1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	GIDEON KRACOV (SBN 179815) 801 S. Grand Avenue, Ste. 1100 Los Angeles, CA 90017 213.629.2071 FAX 213.623.7755 gk@gideonlaw.net Attorney for Plaintiff Environmental Research Center, Inc. SUPERIOR COURT OF THE S COUNTY OF LOS	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH CENTER, INC., a non-profit California corporation, Plaintiff, v. MUSCLE PHARM, LLC, et al Defendant(s).	Case No. BC 454453 Assigned to Hon. Richard L. Fruin Jr. DECLARATION OF GIDEON KRACOV IN SUPPORT OF AMENDED [PROPOSED] STIPULATED PROPOSITION 65 CONSENT JUDGMENT [Amended (Proposed) Stipulated Consent Judgment Attached Hereto] Date: 11/8/12 Time: 8:30 a.m. Dept.: 15
25		
26		

DECLARATION OF GIDEON KRACOV

I, Gideon Kracov, hereby declare:

- 1. I have personal knowledge of the following and could competently testify thereto if called as a witness.
- 2. I am lead counsel for Plaintiff Environmental Research Center, Inc. ("ERC") in the above-entitled action and am an attorney in good standing with the California State Bar.
- 3. I respectfully submit this Declaration in support of the Motion to Approve the Amended [Proposed] Stipulated Consent Judgment with Defendant MusclePharm Corp. ERC appreciates this opportunity to provide the following information to this Honorable Court.
- 4. At the October 16, 2012 hearing on the [Proposed] Stipulated Consent Judgment, the Court expressed concerns about future monitoring to ensure compliance with the terms of the Judgment, and continued the hearing on the Motion to Approve to November 8, 2012 so that the parties could resolve the Court's concerns.
- 5. In response to the Court's concerns, the parties have executed a new Amended [Proposed] Stipulated Consent Judgment, agreed to by the parties and attached hereto as Exhibit A.

- 6. The Amended [Proposed] Stipulated Consent Judgment contains revisions in Sections 3.3.2, 4.1.2 and 9.1 to address the Court's concerns. A redline of the Amended [Proposed] Stipulated Consent Judgment depicting the revisions is attached hereto as Exhibit B. Otherwise, the Consent Judgment is identical in all material respects.
- 7. The California Attorney General, the primary enforcer of Proposition 65, has been served with and is aware of the new terms in the Amended [Proposed]
 Stipulated Consent Judgment. I personally telephoned and e-mailed Deputy Attorney
 General Harrison Pollak, the lawyer responsible for reviewing Proposition 65 settlements, to alert him to the revisions. Should the California Attorney General's Office express any concerns or objections, I will inform this Honorable Court before or at the hearing.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California, that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed at Los Angeles, California, on

_, 2012.

GIDEON KRACOV

1 2 3	GIDEON KRACOV (SBN 179815) 801 S. Grand Avenue, Ste. 1100 Los Angeles, CA 90017 Telephone: (213) 629.2071 Facsimile: (213) 623.7755 Email: gk@gideonlaw.net			
4 5	Attorneys for Plaintiff ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH CENTER			
6 7 8 9	Arnold & Porter LLP Trenton Norris (SBN 164781) Sarah Esmaili (SBN 206053) Three Embarcadero Center, 7th Floor San Francisco, CA 94111 Telephone: (415) 471-3283 Facsimile: (415) 471-3400 trent.norris@aporter.com			
10	sarah.esmaili@aporter.com Attorneys for Defendant			
11	MUSCLEPHARM CORP.			
12 13	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA			
14	COUNTY OF	LOS ANGELES		
15				
16	ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH CENTER,) a non-profit California corporation,	Case No. BC454453		
17	Plaintiff,	Hon. Richard L. Fruin Jr.		
18 19	v.	AMENDED [PROPOSED] STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT		
20	MUSCLE PHARM, LLC, et al.,			
21	Defendants.			
22 23	1. INTRODUCTION			
24	1.1 Plaintiff ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH CENTER ("ERC" or "Plaintiff") is a			
25	citizen enforcer of California Health and Safety Code §§ 25249.6 et seq. ("Proposition 65") and is			
26	a non-profit corporation organized under California's Non-Profit Public Benefit Corporation Law.			
27	1.2 Defendant MUSCLEPHARM CO	ORP. ("MusclePharm" or "Defendant") is a person		
28	doing business within the meaning of California	Health and Safety Code § 25249.11. ERC and		
	A MENIDED IDDODOSEDI STID	1 -		
	AMENDED [PROPOSED] STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT			

MusclePharm are referred to collectively herein as the "Parties" and each as a "Party." The names of the Products covered under this Consent Judgment are: MusclePharm Shred Matrix, MusclePharm Battle Fuel, MusclePharm Recon, and MusclePharm Battle Fuel Performance Pack. The products listed in this Section 1.2 are referred to herein as the "Covered Products." MusclePharm has manufactured, distributed and/or sold the Covered Products.

- 1.3 On February 27, 1987, the State of California officially listed the chemical lead as a chemical known to cause reproductive toxicity, pursuant to California Health and Safety Code § 25249.8. On October 1, 1992, the State of California officially listed the chemicals lead and lead compounds as chemicals known to cause cancer, pursuant to California Health and Safety Code § 25249.8.
- 1.4 On October 8, 2010, ERC served MusclePharm and each of the appropriate public enforcement agencies with a document entitled "60-Day Notice" that provided notice that MusclePharm was allegedly in violation of Proposition 65 for failing to warn purchasers and individuals that the use of the Covered Products exposes them to lead, a chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer and/or reproductive toxicity ("Notice"), a copy of which is attached as Exhibit A.
- 1.5 At least sixty (60) days after ERC provided the Notice to MusclePharm and the appropriate public enforcement agencies, ERC filed a complaint in the above-titled lawsuit (the "Action" or "Complaint") pursuant to Cal. Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(d) in the public interest. When ERC filed the Action, no public enforcement agencies had commenced and begun diligently prosecuting an action against MusclePharm with regard to the Covered Products or the alleged violations. On June 22, 2011, ERC dismissed the Action in its entirety as to defendant Muscle Pharm, LLC.
- 1.6 For purposes of this Proposed Stipulated Consent Judgment ("Consent Judgment") only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action and personal jurisdiction over the Parties, that venue is proper in this Court, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter the Consent Judgment pursuant to the terms set forth herein.

///

- 1.7 MusclePharm denies and disputes the claims asserted in the Notice and the Action. Furthermore, MusclePharm contends that any lead present in the Covered Products is the result of naturally occurring levels, as provided for in California Code of Regulations, Title 27, Section 25501(a). Furthermore, MusclePharm maintains that all of its products satisfy applicable federal standards and requirements.
- 1.8 The Parties have entered into this Consent Judgment in order to settle, compromise and resolve disputed claims and thus avoid prolonged and costly litigation. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall constitute or be construed as an admission by any of the Parties, or by any of their respective officers, directors, shareholders, employees, agents, parent companies, subsidiaries, divisions, affiliates, franchisors, franchisees, licensors, licensees, customers (excluding private label customers), distributors, wholesalers, or retailers, of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, violation of law, fault, wrongdoing, or liability, including without limitation, any admission concerning any alleged violation of Proposition 65, nor shall this Consent Judgment be offered or admitted as evidence in any administrative or judicial proceeding or litigation in any court, agency, or forum, except with respect to an action seeking to enforce the terms of this Consent Judgment. This paragraph shall not diminish or otherwise affect the obligations, responsibilities, and duties of any Party to this Consent Judgment.
- 1.9 The "Effective Date" of this Consent Judgment shall be the date upon which this Consent Judgment is entered by the Court. The "Compliance Date" is the date that is six months after the Effective Date.

2. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

2.1 For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the Complaint and personal jurisdiction over MusclePharm as to the acts alleged in the Complaint, that venue is proper in Los Angeles County, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment as a full and final resolution of all claims which were or could have been asserted in this action based on the facts alleged in the Notice or the Complaint.

///

3. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

3.1 Any Covered Products manufactured after the Compliance Date that MusclePharm thereafter sells in California, distributes for sale in California, or manufactures for sale in California must (1) meet the warning requirements set out in Section 3.2 or (2) meet the reformulation requirements in Section 3.3. Any Covered Products that have been manufactured before the Compliance Date shall additionally be subject to the release of claims in Section 5 of this Consent Judgment, without regard to when such Covered Products were, or are in the future, put into the stream of commerce. The obligations of this Section 3 do not apply to any Covered Products manufactured before the Compliance Date. Prior to the Compliance Date, MusclePharm shall provide ERC with the range of lot numbers and expiration dates of each Covered Product covered by Paragraph 3.1.

3.2 Warnings

3.2.1 If MusclePharm provides a warning pursuant to Section 3.1, the warning shall state as follows:

WARNING: This product contains lead, a substance known to the

State of California to cause birth defects or other reproductive harm.

The term "cancer" shall be included in the warning only if the maximum daily dose recommended

on the label contains more than 15 micrograms ("mcg") of lead as determined by the quality control methodology set forth in Section 3.3.4.

The warning statement shall be prominently and securely displayed on, or affixed to, the container or label of the Covered Products with such conspicuousness, as compared with other words, statements, or designs so as to render it likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual purchasing or using the Covered Products.

3.3 Reformulation

3.3.1 In complying with Section 3.1, MusclePharm shall not be required to provide the warning specified in Section 3.2 for any Covered Product if the daily dose or serving recommended on the Covered Product's label contains no more than 0.5 mcg of lead per day as defined in Section 3.3.3, and with regard to the Recon product only, excluding the amounts of

naturally occurring lead in the ingredients listed below in Table 1A in accordance with the Attorney General's Stipulation Modifying Consent Judgments in *People v. Warner Lambert, et al.* (San. Fran. Sup. Crt. Case No. 984503). For Covered Products manufactured after the Compliance Date that cause exposures in excess of that permitted by this Section, Defendant shall, at the point of manufacture, prior to shipment to California, or prior to distribution within California, affix to or prominently display on the container or label of the Covered Products the warning as specified in Section 3.2.1.

3.3.1.a. Should Defendant seek to exclude naturally occurring lead in its calculation of overall lead content for the Recon product, Defendant will provide a separate document to ERC to include a complete list of ingredients and the corresponding percentages of each ingredient within the Recon product to be held in confidence and kept confidential by ERC.

TABLE 1A

<u>INGREDIENT</u>	NATURALLY OCCURRING AMOUNT OF
	LEAD
(-1-i (-1(-1))	
<u>Calcium (elemental)</u>	0.8 mcg /1000 milligrams
Ferrous Fumarate	0.4 mcg/g
2 411 541 7 411 7 7 7 7	0.1 <u>mos</u> , <u>s</u>
Zinc Oxide	$8.0 \mathrm{mcg/g}$
Magnesium Oxide	0.4 mag/g
<u>iviagnesium Oxide</u>	0.4 <u>mcg/g</u>
Magnesium Carbonate	.332 mcg/g
7. TY 1 '3	
Magnesium Hydroxide	0.4 mcg/g
Zinc Gluconate	0.8 mcg/g
Zano Graconate	v.o_meg/g
Potassium Chloride	<u>1.1 mcg/g</u>

3.3.2 By no later than fifteen (15) days before the Compliance Date, MusclePharm shall notify ERC pursuant to the notice requirements of Section 11.1 as to whether MusclePharm intends to warn as specified under Section 3.2 for any Covered Products or whether MusclePharm intends to sell any of the Covered Products without the warning specified under Section 3.2, and, if

so, MusclePharm shall provide ERC the test results for such Covered Products within fifteen (15) working days thereafter, along with information indicating when Covered Products meeting the lead standard in Section 3.3.1 are first expected to be sold by MusclePharm in California. MusclePharm shall retain all such test results and related documentation for a period of at least two years after reintroduction into California. If MusclePharm intends to warn under Section 3.2, no later than fifteen (15) days before the Compliance Date, MusclePharm shall provide to ERC a copy of the warning labels for the Covered Products, along with information indicating when Covered Products with the warning labels are first expected to begin to be sold by MusclePharm in California.

- 3.3.3 As used in this Consent Judgment, "no more than 0.5 mcg of lead per day" means that the samples tested by MusclePharm pursuant to this Consent Judgment each result in a daily exposure of no more than 0.5 mcg per day based on the maximum daily dose or serving recommended on a Covered Product's label after excluding any naturally occurring levels of lead as provided in Section 3.3.1, after the two samples that each represent the highest and lowest lead results are excluded. Five (5) randomly selected samples of such Covered Product (in the form intended for sale to the end-user) shall be tested. Before MusclePharm's first distribution or sale of a Covered Product manufactured after the Compliance Date, and continuing for at least four (4) years thereafter, at least once every year, MusclePharm shall test the Covered Products for lead content in the manner provided for in this Consent Judgment.
- 3.3.4 All testing pursuant to this Consent Judgment shall be performed by a laboratory that is approved by, accredited by, or registered with the United States Food & Drug Administration for the analysis of heavy metals. All testing pursuant to this Consent Judgment shall be performed using a laboratory method that complies with the performance and quality control factors appropriate for the method used (including limit of detection, limit of quantification, accuracy, and precision) and that meets the following criteria: Closed-vessel, microwave-assisted acid digestion employing high-purity reagents, followed by Inductively Coupled Plasma-Mass Spectrometry (ICP-MS), or any other testing method agreed upon in writing by the Parties. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall limit MusclePharm's ability to conduct, or require that others conduct, additional testing of the Covered Products, including the raw materials used in their

manufacture. This Consent Judgment, including the testing and sampling methodology set forth in this paragraph, is the product of negotiation and compromise, and is accepted by the Parties for purposes of settling, compromising, and resolving issues disputed in this action, including future compliance by MusclePharm with this Consent Judgment, and shall not be used for any other purpose, or in any other matter and, except for the purpose of determining future compliance with this Consent Judgment, shall not constitute an adoption or employment of a method of analysis for a listed chemical in a specific medium as set forth in 27 California Code of Regulations § 25900(g).

4. SETTLEMENT PAYMENT

- **4.1** In full satisfaction of all potential civil penalties, payments in lieu of penalties, attorneys' fees and costs (which include, but are not limited to, fees and the costs of attorneys, experts, and investigators), MusclePharm shall make a total payment of \$59,950 to be allocated and paid as set forth in Sections 4.1.1 through 4.1.3.
- **4.1.1 Civil Penalty Assessment**. MusclePharm agrees to pay a civil penalty in the amount of \$4,860.00 pursuant to Health & Safety Code §25249.7(b). Plaintiff ERC shall remit 75% of this amount to the State of California pursuant to Health & Safety Code §25192. This payment shall be made payable to ERC.
- 4.1.2 Payment In Lieu of Further Civil Penalties. MusclePharm agrees to make an additional payment in lieu of further civil penalties in the amount of \$14,583.00 to ERC for projects to reduce exposures to toxic chemicals, and to increase consumer, worker and community awareness of the health hazards posed by toxic chemicals, and to monitor compliance by MusclePharm with the terms of this Consent Judgment. This payment shall be made payable to ERC.
- 4.1.3 Reimbursement of Plaintiff's Fees and Costs. MusclePharm agrees to reimburse Plaintiff's reasonable investigative, expert and attorneys' fees and costs incurred as a result of investigating and bringing this matter to the attention of MusclePharm, of bringing and pursuing this action, and of negotiating a settlement in the public interest, in the total amount of \$40,507.00. This payment shall be made payable to Gideon Kracov.

///

28

4.1.4 Payment Schedule. MusclePharm shall remit the payments required in Section 4.1 to the Law Office of Gideon Kracov at the law firm's address noted in the notice provision in Section 11.1, below. All such payments shall be delivered on or before fifteen (15) days following entry and approval of this Consent Judgment.

5. RELEASE OF CLAIMS

5.1 Plaintiff's Release of Defendant

This Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution between ERC, on behalf of itself, and in the public interest, and MusclePharm, of any alleged violation of Proposition 65 or its implementing regulations for failure to provide Proposition 65 warnings of exposure to lead from the handling, use or consumption of the Covered Products. ERC, on behalf of itself, its agents, officers, representatives, attorneys, successors and/or assignees, and in the public interest, hereby releases and discharges: (a) MusclePharm and its parent companies, subsidiaries, affiliates, and divisions, as well as Muscle Pharm LLC; (b) their respective licensors, licensees, franchisors, franchisees, joint venturers, partners, vendors, manufacturers, packagers, contractors, and finished product and ingredient suppliers; (c) each of the distributors, wholesalers, retailers, users, packagers, retail customers (but not including private label customers) and all other entities in the distribution chain down to the consumer of any Covered Product of the persons and entities described in (a) and (b) above; and (d) each of the respective officers, directors, shareholders, employees, and agents of the persons and entities described in (a) through (c), above (the persons and entities identified in (a), (b), (c), and (d), above, including the predecessors, successors and assigns of any of them are collectively referred to as the "Released Parties"), from any and all claims, actions, causes of action, suits, demands, liabilities, damages, penalties, fees (including but not limited to investigation fees, attorney's fees and expert fees), costs and expenses (collectively, "Claims") as to any alleged violation of Proposition 65 arising from or related to the failure to provide Proposition 65 warnings regarding lead for Covered Products manufactured up through the Compliance Date.

5.2 ERC, on behalf of itself, its agents, representatives, attorneys, successors and/or assignees, and not on behalf of the general public, hereby releases and discharges the Released

Parties from any and all known and unknown Claims for alleged violations of Proposition 65, or for any other statutory or common law, arising from or relating to alleged exposures to lead or lead compounds in the Covered Products. It is possible that other Claims not known to the Parties arising out of the facts alleged in the Notice or the Complaint and relating to the Covered Products will develop or be discovered. ERC, on behalf of itself only, acknowledges that this Consent Judgment is expressly intended to cover and include all such Claims, including all rights of action therefor. ERC has full knowledge of the contents of California Civil Code section 1542. ERC, on behalf of itself only, acknowledges that the Claims released in Sections 5.1 and 5.2 may include unknown Claims, and nevertheless waives California Civil Code section 1542 as to any such unknown Claims. California Civil Code section 1542 reads as follows:

"A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS WHICH THE CREDITOR DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE, WHICH IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER MUST HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR."

ERC, on behalf of itself only, acknowledges and understands the significance and consequences of this specific waiver of California Civil Code section 1542.

5.3 Release of Environmental Research Center

ERC, on one hand, and MusclePharm, on the other hand, release and waive all claims they may have against each other for any statements of actions made or undertaken by them in connection with the Notice or the Action.

- **5.4** Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment shall be deemed to constitute compliance by any Released Party with Proposition 65 regarding alleged exposures to lead in the Covered Products.
- 5.5 Nothing in this Section 5 shall affect or limit any Party's right to seek to enforce the terms of this Consent Judgment in accordance with its terms.

6. MOTION FOR APPROVAL OF CONSENT JUDGMENT/NOTICE TO THE CALIFORNIA ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE

6.1 Upon execution of this Consent Judgment by the Parties, Plaintiff shall notice a

Motion for Approval & Entry of Consent Judgment in the Los Angeles Superior Court pursuant to 11 California Code of Regulations §3000, *et seq*. This motion shall be served upon all of the Parties to the Action and upon the California Attorney General's Office. In the event that the Court fails to approve and order entry of the judgment, this Consent Judgment shall become null and void upon the election of any Party as to them and upon written notice to all of the Parties to the Action pursuant to the notice provisions herein. MusclePharm and ERC shall use good faith, reasonable efforts to support entry of this Consent Judgment in the form submitted to the Office of the Attorney General. If the Attorney General objects in writing to any term in this Consent Judgment, the Parties shall use good faith, reasonable efforts to attempt to resolve the concern in a timely manner and prior to the hearing on the motion to approve this Consent Judgment.

7. SEVERABILITY

7.1 In the event that any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment are held by a court to be unenforceable, the validity of the enforceable provisions shall not be adversely affected.

8. GOOD FAITH ATTEMPT TO RESOLVE DISPUTES

8.1 In the event a dispute arises with respect to either Party's compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment entered by the Court, the Parties shall meet either in person or by telephone and endeavor to resolve the dispute in a good faith manner. No action or motion may be filed in the absence of such a good faith attempt to resolve the dispute beforehand. In the event an action or motion is filed, however, the prevailing Party may seek to recover costs and reasonable attorneys' fees. As used in the preceding sentence, the term "prevailing Party" means a Party who is successful in obtaining relief more favorable to it than the relief that the other Party was amenable to providing during the Parties' good faith attempt to resolve the dispute that is the subject of such enforcement action

9. ENFORCEMENT

9.1 This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to enforce, modify or terminate this Consent Judgment. Any Party may, by motion or application for an order to show cause filed with this Court, enforce the terms and conditions contained in this Consent Judgment provided that it first undertakes a good faith effort to resolve the dispute informally as required under Section 8.

12. INTEGRATION

12.1 This Consent Judgment, together with the Exhibit attached hereto, which is specifically incorporated herein by this reference, constitutes the entire agreement between the Parties relating to the rights and obligations herein granted and assumed, and supersede all prior agreements and understandings between the Parties.

13. MODIFICATION

13.1 This Consent Judgment may be modified only upon written agreement or stipulation between the Parties and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court thereon. ERC is entitled to reasonable attorney's fees and costs for any modification of the Consent Judgment initiated or requested by MusclePharm.

14. COUNTERPARTS

14.1 This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts, each of which shall be deemed an original, and all of which, when taken together, shall constitute one and the same document.

15. AUTHORIZATION

	15.1	The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment on behalf of their
respec	tive Par	ties and have read, understood, and agree to all of the terms and conditions of this
Conse	nt Judgr	ment.
		a land to the time

DATED:	By:	Chris Hopfinstall, Executive Director ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH CENTER
DATED:	By:	Mark Campanini MUSCLEPHARM CORP.
IT IS SO ORDERED.		
DATED:	***************************************	

JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT

12. INTEGRATION

12.1 This Consent Judgment, together with the Exhibit attached hereto, which is specifically incorporated herein by this reference, constitutes the entire agreement between the Parties relating to the rights and obligations herein granted and assumed, and supersede all prior agreements and understandings between the Parties.

13. MODIFICATION

13.1 This Consent Judgment may be modified only upon written agreement or stipulation between the Parties and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court thereon. ERC is entitled to reasonable attorney's fees and costs for any modification of the Consent Judgment initiated or requested by MusclePharm.

14. COUNTERPARTS

14.1 This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts, each of which shall be deemed an original, and all of which, when taken together, shall constitute one and the same document.

The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment on behalf of their

15. AUTHORIZATION

respective Parties and have read,	, understo	od, and agree to all of the terms and conditions of this
Consent Judgment.		
DATED:	Ву:	
		Chris Heptinstall, Executive Director ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH CENTER
DATED: 31 Oct 2012	Ву:	
	·	Mark Campanini MUSCLEPHARM CORP.
IT IS SO ORDERED.		•
DATED:		

JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT



Environmental Research Center

5694 Mission Center Road #199 San Diego, CA 92108 619.309.4194

October 8, 2010

VIA CERTIFIED MAIL

Current CEO or President Muscle Pharm, LLC 10145 W Wesley Dr Lakewood, CO 80227

Current CEO or President MusclePharm Corporation 3390 Peoria Street #307 Aurora, CO 80010

Brad Pyatt (MusclePharm Corporation's Registered Agent of Service of Process) 3390 Peoria Street #307 Aurora, CO 80010

Office of the California Attorney General Prop 65 Enforcement Reporting 1515 Clay Street, Suite 2000 P.O. Box 70550 Oakland, CA 94612-0550

Re: Notice of Violation against Muscle Pharm, LLC, and MusclePharm Corporation for Violation of California Health & Safety Code Section 25249.6

Dear Prosecutors:

The Environmental Research Center ("ERC"), the noticing entity is a California corporation whose mission is to safeguard the public from health hazards that impact families, workers and the environment. ERC is dedicated to reducing the use and misuse of hazardous and toxic chemicals, facilitating a safe environment for consumers and employees and encouraging corporate responsibility. ERC is located at 5694 Mission Center Road, # 199, San Diego, CA 92108. Tel. (619) 309-4194, Executive Director: Chris Heptinstall. Through this Notice of Violation, ERC seeks to reduce exposure to the public from lead that is contained in the named products manufactured and distributed by Muscle Pharm, LLC and MusclePharm Corporation.

Notice of Violations of California Health & Safety Code §25249.5 et seq. October 8, 2010 Page 2

This letter constitutes notification that Muscle Pharm, LLC located at 10145 W. Wesley Drive, Lakewood, CO 80227 and MusclePharm Corporation located at 3390 Peoria Street #307, Aurora, CO 80010 have violated the warning requirement of Proposition 65, the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act (commencing with section 25249.5 of the Health and Safety Code).

In particular, these Companies has manufactured and distributed products that have exposed and continue to expose numerous individuals within California to lead. Lead was listed pursuant to Proposition 65 as a chemical known to cause developmental toxicity, and male and female reproductive toxicity on February 27, 1987. Lead was listed pursuant to Proposition 65 as a carcinogen on October 1, 1992. The time period of these violations commenced one year after the listed dates above, at least since October 8, 2007, as well as every day since the products were introduced in the California marketplace, and will continue every day until clear and reasonable warnings are provided to purchasers and users.

The consumer exposures that are the subject of this notice result from the purchase, acquisition, handling and recommended use of these products by consumers. Accordingly, the primary route of exposure for consumers has been oral through ingestion, but may also occur through the inhalation and/or dermal contact route of exposure.

Muscle Pharm, LLC, and MusclePharm Corporation are exposing people to lead from the following products:

MusclePharm Shred Matrix MusclePharm Battle Fuel MusclePharm Recon MusclePharm Battle Fuel Performance Pack

Proposition 65 requires that a clear and reasonable warning be provided prior to exposure to certain listed chemicals. Muscle Pharm, LLC and MusclePharm Corporation are in violation of Proposition 65 because the Company failed to provide a warning to persons using their products that they are being exposed to lead. (22 C.C.R. section 12601.) While in the course of doing business, the company is knowingly and intentionally exposing people to lead without first providing clear and reasonable warning. (Health and Safety Code section 25249.6.) The method of warning should be a warning that appears on the product's label. 22 C.C.R. section 12601 (b)(1) (A).

Proposition 65 requires that notice and intent to sue be given to a violator 60-days before the suit is filed. With this letter, ERC gives notice of the alleged violation to the noticed party and the appropriate governmental authorities. This notice covers all violations of Proposition 65 that are currently known to ERC from information now available. ERC may continue to investigate other products that may reveal further violations. A summary of Proposition 65, prepared by the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment, and referenced as Appendix A, has been provided to the noticed party.

Based on the allegations set forth in this Notice, ERC intends to file a citizen enforcement action against Muscle Pharm, LLC and MusclePharm Corporation unless they agree in an enforceable written instrument to: instrument to: (1) recall or reformulate the listed products so as to eliminate further unwarned exposures to the identified chemicals; and (2) pay an appropriate civil penalty. Consistent with the public interest goals of Proposition 65, ERC is interested in seeking a constructive resolution to this matter. Such resolution will avoid both further unwarned consumer exposures to the identified chemicals and expensive and time consuming litigation.

Notice of Violations of California Health & Safety Code §25249.5 et seq. October 8, 2010 Page 3

Please direct all questions concerning this notice to ERC's attorney, Gideon Kracov, 801 S. Grand Ave., 11th Fl., Los Angeles, CA 90017, 213-629-2071, gk@gideonlaw.net.

Sincerely,

Chris Heptinstall
Executive Director, Environmental Research Center

cc: Karen A. Evans

Attachments
Certificate of Merit
Certificate of Service
OEHHA Summary (to Muscle Pharm, LLC, MusclePharm Corporation and its Registered Agent for Service of Process Only)
Additional Supporting Information for Certificate of Merit (to AG only)

Notice of Violations of California Health & Safety Code §25249.5 et seq. October 8, 2010
Page 4

CERTIFICATE OF MERIT

Re: Environmental Research Center's Notice of Proposition 65 Violations by Muscle Pharm, LLC and MusclePharm Corporation

I, Gideon Kracov, declare:

- 1. This Certificate of Merit accompanies the attached sixty-day notice in which it is alleged the party identified in the notice violated California Health & Safety Code Section 25249.6 by failing to provide clear and reasonable warnings.
- 2. I am an attorney for the noticing party.
- 3. I have consulted with one or more persons with relevant and appropriate experience or expertise who have reviewed facts, studies, or other data regarding the exposure to the listed chemical that is the subject of the notice.
- 4. Based on the information obtained through those consultants, and on other information in my possession, I believe there is a reasonable and meritorious case for the private action. I understand that "reasonable and meritorious case for the private action" means that the information provides a credible basis that all elements of the plaintiff's case can be established and that the information did not prove that the alleged violator will be able to establish any of the affirmative defenses set forth in the statute.
- 5. Along with the copy of this Certificate of Merit served on the Attorney General is attached additional factual information sufficient to establish the basis for this certificate, including the information identified in California Health & Safety Code §25249.7(h)(2), i.e., (1) the identity of the persons consulted with and relied on by the certifier, and (2) the facts, studies, or other data reviewed by those persons.

Dated: October 8, 2010

Gideon Kracov, Attorney At Law

Milen Pran

Notice of Violations of California Health & Safety Code §25249.5 et seq. October 8, 2010 Page 5

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, the undersigned, declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the following is true and correct:

I am a citizen of the United States, over the age of 18 years of age, and am not a party to the within entitled action. My business address is 306 Joy Street, Fort Oglethorpe, Georgia 30742

On October 8, 2010, I served the following documents: NOTICE OF VIOLATIONS OF CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.5 *ET SEQ.*; CERTIFICATE OF MERIT; "THE SAFE DRINKING WATER AND TOXIC ENFORCEMENT ACT OF 1986 (PROPOSITION 65): A SUMMARY"

On the following parties by placing a true and correct copy thereof in a sealed envelope, addressed to the party listed below and depositing it in a US Postal Service Office for delivery by Certified Mail:

Current CEO or President Muscle Pharm, LLC 10145 W Wesley Dr Lakewood, CO 80227 Current CEO or President MusclePharm Corporation 3390 Peoria Street #307 Aurora, CO 80010

Brad Pyatt (MusclePharm Corporation's Registered Agent of Service of Process) 3390 Peoria Street #307 Aurora, CO 80010

On October 8, 2010, I served the following documents: NOTICE OF VIOLATION, CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.5 ET SEQ.; CERTIFICATE OF MERIT; ADDITIONAL SUPPORTING INFORMATION FOR CERTIFICATE OF MERIT AS REQUIRED BY CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.7(d)(1) on the following parties by placing a true and correct copy thereof in a sealed envelope, addressed to the party listed below and depositing it in a US Postal Service Office for delivery by Certified Mail:

Office of the California Attorney General Prop 65 Enforcement Reporting 1515 Clay Street, Suite 2000 Post Office Box 70550 Oakland, CA 94612-0550

On October 8, 2010, I served the following documents: NOTICE OF VIOLATION, CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.5 ET SEQ.; CERTIFICATE OF MERIT on each of the parties on the Service List attached hereto by placing a true and correct copy thereof in a sealed envelope, addressed to each of the parties on the Service List attached hereto, and depositing it with the U.S. Postal Service for delivery by Priority Mail.

Executed on October 8, 2010, in Fort Oglethorpe, Georgia.

MANNE MANNE

Chris Heptinstall

Notice of Violations of California Health & Safety Code §25249.5 et seq. October 8, 2010 Page 6

Service List

District Attorney, Alameda County 1225 Fallon Street, Room 900 Oakland, CA 94612

District Attorney, Alpine County P.O. Box 248 Markleeville, CA 96120

District Attorney, Amador County 708 Court Street, #202 Jackson, CA 95642

District Attorney, Butte County 25 County Center Drive Oroville, CA 95965

District Attorney, Calaveras County 891 Mountain Ranch Road San Andreas, CA 95249

District Attorney, Colusa County 547 Market Street Colusa, CA 95932

District Attorney, Contra Costa County 900 Ward Street Martinez, CA 94553

District Attorney, Del Norte County 450 H Street, Ste. 171 Crescent City, CA 95531

District Attorney, El Dorado County 515 Main Street Placerville, CA 95667

District Attorney, Fresno County 2220 Tulare Street, #1000 Fresno, CA 93721

District Attorney, Glenn County Post Office Box 430 Willows, CA 95988

District Attorney, Humboldt County 825 5th Street Eureka, CA 95501

District Attorney, Imperial County 939 West Main Street, Ste 102 El Centro, CA 92243

District Attorney, Inyo County 230 W. Line Street Bishop, CA 93514

District Attorney, Kern County 1215 Truxtun Avenue Bakersfield, CA 93301 District Attorney, Kings County 1400 West Lacey Boulevard Hanford, CA 93230

District Attorney, Lake County 255 N. Forbes Street Lakeport, CA 95453

District Attorney, Lassen County 220 South Lassen Street, Ste. 8 Susanville, CA 96130

District Attorney, Los Angeles County 210 West Temple Street, Rm 345 Los Angeles, CA 90012

District Attorney, Madera County 209 West Yosemite Avenue Madera, CA 93637

District Attorney, Marin County 3501 Civic Center, Room 130 San Rafael, CA 94903

District Attorney, Mariposa County Post Office Box 730 Mariposa, CA 95338

District Attorney, Mendocino County Post Office Box 1000 Ukiah, CA 95482

District Attorney, Merced County 2222 M Street Merced, CA 95340

District Attorney, Modoc County 204 S Court Street, Room 202 Alturas, CA 96101-4020

District Attorney, Mono County Post Office Box 617 Bridgeport, CA 93517

District Attorney, Monterey County 230 Church Street, Bldg 2 Salinas, CA 93901

District Attorney, Napa County 931 Parkway Mall Napa, CA 94559

District Attorney, Nevada County 110 Union Street Nevada City, CA 95959

District Attorney, Orange County 401 Civic Center Drive West Santa Ana, CA 92701 Notice of Violations of California Health & Safety Code §25249.5 et seq. October 8, 2010 Page 7

> District Attorney, Placer County 10810 Justice Center Drive, Ste 240 Roseville, CA 95678

District Attorney, Plumas County 520 Main Street, Room 404 Quincy, CA 95971

District Attorney, Riverside County 4075 Main Street, 1st Floor Riverside, CA 92501

District Attorney, Sacramento County 901 "G" Street Sacramento, CA 9581

District Attorney, San Benito County 419 Fourth Street, 2nd Floor Hollister, CA 95023

District Attorney, San Bernardino County 316 N. Mountain View Avenue San Bernardino, CA 92415-0004

District Attorney, San Diego County 330 West Broadway, Room 1300 San Diego, CA 92101

District Attorney, San Francisco County 850 Bryant Street, Room 325 San Francsico, CA 94103

District Attorney, San Joaquin County Post Office Box 990 Stockton, CA 95201

District Attorney, San Luis Obispo County 1050 Monterey Street, Room 450 San Luis Obispo, CA 93408

District Attorney, San Mateo County 400 County Ctr., 3rd Floor Redwood City, CA 94063

District Attorney, Santa Barbara County 1105 Santa Barbara Street Santa Barbara, CA 93101

District Attorney, Santa Clara County 70 West Hedding Street San Jose, CA 95110

District Attorney, Santa Cruz County 701 Ocean Street, Room 200 Santa Cruz, CA 95060

District Attorney, Shasta County 1525 Court Street, Third Floor Redding, CA 96001-1632

District Attorney, Sierra County PO Box 457 Downieville, CA 95936 District Attorney, Siskiyou County Post Office Box 986 Yreka, CA 96097

District Attorney, Solano County 675 Texas Street, Ste 4500 Fairfield, CA 94533

District Attorney, Sonoma County 600 Administration Drive, Room 212J Santa Rosa, CA 95403

District Attorney, Stanislaus County 832 12th Street, Ste 300 Modesto, CA 95353

District Attorney, Sutter County 446 Second Street Yuba City, CA 95991

District Attorney, Tehama County Post Office Box 519 Red Bluff, CA 96080

District Attorney, Trinity County Post Office Box 310 Weaverville, CA 96093

District Attorney, Tulare County 221 S. Mooney Avenue, Room 224 Visalia, CA 93291

District Attorney, Tuolumne County 423 N. Washington Street Sonora, CA 95370

District Attorney, Ventura County 800 South Victoria Avenue Ventura, CA 93009

District Attorney, Yolo County 301 2nd Street Woodland, CA 95695

District Attorney, Yuba County 215 Fifth Street Marysville, CA 95901

Los Angeles City Attorney's Office City Hall East 200 N. Main Street, Rm 800 Los Angeles, CA 90012

San Diego City Attorney's Office 1200 3rd Avenue, Ste 1620 San Diego, CA 92101

San Francisco City Attorney's Office City Hall, Room 234 1 Drive Carlton B Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102

San Jose City Attorney's Office 200 East Santa Clara Street San Jose, CA 95113

1	801 S. Grand Avenue, Ste. 1100	
2	Los Angeles, CA 90017	
	Telephone: (213) 629.2071 Facsimile: (213) 623.7755	
3	Email: gk@gideonlaw.net	
4	Attorneys for Plaintiff	
5	ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH CENTER	
6	Arnold & Porter LLP Trenton Norris (SBN 164781)	
7	Sarah Esmaili (SBN 206053)	
8	Three Embarcadero Center, 7th Floor San Francisco, CA 94111	
	Telephone: (415) 471-3283 Facsimile: (415) 471-3400	
9	trent.norris@aporter.com sarah.esmaili@aporter.com	
10		
11	Attorneys for Defendant MUSCLEPHARM CORP.	
12		
13	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
14	COUNTY OF LO	S ANGELES
15		
16	ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH CENTER,)	Case No. BC454453
17	a non-profit California corporation,	Hon. Richard L. Fruin Jr.
	Plaintiff,	Tion. Remark <u>15.</u> Tom <u>or.</u>
18)	AMENDED [PROPOSED] STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT
19	V.)	STIFULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT
20	MUSCLE PHARM, LLC, et al.,	
21	Defendants.	
22		
23	1. INTRODUCTION	
24	1.1 Plaintiff ENVIRONMENTAL RESI	EARCH CENTER ("ERC" or "Plaintiff") is a
25	citizen enforcer of California Health and Safety Co	de §§ 25249.6 et seq. ("Proposition 65") and is
26	a non-profit corporation organized under California	's Non-Profit Public Benefit Corporation Law.
27	1.2 Defendant MUSCLEPHARM COR	P. ("MusclePharm" or "Defendant") is a person
28	doing business within the meaning of California He	ealth and Safety Code § 25249.11. ERC and
	1	
	AMENDED [PROPOSED] STIPUL	ATED CONSENT JUDGMENT

MusclePharm are referred to collectively herein as the "Parties" and each as a "Party." The names of the Products covered under this Consent Judgment are: MusclePharm Shred Matrix, MusclePharm Battle Fuel, MusclePharm Recon, and MusclePharm Battle Fuel Performance Pack. The products listed in this Section 1.2 are referred to herein as the "Covered Products." MusclePharm has manufactured, distributed and/or sold the Covered Products.

- 1.3 On February 27, 1987, the State of California officially listed the chemical lead as a chemical known to cause reproductive toxicity, pursuant to California Health and Safety Code § 25249.8. On October 1, 1992, the State of California officially listed the chemicals lead and lead compounds as chemicals known to cause cancer, pursuant to California Health and Safety Code § 25249.8.
- 1.4 On October 8, 2010, ERC served MusclePharm and each of the appropriate public enforcement agencies with a document entitled "60-Day Notice" that provided notice that MusclePharm was allegedly in violation of Proposition 65 for failing to warn purchasers and individuals that the use of the Covered Products exposes them to lead, a chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer and/or reproductive toxicity ("Notice"), a copy of which is attached as Exhibit A.
- 1.5 At least sixty (60) days after ERC provided the Notice to MusclePharm and the appropriate public enforcement agencies, ERC filed a complaint in the above-titled lawsuit (the "Action" or "Complaint") pursuant to Cal. Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(d) in the public interest. When ERC filed the Action, no public enforcement agencies had commenced and begun diligently prosecuting an action against MusclePharm with regard to the Covered Products or the alleged violations. On June 22, 2011, ERC dismissed the Action in its entirety as to defendant Muscle Pharm, LLC.
- 1.6 For purposes of this Proposed Stipulated Consent Judgment ("Consent Judgment") only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action and personal jurisdiction over the Parties, that venue is proper in this Court, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter the Consent Judgment pursuant to the terms set forth herein.

- 1.7 MusclePharm denies and disputes the claims asserted in the Notice and the Action. Furthermore, MusclePharm contends that any lead present in the Covered Products is the result of naturally occurring levels, as provided for in California Code of Regulations, Title 27, Section 25501(a). Furthermore, MusclePharm maintains that all of its products satisfy applicable federal standards and requirements.
- 1.8 The Parties have entered into this Consent Judgment in order to settle, compromise and resolve disputed claims and thus avoid prolonged and costly litigation. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall constitute or be construed as an admission by any of the Parties, or by any of their respective officers, directors, shareholders, employees, agents, parent companies, subsidiaries, divisions, affiliates, franchisors, franchisees, licensors, licensees, customers (excluding private label customers), distributors, wholesalers, or retailers, of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, violation of law, fault, wrongdoing, or liability, including without limitation, any admission concerning any alleged violation of Proposition 65, nor shall this Consent Judgment be offered or admitted as evidence in any administrative or judicial proceeding or litigation in any court, agency, or forum, except with respect to an action seeking to enforce the terms of this Consent Judgment. This paragraph shall not diminish or otherwise affect the obligations, responsibilities, and duties of any Party to this Consent Judgment.
- 1.9 The "Effective Date" of this Consent Judgment shall be the date upon which this Consent Judgment is entered by the Court. The "Compliance Date" is the date that is six months after the Effective Date.

2. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

2.1 For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the Complaint and personal jurisdiction over MusclePharm as to the acts alleged in the Complaint, that venue is proper in Los Angeles County, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment as a full and final resolution of all claims which were or could have been asserted in this action based on the facts alleged in the Notice or the Complaint.

///

3. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

3.1 Any Covered Products manufactured after the Compliance Date that MusclePharm thereafter sells in California, distributes for sale in California, or manufactures for sale in California must (1) meet the warning requirements set out in Section 3.2 or (2) meet the reformulation requirements in Section 3.3. Any Covered Products that have been manufactured before the Compliance Date shall additionally be subject to the release of claims in Section 5 of this Consent Judgment, without regard to when such Covered Products were, or are in the future, put into the stream of commerce. The obligations of this Section 3 do not apply to any Covered Products manufactured before the Compliance Date. Prior to the Compliance Date, MusclePharm shall provide ERC with the range of lot numbers and expiration dates of each Covered Product covered by Paragraph 3.1.

3.2 Warnings

3.2.1 If MusclePharm provides a warning pursuant to Section 3.1, the warning shall state as follows:

WARNING: This product contains lead, a substance known to the State of California to cause birth defects or other reproductive harm.

The term "cancer" shall be included in the warning only if the maximum daily dose recommended on the label contains more than 15 micrograms ("mcg") of lead as determined by the quality control methodology set forth in Section 3.3.4.

The warning statement shall be prominently and securely displayed on, or affixed to, the container or label of the Covered Products with such conspicuousness, as compared with other words, statements, or designs so as to render it likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual purchasing or using the Covered Products.

3.3 Reformulation

3.3.1 In complying with Section 3.1, MusclePharm shall not be required to provide the warning specified in Section 3.2 for any Covered Product if the daily dose or serving recommended on the Covered Product's label contains no more than 0.5 mcg of lead per day as defined in Section 3.3.3, and with regard to the Recon product only, excluding the amounts of

naturally occurring lead in the ingredients listed below in Table 1A in accordance with the Attorney General's Stipulation Modifying Consent Judgments in *People v. Warner Lambert, et al.* (San. Fran. Sup. Crt. Case No. 984503). For Covered Products manufactured after the Compliance Date that cause exposures in excess of that permitted by this Section, Defendant shall, at the point of manufacture, prior to shipment to California, or prior to distribution within California, affix to or prominently display on the container or label of the Covered Products the warning as specified in Section 3.2.1.

3.3.1.a. Should Defendant seek to exclude naturally occurring lead in its calculation of overall lead content for the Recon product, Defendant will provide a separate document to ERC to include a complete list of ingredients and the corresponding percentages of each ingredient within the Recon product to be held in confidence and kept confidential by ERC.

TABLE 1A

INGREDIENT	NATURALLY OCCURRING AMOUNT OF
	LEAD
Calcium (elemental)	0.8 mcg /1000 milligrams
Ferrous Fumarate	0.4 mcg/g
i cirous i umarate	0.1 <u>meg/g</u>
Zinc Oxide	8.0 mcg/g
Magnesium Oxide	0.4 max/x
<u>wiagnesium Oxide</u>	0.4 <u>mcg/g</u>
Magnesium Carbonate	.332 mcg/g
3.6 . 11 . 1	0.4
Magnesium Hydroxide	0.4 mcg/g
Zinc Gluconate	0.8 mcg/g
Ziiio Oidoonato	0.0 <u>11106/5</u>
Potassium Chloride	<u>1.1 mcg/g</u>

3.3.2 IfBy no later than fifteen (15) days before the Compliance Date,

MusclePharm shall notify ERC pursuant to the notice requirements of Section 11.1 as to whether

MusclePharm intends to warn as specified under Section 3.2 for any Covered Products or whether

MusclePharm intends to sell any of the Covered Products without the warning specified under

Section 3.2, on a one-time basisand, if so, MusclePharm shall notify ERC of its intent and, upon request from ERC pursuant to the notice requirements of Section 11.1, provide ERC the test results for such Covered Products showing compliance with within fifteen (15) working days thereafter, along with information indicating when Covered Products meeting the lead standard in Section 3.3.1 to ERC within fifteen (15) working daysare first expected to be sold by MusclePharm in California. MusclePharm shall retain all such test results and related documentation for a period of at least two years after reintroduction into California. If MusclePharm intends to warn under Section 3.2, no later than fifteen (15) days before the Compliance Date, MusclePharm shall provide to ERC a copy of the warning labels for the Covered Products, along with information indicating when Covered Products with the warning labels are first expected to begin to be sold by MusclePharm in California.

- 3.3.3 As used in this Consent Judgment, "no more than 0.5 mcg of lead per day" means that the samples tested by MusclePharm pursuant to this Consent Judgment each result in a daily exposure of no more than 0.5 mcg per day based on the maximum daily dose or serving recommended on a Covered Product's label after excluding any naturally occurring levels of lead as provided in Section 3.3.1, after the two samples that each represent the highest and lowest lead results are excluded. Five (5) randomly selected samples of such Covered Product (in the form intended for sale to the end-user) shall be tested. Before MusclePharm's first distribution or sale of a Covered Product manufactured after the Compliance Date, and continuing for at least four (4) years thereafter, at least once every year, MusclePharm shall test the Covered Products for lead content in the manner provided for in this Consent Judgment.
- 3.3.4 All testing pursuant to this Consent Judgment shall be performed by a laboratory that is approved by, accredited by, or registered with the United States Food & Drug Administration for the analysis of heavy metals. All testing pursuant to this Consent Judgment shall be performed using a laboratory method that complies with the performance and quality control factors appropriate for the method used (including limit of detection, limit of quantification, accuracy, and precision) and that meets the following criteria: Closed-vessel, microwave-assisted acid digestion employing high-purity reagents, followed by Inductively Coupled Plasma-Mass

Spectrometry (ICP-MS), or any other testing method agreed upon in writing by the Parties. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall limit MusclePharm's ability to conduct, or require that others conduct, additional testing of the Covered Products, including the raw materials used in their manufacture. This Consent Judgment, including the testing and sampling methodology set forth in this paragraph, is the product of negotiation and compromise, and is accepted by the Parties for purposes of settling, compromising, and resolving issues disputed in this action, including future compliance by MusclePharm with this Consent Judgment, and shall not be used for any other purpose, or in any other matter and, except for the purpose of determining future compliance with this Consent Judgment, shall not constitute an adoption or employment of a method of analysis for a listed chemical in a specific medium as set forth in 27 California Code of Regulations § 25900(g).

4. SETTLEMENT PAYMENT

- **4.1** In full satisfaction of all potential civil penalties, payments in lieu of penalties, attorneys' fees and costs (which include, but are not limited to, fees and the costs of attorneys, experts, and investigators), MusclePharm shall make a total payment of \$59,950 to be allocated and paid as set forth in Sections 4.1.1 through 4.1.3.
- **4.1.1 Civil Penalty Assessment**. MusclePharm agrees to pay a civil penalty in the amount of \$4,860.00 pursuant to Health & Safety Code §25249.7(b). Plaintiff ERC shall remit 75% of this amount to the State of California pursuant to Health & Safety Code §25192. This payment shall be made payable to ERC.
- 4.1.2 Payment In Lieu of Further Civil Penalties. MusclePharm agrees to make an additional payment in lieu of further civil penalties in the amount of \$14,583.00 to ERC for projects to reduce exposures to toxic chemicals, and to increase consumer, worker and community awareness of the health hazards posed by toxic chemicals, and to monitor compliance by MusclePharm with the terms of this Consent Judgment. This payment shall be made payable to ERC.
- 4.1.3 Reimbursement of Plaintiff's Fees and Costs. MusclePharm agrees to reimburse Plaintiff's reasonable investigative, expert and attorneys' fees and costs incurred as a result of investigating and bringing this matter to the attention of MusclePharm, of bringing and

27

28

pursuing this action, and of negotiating a settlement in the public interest, in the total amount of \$40,507.00. This payment shall be made payable to Gideon Kracov.

[]]

4.1.4 Payment Schedule. MusclePharm shall remit the payments required in Section 4.1 to the Law Office of Gideon Kracov at the law firm's address noted in the notice provision in Section 11.1, below. All such payments shall be delivered on or before fifteen (15) days following entry and approval of this Consent Judgment.

| | +++

11

1 ///

5. RELEASE OF CLAIMS

5.1 Plaintiff's Release of Defendant

This Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution between ERC, on behalf of itself, and in the public interest, and MusclePharm, of any alleged violation of Proposition 65 or its implementing regulations for failure to provide Proposition 65 warnings of exposure to lead from the handling, use or consumption of the Covered Products. ERC, on behalf of itself, its agents, officers, representatives, attorneys, successors and/or assignees, and in the public interest, hereby releases and discharges: (a) MusclePharm and its parent companies, subsidiaries, affiliates, and divisions, as well as Muscle Pharm LLC; (b) their respective licensors, licensees, franchisors, franchisees, joint venturers, partners, vendors, manufacturers, packagers, contractors, and finished product and ingredient suppliers; (c) each of the distributors, wholesalers, retailers, users, packagers, retail customers (but not including private label customers) and all other entities in the distribution chain down to the consumer of any Covered Product of the persons and entities described in (a) and (b) above; and (d) each of the respective officers, directors, shareholders, employees, and agents of the persons and entities described in (a) through (c), above (the persons and entities identified in (a), (b), (c), and (d), above, including the predecessors, successors and assigns of any of them are collectively referred to as the "Released Parties"), from any and all claims, actions, causes of action, suits, demands, liabilities, damages, penalties, fees (including but

not limited to investigation fees, attorney's fees and expert fees), costs and expenses (collectively, "Claims") as to any alleged violation of Proposition 65 arising from or related to the failure to provide Proposition 65 warnings regarding lead for Covered Products manufactured up through the Compliance Date.

5.2 ERC, on behalf of itself, its agents, representatives, attorneys, successors and/or assignees, and not on behalf of the general public, hereby releases and discharges the Released Parties from any and all known and unknown Claims for alleged violations of Proposition 65, or for any other statutory or common law, arising from or relating to alleged exposures to lead or lead compounds in the Covered Products. It is possible that other Claims not known to the Parties arising out of the facts alleged in the Notice or the Complaint and relating to the Covered Products will develop or be discovered. ERC, on behalf of itself only, acknowledges that this Consent Judgment is expressly intended to cover and include all such Claims, including all rights of action therefor. ERC has full knowledge of the contents of California Civil Code section 1542. ERC, on behalf of itself only, acknowledges that the Claims released in Sections 5.1 and 5.2 may include unknown Claims, and nevertheless waives California Civil Code section 1542 as to any such unknown Claims. California Civil Code section 1542 reads as follows:

"A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS WHICH THE CREDITOR DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE, WHICH IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER MUST HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR."

ERC, on behalf of itself only, acknowledges and understands the significance and consequences of this specific waiver of California Civil Code section 1542.

5.3 Release of Environmental Research Center

ERC, on one hand, and MusclePharm, on the other hand, release and waive all claims they may have against each other for any statements of actions made or undertaken by them in connection with the Notice or the Action.

- 5.4 Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment shall be deemed to constitute compliance by any Released Party with Proposition 65 regarding alleged exposures to lead in the Covered Products.
- 5.5 Nothing in this Section 5 shall affect or limit any Party's right to seek to enforce the terms of this Consent Judgment in accordance with its terms.

6. MOTION FOR APPROVAL OF CONSENT JUDGMENT/NOTICE TO THE CALIFORNIA ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE

Motion for Approval & Entry of Consent Judgment in the Los Angeles Superior Court pursuant to 11 California Code of Regulations §3000, et seq. This motion shall be served upon all of the Parties to the Action and upon the California Attorney General's Office. In the event that the Court fails to approve and order entry of the judgment, this Consent Judgment shall become null and void upon the election of any Party as to them and upon written notice to all of the Parties to the Action pursuant to the notice provisions herein. MusclePharm and ERC shall use good faith, reasonable efforts to support entry of this Consent Judgment in the form submitted to the Office of the Attorney General. If the Attorney General objects in writing to any term in this Consent Judgment, the Parties shall use good faith, reasonable efforts to attempt to resolve the concern in a timely manner and prior to the hearing on the motion to approve this Consent Judgment.

7. SEVERABILITY

7.1 In the event that any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment are held by a court to be unenforceable, the validity of the enforceable provisions shall not be adversely affected.

8. GOOD FAITH ATTEMPT TO RESOLVE DISPUTES

8.1 In the event a dispute arises with respect to either Party's compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment entered by the Court, the Parties shall meet either in person or by telephone and endeavor to resolve the dispute in a good faith manner. No action or motion may be filed in the absence of such a good faith attempt to resolve the dispute beforehand. In the event an action or motion is filed, however, the prevailing Party may seek to recover costs and reasonable attorneys' fees. As used in the preceding sentence, the term "prevailing Party" means a Party who

1	is successful in obtaining relief more favorable to it than the relief that the other Party was		
2	amenable to providing during the Parties' good faith attempt to resolve the dispute that is the		
3	subject of such enforcement action		
4	9. ENFORCEMENT		
5	9.1 This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to enforce, modify or terminate		
6	this Consent Judgment. Any Party may, by motion or application for an order to show cause filed		
7	with this Court, enforce the terms and conditions contained in this Consent Judgment provided that		
8	it first undertakes a good faith effort to resolve the dispute informally as required under Section 8.		
9	In accordance with Section 8, the prevailing Party may request that the Court award its reasonable		
10	attorney's fees and costs associated with such motion or application.		
11	<i>+++</i>		
12	///As part of its monitoring efforts, ERC shall report to the Court any alleged lack of compliance		
13	by MusclePharm with Section 3 of this Consent Judgment, subject to the meet and confer		
14	procedures of Section 8.		
15	10. GOVERNING LAW		
16	10.1 The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of		
17	California.		
18	11. NOTICES		
19	11.1 All correspondence and notices required to be provided under this Consent		
20	Judgment shall be in writing and shall be sent by first class registered or certified mail addressed as		
21	follows. All correspondence to ERC shall be mailed to:		
22	Environmental Research Center		
23	3111 Camino Del Rio North, Suite 400 San Diego, CA 92108		
24	And to:		
25	Gideon Kracov		
26	801 S. Grand Ave., 11th Fl. Los Angeles, CA 90017		
27	All correspondence to Defendant, shall be mailed to:		
28	The correspondence to Detendant, shall be maned to.		

Mark Campanini MusclePharm 401 N. Tryon Street 10th Floor Charlotte, NC 28202

With a copy to:

Trenton H. Norris Sarah Esmaili Arnold & Porter LLP Three Embarcadero Center, 7th Floor San Francisco, CA 94111

12. INTEGRATION

12.1 This Consent Judgment, together with the Exhibit attached hereto, which is specifically incorporated herein by this reference, constitutes the entire agreement between the Parties relating to the rights and obligations herein granted and assumed, and supersede all prior agreements and understandings between the Parties.

13. MODIFICATION

13.1 This Consent Judgment may be modified only upon written agreement or stipulation between the Parties and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court thereon. ERC is entitled to reasonable attorney's fees and costs for any modification of the Consent Judgment initiated or requested by MusclePharm.

14. COUNTERPARTS

14.1 This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts, each of which shall be deemed an original, and all of which, when taken together, shall constitute one and the same document.

15. AUTHORIZATION

15.1 The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment on behalf of their respective Parties and have read, understood, and agree to all of the terms and conditions of this

1	Consent Judgment.	
2	DATED: By:	
3	Chri	s Heptinstall, Executive Director /IRONMENTAL RESEARCH CENTER
4		
5	DATED: By:	
6	Mar	k Campanini SCLEPHARM CORP.
7	WIO	SCLEPHARM CORP.
8	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
9	DATED:	
10		
11		JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
12		
13		
14 15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	AMENDED [PROPOSED] STIP	13 _ ULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT

PROOF OF SERVICE

1	11001 01 3111101		
2	I, Gideon Kracov, being duly sworn, deposes and says:		
3	I am a citizen of the United States and work in Los Angeles County, California. I am over the age of eighteen years and am not a party to the within entitled action. My business address is		
4	801 S. Grand Ave., 11 th Fl., LA, CA 90017. On, 2012, I served this list of persons with the following documents: Kracov Decl. in Support of Amended Consent Judgment,		
5	Amended Consent Judgment, Proposed Order Approving Amended Consent Judgment		
6	The documents were served on:		
7	Trent Norris Sarah Esmaili		
8	Arnold & Porter LLP Three Embarcadero Center, 7th Floor		
9	San Francisco, CA 94111		
10	Sarah.Esmaili@aporter.com		
11	Harrison Pollak Deputy Attorney General		
12	1515 Clay Street, 20th Floor P.O. Box 70550		
13	Oakland, CA 94612		
14	Harrison.Pollak@doj.ca.gov		
15			
16	via email		
17	by placing a true copy thereof enclosed in a sealed envelope, with postage thereon fully prepaid, in the United States Post Office mail box at 801 S. Grand Ave., Los		
18	Angeles, California, addressed as set forth above. I am readily familiar with my firm's practice of collection and processing correspondence for mailing. It is		
19	deposited with the U.S. Postal Service on the same day in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that on motion of party served, service is presumed invalid in		
20	postal cancellation date of postage meter date is more than 1 day after date of deposit for mailing in affidavit.		
21			
22	I declare under penalty of perjury, according to the laws of the State of California, that the foregoing is true and correct.		
23	Executed this, 2012 at Los Angeles, California.		
24			
25	Gideon Kracov		
26			
77			