6.1 this Consent Judgment pursuant to Section 664.6 of the California Code of Civil Procedure.
- Only after it complies with Section 15 below, any Party may, by motion or 6.2 application for an order to show cause filed with this Court, enforce the terms and conditions contained in this Consent Judgment.
- In the event that ERC alleges that any Covered Product fails to qualify as a 6.3 Reformulated Covered Product (and for which ERC alleges that no warning has been provided), then ERC shall inform Optimum in a reasonably prompt manner of its test results, including information sufficient to permit Optimum to identify the Covered Products at issue. Optimum shall, within thirty (30) days following such notice, provide ERC with testing information demonstrating

Optimum's compliance with the Consent Judgment, if warranted. The Parties shall first attempt to resolve the matter prior to ERC taking any further legal action pursuant to Section 15.

## 7. APPLICATION OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

This Consent Judgment may apply to, be binding upon and benefit the Parties, and their respective officers, directors, shareholders, employees, agents, parent companies (including but not limited to holding companies related to Optimum), subsidiaries, divisions, affiliates, franchisees, licensees, customers, distributors, wholesalers, retailers, and all predecessors, successors and assigns of any of them and ERC on its own behalf and in the public interest as set forth in Section 8. This Consent Judgment shall have no application to Covered Products which are manufactured, distributed or sold outside the State of California and which are not used by California consumers. In addition, this Consent Judgment shall not apply to private label versions, if any, of the Products, that are labeled and sold under brands or trademarks other than ON and its subsidiaries and affiliates.

## 8. BINDING EFFECT, CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASED

behalf of itself and in the public interest, and Optimum, of any alleged violation of Proposition 65 or its implementing regulations for failure to provide Proposition 65 warnings of exposure to lead from the handling, use or consumption of the Products. ERC, on behalf of itself, its agents, officers, representatives, attorneys, successors and/or assignees, and on behalf of the general public in the public interest, hereby waives all rights to institute or participate in (directly or indirectly) any form of legal action and releases and discharges: (a) Optimum and its past, present and future direct and indirect parent companies, subsidiaries, affiliates, and divisions; (b) each of their respective licensors, licensees, franchisors, franchisees, joint venturers, partners, vendors, manufacturers, packagers, contractors, and finished product and ingredient suppliers; (c) each of their respective distributors, wholesalers, retailers, users, packagers, customers (but excluding any private label customers that label and sell versions, if any, of the Products under brands or trademarks other than ON and its subsidiaries and affiliates), and all other entities in the distribution chain down to the consumer of any of the Products of the persons and entities described in (a) and (b), above; and (d)

each of the respective officers, directors, shareholders, employees, and agents of the persons and entities described in (a) through (c), above (the persons and entities identified in (a), (b), (c), and (d), above, including the predecessors, successors and assigns of any of them, are collectively referred to as the "Released Parties") from any and all claims, actions, causes of action, suits, demands, liabilities, damages, penalties, fees (including but not limited to investigation fees, attorneys' fees, and expert fees), costs, and expenses (collectively, "Claims") as to any alleged violation of Proposition 65 arising from or related to the alleged failure to provide Proposition 65 warnings regarding lead for the Products and Covered Products, except as to any of the Covered Products manufactured after the Compliance Deadline that are not in compliance with Section 3 of this Consent Judgment.

8.2 ERC, on behalf of itself, its agents, representatives, attorneys, successors and/or assignees, and not on behalf of the general public, hereby releases and discharges the Released Parties from any and all known and unknown Claims for alleged violations of Proposition 65, or for any other statutory or common law, arising from or relating to alleged exposures to lead and lead compounds in the Products. It is possible that other Claims not known to the Parties arising out of the facts alleged in the Notices of Violation or the Amended Complaint and relating to the Products will develop or be discovered. ERC, on behalf of itself only, acknowledges that this Consent Judgment is expressly intended to cover and include all such Claims, including all rights of action therefor. ERC has full knowledge of the contents of California Civil Code section 1542. ERC, on behalf of itself only, acknowledges that the Claims released in Sections 8.1 and 8.2 may include unknown Claims, and nevertheless waives California Civil Code section 1542 as to any such unknown Claims. California Civil Code section 1542 reads as follows:

"A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS WHICH THE CREDITOR DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE, WHICH IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER MUST HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR."

ERC, on behalf of itself only, acknowledges and understands the significance and consequences of this specific waiver of California Civil Code section 1542.

San Diego, CA 92116

Telephone: (619) 640-8100

28

[PROPOSED] STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT; [PROPOSED] ORDER

## 15. GOOD FAITH ATTEMPT TO RESOLVE DISPUTES

In the event a dispute arises with respect to either Party's compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment entered by the Court, the Parties shall meet either in person or by telephone and endeavor to resolve the dispute in an amicable manner. No action or motion may be filed in the absence of such a good faith attempt to resolve the dispute beforehand. In the event an action or motion is filed, however, the prevailing party may seek to recover costs and reasonable attorney's fees. As used in the preceding sentence, the term "prevailing party" means a party who is successful in obtaining relief more favorable to it than the relief that the other party was amenable to providing during the parties' good faith attempt to resolve the dispute that is the subject of such enforcement action.

## 16. ENTIRE AGREEMENT, AUTHORIZATION

- 16.1 This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter hereof, and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments and understandings related hereto. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those contained herein have been made by any Party. No other agreements not specifically referred to herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties.
- 16.2 Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorized by the Party he or she represents to stipulate to this Consent Judgment. Except as explicitly provided herein, each Party shall bear its own fees and costs.

# 17. REQUEST FOR FINDINGS, APPROVAL OF SETTLEMENT AND ENTRY OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

This Consent Judgment has come before the Court upon the request of the Parties. The Parties request the Court to fully review this Consent Judgment and, being fully informed regarding the matters which are the subject of this action, to:

(1) Find that the terms and provisions of this Consent Judgment represent a fair and equitable settlement of all matters raised by the allegations of the Amended Complaint, that the matter has been diligently prosecuted, and that the public interest is served by such settlement; and

1	(2) Make the findings pursuant to Health & Safety Code Section 25249.7(t)(4), approve		
2	the Settlement and approve this Consent Judgment.		
3	IT IS SO STIPULATED:		
4	Dated:, 2013 ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH CENTER		
5			
б	Chris Hepstinstall, Executive Director		
7			
8	Dated: March 25, 2013 OPTIMUM NUTRITION, INC.		
9	$\mathcal{L}$		
10	Jan Jan		
1,1	Print Name: Kenneth Stritte		
12	APPROVED AS TO FORM;		
13			
14	Dated:, 2013 LAW OFFICE OF MICHAEL FREUND		
15			
16	Michael Freund Attorney for Environmental Research Center		
17			
. 18	Dated: March 26, 2013 ARNOLD & PORTER LLP		
19	Call FC		
20	Trenton H. Norris		
21	Sarah Esmaili Attorneys for Defendant Optimum Nutrition, Inc.		
22			
23	ORDER AND JUDGMENT		
24	Based upon the Parties' Stipulation, and good cause appearing, this Consent Judgment is		
25	approved and Judgment is hereby entered according to its terms.		
26	Dated:, 2013		
27	Judge, Superior Court of the State of California		
28			
	IRROPOSEDI STIRI VI ATER CONSTRUIT C		
11	[PROPOSED] STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT: [PROPOSED] ORDER		

	(2) Make the findings pursuant to Health & Safety Code Section 25249.7(f)(4), appro-		
;	the Settlement and approve this Consent Judgment.		
3	3 IT IS SO STIPULATED:		
4	Dated: 3/2/, 2013 ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH CENTER		
5	5 Sand Jall		
6	Chris Hepstinstall Executive Director		
7			
8	Dated:, 2013 OPTIMUM NUTRITION, INC.		
9			
10			
11	Print Name:		
12	APPROVED AS TO HODA		
13	APPROVED AS TO FORM:  Dated: 3/27/, 2013  LAW OFFICE OF MICHAEL EDELBIR		
14	LAW OFFICE OF MICHAEL FREUND		
15	MF		
16	Michael Freund Attorney for Environmental Research Center		
17	231 231 Homicital Research Center		
18	Dated:, 2013 ARNOLD & PORTER LLP		
19	TORTION DES		
20	Trenton H. Norris		
21	Sarah Esmaili Attorneys for Defendant Optimum Nutrition, Inc.		
22	Paramaya 202 Bolomenin Pantinon, Inc.		
23	ORDER AND JUDGMENT		
24	Based upon the Parties' Stipulation, and good cause appearing, this Consent Judgment is		
25	approved and Judgment is hereby entered according to its terms.		
26			
27	Dated:, 2013		
28	Judge, Superior Court of the State of California		
	<del></del>		
- 11	[PROPOSED] STIPULATED CONSENT HIDGMENT, BURCHOSED CHARLE		

**EXHIBIT A** 

## Law Offices Of ANDREW L. PACKARD

100 Petaluma Blvd N, Ste 301, Petaluma, Ca 94952 Phone (707) 763-7227 Fax (707) 763-9227 Info@PackardlawOffices.com

September 4, 2010

VIA CERTIFIED MAIL
Current President or CEO
Optimum Nutrition
1756 Industrial Rd
Walterboro, SC – 29488

Current President or CEO American Body Building Products, LLC. 700 N Commerce St Aurora, IL - 60504

Re: Notice of Violations of California Health & Safety Code §25249.5 et seq.

Dear Sirs,

This firm represents the Environmental Research Center (hereafter, "BRC"), a non-profit corporation organized under California's Non-Profit Public Benefit Corporation Law in connection with this notice of violations of California's Safe Drinking Water & Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, codified at Health & Safety Code §25249.5 et seq. (also referred to as "Proposition 65").

BRC is dedicated to, among other causes, reducing the use and misuse of hazardous and toxic substances, consumer protection, worker safety and corporate responsibility. ERC has documented the violations of Proposition 65 described herein, and this letter serves to provide notification of these violations to you and to the public enforcement agencies. Pursuant to §25249.7(d) of the statute, ERC intends to bring an enforcement action sixty (60) days after effective service of this notice unless the public enforcement agencies have commenced and are diligently prosecuting an action to rectify these violations. A summary of the statute and its implementing regulations, which was prepared by the lead agency designated under the statute, is enclosed with the copy of this notice served upon the violator(s).

The names of the violator(s) covered by this notice are: Optimum Nutrition, and American Body Building Products, LLC (hereafter, the "Violator(s)"). The Violator(s) manufacture, market, distribute and/or sell in California the following products causing exposures to lead and lead compounds:

ABB Speed Stack Pumped N.O. Grape Blast ABB Speed Stack Grape

On February 27, 1987, the State of California officially listed lead as a substance known to cause reproductive toxicity. On October 1, 1992, the State of California officially listed lead and lead compounds as a substance known to cause cancer.

Route of exposure. The consumer exposures that are the subject of this notice result from the purchase, acquisition, handling and recommended use of these products by consumers. Accordingly, consumer exposures have occurred and continue to occur primarily through the ingestion route, but also may occur through the inhalation and/or and definal contact routes of exposure.

Duration of violations. Each of these ongoing violations has occurred on every day since at least September 4, 2007, as well as every day since the products were introduced in the California marketplace, and will continue every day until clear and reasonable warnings are provided to product purchasers and users.

Based on the allegations set forth in this Notice, ERC intends to file a citizen enforcement action against the Violator(s) unless the Violator(s) agree in an enforceable written instrument to: (1) recall products already sold; (2) take effective measures to prevent unwarned lead exposures from being caused by products sold in the future; and (3) pay an appropriate civil penalty. In keeping with the public interest goals of the statute and my client's objectives in issuing this notice, ERC is interested in seeking a constructive resolution of this matter. Such resolution will avoid both further unwarned consumer exposures to lead and expensive and time-consuming litigation. ERC's Executive Director is Chris Heptinstall and the organization's mailing address is: 5694 Mission Center Road, #199, San Diego, CA 92108. Tel. (619) 309-4194. However, ERC has retained this firm in connection with this matter; therefore, all communications regarding this Notice of Violation may be directed to my attention at the above-listed firm address and telephone number.

Very Truly Yours.

Andrew L. Packard

Attachments:
Certificate of Merit
Certificate of Service
List of Service

## CERTIFICATE OF MERIT

Re: the Environmental Research Center's Notice of Proposition 65 Violations Issued to Optimum Nutrition, and American Body Building Products, LLC.

## I, Andrew L. Packard, declare:

- This Certificate of Merit accompanies the attached sixty-day notice in which it is alleged the party in the notice has violated Health & Safety Code §25249.6 by failing to provide clear and reasonable warnings.
  - 2. I am an attorney for the noticing party.
- 3. I have consulted with one or more persons with relevant and appropriate experience or expertise who has reviewed facts, studies, or other data regarding the exposure to the listed chemicals that are the subject of the action.
- 4. Based on the information obtained through those consultations, and on all other information in my possession, I believe there is a reasonable and meritorious case for the private action. I understand that "reasonable and meritorious case for the private action" means that the information provides a credible basis that all elements of the plaintiff's case can be established and that the information did not prove that the alleged violator will be able to establish any of the affirmative defenses set forth in the statute.
- 5. The copy of this Certificate of Merit served on the Attorney General attaches to it factual information sufficient to establish the basis for this certificate, including the information identified in Health and Safety Code §25249.7(h)(2), i.e., (1) the identity of the persons consulted with and relied on by the certifier, and (2) the facts, studies, or other data reviewed by those persons.

Dated: September 4, 2010

Andrew L. Packerd

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, the undersigned, declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the following is true and correct:

I am a citizen of the United States, over the age of 18 years of age, and am not a party to the within entitled action. My business address is 306 Joy Street, Fort Oglethorpe, Georgia 30742

On September 4, 2010, I served the following documents: NOTICE OF VIOLATION, CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.5 BT SEQ.; CERTIFICATE OF MERIT; "SAFE DRINKING WATER AND TOXIC ENFORCEMENT ACT OF 1986: A SUMMARY"

on the following parties by placing a true and correct copy thereof in a sealed envelope, addressed to the party listed below and depositing it in a US Postal Service Office for delivery by Certified Mail:

Current President or CEO Optimum Nutrition 1756 Industrial Rd Walterboro, SC - 29488

Current President or CEO
American Body Building Products, LLC.
700 N Commerce St
Aurora, IL - 60504

On September 4, 2010, I served the following documents: NOTICE OF VIOLATION, CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.5 ET SEQ.; CERTIFICATE OF MERIT (including supporting documentation required by Title 11 CCR §3102) on the following parties by placing a true and correct copy thereof in a sealed envelope, addressed to the party listed below and depositing it in a US Postal Service Office for delivery by Certified Mail:

Office of the California Attorney General Prop 65 Enforcement Reporting 1515 Clay Street, Suite 2000 Post Office Box 70550 Oakland, CA 94612-0550

On September 4, 2010, I served the following documents: NOTICE OF VIOLATION, CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE \$25249.5 ET SEQ.; CERTIFICATE OF MERIT on each of the parties on the Service List attached hereto by placing a true and correct copy thereof in a sealed envelope, addressed to each of the parties on the Service List attached hereto, and depositing it with the U.S. Postal Service for delivery by Priority Mail.

Executed on September 4, 2010, in Fort Oglethorpe, Georgia.

Chris Heptinstall

## Service List

District Anomey, Alameda County 1225 Fallon Street, Room 900 Oakland, CA 94612

District Attorney, Alpine County P.O. Box 248 Markleeville, CA 96120

District Attorney, Amedia County 708 Court Street, #202 Jackson, CA 95642

District Attorney, Bulte County 25 County Center Drive Oroville, CA 95965

District Attorney, Calaveras County 891 Mountain Ranch Road San Andreas, CA 95249

District Attorney, Colusa County 547 Market Street Colusa, CA 95932

District Attorney, Contro Costa County 900 Ward Street Martinez, CA 94553

District Attorney, Del Norte County 450 H Street, 5te, 171 Crescent City, CA 9553)

District Attorney, El Dorsdo County 515 Main Street Placerville, CA 95667

District Attorney, Fresno County 2220 Tulare Street, \$1000 Fresno, CA 93721

District Attorney, Glenn County Post Office Box 430 Willows, CA 95988

District Anomey, Humboldt County 825 5th Street Eureka, CA 95501

District Attorney, Imperial County 939 West Main Street, Ste 102 El Centro, CA 92243

District Attorney, Inyo County 230 W. Line Street Bishop, CA 93514

District Attorney, Kem County 1215 Truxtum Avonus Bakersfield, CA 93301 District Atterney, Kings County 1400 West Lacey Boulevard Hanford, CA 93230

District Attorney, Lake County 255 N. Forbes Street Lakepon, CA 95453

District Attorney, Lassen County 220 South Lassen Street, Ste. 8 Susanville, CA 96130

District Antorney, Los Angeles County 210 West Temple Street, Rrn 345 Los Angeles, CA 90012

District Attorney, Madera County 209 West Yosemite Avenue Madera, CA 93637

District Attorney, Merin County 3501 Civic Center, Room 130 San Rafael, CA 94903

District Attorney, Muriposa County Post Office Box 730 Mariposa, CA 95338

District Attorney, Mendocino County Post Office Box 1000 Ukiah, CA 95482

District Attorney, Merced County 2222 M Street Merced, CA 95340

District Attorney, Modor County 204 S Court Street, Room 202 Alturas, CA 96101-4020

District Atterney, Mone County Post Office Box 617 Bridgeport, CA 93517

District Attorney, Monterey County 230 Church Street, Bldg 2 Salines, CA 93901

District Attorney, Napa County 931-Perkway Mell Napa, CA 94559

District Attorney, Nevade County 110 Union Street Nevada City, CA 95959

District Attorney, Orange County 401 Civio Center Drive West Sante Ana, CA 92701

District Attorney, Placer County 10810 Justice Center Drive, Ste 240 Roseville, CA 95678

District Attorney, Plumas County 520 Main Street, Room 404 Quincy, CA 95971

District Attorney, Riverside County 4075 Main Street, 1st Floor Riverside, CA 92501

District Attorney, Secremento County 901 "G" Street Secremento, CA 9581

District Altomay, San Benilo County 419 Fourth Street, 2<sup>rd</sup> Floor Rollister, CA 95023

District Attorney, San Bernardino County 316 N. Mountain View Avenue San Bernardino, CA 92415-0004

District Attorney, San Diego County 330 West Broadway, Room 1300 San Diego, CA 92101

District Attorney, San Francisco County 850 Bryant Street, Room 325 San Francsico, CA 94103

District Attorney, San Josquin County Post Office Box 990 Stockton, CA 95201

District Attorney, San Luis Obispo County 1050 Monterey Street, Room 450 San Luis Obispo, CA 93408

District Attorney, San Mateo County 400 County Ctr., 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor Redwood City, CA 94063

District Attorney, Şanta Barbara County 1105 Sahm Barbara Street Santa Barbara, CA 93101

District Attorney, Santa Clara County 70 West Hedding Street San Jose, CA 95110

District Attorney, Santa Cruz County 701 Ocean Street, Room 200 Santa Cruz, CA 95060

District Anomay, Shasta County 1525 Court Street, Third Floor Redding, CA 96001-1632

District Attorney, Sierra County PO Box 457 Downleville, CA 95936 District Anomey, Siskiyou County Post Office Box 986 Yreks, CA 96097

District Attorney, Solano County 675 Texas Street, Ste 4560 Fairfield, CA 94533

District Attorney, Sonoma County 600 Administration Drive, Room 212J Santa Rosa, CA 95403

District Attorney, Stanislaus County 832 12th Street, Sta 300 Modesto, CA 95353

District Aromey, Surier County 446 Second Street Yuba City, CA 95991

District Attorney, Tehama County Post Office Box 519 Red Biaff, CA 96080

District Attorney, Trinity County Post Office Box 310 Weaverville, CA 96093

District Attorney, Tulate County 221 S. Mooney Avenue, Room 224 Viselia, CA 93291

District Attorney, Tuolumne County 423 N. Weshington Street Sonore, CA 95370

District Attorney, Ventura County 800 South Victoria Avenue Ventura, CA 93009

District Attorney, Yole County 301 2<sup>st</sup> Street Woodland, CA 95695

Disidet Attorney, Yuba County 215 Fifth Street Maysville, CA 95901

Los Angeles City Attorney's Office City Hail East 200 N. Main Street, Rm 800 Los Angeles, CA 90012

San Diego City Attorney's Office 1200 3rd Avenue, Sto 1620 San Diego, CA 92101

San Francisco City Attorney's Office City Hall, Room 234 1 Drive Carlton B Goodlett Placa San Francisco, CA 94102

San Jose City Attorney's Office 200 East Sants Clara Street San Jose, CA 95113



## **Environmental Research Center**

5694 Mission Center Road #199 San Diego, CA 92108 619,309,4194

October 8, 2010

## YIA CERTIFIED MAIL

Current President or CEO Optimum Nutrition, Inc. 1756 Industrial Rd Walterboro, SC 29488

Registered Agent for Service: Corporation Service Co. (Optimum Nutrition) 1703 Laurel Street Columbia, SC 29201

Office of the California Attorney General Prop 65 Enforcement Reporting 1515 Clay Street, Suite 2000 P.O. Box 70550 Oakland, CA 94612-0550

## VIA PRIORITY MAIL

District Attorneys of All California Counties and Select City Attorneys (See Attached Certificate of Service)

Re: Notice of Violations of California Health & Safety Code Section 25249.5 et seq.

## Dear Addressees:

I am the Executive Director of the Environmental Research Center ("ERC") in connection with this Notice of Violations of California's Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, which is codified at California Health & Safety Code Section 25249.5 et seq. and also referred to as Proposition 65.

ERC is a California non-profit corporation dedicated to, among other causes, helping safeguard the public from health hazards by bringing about a reduction in the use and misuse of hazardous and toxic chemicals, facilitating a safe environment for consumers and employees, and encouraging corporate responsibility.

The names of the companies covered by this notice that violated Proposition 65 (hereinafter "the Violators") are:

## Optimum Nutrition, Inc.

The products that are the subject of this notice and the chemicals in those products identified as exceeding allowable levels are:

- Optimum Nutrition Glucosamine + CSA Super Strength Lead
- Optimum Nutrition Opti Men Lead
  - Optimum Nutrition Thermo Cuts Lead

On February 27, 1987, the State of California officially listed lead as a chemical known to cause developmental toxicity, and male and female reproductive toxicity. On October 1, 1992, the State of California officially listed lead as chemical known to cause cancer.

This letter is a notice to each of the Violators and the appropriate governmental authorities of the Proposition 65 violations concerning the listed products. This notice covers all violations of Proposition 65 involving the Violators currently known to ERC from the information now available. ERC may continue to investigate other products that may reveal further violations. A summary of Proposition 65, prepared by the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment, is enclosed with the copy of this letter to each of the Violators.

Bach of the Violators has manufactured, marketed, distributed, and/or sold the listed products, which have exposed and continue to expose numerous individuals within California to the identified chemicals. The primary route of exposure to these chemicals has been through ingestion, but may have also occurred through inhalation and/or-dermal contact. Proposition 65 requires that a clear and reasonable warning be provided prior to exposure to the identified chemicals. The method of warning should be a warning that appears on the product's label. Each of the Violators violated Proposition 65 because they failed to provide an appropriate warning to persons using these products that they are being exposed to the identified chemicals.

Pursuant to Section 25249.7(d) of the statute, ERC intends to file a citizen enforcement action sixty days after effective service of this notice unless each of the Violators agrees in an enforceable written instrument to: (1) reformulate the listed products so as to eliminate further exposures to the identified chemicals; and (2) pay an appropriate civil penalty. Consistent with the public interest goals of Proposition 65 and ERC's objectives in pursuing this notice, ERC is interested in seeking a constructive resolution to this matter. Such resolution will avoid both further unwarned consumer exposures to the identified chemicals and expensive and time consuming litigation.

Please direct all questions concerning this notice to ERC's aftorney, Andrew L. Packard, 100 Petaluma Blvd. N., Ste 301, Petaluma, CA 94952, telephone no.: 707-763-7227, e-mail; info@packardlawoffices.com

Sincerely,

Chris Heptinstall
Executive Director

Environmental Research Center

cc: Andrew Packard . Karen Evans

Attachments

Certificate of Mexit Certificate of Service

OBHHA Summary (to Optimum Nutrition, Inc. and its Registered Agent for Service of Process only)
Additional Supporting Information for Certificate of Merit (to AG only)

## CERTIFICATE OF MERIT

Re: Environmental Research Center's Notice of Proposition 65 Violations by Optimum Nutrition, Inc.

## I, Karen Evans, declare:

- This Certificate of Merit accompanies the attached sixty-day notice in which it is alleged the party identified in the notice violated California Health & Safety Code Section 25249.6 by failing to provide clear and reasonable warnings.
- 2. I am an attorney for the noticing party.
- 3. I have consulted with one or more persons with relevant and appropriate experience or expertise who have reviewed facts, studies, or other data regarding the exposure to the listed chemical that is the subject of the notice.
- 4. Based on the information obtained through those consultants, and on other information in my possession, I believe there is a reasonable and meritorious case for the private action. I understand that "reasonable and meritorious case for the private action" means that the information provides a credible basis that all elements of the plaintiff's case can be established and that the information did not prove that the alleged violator will be able to establish any of the affirmative defenses set forth in the statute.
- 5. Along with the copy of this Certificate of Merit served on the Attorney General is attached additional factual information sufficient to establish the basis for this certificate, including the information identified in Galifornia Health & Safety Code §25249.7(h)(2), i.e., (1) the identity of the persons consulted with and relied on by the certifier, and (2) the facts, studies, or other data reviewed by those persons.

Dated: October 8, 2010

Kain a. Ei

Karen A. Byans

Attorney for Environmental Résearch Center

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, the undersigned, declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the following is true and correct:

I am a citizen of the United States, over the age of 18 years of age, and am not a party to the within entitled action. My business address is 306 Joy Street, Fort Oglethorpe, Georgia 30742

On October 8, 2010, I served the following documents: NOTICE OF VIOLATIONS OF CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.5 ET SEQ.; CERTIFICATE OF MERIT; "THE SAFE DRINKING WATER AND TOXIC ENFORCEMENT ACT OF 1986 (PROPOSITION 65); A SUMMARY"

On the following parties by placing a true and correct copy thereof in a sealed envelope, addressed to the party listed below and depositing it in a US Postal Service Office for delivery by Certified Mail:

Current President or CEO Optimum Nutrition, Inc. 1756 Industrial Rd Walterboro, SC 29488

Registered Agent for Service: Corporation Service Co. (Optimum Nutrition) 1703 Laurel Street Columbia, SC 29201

On October 8, 2010, I served the following documents; NOTICE OF VIOLATION, CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.5 ET SEQ.; CERTIFICATE OF MERIT; ADDITIONAL SUPPORTING INFORMATION FOR CERTIFICATE OF MERIT AS REQUIRED BY CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.7(d)(1) on the following parties by placing a true and correct copy thereof in a sealed envelope, addressed to the party listed below and depositing it in a US Postal Service Office for delivery by Certified Mail:

Office of the California Attorney General Prop 65 Enforcement Reporting 1515 Clay Street, Suite 2000 Post Office Box 70550 Oakland, CA 94612-0550

On October 8, 2010, I served the following documents: NOTICE OF VIOLATION, CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.5 ET SEQ.; CERTIFICATE OF MERIT on each of the parties on the Service List attached hereto by placing a true and correct copy thereof in a sealed envelope, addressed to each of the parties on the Service List attached hereto, and depositing it with the U.S. Postal Service for delivery by Priority Mail.

Executed on October 8, 2010, in Fort Oglethorpe, Georgia.

Chris Heptinstall

## Service List

Disulet Alterney, Alameda County 1225 Failon Street, Room 900 Oekland, CA 94612

District Attorney, Alpine County P.O. Box 248 Markleeville, CA 96120

District Attorney, Amador County 708 Court Street, #202 Jackson, CA 95642

District Attorney, Butte County 25 County Center Drive Oraville, CA 95965

District Attorney, Calaveras County 891 Mountain Reach Road San Andreas, CA 95249

District Attorney, Coluse County 547 Market Street Coluse, CA 95932

District Attorney, Contra Costa County 900 Ward Street Marlinez, CA 94553

District Attorney, Del Norre County 450 H Streat, Sie. 171 Crescent City, CA 95531

District Aftorney, El Dotado County 515 Mein Street Placervilla, CA 95667

District Attorney, Fresno County 2220 Tulere Street, #1000 Presno, CA 93721

District Attorney, Glenn County Post Office Box 430 Willows, CA 95988

District Attorney, Humboldt County 825 5th Street Eureka, CA 95501

District Attorney, imperial County 939 West Main Street, Ste 102 El Centro, CA 92243

District Atterney, Inyo County 230 W. Line Street Bishop, CA 93514

District Attorney, Kern County 1215 Trustim Avenue Bakersfield, CA 93301 District Attorney, Kings County 1400 West Lacey Bouleverd Hanford, CA 93230

District Attentoy, Lake County 255 N. Forbes Street Lekeport, CA 95453

District Attorney, Lassen County 220 South Lossen Street, Ste. 8 Susanville, CA 96130

District Attorney, Los Angeles County 210 West Temple Street, Rm 345 Los Angeles, CA 90012

District Attorney, Madera County 209 West Yosemito Avenue Madera, CA 93637

District Attorney, Marin County 3501 Civic Center, Room 130 San Rafeel, CA 94903

District Attorney, Maripose County Post Office Box 730 Mariposa, CA 95338

District Attorney, Mendocino County Post Office Box 1000 Ukieh, CA 95482

District Attorney, Merced County 2222 M Street Merced, CA 95340

District Attorney, Modec County 204 S Court Street, Room 202 Alturés, CA 96101-4020

District Attorney, Mono County Post Office Box 617 Bridgeport, CA 93517

District Attorney, Monterey County 230 Church Street, Bidg 2 Salinas, CA 93901

District Attorney, Napa County 931 Ferkway Mail Napa, CA 94559

District Attorney, Nevuda County 110 Union Street Nevada City, CA 95959

District Attorney, Orange County 401 Civic Center Drive West Same Ana, CA 92701

District Attorney, Placer County 10810 Justice Center Drive, Sto 240 Rossville, CA 95678

District Atjorney, Plumas County 520 Main Street, Room 404 Quincy, CA 95971

District Attorney, Riverside County 4075 Main Street, Int Floor Riverside, CA 92501

District Attorney, Sacramento County 901 "G" Street Sacramento, CA 9581

District Attorney, San Benito County 419 Fourth Street, 2<sup>13</sup> Floor Hollister, CA 95023

District Attorney, San Bernardino County 316 N. Mountain View Avenue San Bernardino, CA 92415-0004

District Attorney, San Diego County 330 West Broadway, Room 1300 San Diego, CA 92101

District Attorney, San Francisco County 850 Bryant Street, Room 325 San Francisco, CA 94103

District Attorney, San Josquin County Post Office Box 990 Stockton, CA 95201

District Attorney, San Luis Obispo County 1050 Monterey Street, Room 450 San Luis Obispo, CA 93408

District Attorney, San Moteo County 400 County Cir., 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor Redwood Ciry, CA 94063

District Attorney, Sente Barbara County 1105 Santa Barbara Street Santa Barbara, CA 93101

District Attorney, Santa Clara County 70 West Hedding Street San Jose, CA 95110

District Attorney, Sents Cruz County 701 Ocean Street, Room 200 Senta Cruz, CA 95050

District Attorney, Shasta County 1525 Court Street, Third Floor, Redding, CA 96001-1632

District Attorney, Sierra County PO Box 457 Downleville, CA 95936 District Attorney, Sisklyou County Past Office Box 986 Yreka, CA 96097

District Attorney, Sulano County 675 Texes Street, Sie 4500 Fairfield, CA 94533

District Attorney, Sonome County 600 Administration Drive, Room 212J Santa Ross, CA 95403

District Attorney, Stanislaus County 832 12 Street, Ste 300 Modesto, CA 95353

District Attorney, Sutter County 446 Second Street Yuba City, CA 95991

District Attorney, Tehame County Post Office Box 519 Red Bluff, CA 96080

District Attorney, Trinity County Post Office Box 310 Weaverville, CA 96093

District Attorney, Tulare County 221 S. Mooney Avenue, Room 224 Visalia, CA 93291

District Attornay, Tuolumne County 423 N. Washington Street Sonora, CA 953-70

District Attorney, Ventura County 800 South Victoria Avenue Ventura, CA 93009

District Attorney, Yolo County 301 2<sup>rd</sup> Street Woodland, CA 95695

District Attorney, Yuba County 215 Fifth Strest Maryrville, CA 95901

Los Angeles City Attorney's Office City Hall East 200 N. Main Street, Rm 800 Los Angeles, CA 90012

San Diego City Attorney's Office 1200 3rd Avenue, Ste 1620 Son Diego, CA 92101

San Francisco City Attorney's Office City Hall, Room 234 1 Drive Carlton 13 Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102

San Jose City Attorney's Office 200 East Santa Clara Street San Jose, CA 95113



# **Environmental Research Center**

5694 Mission Center Road #199 San Diego, CA 92108 619.309.4194

March 11, 2011

## YIA CERTIFIED MAIL

Current President or CBO Optimum Nutrition, Inc. 1756 Industrial Rd Welterboro, SC 29488

## YIA PRIORITY MAIL

District Attorneys of All California Counties and Select City Attorneys (See Attached Certificate of Service)

Corporation Service Co.
(Optimum Nutrition, Inc.'s Registered Agent for Service of Process)
1703 Laurel Street
Columbia, SC 29201

Office of the California Attorney General Prop 65 Enforcement Reporting 1515 Clay Street, Suite 2000 P.O. Box 70550 Oakland, CA 94612-0550

Re: Notice of Violations of California Health & Safety Code Section 25249.5 et seq.

### Dear Addressees:

I am the Executive Director of the Bovironmental Research Center ("BRC") in connection with this Notice of Violations of California's Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, which is codified at California Health & Safety Code Section 25249.5 et seq. and also referred to as Proposition 65.

ERC is a California non-profit corporation dedicated to, among other causes, helping safeguard the public from health hazards by bringing about a reduction in the use and misuse of hazardous and toxic chemicals, facilitating a safe environment for consumers and employees, and encouraging corporate responsibility.

The name of the Company covered by this Notice that violated Proposition 65 is:

Optimum Nutrition, Inc.

The products that are the subject of this Notice and the chemical in those products identified as exceeding allowable levels are:

Optimum Nutrition Mega Fat Burners 60 Tablets - Lead Optimum Nutrition Chitosan Diet Formula 200 capsules - Lead

## Optimum Nutrition Tribulus 625 Caps 50 Capsules - Lead

On February 27, 1987, the State of California officially listed lead as a chemical known to cause developmental toxicity, and male and female reproductive toxicity. On October 1, 1992, the State of California officially listed lead as chemical known to cause cancer.

This letter is a Notice to Optimum Nutrition, Inc. and the appropriate governmental authorities of the Proposition 65 violations concerning the listed products. This Notice covers all violations of Proposition 65 involving Optimum Nutrition, Inc. currently known to BRC from the information now available. ERC may continue to investigate other products that may reveal further violations. A summary of Proposition 65, prepared by the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment, has been provided to the Noticed Company with a copy of this letter.

Optimum Nutrition, Inc. has manufactured, marketed, distributed, and/or sold the listed products, which have exposed and continue to expose numerous individuals within California to the identified chemicals. The primary route of exposure to these chemicals has been through ingestion, but may have also occurred through inhalation and/or dermal contact. Proposition 65 requires that a clear and reasonable warning be provided prior to exposure to the identified chemicals. The method of warning should be a warning that appears on the product's label. Optimum Nutrition, Inc. violated Proposition 65 because the Company has failed to provide an appropriate warning to persons using these products that they are being exposed to the identified chemical.

Pursuant to Section 25249.7(d) of the statute, BRC intends to file a citizen enforcement action sixty days after effective service of this Notice unless Optimum Nutrition, Inc. agrees in an enforceable written instrument to: (1) reformulate the listed products so as to eliminate further exposures to the identified chemicals; and (2) pay an appropriate civil penalty. Consistent with the public interest goals of Proposition 65 and ERC 's objectives in pursuing this Notice, BRC is interested in seeking a constructive resolution to this matter. Such resolution will avoid both further unwarned consumer exposures to the identified chemicals and expensive and time consuming litigation.

Please direct all questions concerning this notice to ERC's attorney, Michael Freund, address: 1915 Addison Street, Berkley, California, 94704-1101, telephone no.: 510-540-1992, e-mail: Freund1@aol.com.

Sincerely.

Chris Heptinstall, Executive Director Bnyironmental Research Center

cc: Andrew Packard Karen Evans

Attachments

Certificate of Merit

Certificate of Service

OEHHA Summary (to Optimum Nutrition, Inc. and its Registered Agent for Service of Process only)
Additional Supporting Information for Certificate of Merit (to AG only)

## CERTIFICATE OF MERIT

Re: Environmental Research Center's Notice of Proposition 65 Violations by Optimum Nutrition, Inc.

## I, Michael Freund, declare:

- This Certificate of Merit accompanies the attached sixty-day Notice in which it is alleged the party identified in the Notice violated Celifornia Health & Safety Code Section 25249.6 by failing to provide clear and reasonable warnings.
- 2. I am an attorney for the noticing party.
- 3. I have consulted with one or more persons with relevant and appropriate experience or expertise who have reviewed facts, studies, or other data regarding the exposure to the listed chemical that is the subject of the Notice.
- 4. Based on the information obtained through those consultants, and on other information in my possession, I believe there is a reasonable and meritorious case for the private action. I understand that "reasonable and meritorious case for the private action" means that the information provides a credible basis that all elements of the plaintiff's case can be established and that the information did not prove that the alleged violator will be able to establish any of the affirmative defenses set forth in the statute.
- 5. Along with the copy of this Certificate of Merit served on the Attorney General is attached additional factual information sufficient to establish the basis for this Certificate, including the information identified in California Health & Safety Code §25249.7(h)(2), i.e., (1) the identity of the persons consulted with and relied on by the certifier, and (2) the facts, studies, or other data reviewed by those persons.

Dated: March 11, 2011

Michael Freund Aftorney for Environmental Research Center

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, the undersigned, declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the following is true and correct:

I am a citizen of the United States, over the age of 18 years of age, and am not a party to the within entitled action. My business address is 306 Joy Street, Fort Oglethorpe, Georgia 30742

On March 11, 2011, I served the following documents: NOTICE OF VIOLATIONS OF CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.5 ET SEQ.; CERTIFICATE OF MERIT; "THE SAFE DRINKING WATER AND TOXIC ENFORCEMENT ACT OF 1986 (PROPOSITION 65): A SUMMARY" on the following parties by placing a true and correct copy thereof in a sealed envelope, addressed to the party listed below and depositing it in a US Postal Service Office for delivery by Certified Mail:

Current President or CEO Optimum Nutrition, Inc. 1756 Industrial Rd Walterboro, SC 29488

Corporation Service Co. (Optimum Nutrition, Inc.'s Registered Agent for Service of Process) 1703 Laurel Street Columbia, SC 29201

On March 11, 2011, I served the following documents: NOTICE OF VIOLATION, CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.5 ET SEQ.; CERTIFICATE OF MERIT; ADDITIONAL SUPPORTING INFORMATION FOR CERTIFICATE OF MERIT AS REQUIRED BY CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.7(d)(1) on the following parties by placing a true and correct copy thereof in a scaled envelope, addressed to the party listed below and depositing it in a US Postal Service Office for delivery by Certified Mail:

Office of the California Attorney General Prop 65 Bnforcement Reporting 1515 Clay Street, Suite 2000 Post Office Box 70550 Oakland, CA 94612-0550

On March 11, 2011, I served the following documents: NOTICE OF VIOLATION, CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.5 BT SEQ.; CERTIFICATE OF MERIT on each of the parties on the Service List attached hereto by placing a true and correct copy thereof in a sealed envelope, addressed to each of the parties on the Service List attached hereto, and depositing it with the U.S. Postal Service for delivery by Priority Mail.

Executed on March 11, 2011, in Fort Oglethorpe, Georgia.

Chris Heptins all

## Service List

District Attorney, Alameda County 1225 Fallon Street, Room 900 Oakland, CA 94612

District Attorney, Alpice County P.O. Box 248 Marklesville, CA 96120

District Atterney, Amedor County 708 Court Street, #202 Jackson, CA 95642

District Attorney, Butte County 25 County Center Drive Oroville, CA 95965

District Attorney, Culaveras County 891 Mountain Rench Road San Andrees, CA 95249

District Attorney, Coluse County 547 Market Street Coluse, CA 95932

District Attorney, Contra Costa County 900 Ward Street Martinez, CA 94553

District Attorney, Del Norte County 450 H Street, Ste. 171 Crescent City, CA 95531

District Attorney, El Dorado County 515 Main Street Piacerville, CA 95667

District Attorney, Fresno County 2220 Tulare Street, #1000 Fresno, CA 93721

District Attorney, Glenn County Post Office Box 430 Willows, CA 95988

District Attorney, Humboldt County 825 5th Street Eureka, CA 95501

District Attorney, Imperial County 939 West Main Street, Sta 102 El Centro, CA 92243

District Attorney, Inyo County 230 W. Line Street Blanop, CA 93514

District Attorney, Kern County 1215 Truytun Avenue Bakersfield, CA 93301 District Attorney, Kings County 1400 West Lacey Houlevard Hanford, CA 93230

District Attorney, Loke County 255 N. Forbes Street Lakeport, CA 95453

District Attorney, Lassen County, 220 South Lassen Street, Ste, 8 Susanville, CA 96130

District Attorney, Los Angeles County 210 Wast Temple Street, Rm 345 Los Angeles, CA 90012

District Attorney, Madera County 209 West Yosemite Avenue Madera, CA 93637

District Attorney, Marin County 3501 Civic Center, Room 130 San Rafael, CA 94903

District Attorney, Mariposa County Post Office Box 730 Mariposa, CA 95338

District Attorney, Mendocino County Post Office Box 1000 Uklah, CA 95482

District Attorney, Merced County 2222 M Street Merced, CA 95340

District Attornsy, Modes County 204 S Court Street, Room 202 Alluras, CA 96101-4020

District Attorney, Mono.County Post Office Box 617 Bridgeport, CA 93517

District Attorney, Monterey County 230 Church Street, Bidg 2 Salines, CA 93901

District Attorney, Napa County 931 Parkway Mail Nopa, CA 94559

District Anomey, Nevada County 110 Union Street Nevada City, CA 95959

District Attorney, Orange County 401 Civic Center Drive West Sonts Ana, CA 92701 Notice of Violations of California Health & Safety Code §25249.5 et seq. March 11, 2011 Page 6

> District Attorney, Placer County 10810 Justice Center Drive, Sie 240 Roseville, CA 95678

District Attorney, Plumas County 520 Main Street, Room 404 Quincy, CA 95971

District Attorney, Riverside County 4075 Main Street, 1st Floor Riverside, CA 92501

District Attorney, Sucremento County 901 "G" Street Sacramento, CA 9581

District Attorney, San Benito County 419 Fourth Street, 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor Hollister, CA 95023

District Attorney, San Bernardino County 316 N. Mountain View Ayenue San Bernardino, CA 92415-0004

District Attorney, San Diego County 330 West Broadway, Room 1300' San Diego, CA 92101

District Attorney, San Francisco County 850 Bryant Street, Room 325 Satt Francsico, CA 94103

District Attorney, San Joaquin County Post Office Box 990 Stockton, CA 95201

District Attorney, San Lois Obispo County 1050 Monterey Street, Room 450 San Luis Obispo, CA 93408

District Attorney, San Mateo County 400 County Cir., 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor Redwood City, CA 94063

District Attorney, Samu Berbara County 1105 Santa Berbara Street Santa Barbara, CA 93101

District Attorney, Santa Clara County 70. West Hedding Street San Jose, CA 95110

District Attorney, Santa Cruz County 701 Ocean Street, Room 200 Santa Cruz, CA 95060

District Attorney, Shesta County 1825 Court Street, Third Floor Redding, CA 96001-1632

District Attorney, Sierra County PO Box 457 Downieville, CA 95936 District Attorney, Sisklyou County Post Office Box 986 Yreka, CA 96097

District Attorney, Solano County 675 Texes Street, Ste 4500 Fehrlield, CA 94533

District Attorney, Sonema County 600 Administration Drive, Room 2121 Santa Rose, CA 95403

District Attorney, Stanislaus County 832 12<sup>th</sup> Street, Ste 300 Modesto, CA 95353

District Attorney, Sutter County 446 Second Street Yuba City, CA 95991

District Atterney, Tehama County Post Office Box 519 Red Bloff, CA 96080

District Attorney, Trinity County Post Office Box 310 Weaverville, CA 96093

District Attorney, Tulere County 221 S. Mooney Avenue, Room 224 Visolia, CA 93291

District Attorney, Tuolumne County 423 N. Washington Street Sonora, CA 95370

District Attorney, Ventura County 800 South Victoria Avenue Ventura, CA 93009

District Attorney, Yolo County 301 2<sup>M</sup> Street Woodland, CA 95695

District Attorney, Yuba County 215 Fifth Street Marysville, CA 95901

Los Angeles City Attomey's Office City Hall East 200 N. Main Street, Rm 800 Los Angeles, CA 90012

San Diego City Astorney's Office 1200 3rd Avenue, Ste 1620 San Diego, CA 92101

San Francisco City Attorney's Office City Hall, Room 234 1 Drive Carlton B Goodleft Place San Francisco, CA 94102

San Jose City Attorney's Office 200 East Santa Clara Street San Jose, CA 95113



Kamala D. Harris Attorney General of California LAURA J. ZUCKERMAN Deputy Attorney General 2 3 State Bar No. 161896 JUN 192012 TIMOTHY B. SULLIVAN Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 197054 4 CLERK OF THE STREETION COURT. 1515 Clay Street, 20th Phoor Oakland, CA. 94612-0550 Telephone: (510) 622-2174 Fax; (510) 622-2270 B-mail: Laura Zuckerman@doj.ca.gov 5 6 7 8 Attorneys for the People of the State of California (Additional counsel for plaintiff on following page) 9 10 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 11 COUNTY OF ALAMEDA 12 13 14 THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF Case No.: RG08426937 CALIFORNIA, 15 ASSIGNED FOR ALL PURPOSES TO: JUDGE WYNNE CARVILL DEPARTMENT 21 Plaintiff, 16 17 CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO DEFENDANT PURE ESSENCE 18 21ST CENTURY HEALTHCARE, INC., et LABORATORIES, INC. ·19 Trial Date: Defendants. 20 May 6, 2013 Action Filed: December 23, 2008 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO DEFENDANT PURE ESSENCE LABORATORIES, INC. (RG08426997)

		· .
	<b>.</b>	•
	NANCY B. O'MALLBY	
2	Alameda County District Attorney	TONY RACKAUCKAS
	# LAWRENCE C BLAZED (#nceno)	Orange County District Attorney
3	Assistant District Attorney	JOSEPH D'AGOSTINO
	SCOTT D. PATTON (#148468)	Senior Assistant District Attorney
4	Deputy District Attorney	1KACY HUGHES (#180494)
	Popul Digitici Mining	Deputy District Attorney
5	Consumer & Environmental Protection Division	Consumer & Environmental Protection Uni
_	# 1011 Oakbott Biteer, Office 020	401 Civic Center Drive West
6	Oakland, CA 94621	Santa Ana, CA 92701-4575
·	# 10h(010) 009-9201	Tel: (714) 648-3600
7	Fax (510) 569-0505	Fax: (714) 648-3636
,		
. 8	DRAN D. FLIPPO	GARY LIEBERSTEIN
0	4 MANAGED COMPLY DISH 101-AMOUNTS	County of Napa District Attorney
9	ANNIE MICHAELS (#136134)	DARYL ROBERTS (#111981)
7	Deputy District Attorney	Deputy District Attorney
10	Monterey County District Attorney	931 Parkway Mall
10	1200 Aguajito Road, Room 301	Napa, CA 94559
11.1	Monterey, CA 93950	Tel: (707) 253-4211
11	Tel: (831) 647-7736	Fax: (707) 299-4322
10	Fax: (831) 647-7762	1 min (101) 255-4322
. 12		, ,
	EDWARD S. BERBERIAN	BOB LEE
13	Marin County District Attorney	Santa Cruz County District Attorney
	ANDRES PÉREZ (#186219)	KELLY I. WALKER (#95538)
14	Deputy District Attorney	Accietant District Attorney
	3501 Civic Center Drive, Room 130	Assistant District Attorney
15	San Rafael, CA 94903-4196	701 Ocean St., Room 200
	Tel: (415) 499-6450	Santa Cruz, California 95060
16	Fax: (415) 499-3719	Tel: (831) 454-2559
		Fax: (831) 454-2227
17	STEPHEN CARLTON	DON DU BAIN
•	County of Shasta District Attorney	
18	ERIN M. DERVIN (#188426)	County of Solano District Attorney
,	Deputy District Attorney	CRISELDA B. GONZALEZ (#146493)
19	1515 Court Street, 3rd Floor	Deputy District Attorney
	Redding; CA 96001	County Administration Center
20	Tel: (530) 245-6300	675 Texas Street, Suite 4500
	Fax: (530) 245-6345	Fairfield, CA 94533
21		Tel: (707) 784-6865
- [	JILL RAVITCH	Fax: (707) 784-2529
22	District Attorney, County of Sonoma	•
- 1	MATTHEW T. CHEBYER (#191783)	
23	Deputy District Attorney	•
	2300 County Center Drive, Suite B170	
24	Santa Rosa, CA 95403	
	Tel: (707) 565-3161	
25	Fax: (707) 565-3499	
	xax. (101) 303-3439	
26		
-~ N		•
27		•
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		•

. 28

## 1. INTRODUCTION

#### 1.1 Introduction

This Consent Judgment is entered pursuant to a stipulation by and between Plaintiff, the People of the State of California, and Defendant Pure Essence Laboratories, Inc. ("Pure Essence," or "Defendant"). Plaintiff and Defendant are collectively referred to as the "parties," and individually as a "party," in this Consent Judgment.

#### 1.2 Plaintiff

Plaintiff is the People of the State of California. The Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California Health and Safety Code section 25249.5 et seq. ("Proposition 65"), at section 25249.7, subdivision (c), provides that actions to enforce Proposition 65 may be brought by the Attorney General in the name of the People of the State of California or by any district attorney. California Business and Professions Code sections 17203 and 17204 also provide that actions to prohibit unfair and unlawful business practices may be brought in the name of the People of the State of California by the Attorney General or by any district attorney.

#### 1.3 Defendant

The settling defendant is Pure Essence Laboratories, Inc., a Nevada corporation, with its principal place of business at Las Vegas, Nevada. For purposes of this Consent Judgment, Defendant acknowledges that it is currently a business with more than 10 employees and that it currently therefore is a "person in the course of doing business" within the meaning of Proposition 65. If in the future Defendant employs fewer than 10 employees (according to the definition of "employee" in California Code of Regulations, title 27, section 25102, subdivision (h)), then Section 2 shall not apply for the period in which Defendant has fewer than 10 employees, provided that Defendant first notifies the People in writing that it employs fewer than 10 employees and provides proof of the number of employees it employs. Until such time as the Defendant provides notices and proof as set forth above, it shall continue to comply with the terms of the Consent Judgment.

Į

.13 

 The People's Complaint alleges that, through the manufacture, distribution, and/or sale of vitamin supplements to consumers in California, Defendant violated the provisions of Proposition 65 and engaged in unfair competition, as defined in Business and Professions Code section 17200, by knowingly exposing persons to lead, a chemical known to cause cancer and reproductive toxicity, without providing a clear and reasonable warning to such individuals.

### 1.5 Covered Products

The term "Covered Product" means a dietary supplement that Defendant manufactures for sale in California, Distributes into California, and/or directly sells to a consumer in California and for which 21 Code of Federal Regulations part 101.36(b)(2) (2011) requires a label that supplies information indicating that the maximum recommended daily dose of the product:

- (a) Contains 250 milligrams or more of calcium or 100 milligrams or more of magnesium; or
- (b) Contains 100 percent or more of the Reference Daily Intake (as set forth in 21 Code of Federal Regulations part 101.9(c)(8)(iv) (2011)) of four or more of the following vitamins and minerals (each of which is hereinafter referred to as "Specified Vitamins and Minerals"): calcium, iron, Vitamin A, Vitamin D, Vitamin C, folate (folic acid, folacin), Vitamin B-6 (pyridoxine), or Vitamin B-12 (cyanocobalamin); or
- (c) Contains 50 percent or more of the Reference Daily Intake (as set forth in 21 Code of Federal Regulations part 101.9(c)(8)(iy) (2011)) of any of the Specified Vitamins and Minerals and also meets any of the following criteria:
  - (1) The product is identified on the label or in advertisements or marketing material as a vitamin-mineral, multivitamin, or multi-mineral supplement;
  - (2) The product is identified on the label or in advertisements or marketing material as a prenatal, lactation; or fertility supplement;
  - (3) The product is identified on the label or in advertisements or marketing material as a supplement for children or teenagers;
  - (4) The product contains 0.4 milligrams or more of folate (folic acid, folacin)

 , per daily dose; or

(5) The product is intended to be consumed primarily by, or is marketed primarily toward, any of the following persons: children under the age of 18; pregnant women; lactating women; or women or men seeking to enhance fertility, improve reproductive health, or conceive a child.

The presence of substances such as herbs, herbal extracts, or amino acids does not preclude a product from falling within the definition of Covered Products if it otherwise falls within the terms set forth. Covered Products do not, however, include the following:

- (i) Fortified foods, i.e., foods to which additional vitamins and minerals have been added, including but not limited to cereal or pasta with vitamins and minerals added, or iodized salt;
- (ii) Beverages that otherwise would fall within the definition;
- (iii) Meal replacement products, i.e., products that are intended to provide calories or nutritional benefits sufficient to replace a meal; or
- (iv) Protein supplements, i.e., products supplying at least 10 grams of protein per daily serving.

A list of the Covered Products manufactured, distributed, and/or sold by Defendant and subject to this Consent Judgment is set forth in Exhibit A. Any product manufactured, distributed, and/or sold by Defendant that is not set forth in Exhibit A is not covered by the injunctive relief provisions of Section 2, except as specifically provided in Section 1.6 or Section 9 below.

"Distributing into California" (or "Distribute[s][d] into California") means to directly ship a Covered Product into California for sale in California or to sell a Covered Product to a distributor that Defendant knows will sell the Covered Product in California.

## 1.6 Private Label Products

(a) Defendant will submit to the Office of the Attorney General, prior to the Effective Date, a list of "private label" or contract-manufactured products that meet the definition of Covered Products, along with the products' brand name and customer and any additional

. 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

- (b) All-Confidential Private Label Information provided to the Attorney General, whether before or after the Effective Date, is deemed to be Protected Information under the Protective Order entered in this case on November 19, 2009 ("Protective Order"). For the purposes of this Consent Judgment, all elements of the Protective Order shall apply to Confidential Private Label Information, except that (a) Paragraphs 6, 7, 9, 16, and 17 of the Protective Order do not apply to Confidential Private Label Information; and (b) documents containing Confidential Private Label Information need not be consecutively Bates-numbered. Further, to the extent the Court modifies the Protective Order upon motion by any party to this action in accordance with Paragraph 18 of the Protective Order, such modification shall not apply to the application of the Protective Order to this Consent Judgment without the written consent of Defendant.
- (c) Notwithstanding anything herein or in the Protective Order to the contrary, the People shall disclose Confidential Private Label Information if requested to do so by Defendant. The People will return or destroy all Confidential Private Label Information submitted by Defendant if, after the date that is five (5) years from the Effective Date, Defendant requests in writing that the People do so.

The term "Released Products" means the Covered Products set forth in Exhibit B.

3 4

#### 1.8 Complaint

5 6

8 9

7

10

11

12 13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

26

27 28

On December 23, 2008, the People filed a complaint in the Superior Court in and for the County of Alameda against Defendant and certain other vitamin supplement manufacturers, distributors, and sellers, alleging violations of Proposition 65 and acts of unfair competition, as defined in Business and Professions Code section 17200, based on the alleged exposures to lead contained in the vitamin supplements. On March 27, 2009, the People filed a First Amended Complaint ("Complaint" or "Action"). Defendant filed an answer to the Complaint on July 23, 2009.

#### 1.9 Complaint Deemed Amended

This Consent Judgment amends the Complaint, effective as of March 27, 2009, such that all allegations in the Complaint regarding "Vitamin Supplements" (or "vitamin supplements") sold, manufactured, and/or distributed by Defendant are replaced by allegations regarding the Covered Products.

#### 1.10 No Admissions or Findings

Defendant denies the material, factual and legal allegations contained in Plaintiff's Complaint and maintains that all Covered Products that it sold and distributed in California have been and are in compliance with all laws, including Proposition 65. The parties enter into this Consent Judgment pursuant to a settlement of certain disputed claims between the parties as alleged in the Complaint for the purpose of avoiding prolonged and costly litigation between the parties hereto. By execution of this Consent Judgment, Defendant does not admit any facts or conclusions of law suggesting or demonstrating any violations of Proposition 65, the Unfair Competition Act, or any other statutory, common law or equitable requirements relating to the Covered Products. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission by Defendant of any fact, issue of law, or violation of law. Except as expressly set forth herein, nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive, or impair any right, remedy, or defense Defendant may have in this or any other or future legal proceedings. However, this Section shall

. 9

10

11

12

.13

14.

15

16

1.11 Consent to Jurisdiction

17 1.12 · Effective Date

19

18

20

22 23

21

25

24

27

28

26

not diminish or otherwise affect the obligations, responsibilities, and duties of Defendant under this Consent Judgment. By execution of this Consent Judgment, the People do not admit any facts or conclusions of law concerning any violations of Proposition 65, the Unfair Competition Act, or any other statutory, common law or equitable requirements relating to the Covered Products. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission by the People of any fact or issue of law, nor shall entering into the Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by the People of any fact or issue of law. Except as expressly set forth herein, nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive, or impair any right, remedy, or argument the People may have in this or any other or future legal proceedings.

For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over Defendant as to the allegations contained in the Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of Alameda, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter and enforce the provisions of this Consent Judgment. This Consent Judgment shall have no application or effect on Defendant for Covered Products or other products distributed or sold by Defendant to consumers outside of the state of California.

For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term "Effective Date" shall mean the date this Consent Judgment is entered by the Court.

## INJUNCTIVE RELIEF/ PERMANENT INJUNCTION

On and after March 1, 2012, Defendant shall be permanently enjoined and 2.1. restrained, pursuant to Health & Safety Code §25249.7 and Business and Professions Code § 17203, from manufacturing for sale in California, Distributing into California, or directly selling to a consumer in California any Covered Product for which the maximum daily dose recommended on the label contains more than 0.5 micrograms of lead; after subtracting out the amount of lead deemed "naturally occurring" for each ingredient listed in Table 2.4 below that is present in the Covered Product, as described in Section 2.4 below, unless such Covered Product complies with the warning requirement set forth in Section 2.2 below. This injunction shall not

apply to individual units of Covered Product that Defendant puts into the stream of commerce before March 1, 2012. To put into the stream of commerce means the individual unit of Covered Product was put into final packaging for consumer sale, Distributed into California, or sold in California by Defendant. Defendant shall not reduce the recommended dose (by size, number of tablets, volume, weight, or frequency) of a Covered Product solely to avoid the warning requirement of Section 2.1. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall impair or limit the ability of Defendant to reformulate, relabel, or alter the dose of any Covered Product for other reasons.

2.2. Clear and Reasonable Warnings. For those Covered Products that are subject to the warning requirement of Section 2.1, Defendant shall provide one of the following warnings ("Warning") as specified below:

[California Residents Proposition 65] WARNING [(California Proposition 65)]:

This product contains [lead,] [a] chemical[s] known [to the State of California] to cause [cancer and] birth defects or other reproductive harm.

[California Residents Proposition 65] WARNING [(California Proposition 65)]:

This product contains [lead,] [a] substance[s] known [to the State of California] to cause [cancer and] birth defects or other reproductive harm.

(The text in brackets in the warnings above is optional, except that the term "cancer" must be included if the maximum daily dose recommended on the label contains more than 15 micrograms of lead after subtracting out the amount of lead deemed "naturally occurring" for each ingredient listed in Table 2.4 below that is present in the Covered Product.)

- (a) For sales in retail stores, the Warning may be provided by either of the following methods, (1) Identifying Signs and Designated Symbol in Retail Stores, or (2) Other Clear and Reasonable Warnings in Retail Stores, below:
- (1) Identifying Signs and Designated Symbol in Retail Stores. In retail stores, the Warning may be provided through the use of a system that combines both a designated symbol and an identifying sign that explains the meaning of the designated symbol. The designated symbol ("Symbol") shall be the Symbol shown on Exhibit C and shall appear as shown on Exhibit C, with black "Prop 65" and "I" text, black border, and yellow background, wherever it is displayed.

Ι4

.25 

(i) Form of Sign. A Sign shall be rectangular and at least 36 square inches in size, with the word "WARNING" centered one-half of an inch from the top of the sign all in one-half inch capital letters. For the body of the warning message, left and right margins of at least one-half of an inch, and a bottom margin of at least one-half inch shall be observed. The Symbol must be at least one inch high. Larger signs shall bear substantially the same proportions of type size and spacing to sign dimension as a sign that is 36 square inches in size. Unless modified by agreement of the parties, the sign shall contain the following text (text in brackets is optional, except as described above):

WARNING:
CALIFORNIA PROPOSITION 65
Products with the symbol
[Shown on Exhibit C]
contain [lead,] [a] chemical[s] known to
the State of California to
cause [cancer and] birth defects
or other reproductive harm

(ii) Placement of Sign. Signs shall be placed in each California establishment in which any of Defendant's Covered Products that requires a warning are sold. Where a retail establishment sells only products that do not require a warning, it is not required to post the Sign. Signs shall not be covered or obscured, and shall be placed and displayed in a manner rendering them likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual prior to purchase. At least one Sign shall be posted in each aisle or on each shelf or display where the Covered Products for which the warning is being provided are offered or displayed for sale, unless the retail establishment has less than 7,500 square feet of retail space and no more than two cash registers, or the retail establishment's principal purpose is to sell dietary supplements, in which case the Sign may be posted at each cash register. Additional signs shall be posted as are necessary to assure that any potential purchaser of Covered Products would be reasonably likely to see a Sign prior to purchase.

7

10 11

12 13

14 15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22 23

24

25 26

27 28

(iii) Defendant shall provide an exemplar Sign to the central purchasing office for all distributors and retail establishments with whom Defendant transacts business for sale of the Covered Products in California that require a warning. Defendant shall send to each such entity instructions, substantially similar to the sample letter attached as Exhibit D, to post the Sign (in the case of a retailer) or request that retailers post the Sign (in the case of a distributor) in accordance with this Consent Judgment, and shall request a response to Defendant with a written acknowledgment that the Sign will be posted (in the case of a retailer), or that the distributor shall request retailers to post the Sign, within 30 days of receipt of the instructions. Defendant shall send a follow-up communication, substantially similar to the sample letter attached as Exhibit B, to entities who were sent the original instructions and who did not timely send an acknowledgment. Defendant shall maintain files demonstrating compliance with this provision, including the communications sent and receipts of any acknowledgments from retailers and distributors, which shall be provided to the Attorney General on written request. If Defendant learns that a retailer, distributor, or other person has failed to, or failed to request another entity to, post or maintain the Sign in accordance with subsection (ii) above, Defendant shall stop providing Covered Products to such retailer, distributor, or other person until it verifies that compliance with the terms of subsection (ii) above is achieved.

(iv) If Defendant complies with the terms of subsection (iii) above, it shall not be found to have violated this Consent Judgment where a retail store, distributor, or other person fails to, or fails to request another entity to, post or maintain the Sign in accordance with this Consent Judgment.

- (B) Covered Products Sold in Retail Stores: Symbol. The Symbol shall be prominently displayed with such conspicuousness, as compared with other words, statements, designs, or devices used at the point the Covered Product is offered for sale, as to render the Symbol likely to be seen by an ordinary individual prior to purchase. The Symbol shall be displayed on or adjacent to the Covered Products in any one or more of the following locations:
- (i) The Symbol may be permanently affixed to or prominently printed on any placards, signs, or shelf stickers adjacent to the Covered Product that identify the name or

14<sub>.</sub>

17 ·

·18

price of the Covered Product displayed, in which case the Symbol shall be at least as tall as the largest letter or numeral in the name or price of the Covered Product; or

- (ii) The Symbol may be permanently affixed to or printed on (at the point of manufacture, prior to shipment to California, or prior to distribution within California) the outside packaging or container of each unit of the Covered Product, in which case the Symbol must be large enough that the text "Prop 65" and "!" are in a type size no smaller than 6 point, and in no case shall the Symbol be less than one-quarter inch (0.25 inch) high; or
- (iii) The Symbol may be permanently affixed to or printed on a "hang tag" secured to the container of each unit of the Covered Product, in which case the Symbol shall be at least one-half inch tall,
- the Identifying Signs and Designated Symbol in Retail Stores system described above in Section 2.2(a)(1), the Warning shall be permanently affixed to or printed on (at the point of manufacture, prior to shipment to California, or prior to distribution within California) the outside packaging or container of each unit of the Covered Product, or on a "hang tag" secured to the container of each unit of the Covered Product. The Warning shall be displayed with such conspicuousness, as compared with other words, statements, designs, or devices on the packaging or labeling, as to render it likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual prior to purchase. If the Warning is displayed on the product container or labeling, the Warning shall be at least the same size as the largest of any other health or safety warnings on the product container or labeling, and the word "warning" shall be in all capital letters and in bold print. If printed on the labeling itself, the Warning shall be contained in the same section of the labeling that states other safety warnings concerning the use of the product.
- (b) For Covered Products sold to California consumers through the Internet, the Warning shall be prominently displayed on each webpage describing the ingredients or attributes of the Covered Product, or the Warning may be provided at the time the customer enters a California address for the shipping address. For sales of Covered Products to California consumers through websites of third parties not affiliated with Defendant, where the Covered Product may be

27.

returned by the consumer for a full refund with no extra charge or shipping or handling fee, the Warning may alternatively be displayed on the outside packaging or container of each unit of the Covered Product or on an invoice that accompanies the shipment of the Covered Product. In all circumstances, the Warning shall be displayed with such conspicuousness, as compared with other words, statements, designs, or devices on the webpages, packaging, container, or invoice, as to render it likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual prior to use. The Warning shall be at least the same size as the largest of any other health or safety warnings on the webpage, invoice, or product packaging, and the word "warning" shall be in all capital letters and in bold print. A Warning printed on an invoice must be in a type size at be at least as tall as the largest letter or numeral in the name or price of the Covered Product printed on the invoice. The requirements of this paragraph may be modified by written agreement between Defendant and the People.

- (c) For Covered Products sold to California consumers through a printed catalog, the Warning shall be prominently displayed on a catalog page describing the ingredients or attributes of the Covered Product. Where the Covered Product may be returned by the consumer for a full refund with no extra charge or shipping or handling fee, the Warning may alternatively be displayed on the outside packaging or container of each unit of the Covered Product or on an invoice that accompanies the shipment of the Covered Product. The Warning shall be displayed with such conspicuousness, as compared with other words, statements, designs, or devices on the catalog page, invoice, or product packaging, as to render it likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual prior to the time of use. The Warning shall be at least the same size as the largest of any other health or safety warnings on the catalog page, invoice, or product packaging, and the word "warning" shall be in all capital letters and in bold print. A Warning printed on an invoice must be in a type size at be at least as tall as the largest letter or numeral in the name or price of the Covered Product printed on the invoice.
- (d) For sales and distribution of Covered Products not described in subsections (a), (b), and (c), above, the Warning shall be provided at the point of sale or distribution prior to purchase by the consumer. The Warning shall be displayed with such conspicuousness, as compared with

other words, statements, designs, or devices, as to render it likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual prior to purchase. The Warning shall be at least the same size as the largest of any other health or safety warnings presented, and the word "warning" shall be in all capital letters and in bold print.

2.3. The warning requirements set forth herein are imposed pursuant to the terms of this Consent Judgment, and are recognized by the parties as not being the exclusive methods of providing a warning for the Covered Products under Proposition 65 and its implementing regulations.

## 2.4. Calculation of Lead Content

For the purposes of Section 2.1 of this Consent Judgment, the amount of lead deemed "naturally occurring" in a Covered Product is the sum of the amounts of "naturally occurring" lead supplied by the quantity of each ingredient listed in Table 2.4 that is present in the maximum daily dose recommended on the label of Covered Product. For each ingredient, the amount of "naturally occurring" lead is listed in Table 2.4 in micrograms ("mcg") of "naturally occurring" lead per gram of the ingredient contained in the maximum daily dose recommended on the label of Covered Product. If the amount of elemental calcium contained in the maximum daily dose recommended on the label of a Covered Product exceeds 1500 milligrams, then the amount of "naturally occurring" lead supplied by each ingredient listed in Table 2.4 is limited to that amount of lead supplied by the quantity of the ingredient that would be contained in that fraction of the maximum daily dose of the Covered Product that would supply only 1500 milligrams of elemental calcium.

5 6

7 8

9 10

11 12

13 14

16

15

17· 18

19 20

21

22 23

24

25 26

27

28

INGREDIENT	NATURALLY OCCURRING AMOUNT OF LEAD
Calcium (elemental)	0.8 mcg Pb per gram of elemental Calcium
Ferrous Fumerate	0.4 mcg Pb per gram of Ferrous Fumarate
Zinc Oxide	8.0 mcg Pb per gram of Zinc Oxide
Magnesium Oxide	0.4 mcg Pb per gram of Magnesium Oxide
Magnesium Carbonate	0.332 mcg Pb per gram of Magnesium Carbonate
Magnesium Hydroxide	0.4 mcg Pb per gram of Magnesium Hydroxide
Zinc Gluconate	0.8 mcg Pb per gram of Zinc Gluconate
Potassium Chloride	1.1 meg Pb per gram of Potassium Chloride

# 2.5. Modification of "Naturally Occurring" Allowance

In the event that the Attorney General determines that the naturally occurring levels set forth in Table 2.4 of Section 2.4 above are higher than the "lowest level currently" feasible," as stated in California Code of Regulations, title 27, section 25501, the Attorney General shall have the right to seek a modification of the Consent Judgment to reflect the alleged "lowest level currently feasible" of naturally occurring lead in the specified ingredients. Prior to seeking such modification, the Attorney General shall provide written notice to Defendant that the Attorney General intends to seek the modification. The parties shall have ninety (90) days in which to confer with the Attorney General concerning the modification. If Defendant and the Attorney General are unable to agree on a modification to the Consent Judgment, the Attorney General may file a motion with the Court seeking a modification of the Consent Judgment. In any motion by the Attorney General seeking such a modification, the burden of producing evidence shall be initially upon the Attorney General to demonstrate a prime facie case that the modification sought by the Attorney General is the "lowest level currently feasible." A Defendant who does not agree to such modification retains the ultimate burden of proving that the modification sought by the Attorney General is lower than the "lowest level currently feasible." The parties hereby agree that the Consent Judgment should be modified to reflect any agreement

14<sub>.</sub>

22.

of the parties or any determination by the Court concerning what is the "lowest level currently feasible" for lead in the specified ingredients.

- (b) In the event that Defendant determines that the naturally occurring levels set forth in Table 2.4 of Section 2.4 above are lower than the "lowest level currently feasible," as stated in California Code of Regulations, title 27, section 2,5501, Defendant shall have the right to seek modification of the Consent Judgment to reflect the alleged "lowest level currently feasible" of naturally occurring lead in the specified ingredients. Prior to seeking such modification, Defendant shall provide written notice to the Attorney General that it intends to seek the modification. The parties shall have ninety (90) days in which to confer concerning the modification. If the parties are unable to agree on a modification to the Consent Judgment, Defendant may file a motion with the Court seeking a modification of the Consent Judgment. In any motion by Defendant seeking such modification, the burden of producing evidence and of proof shall be on Defendant to prove that the modification sought by the Defendant is the "lowest level currently feasible." The parties hereby agree that the Consent Judgment should be modified to reflect any agreement of the parties or any determination by the Court concerning what is the "lowest level currently feasible" for lead in the specified ingredients.
- (c) The term "feasible" as used in Section 2.5 includes, but is not limited to, a consideration of the following factors: availability and reliability of a supply of low-lead ingredients that meet the requirements set forth in Section 2.4; cost of low-lead ingredients and resulting increase in manufacturers' prices resulting from the use of the low-lead ingredients; performance characteristics of low-lead ingredients and of the resulting Covered Products, including, but not limited to, formulation, performance, safety, efficacy, and stability. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be interpreted to require Defendant to use any ingredient in a Covered Product that would render the Covered Product unlawful under state or federal law as measured by existing and/or future applicable California and federal food and drug laws and regulations.

<ul><li>(a) Once a year, on or before the anniversary of the entry of the Consent Judgment (or, in</li></ul>
the case of a New Product deemed to be a Covered Product pursuant to Section 9, prior to the
time it is Distributed into California or directly sold to a consumer in California), Defendant shall
test for lead content, or require its supplier to test for lead content, randomly-selected samples of
each Covered Product (in the form intended for sale to the end-user) for which a batch or lot was
manufactured in the preceding twelve months. This testing requirement does not apply to a
Covered Product for which Defendant has provided the warning specified in Section 2.2 since the
Effective Date or during the preceding twelve months, whichever is the more recent period, nor
does it apply to a Covered Product during any time period in which Defendant has provided the
warning specified in Section 2.2. The method of selecting samples for testing must comply with
the regulations of the Food and Drug Administration as set forth in 21 Code of Pederal
Regulations part 111, subpart B, including part 111.80(c) (2011). This testing requirement will
no longer apply to Covered Products identified on Exhibit A or to New Products if those products
are reformulated so that they no longer meet the definition of Covered Products contained in
Section 1.5.

- (b) Testing for lead shall be performed using a laboratory method that complies with the performance and quality control factors appropriate for the method used (including limit of detection, limit of quantification, accuracy, and precision) and that meets either of the following sets of criteria:
- (1) Closed-vessel, microwave-assisted acid digestion employing high-purity reagents, followed by Inductively Coupled Plasma-Mass Spectrometry (ICP-MS), achieving a limit of quantification of ≤ 0.060 mg/kg, or any other testing method previously agreed upon in writing by the parties; or
- (2) Heat-assisted acid digestion employing high-purity reagents, followed by Inductively Coupled Plasma-Mass Spectrometry (ICP-MS), achieving a limit of quantification of ≤ 0.060 mg/kg.

.26

 Defendant acknowledges that the method specified in (b)(2) may be a less accurate method of determining the lead content of its products than (b)(1) and may tend to underreport the actual amount of lead present in the product. If Defendant elects to use method (b)(2) for testing any Covered Product, and the results of the test report an amount of lead that would lead to an exposure of more than 0.35 micrograms of lead per day, based on the maximum daily dose recommended on the label of the Covered Product, then prior to distributing into California or directly selling in California that Covered Product Defendant shall re-test the product using the method outlined in (b)(1) and shall disregard the results of the first test.

- (c) Defendant shall provide any test results and documentation within fifteen (15) working days of any written request from the People, and shall retain all test results and documentation for a period of four (4) years from the date of the test. All test results for lead content, once provided to the Attorney General, shall be public documents, but Defendant may redact any test reports to remove results of tests for chemicals other than lead. Absent good cause, the People shall not request test data from Defendant pursuant to this Section 2.6 more frequently than twice a year.
- (d) If tests conducted pursuant to subsection (b) demonstrate that no warning is required for a Covered Product during each of four (4) consecutive years, then the testing requirements of this Section 2.6 are suspended as to that Covered Product until there is a material change in the product's formula, manufacturing process, ingredients, or recommended dosage, at which time the testing requirements applicable to New Products in subsection (a) shall apply; however, such suspension of the testing requirements does not suspend or waive any other requirement of this Consent Judgment, including any obligation to provide a warning pursuant to Section 2.1.
- (e) Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall limit Defendant's ability to conduct, or require that others conduct, additional testing of the Covered Products, including the raw materials used in their manufacture.
- (f) This Consent Judgment, including the testing and sampling methodology set forth in this Section, is the product of negotiation and compromise, and is accepted by the parties for purposes of settling, compromising, and resolving issues disputed in this action, including future

 compliance by Defendant with Section 2 of this Consent Judgment, and shall not be used for any other purpose, or in any other matter and, except for the purpose of determining future compliance with this Consent Judgment, shall not constitute an adoption or employment of a method of analysis for a listed chemical in a specific medium as set forth in California Code of Regulations, title 27, section 25900, subdivision (g).

2.7. Nothing in the Consent Judgment shall preclude Defendant from seeking to modify this Consent Judgment pursuant to Section 8.1 to establish that any ingredient or ingredients not set forth in Table 2.4 of Section 2.4 of this Consent Judgment contain(s) lead that is naturally occurring at the lowest level currently feasible as stated in California Code of Regulations, title 27, section 25501.

## 3. SETTLEMENT PAYMENTS

## 3.1 Settlement Amount and Allocation

The total settlement amount to be paid by Defendant shall be \$50,000.00, allocated more specifically as follows:

- (1) Within 30 days of the Effective Date, Defendant shall pay a civil penalty of seventeen thousand one hundred dollars (\$17,100.00) pursuant to California Health & Safety Code section 25249.7, subdivision (b). This payment shall be divided in accordance with Health & Safety Code section 25249.12, subdivisions (c) and (d), with \$12,825.00 (75 percent of the penalty) to be sent to the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA" to be deposited in the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Fund, and \$4,275.00 (25 percent of the penalty) to be paid to the Office of the Attorney General.
- (a) The 75-percent share of the penalty to be deposited in the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Fund shall be paid by check payable to OEHHA, with the check to bear the notation "Proposition 65 AG Matter ID OK2008900614."
- (b) The 25-percent share of the penalty to be paid to the Office of the Attorney General shall be paid by check payable to the "California Department of Justice Litigation Deposit Fund." The check shall bear on its face "Proposition 65 Recoveries Fund" and the Attorney General's internal reference number for this matter (OK2008900614). The money paid

.26 27 28

25

to the Attorney General's Office pursuant to this paragraph shall be administered by the California Department of Justice and shall be used by the Environment Section of the Public Rights Division of the Attorney General's Office, until all funds are exhausted, for any of the following purposes: (1) implementation of the Attorney General's authority to protect the environment and natural resources of the State pursuant to Government Code section 12600 et seq. and as Chief Law Officer of the State of California pursuant to Article V, section 13 of the California Constitution; (2) enforcement of laws related to environmental protection, including, but not limited to, Chapters 6.5 and 6.95, Division 20, of the California Health & Safety Code; (3) enforcement of the Unfair Competition Law, Business & Professions Code section 17200 et seq., as it relates to protection of the environment and natural resources of the State of California; and (4) other environmental actions that benefit the State and its citizens as determined by the Attorney General. Such funding may be used for the costs of the Attorney General's investigation, filing fees and other court costs, payment to expert witnesses and technical consultants, purchase of equipment, laboratory analyses, personnel costs, travel costs, and other costs necessary to pursue environmental actions investigated or initiated by the Attorney General for the benefit of the State of California and its citizens. The payment, and any interest derived therefrom, shall solely and exclusively augment the budget of the Attorney General's Office as it pertains to the Buvironment Section of the Public Rights Division and in no manner shall supplant or cause any reduction of any portion of the Attorney General's budget.

- (2) Within 30 days of the Effective Date, Defendant shall pay a civil penalty of eight thousand five hundred fifty dollars (\$8,550.00) pursuant to Business & Professions Code section 17206 to the Marin County District Attorney's Office, which office shall distribute this amount pursuant to a written agreement of the District Attorney offices in this action.
- (3) Within 30 days of the Effective Date, Defendant shall pay investigative costs in the amount of twelve thousand one hundred seventy-five dollars (\$12,175.00) pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 17203 to the Marin County District Attorney's Office, which office shall distribute this amount pursuant to a written agreement of the District Attorney offices in this

4

7

12 . 13

11

14 15

16 · 17

18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

26 27

28

action; with the portion provided to the Napa County District Attorney's Office to be deposited into the Napa District Attorney's Environmental Protection Trust Fund.

(4) Within 30 days of the Effective Date, Defendant shall pay twelve thousand one hundred seventy-five dollars (\$12,175.00) to the California Department of Justice as reimbursement for attorneys fees and costs to be used by the Attorney General for the enforcement of Proposition 65 ("Enforcement Fund Payment"). This payment shall be made by check payable to the "California Department of Justice." The check shall bear on its face "Proposition 65 Enforcement Fund" and the Attorney General's internal reference number for this matter (OK2008900614). Funds paid pursuant to this paragraph shall be placed in an interest-bearing Special Deposit Fund established by the Attorney General. These funds, including any interest, shall be used by the Attorney General, until all funds are exhausted, for the costs and expenses associated with the enforcement and implementation of Proposition 65, including investigations, enforcement actions, and other litigation or activities as determined by the Attorney General to be reasonably necessary to carry out his duties and authority under Proposition 65. Such funding may be used for the costs of the Attorney General's investigation, filing fees and other court costs, payment to expert witnesses and technical consultants, purchase of equipment; travel, purchase of written materials, laboratory testing, sample collection, or any other cost associated with the Attorney General's duties or authority under Proposition 65. Funding placed in the Special Deposit Fund pursuant to this paragraph, and any interest derived therefrom, shall solely and exclusively augment the budget of the Attorney General's Office and in no manner shall supplant or cause any reduction of any portion of the Attorney General's budget.

## 3.2 Delivery

Defendant shall pay the entire settlement amount within thirty (30) days following the Effective Date. The payments required by this Consent Judgment shall be made as follows:

(a) The payment required by Section 3.1(1)(a) shall be sent directly to:

Senior Accounting Officer – MS 19-B Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment P.O. Box 4010 Sacramento, CA 95812-0410

23.

(b) All payments required by Sections 3.1(1)(b) and 3.1(4) shall be made through the delivery of separate checks by certified or express mail to the attention of:

Laura J. Zuckerman
Timothy E. Sullivan
Deputy Attorneys General
California Department of Justice
1515 Clay Street, 20th Floor
Oakland, CA 94612

A copy of the checks and cover letter shall be sent to

Robert Thomas
Legal Analyst
California Department of Justice
1515 Clay St., 20th Floor
P.O. Box 70550
Oakland, California 94612-0550

(c) A single check in the amount of twenty thousand seven hundred twenty-five dollars (\$20,725.00), comprising the amounts described above in Sections 3.1(2) and 3.1(3), shall be made payable to "Marin District Attorney Consumer Trust Account" and sent by certified or express mail to the attention of:

Andres Perez
Deputy District Attorney
Marin County District Attorney's Office
3501 Civic Center Dr.
San Rafael CA 94903

## 4. ENFORCEMENT OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

(a) In the event that the People believe that Defendant is in violation of any provision of this Consent Judgment, the People shall provide written notice of such alleged violation to Defendant. The Parties must meet and confer regarding the alleged violation within twenty (20) business days of Defendant's receipt of the notice. After sending such a notice of alleged violation, and notwithstanding the meet-and-confer obligation in the preceding sentence, the People may, by motion or order to show cause before the Superior Court of Alameda County, enforce the terms and conditions contained in this Consent Judgment. In any action brought by the People to enforce this Consent Judgment, the People may seek whatever fines, costs,

penalties, or remedies as are provided by law for failure to comply with the Consent Judgment. Where said failure to comply constitutes a violation of Proposition 65, unfair competition, as defined by Business and Professions Code section 17200, or a violation of other laws, the People are not limited to enforcement of this Consent Judgment, but may seek in another action whatever fines, costs, penalties, or remedies as are provided by law for failure to comply with Proposition 65 or other laws or for engaging in unfair competition. The rights of Defendant to defend itself and its actions in law or equity shall not be abrogated or reduced in any fashion by the terms of this Section 4.

(b) If, after the date this Consent Judgment is executed by Defendant, Defendant receives or becomes aware of a notice of alleged violation pursuant to California Health and Safety Code section 25249.7, subdivision (d), alleging that a Covered Product has caused an exposure to lead in violation of section 25249.6, and the Defendant provides evidence to the People, within thirty (30) days of receipt or knowledge of such notice of alleged violation, that either (1) the Covered Product would not have required a warning under the standards set out in Section 2.1 had they then been applicable, or (2) Defendant has discontinued, reformulated, or relabeled the Covered Product such that a warning is no longer required under Section 2.1, then Defendant and the People shall meet and confer respecting such matter within thirty (30) days of the People's receipt of such evidence. As a result of those discussions between the People and Defendant, the People may seek to modify this Consent Judgment to add the Covered Product that is the subject of the notice of alleged violation to the list of Released Products if the Defendant and the People agree on such modification. Otherwise, the People may take such other action as allowed by law, or the People may elect to take no action respecting such Covered Product.

#### 5. COVERED CLAIMS

This Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution between the People and Defendant, its parents, shareholders, divisions, subdivisions, subsidiaries, sister companies, affiliates, and cooperative members (collectively, the "Covered Butities"), and with the licensors, licensees, retailers, distributors, wholesalers, upstream suppliers, contract manufacturers, agents, representatives of the Covered Butities, and the officers, directors, employees, attorneys, agents,

17.

·19

representatives, predecessors, successors, and assigns of any of the above, of any violation of Proposition 65 or its implementing regulations, any acts of unfair competition, as defined by Business and Professions Code sections 17200, or any violation of any other statutory or common law that have been or could have been asserted in the Action for failure to provide clear and reasonable warnings required by Proposition 65 of exposure to lead from use of the Released Products, or any other claim based on the facts or conduct alleged in the Complaint as to such Released Products. Defendant waives any claims against the People based on the filing or prosecution of the Action. Compliance with all of the requirements of Section 2 constitutes compliance with Proposition 65 and Business and Professions Code sections 17200 et seq. with respect to any obligation of Defendant to provide a warning as to the lead content of any Covered Product.

#### COURT APPROVAL

The People shall submit this Consent Judgment to the Court for its approval and entry in the Action.

## 7. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION

This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement the Consent Judgment, including modifications to add products to the list of Released Products, to enforce the Consent Judgment and enable the collection of additional civil penalties and costs, if appropriate, and to enable the People to apply to the Court upon noticed motion for additional civil penalties in the event there are any material misrepresentations in Defendant's Second Amended Response to Certain of Plaintiff's First Set of Special Interrogatories to Defendant Pure Essence Laboratories, Inc. (served March 12, 2012), submitted to the People and on which the People relied in executing this Consent Judgment, which motion must be filed within the sooner of three (3) years after entry of judgment or one (1) year after the People discover the material misrepresentation.

#### 8. MODIFICATION

8.1 This Consent Judgment may be modified from time to time by express written agreement of the parties, with the approval of the Court, or by an order of this Court. Before filing an application with the Court for a modification to this Consent Judgment, the Parties shall

7

11 12 13

10

15 16

14

17

·18

20

21 22

23

24 25

26 27

28

meet and confer with each other to determine whether each will consent to the proposed modification. If a proposed modification is agreed upon, then the Parties will present the modification to the Court by means of a stipulated modification to the Consent Judgment. Grounds for considering modification shall include any that are permitted by law, including but not limited to the grounds set forth below.

8.2 If the Attorney General subsequently agrees in a settlement or judicially-entered injunction or consent judgment that vitamin supplements made with the same ingredients as, and having a composition similar to, any of Defendant's Covered Products do not require a warning under Proposition 65, or that a modified warning for such vitamin supplements is appropriate that differs from that imposed in this Consent Judgment, or establishes allowances for naturallyoccurring lead in ingredients used in any of Defendant's Covered Products; or a court of competent jurisdiction renders a final judgment in a case brought by the Attorney General that eliminates the warning requirement for vitamin supplements made with the same ingredients as, and having a composition similar to, any of Defendant's Covered Products, or that modifies the warning requirement for such vitamin supplements, either by establishing allowances for naturally-occurring lead or otherwise, then Defendant shall be entitled to seek to modify the terms of this Consent Judgment to make it consistent with the Attorney General agreement or Court judgment described herein. The parties intend that this Consent Judgment may be modified to allow Defendant to take advantage of allowances for naturally-occurring lead in ingredients that are used in any of Defendant's Covered Products that may be established in such Attorney General agreement or Court judgment described herein.

#### 9. NEW PRODUCTS

A "New Product" means either of the following: (1) a product that is intended to substantially replace or be substantially duplicative of a Covered Product identified on Exhibit A hereto and that meets the definition of a Covered Product; or (2) a new product formulation which, had it existed on the date Defendant executed this Consent Judgment, would have met the definition of a Covered Product. Each New Product is deemed also to be a Covered Product. Defendant shall not manufacture for sale in California, Distribute into California, or directly sell

to a consumer in California any New Product unless the New Product adheres to the requirements of this Consent Judgment with respect to Covered Products. On or prior to March 1 of each year, Defendant shall send written notice to the Office of the Attorney General listing any New Products it manufactured for sale in California, Distributed into California, or directly sold in California during the previous calendar year for which such notice has not previously been provided. The notice requirement in the preceding sentence terminates five (5) years from the Biffective Date of this Consent Judgment. Defendant shall not be deemed in violation of this Consent Judgment if there is an inadvertent error or omission on the annual New Products list submitted to the People, provided that Defendant provides corrected information to the People within fifteen (15) days of discovery of the inadvertent error or omission.

### 10. SEVERABILITY

If, subsequent to the execution of this Consent Judgment, any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment are held by a Court to be unenforceable, the validity of the enforceable provisions remaining shall not be adversely affected.

### 11. ENTIRE AGREEMENT

This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the parties with respect to the entire subject matter hereof, and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments, and understandings related hereto. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those contained herein have been made by any party hereto. No other agreements not specifically referred to herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the parties.

#### 12. GOVERNING LAW

The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California and apply within the State of California.

#### 13. NOTICES

Unless specified herein, all correspondence and notices required to be provided pursuant to this Consent Judgment shall be in writing and personally delivered or sent by: (i) first-class,

1	registered or certified mail, return receipt requested; or (ii) overnight courier to any party at the		
2	following addresses:		
3	To Defendant:		
. 4	Trenton H. Norris, Bsq.		
5	Monty Agarwai, Esq. Rhonda Stewart Goldstein, Bsq.		
6	Arnold & Potter LLP One Embarcadero Center		
7	22nd Floor San Francisco, CA 94111-3711		
8	Alan Rubinstein, President		
9	Pure Essence Laboratories, Inc. P.O. Box 95397		
10.	Las Vegas, NV 89193		
11	6155 South Sandhill Rd., Ste. 200 Las Vegas, NV 89120		
12.			
13	To the Office of the Attorney General:		
14	Laura I. Zuckerman, Bsq. Timothy E. Sullivan, Esq.		
15	California Department of Justice P.O. Box 70550		
16	1515 Clay Street, Suite 2000 Oakland, CA 94612		
17	Any party, from time to time, may specify in writing to the other a change of address to which all		
18	notices and other communications shall be sent.		
19	FUE CO OPERADED ADVIDATED LAD OPERADE		
20	IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED:		
21	Dated: 5 Time 19. 2012 / 1 Scruly		
22	JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT		
23	OK2008900614 90248677.dox		
24	, J		
25			
26			
27			
28			
	27		
Ä	CONSENT FUDGMENT AS TO DEFENDANT FURE ESSENCE LABORATORIES, INC. (RG08426937)		

#### 1 **EXHIBIT A: Covered Products** Life Essence 2 Life Essence Powder 3 Life Essence Women's Formula 4 Life Essence Men's Formula 5 Longevity Men's Formula 6 Longevity Women's Formula 7 One 'n' Only 8 One 'n' Only Women's Formula One 'n' Only Men's Formula 10 One 'n' Only PreNatal 11 Mother to Be 12 Mother and Child 13 14 ProFema Ionic Fizz Calcium Plus, Raspberry Lemonade 15 Ionic Fizz Magnesium Plus, Raspberry Lemonade 16 Ionic Fizz Calcium Plus, Orange-Vanilla 17 Ionic Fizz Magnesium Plus, Orange-Vanilla 18 Ionic Fizz Calcium Plus, Mixed Berry 19 Ionic Fizz Magnesium Plus, Mixed Berry 20 Ionic Fizz Super D-K Calcium Plus, Raspberry Lemonade 2i Ionic Fizz Super D-K Calcium Plus, Orange-Vanilla 22 Ionic Fizz Super D-K Calcium Plus, Mixed Berry 23 24 25 26

27

## EXHIBIT B: Released Products

Longevity Women's Formula One 'n' Only Women's Formula

б

. 8

10-

- 11

.22

. 24

28.

CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO DEFENDANT PURB ESSENCE LABORATORIES, INC. (RG08426937)

. 3

7.

. 13

# EXHIBIT D: Letter to Retailers and Distributors

(For use if Defendant provides sign and symbol warnings pursuant to Section 2.2(a))

## THIS COMMUNICATION APPLIES ONLY TO RETAIL LOCATIONS IN CALIFORNIA

[Defendant] has entered into a consent judgment with the Attorney General for the State of California regarding the presence of lead in specified dietary supplements sold in California.

Under the terms of this consent judgment, [Defendant] is providing the enclosed sign warnings to you so that they can be posted in retail stores selling any of the specified dietary supplements identified below in California.

If you are a retailer, we request that you post copies of these signs in or on any shelf(ves), displays, or aisle(s) where the identified products are sold. If you are a distributor, we request that you provide these signs to all retailers to whom you distribute the identified products and instruct them to post copies of these signs in or on any shelf(ves), displays, or sisle(s) where the identified products are sold. Alternatively, if any store has less than 7,500 square feet of retail space and no more than two cash registers, or the store's principal purpose is to sell dietary supplements, the sign may be posted at each cash register. The signs may not be covered or obscured, and should be placed and displayed in such a way that they are likely to be read and understood by customers.

Please sign and return the written acknowledgment below within 30 days of receiving this letter to acknowledge that you have received the signs and that they will be posted in accordance with these specifications until you receive written instruction from [Defendant] to the contrary.

Thank you for your cooperation. If you need more signs or have any questions, such as the appropriate sign locations for your specific retail store(s), please contact [Contact Information]

Acknowledged by:	
·	(Signature)
	(Print Name)
	(Company/Store Location
<u> </u>	(Date)
	List of Products

## EXHIBIT E: Follow-Up Letter to Retailers and Distributors

(For use if Defendant provides sign and symbol warnings pursuant to Section 2.2(a))

## THIS COMMUNICATION APPLIES ONLY TO RETAIL LOCATIONS IN CALIFORNIA

On [Date], [Defendant] sent you a letter enclosing sign warnings for posting in your stores in California, or stores in California to which you distribute its dietary supplements, pursuant to a consent judgment entered into between [Defendant] and the Attorney General for the State of California regarding the presence of lead in specified dietary supplements sold in California.

Copies of these signs are to be posted in or on any shelf(ves), displays, or aisle(s) where any of the specified dietary supplements identified below are sold in your stores in California or stores in California to which you distribute these supplements. Alternatively, if any store has less than 7,500 square feet of retail space and no more than two cash registers, or the store's principal purpose is to sell dietary supplements, the sign may be posted at each cash register. The signs may not be covered or obscured, and should be placed and displayed in such a way that they are likely to be read and understood by customers.

We have not received your written acknowledgment that you have received the signs and that your stores will post these signs, or, if you are a distributor, that you will provide the signs and instructions to retailers to whom you distribute the identical products. Please sign and return the written acknowledgement below as soon as possible to acknowledge that you have received the signs and that they will be posted or provided in accordance with these specifications until you receive written instruction from [Defendant] to the contrary.

Thank you for your cooperation. If you need more signs or have any questions, such as the appropriate sign locations for specific retail stores, please contact [Contact Information]

Acknowledged by:	÷
	(Signature)
	(Print Name)
• .	(Company/Store Location)
-	(Date)
	Tint of Deadware

List of Products

 . 15