i		
1	Philip T. Emmons (SBN 124902) Law Office of Philip T. Emmons	
2	1990 North California Blvd., 8 th Floor	
3	Walnut Creek, CA 94596 T: (925) 287-6436	
4	F: (925) 287-6437	
5	Attorney for Plaintiff Environmental Research Center	
6	Stephen L. Marsh (SBN 115959)	
7	Taylor Fleming (SBN 272947) McKenna Long & Aldridge LLP	
8	600 West Broadway, Suite 2600 San Diego, CA 92101-3372	
9	T: (619) 236-1414 F: (619) 645-5363	
10	Attorneys for Defendant	
11	Healthy Body Services, LLC	
12	SUPERIOR COURT OF	THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
13	COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO	
14	ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH	Case No. CGC-11-514620
15	CENTER, a California non-profit corporation,	[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT
16	,	,
17	Plaintiff,	
18	v.	
19	HEALTHY BODY SERVICES INC.;	
20	HEALTHY BODY SERVICES, LLC; and DOES 1-50, inclusive,	
21	Defendants.	
22	Detendants.	
23		
24	1. INTRODUCTION	
25	1.1 On September 26, 2011, Plair	ntiff Environmental Research Center ("ERC"), a
26	non-profit corporation, as a private enforcer and in the public interest, initiated this action by	
27	filing a Complaint for Injunctive Relief and G	Civil Penalties ("Complaint") pursuant to the
28		
l I		

(1)
[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT

provisions of California's Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California Health and Safety Code § 25249.5, *et seq.* (also known as and hereinafter referred to as "Proposition 65"), against Defendant Health Body Services, LLC ("Healthy Body Services" or "Defendant"). ERC and Healthy Body Services are hereinafter sometimes referred to individually as a "Party" or collectively as the "Parties".

- 1.2 ERC is a California non-profit corporation dedicated to, among other causes, helping safeguard the public from health hazards by reducing the use and misuse of hazardous and toxic chemicals, facilitating a safe environment for consumers and employees, and encouraging corporate responsibility.
- 1.3 Healthy Body Services is a Nevada limited liability company. The issue of the number of employees of Healthy Body Services being in dispute between the Parties, the Parties agree in order to settle this action that Healthy Body Services is deemed to employ ten or more persons and is a "person in the course of doing business" within the meaning of Proposition 65 for the purposes of this Consent Judgment only and for no other purpose, including, but not limited to, any other legal matter.
- 1.4 On October 22, 2010, January 14, 2011, and January 6, 2012, pursuant to California Health and Safety Code § 25249.7(d)(1), ERC served three separate Notices of Violations of Proposition 65 ("Notice of Violations") on the California Attorney General, other public enforcers, and Healthy Body Services. True and correct copies of the Notices of Violations are attached hereto as **Exhibit A**. The Notices of Violations contain allegations that Healthy Body Services, without giving a required clear and reasonable warning, have exposed and continue to expose individuals in California to lead, a chemical listed under Proposition 65 as a carcinogen and reproductive toxin, by manufacturing, marketing, distributing and/or selling the products identified in the Notices of Violations. More than 60 days have passed since the service of each of the Notices of Violations, and neither the California Attorney General nor any other public enforcer has filed suit against Defendants with regard to the products identified in these Notices of Violations.

- 1.5 The Complaint is based on the October 22, 2010 and January 14, 2011 Notices of Violations and contains allegations that Healthy Body Services has exposed and continues to expose persons in California who use and/or handle the products identified in those two Notices of Violations to the chemical lead in excess of the exposure levels allowed under Proposition 65 without first providing clear and reasonable warnings, in violation of California Health and Safety Code § 25249.6. Healthy Body Services filed an Answer to the Complaint and denies all material allegations, asserts affirmative defenses to the alleged Proposition 65 violations, and specifically denies that those products require Proposition 65 warnings or otherwise harm any person.
- 1.6 The January 6, 2012 Notice of Violations, which identified additional products, was served subsequent to the filing of the Complaint. Healthy Body Services denies all the allegations made in this Notice of Violations and specifically denies that those additional products require Proposition 65 warnings or otherwise harm any person. While the Complaint was not amended to include this Notice of Violations, the Parties intend that this Consent Judgment include and apply to the additional products identified in the January 6, 2012 Notice of Violations, as well as the products identified in the October 22, 2010 and January 14, 2011 Notices of Violations.
- 1.7 The following seven products, which are identified in the Notices of Violations, are the only products covered by this Consent Judgment:
 - 1) Allmax Nutrition TestoFX Hardcore,
 - 2) Allmax Nutrition Rapidcuts Hardcore,
 - 3) Allmax Nutrition Rapidcuts Hardcore Detonation Packs,
 - 4) Allmax Nutrition Vitastack,
 - 5) Allmax Nutrition TribX90 Ultra Concentrated Bulgarian Species,
 - 6) Allmax Nutrition Pro-Competition Series HydraDry 14-Day Pre-Contest Water Loss System, and
 - 7) Allmax Nutrition QuickMass.

These seven products are hereinafter referred to collectively as "Covered Products."

1.3

- 1.8 The Parties enter into this Consent Judgment in order to settle disputed claims between them and to avoid prolonged and costly litigation.
- 1.9 Nothing in this Consent Judgment, nor compliance with this Consent Judgment, shall constitute or be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, issue of law, or violation of law, at any time, for any purpose. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as giving rise to any presumption or inference of admission or concession or waiver of a defense by Healthy Body Services as to any fault, wrongdoing or liability whatsoever, including, but not limited to, any alleged violation of Proposition 65.
- 1.10 Except as expressly provided herein, nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive or impair any right, remedy or defense that the Parties may have in any other or further legal proceedings. This paragraph shall not diminish or otherwise affect the obligations, responsibilities, and duties of any Party to this Consent Judgment.
- 1.11 The "Effective Date" of this Consent Judgment shall be 60 days after the date this Consent Judgment is entered by the Court.
- 1.12 The only products covered by this Consent Judgment are the Covered Products, and the only chemical covered by this Consent Judgment is the chemical lead as related to the Covered Products only.

2. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action and personal jurisdiction over the Parties, that venue is proper in this Court, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter a Consent Judgment pursuant to the terms set forth herein.

3. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

3.1 Warnings

On and after the Effective Date, Defendant shall be permanently enjoined from manufacturing for sale in California, Distributing into California, in any way arranging for or participating in the sale to any consumer located in California, or directly selling to any consumer located in California any of the Covered Products without complying with either or

2.7

both of the warning methods set forth in Sections 3.2 and 3.3 below. The term "Distributing into California" means to ship any of the Covered Products into California for sale in California or to sell or provide any of the Covered Products to any person or entity Healthy Body Services knows intends to or will ship any of the Covered Products into or sell the Covered Products in California.

3.2 Warning Method No. 1 (On-Product Warning)

The following warning shall be permanently affixed to or printed on each product label:

WARNING: This product contains chemicals known to the State of California to cause cancer, and birth defects and other reproductive harm.

The warning shall be prominently affixed to or printed on the product label with such conspicuousness, as to render it likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual under customary conditions of purchase or use. The warning shall be at least the same size as the largest of any other health or safety warnings on the product label, and the word "WARNING" shall be in capital letters and in bold print. The warning shall be contained in the same section of the product label that states other safety warnings concerning the use of the product if such other safety warnings are on the product label.

3.3 Warning Method No. 2 (Combination of Warning and Symbol)

- 3.3.1 The symbol ("Symbol") to be used as part of this warning method in conjunction with a warning in the form of a sign or product insert or as part of a warning appearing on a website on which any of the Covered Products are sold shall be the symbol shown in **Exhibit B**, consisting of a rectangle with a white border and black background and containing white "PROP 65" text.
- 3.3.2 In any use of this warning method, the Symbol shall be permanently affixed or printed on each product label in a size at least as tall as the largest letter in any other health or safety warning on that product label, or the product bar code, whichever is larger.
- 3.3.3 Retail Stores in California (Combination of Warning Signs and Symbols). In any retail store located in California that offers any of the Covered Products for sale, in addition to the Symbol being on each product label as described in Section 3.3.2 above, there

3.3.3.1 Description of Warning Signs. The Warning Signs, as shown in Exhibit C, shall be rectangular in shape, be at least 8 ½ inches wide by 11 inches high in size with margins of at least ½ inch and no more than 1 inch at all edges, have a black background, and show the warning message in white letters. Larger Warning Signs shall bear substantially the same proportions of type size and spacing as compared to Warning Signs with dimensions of 8½ inches by 11 inches. The warning message shall consist of the words "CALIFORNIA PROPOSITION 65 WARNING" in a heading at the top of the Warning Signs in all capital letters, followed by the words "Products bearing this symbol," followed by a depiction of the Symbol with dimensions of at least 3 inches wide by 3¼ inches high, followed by the words "contain chemicals known to the State of California to cause cancer, and birth defects and other reproductive harm. For more information regarding Proposition 65, visit http://www.oehha.ca.gov/prop65.html."

3.3.3.2 Placement of Warning Signs. The Warning Signs shall not be covered or obscured; they shall be displayed in a manner rendering them likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual prior to purchase. At least one of the Warning Signs shall be posted in each aisle or on each shelf or display where any of the Covered Products are offered or displayed for sale, unless the retail establishment has less than 3,000 square feet of retail sales space and no more than two cash registers, in which case, the retail establishment may instead post one of the Warning Signs at each cash register.

3.3.3.3 Information Provided to Retailers. Healthy Body Services or its designee shall provide Warning Signs to be used for posting to the central purchasing office for all retailers that offer any of the Covered Products for sale in California retail stores. Each such retailer shall be provided with instructions ("Warning Instruction Letter") that shall require such retailers to post the Warning Signs as described in Section 3.3.3.2 above. The Warning Instruction Letter shall request such retailers to respond with a written acknowledgement that the Warning Signs will be posted within 30 days as indicated in the Warning Instruction Letter. A follow up communication ("Follow-Up Warning Instruction Letter") shall be sent to such

retailers who were provided with a Warning Instruction Letter and did not return a timely acknowledgement. The Warning Signs, Warning Instruction Letters and Follow-Up Warning Instruction Letters shall be delivered in person or via a shipping method that is traceable to ensure such retailers receive the information. Healthy Body Services or its designee shall maintain files demonstrating compliance with this provision, including the communications provided to and acknowledgments received from such retailers, which Healthy Body Services shall provide to ERC upon ERC's written request. If Healthy Body Services learns that any retailer offering any of the Covered Products for sale in any California retail store is failing to post or maintain the Warning Signs in accordance with Section 3.3.3.2 above, Covered Products shall stop being provided to that retailer, until Healthy Body Services verifies that the retailer has achieved compliance in accordance with the terms of Section 3.3.3.2 above.

3.3.4 Products Shipped to California Consumers (Combination of Warning Inserts and Symbols). Whenever any Covered Products are shipped to California consumers as a result of any of sales by Healthy Body Services, any Healthy Body Services related entity, or any other company authorized by Healthy Body Services or any Healthy Body Services related entity to sell any Covered Products ("Authorized Sellers"), including, but not limited to, internet and mail order sales, then, in addition to the Symbol being on each product label as described in Section 3.3.2 above, there shall be one or more warning inserts ("Warning Inserts") placed in each shipping box containing such a shipment of any Covered Products.

3.3.4.1 Description of Warning Inserts. The Warning Inserts, as shown in Exhibit D, shall be rectangular in shape, be at least 3 ¾ inches wide by 5 inches high in size, have a black background, and show the warning message in white letters. Larger Warning Inserts shall bear substantially the same proportions of type size and spacing as compared to Warning Inserts with dimensions of 3 ¾ inches by 5 inches. The warning message shall consist of the words "CALIFORNIA PROPOSITION 65 WARNING" in a heading at the top of the Warning Signs in all capital letters, followed by the words "Products bearing this symbol," followed by a depiction of the Symbol with dimensions of at least 1 ¼ inches wide and 1 ¼ inches high, followed by the words "contain chemicals known to the State of California to cause

26

27

28

cancer, and birth defects and other reproductive harm. If after reading this warning, you want to return any product with the above symbol, please contact the retailer where you purchased the item within 30 days of receipt of the product and they will arrange the product return at no cost to you. For more information regarding Proposition 65, visit http://www.oehha.ca.gov/prop65.html."

3.3.4.2 Information Provided to Authorized Sellers. Healthy Body Services or its designee shall provide Warning Inserts to Authorized Sellers to be placed in shipping boxes containing any Covered Products shipped to California consumers. Health Body Services or its designee shall send such Authorized Sellers instructions ("Insert Instruction Letter"), which shall require Authorized Sellers to place the Warning Inserts in shipments of any Covered Products to California consumers. The Insert Instruction Letter shall request Authorized Sellers to respond with a written acknowledgement that the Warnings Inserts will be placed in such shipments within 30 days as indicated in the Insert Instruction Letter. Healthy Body Services or its designee shall send a follow up communication ("Follow-Up Insert Instruction Letter") to such Authorized Sellers who were sent an Insert Instruction Letter and did not send a timely acknowledgement. The Warning Inserts, Insert Instruction Letters and Follow-Up Insert Instruction Letters shall be delivered in person or via a shipping method that is traceable to ensure such Authorized Sellers receive the information. Healthy Body Services shall maintain files demonstrating compliance with this provision, including the communications sent to and acknowledgments received from such Authorized Sellers, which Healthy Body Services shall provide to ERC upon ERC's written request. If Healthy Body Services learns that any Authorized Seller that ships any of the Covered Products to California consumers is failing to place Warning Inserts in such shipments, then Covered Products shall stop being provided to that Authorized Seller, until Healthy Body Services verifies that that Authorized Seller has achieved compliance in accordance with the instructions in the Insert Instruction Letter.

3.3.5 A retailer with a store located in California that offers any of the Covered Products for sale may, instead of the method described in Section 3.3.3 above, use an alternative warning method in that store for the Covered Products, only if the alternative warning method

complies with a retail store Proposition 65 warning method set forth in the terms of another Proposition 65 consent judgment between that retailer and the California Attorney General, or ERC or other private Proposition 65 enforcer with the approval of the California Attorney General.

3.4 Defendant's compliance with Sections 3.1 through 3.3 of this Consent Judgment shall fully and completely satisfy Defendant's obligations under Proposition 65 to provide warnings for all Covered Products with respect to the presence of lead.

3.5 Products in the Stream of Commerce

The injunctive relief set forth in Section 3 shall not apply to any of the Covered Products that are put into the stream of commerce before the Effective Date. No later than 10 days after the Effective Date, Healthy Body Services shall provide ERC with the last lot number and expiration date for each of the Covered Products in the stream of commerce as of the Effective Date.

4. SETTLEMENT PAYMENT

4.1 Total Payment

In full and final satisfaction of civil penalties, payment in lieu of further civil penalties, ERC's expenses and costs of litigation, and ERC's attorney fees, Healthy Body Services shall, within 10 business days after the Effective Date, issue a single check in the amount of \$36,000 ("Total Settlement Amount"), made payable to "Environmental Research Center – ERC Escrow Account", and send the check by first-class registered or certified mail, or overnight delivery, directly to ERC at the following address:

Environmental Research Center 3111 Camino Del Rio North, Suite 400 San Diego, CA 92108

Healthy Body Services shall also issue a single IRS Federal Tax Form 1099 for the above payment to ERC. Sections 4.2-4.4 below describe the agreed partition of the Total Settlement Amount.

4.2 Civil Penalty

As a portion of the Total Settlement Amount, \$100.00 shall be considered a civil penalty

III

pursuant to California Health and Safety Code § 25249.7(b)(1). ERC shall remit 75% (\$75.00) of the civil penalties to the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA") for deposit in the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Fund in accordance with California Health and Safety Code § 25249.12(c), and a copy of the transmittal letter will be sent to Defendant's counsel. ERC will retain the remaining 25% (\$25.00) of the civil penalty.

4.3 Reimbursement of Expenses and Costs

As a portion of the Total Settlement Amount, \$8,168.75 shall be considered a reimbursement to ERC for its reasonable investigation costs associated with the enforcement of Proposition 65 and other expenses and costs incurred as a result of investigating, bringing this matter to the attention of Healthy Body Services, and litigating and negotiating a settlement in the public interest.

4.4 Attorney Fees

As a portion of the Total Settlement Amount, \$27,731.25 shall be considered a reimbursement to ERC for its attorney fees (\$25,500 for attorney fees of Philip T. Emmons, and \$2,231.25 for attorney fees of Karen A. Evans).

5. COSTS AND FEES

Except as expressly set forth herein in Section 4, each Party shall bear its own attorneys' fees, costs and expenses in this action.

6. RELEASE

6.1 ERC, acting on its own behalf and in the public interest, releases Healthy Body Services, and their respective officers, directors, shareholders, employees, agents, representatives, parents, subsidiaries, divisions, subdivisions, affiliates, franchisees, licensees, successors, assigns and attorneys, and suppliers, manufacturers, distributors, wholesalers, retailers and all other entities in the distribution chain of the Covered Products ("Released Parties"), from all claims for violations of Proposition 65 up through the Effective Date based on exposure to lead from the Covered Products as set forth in the Notices of Violations and the Complaint.

- 6.2 Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment constitutes compliance with Proposition 65 with respect to exposures to lead from the Covered Products.
- 6.3 ERC on behalf of itself only, on the one hand, and Healthy Body Services, on the other hand, release and waive all claims they may have against each other and their respective officers, directors, employees, agents, representatives and attorneys for any statements or actions made or undertaken by them or their respective officers, directors, employees, agents, representatives and attorneys in connection with the Notices of Violations or this action.
- 6.4 Nothing in this release is intended to apply to any occupational or environmental exposures arising under Proposition 65, nor shall it apply to any products other than the Covered Products.

7. MOTION FOR COURT APPROVAL

- 7.1 Upon execution of this Consent Judgment by the Parties, ERC shall notice, prepare, and file a Motion for Approval & Entry of Consent Judgment pursuant to 11 California Code of Regulations § 3000, et seq. This motion shall be served upon Healthy Body Services and upon the California Attorney General's Office. Healthy Body Services and ERC shall use their best efforts to support entry of this Consent Judgment in the form submitted to the Court for approval.
- 7.2 If, after service of the Motion for Approval & Entry of Consent Judgment, the California Attorney General objects in writing to any term in this Consent Judgment or files an opposition to the motion, the Parties shall use their best efforts to resolve the concern in a timely manner prior to the hearing on the motion. If the concern of the California Attorney General is not resolved prior to the hearing on the motion, any Party may withdraw from this Consent Judgment prior to the date of the hearing, with notice to all Parties in accordance with Paragraph 17 below and notice to the California Attorney General's Office, and upon such notice this Consent Judgment shall be null and void.
- 7.3 This Consent Judgment shall be effective only after it has been entered by the Court. Otherwise, it shall be of no force or effect and cannot be used in any other proceeding for any purpose.

1.1

9. MODIFICATION OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

This Consent Judgment after its entry by the Court may be modified only upon written agreement of the Parties and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court thereon.

10. ENFORCEMENT OF CONSENT JUDGMENT; GOOD FAITH ATTEMPT TO RESOLVE DISPUTES

In the event a dispute arises with respect to any Party's compliance with the terms and/or conditions of this Consent Judgment after its entry by the Court, the Party seeking compliance by another Party shall make a good faith attempt to resolve the dispute by conferring with the other Party in person, by telephone, or by written communication before seeking relief from the Court. If the dispute is not resolved after such an attempt, this Consent Judgment may be enforced in this Court pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure § 664.4 or any other valid provision of the law. The prevailing party in any such dispute brought to this Court for resolution shall be awarded all reasonable costs and attorney's fees. As used in the preceding sentence, the term "prevailing party" means a party who is successful in obtaining relief more favorable to it than the relief the other party was agreeable to providing during the Parties' good faith attempt to resolve the dispute that is the subject of such an enforcement proceeding.

11. SEVERABILITY OF UNENFORCEABLE PROVISIONS

In the event that, after entry of this Consent Judgment in its entirety, any of the provisions hereof are subsequently held by a court to be unenforceable, the validity of the enforceable provisions shall not be adversely affected.

12. GOVERNING LAW

The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California and apply within the State of California.

13. RELATION TO OTHER ACTIONS

This Consent Judgment shall have no application or effect outside the State of California

///

or on Healthy Body Services for the Covered Products or other products distributed or sold by Healthy Body Services to consumers outside the State of California.

14. DRAFTING

The terms of this Consent Judgment have been reviewed by the respective legal counsel for the Parties prior to its signing, and each Party has had an opportunity to fully discuss the terms and conditions with its legal counsel. The Parties agree that, in any subsequent interpretation or construction of this Consent Judgment, no inference, assumption or presumption shall be drawn, and no provision of this Consent Judgment shall be construed against any Party, based on the fact that one of the Parties and/or one of the Parties' legal counsel prepared and/or drafted all or any portion of this Consent Judgment. It is conclusively presumed that all of the Parties participated equally in the preparation and drafting of this Consent Judgment.

15. ENTIRE AGREEMENT

This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter hereof, and supersedes and replaces any and all prior agreements or understandings, written or oral, with regard to the matters set forth herein. No other agreements or understandings not specifically referred to herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties.

16. EXECUTION IN COUNTERPARTS

This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts, which taken together shall be deemed to constitute one document. A facsimile or pdf signature shall be construed as valid as the original signature.

17. NOTICES

All notices required by this Consent Judgment to be given to any Party shall be sent by first-class registered or certified mail, or overnight delivery, to the following:

(13)

FOR ERC: 1 2 Chris Heptinstall, Executive Director **Environmental Research Center** 3111 Camino Del Rio North, Suite 400 San Diego, CA 92108 Philip T. Emmons Law Office of Philip T. Emmons 1990 North California Blvd., 8th Floor Walnut Creek, CA 94596 8 Karen A. Evans Law Office of Karen A. Evans 4218 Biona Place 10 San Diego, CA 92116 11 FOR DEFENDANT: 12 Michael Kichuk Healthy Body Services, LLC 13 711 S. Carson Street, Suite 4 14 Carson City, NV 89701 15 Stephen L. Marsh Taylor Fleming 16 McKenna Long & Aldridge LLP 600 West Broadway, Suite 2600 17 San Diego, CA 92101-3372 18 AUTHORITY TO STIPULATE TO THIS CONSENT JUDGMENT 18. 19 Each person signing this Consent Judgment on behalf of a Party certifies that he or she is 20 fully authorized by that Party to stipulate to the terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment 21 on behalf of that Party, to enter into and execute this Consent Judgment on behalf of that Party. 22 and to legally bind that Party to this Consent Judgment. Each person signing this Consent 23 Judgment on behalf of a Party represents and warrants that he or she has read and understands 24 /// 25 /// 26 /// 27 ///

[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT

	l)·
1	this Consent Judgment, and agrees to all of the terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment of
2	behalf of that Party.
3	IT IS SO STIPULATED:
4	Dated: 5/13/2013 ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH CENTER
5 6	By:
7	Chris Hepgustall Executive Director
8	
9	Dated: MA 3-2013 HEALTHY BODY SERVICES, LLC
10	By: Mh.
12	Michael Kichuk
13	Manager
14	
15	APPROVED AS TO FORM:
16	Dated: 5/13/13 LAW OFFICE OF PHILIP T. EMMONS
17	
18	By. Philip T. Emmons
19	Attorney for Plaintiff
20	ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH CENTER
21	Dated: Meh 10, 2013 MCKENNA LONG & ALDRIDGE LLP
22	MICKEINIA DONG & ALDRIDGE LLP
23	By: All White
24	Stephen L. Marsh
25	Attorney for Defendant HEALTHY BODY SERVICES, LLC
26	
27	
28	
	(15)
ĺ	[PROFOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT

1	JUDGMENT
2	Based on the Parties' stipulation, and good cause appearing therefor, this Consent
3	Judgment is approved and judgment is hereby entered according to its terms.
4	IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED.
5	
6	
7	Dated:
8	Judge of the Superior Court
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	EXHIBIT A – [Notices of Violations]
14	EXHIBIT B – [Sample Symbol]
15	EXHIBIT C – [Sample Warning Sign]
16	EXHIBIT D – [Sample Warning Insert]
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	

EXHIBIT A

LAW OFFICE OF PHILIP T. EMMONS

208 Normandy Lane Walnut Creek, CA 94598 Tel: (925) 349-4029 E-Mail: p-emmons@hotmail.com

October 22, 2010

VIA CERTIFIED MAIL

Current President or CEO Healthy Body Services Inc. 4576 Yonge St Suite 509 North York ON M2N 6N4

Current CEO or President Healthy Body Services, LLC 711 S Carson Street, Suite 4 Carson City, NV 89701

Resident Agents of Nevada, Inc. (Healthy Body Services, LLC's Registered Agent for Service of Process) 711 S. Carson Street, Suite 4 Carson City, NV 89701

Office of the California Attorney General Prop 65 Enforcement Reporting 1515 Clay Street, Suite 2000 P.O. Box 70550 Oakland, CA 94612-0550

Re: Notice of Violations of California Health & Safety Code Section 25249.5 et seq.

Dear Addressees:

I represent the Environmental Research Center ("ERC") in connection with this Notice of Violations of California's Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, which is codified at California Health & Safety Code Section 25249.5 et seq. and also referred to as Proposition 65.

ERC is a California non-profit corporation dedicated to, among other causes, helping safeguard the public from health hazards by bringing about a reduction in the use and misuse of hazardous and toxic chemicals, facilitating a safe environment for consumers and employees, and encouraging corporate responsibility.

The names of the Companies covered by this notice that violated Proposition 65 (hereinafter "the Violators") are:

VIA PRIORITY MAIL

District Attorneys of All California Counties and Select City Attorneys (See Attached Certificate of Service)



Healthy Body Services Inc. Healthy Body Services, LLC Notice of Violations of California Health & Safety Code §25249.5 et seq. October 22, 2010 Page 2

The products that are the subject of this notice and the chemicals in those products identified as exceeding allowable levels are:

Healthy Body Services Inc, Allmax Nutrition Inc - Testofx Hardcore - Lead Healthy Body Services Inc, Allmax Nutrition Inc - Rapidcuts hardcore - Lead Healthy Body Services Inc, Allmax Nutrition Inc - Rapidcuts hardcore detonation packs - Lead Healthy Body Services Inc, Allmax Nutrition Inc - Vitastack (tablets) - Lead

On February 27, 1987, the State of California officially listed lead as a chemical known to cause developmental toxicity, and male and female reproductive toxicity. On October 1, 1992, the State of California officially listed lead as chemical known to cause cancer.

This letter is a notice to each of the Violators and the appropriate governmental authorities of the Proposition 65 violations concerning the listed products. This notice covers all violations of Proposition 65 involving the Violators currently known to ERC from the information now available. ERC may continue to investigate other products that may reveal further violations. A summary of Proposition 65, prepared by the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment, is enclosed with the copy of this letter to each of the Violators.

Each of the Violators has manufactured, marketed, distributed, and/or sold the listed products, which have exposed and continue to expose numerous individuals within California to the identified chemicals. The primary route of exposure to these chemicals has been through ingestion, but may have also occurred through inhalation and/or dermal contact. Proposition 65 requires that a clear and reasonable warning be provided prior to exposure to the identified chemicals. The method of warning should be a warning that appears on the product's label. Each of the Violators violated Proposition 65 because they failed to provide an appropriate warning to persons using these products that they are being exposed to the identified chemicals.

Pursuant to Section 25249.7(d) of the statute, ERC intends to file a citizen enforcement action sixty days after effective service of this notice unless each of the Violators agrees in an enforceable written instrument to: (1) reformulate the listed products so as to eliminate further exposures to the identified chemicals; and (2) pay an appropriate civil penalty. Consistent with the public interest goals of Proposition 65 and my client's objectives in pursuing this notice, ERC is interested in seeking a constructive resolution to this matter. Such resolution will avoid both further unwarned consumer exposures to the identified chemicals and expensive and time consuming litigation. ERC's address 5694 Mission Center Road #199, San Diego, CA 92108; Tel. (619) 309-4194. However, ERC has retained me in connection with this matter, and all communications regarding this Notice of Violations should be directed to my attention at the above listed law office address and telephone number.

Sincerely,

Itty Fun

Philip T. Emmons, Esq.

Notice of Violations of California Health & Safety Code §25249.5 et seq. October 22, 2010 Page 3

cc: Karen Evans

Attachments

Certificate of Merit Certificate of Service

OEHHA Summary (to Healthy Body Services Inc. and Healthy Body Services, LLC and its Registered Agent for Service of Process Only)

Additional Supporting Information for Certificate of Merit (to AG only)

CERTIFICATE OF MERIT

Re: Environmental Research Center's Notice of Proposition 65 Violations by Healthy Body Services Inc. and Healthy Body Services, LLC

- I, Philip T. Emmons, declare:
 - 1. This Certificate of Merit accompanies the attached sixty-day notice in which it is alleged the party identified in the notice violated California Health & Safety Code Section 25249.6 by failing to provide clear and reasonable warnings.
 - 2. I am an attorney for the noticing party.
 - 3. I have consulted with one or more persons with relevant and appropriate experience or expertise who have reviewed facts, studies, or other data regarding the exposure to the listed chemical that is the subject of the notice.
 - 4. Based on the information obtained through those consultants, and on other information in my possession, I believe there is a reasonable and meritorious case for the private action. I understand that "reasonable and meritorious case for the private action" means that the information provides a credible basis that all elements of the plaintiff's case can be established and that the information did not prove that the alleged violator will be able to establish any of the affirmative defenses set forth in the statute.
 - 5. Along with the copy of this Certificate of Merit served on the Attorney General is attached additional factual information sufficient to establish the basis for this certificate, including the information identified in California Health & Safety Code §25249.7(h)(2), i.e., (1) the identity of the persons consulted with and relied on by the certifier, and (2) the facts, studies, or other data reviewed by those persons.

My Fen

Dated: October 22, 2010
Philip T. Emmons

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, the undersigned, declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the following is true and correct:

I am a citizen of the United States, over the age of 18 years of age, and am not a party to the within entitled action. My business address is 306 Joy Street, Fort Oglethorpe, Georgia 30742

On October 22, 2010, I served the following documents: **NOTICE OF VIOLATIONS OF CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.5** *ET SEQ.*; **CERTIFICATE OF MERIT**; "THE SAFE DRINKING WATER AND TOXIC ENFORCEMENT ACT OF 1986 (PROPOSITION 65): A SUMMARY"

On the following parties by placing a true and correct copy thereof in a sealed envelope, addressed to the party listed below and depositing it in a US Postal Service Office for delivery by Certified Mail:

Current President or CEO Healthy Body Services Inc. 4576 Yonge St Suite 509 North York ON M2N 6N4 Current CEO or President Healthy Body Services, LLC 711 S Carson Street, Suite 4 Carson City, NV 89701

Resident Agents of Nevada, Inc. (Healthy Body Services, LLC's Registered Agent for Service of Process) 711 S. Carson Street, Suite 4 Carson City, NV 89701

On October 22, 2010, I served the following documents: NOTICE OF VIOLATION, CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE \$25249.5 ET SEQ.; CERTIFICATE OF MERIT; ADDITIONAL SUPPORTING INFORMATION FOR CERTIFICATE OF MERIT AS REQUIRED BY CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE \$25249.7(d)(1) on the following parties by placing a true and correct copy thereof in a sealed envelope, addressed to the party listed below and depositing it in a US Postal Service Office for delivery by Certified Mail:

Office of the California Attorney General Prop 65 Enforcement Reporting 1515 Clay Street, Suite 2000 Post Office Box 70550 Oakland, CA 94612-0550

On October 22, 2010, I served the following documents: **NOTICE OF VIOLATION, CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.5** ET SEQ.; CERTIFICATE OF MERIT on each of the parties on the Service List attached hereto by placing a true and correct copy thereof in a sealed envelope, addressed to each of the parties on the Service List attached hereto, and depositing it with the U.S. Postal Service for delivery by Priority Mail.

Executed on October 22, 2010, in Fort Oglethorpe, Georgia.

Chris Heptinstall

Service List

District Attorney, Alameda County 1225 Failon Street, Room 900 Oakland, CA 94612

District Attorney, Alpine County P.O. Box 248 Markleeville, CA 96120

District Attorney. Amador County 708 Court Street, #202 Jackson CA 95642

District Attorney, Butte County 25 County Center Drive Orovite, CA 95965

District Attorney, Calaveras County 891 Mountain Ranch Road San Andreas, CA 95249

District Attorney, Colusa County 547 Market Street Colusa, CA 95932

District Attorney, Contra Costa County 900 Ward Street Martmez, CA 94553

District Attorney, Del Norte County 450 H Street, Ste. 171 Crescent City, CA 95531

District Attorney, El Dorado County 515 Main Street Placerville, CA 95667

District Attorney, Fresno County 2220 Tulare Street, #1000 Fresno, CA 93721

District Attorney, Glenn County Post Office Box 430 Willows, CA 95988

District Attorney, Humboldt County 825 5th Street Eureka, CA 95501

District Attorney, Imperial County 939 West Main Street, Ste 102 El Centro, CA 92243

District Attorney, Inyo County 230 W. Line Street Bishop, CA 93514

District Attorney, Kern County 1215 Truxtun Avenue Bakersfield, CA 93301 District Attorney, Kings County 1400 West Lacey Boulevard Hanford, CA 93230

District Attorney, Lake County 255 N. Forbes Street Lakeport, CA 95453

District Attorney, Lassen County 220 South Lassen Street, Ste. 8 Susanville, CA 96130

District Attorney, Los Angeles County 210 West Temple Street, Rm 345 Los Angeles, CA 90012

District Attorney, Madera County 209 West Yosemite Avenue Madera, CA 93637

District Attorney, Marin County 3501 Civic Center, Room 130 San Rafael, CA 94903

District Attorney, Mariposa County Post Office Box 730 Mariposa, CA 95338

District Attorney, Mendocino County Post Office Box 1000 Ukiah, CA 95482

District Attorney, Merced County 2222 M Street Merced, CA 95340

District Attorney, Modoc County 204 S Court Street, Room 202 Alturas, CA 96101-4020

District Attorney, Mono County Post Office Box 617 Bridgeport, CA 93517

District Attorney, Monterey County 230 Church Street, Bldg 2 Salinas, CA 93901

District Attorney, Napa County 931 Parkway Mall Napa, CA 94559

District Attorney, Nevada County 110 Union Street Nevada City, CA 95959

District Attorney, Orange County 401 Civic Center Drive West Santa Ana, CA 92701

Notice of Violations of California Health & Safety Code §25249.5 et seq. October 22, 2010

Page 7

District Attorney, Placer County 10810 Justice Center Drive, Ste 240 Roseville, CA 95678

District Attorney, Plumas County 520 Main Street, Room 404 Quincy, CA 95971

District Attorney, Riverside County 4075 Main Street, 1st Floor Riverside, CA 92501

District Attorney, Sacramento County 901 "G" Street Sacramento, CA 9581

District Attorney, San Benito County 419 Fourth Street, 2nd Floor Hollister, CA 95023

District Attorney, San Bernardino County 316 N. Mountain View Avenue San Bernardino, CA 92415-0004

District Attorney, San Diego County 330 West Broadway, Room 1300 San Diego, CA 92101

District Attorney, San Francisco County 850 Bryant Street, Room 325 San Francisco, CA 94103

District Attorney, San Joaquin County Post Office Box 990 Stockton, CA 95201

District Attorney, San Luis Obispo County 1050 Monterey Street, Room 450 San Luis Obispo, CA 93408

District Attorney, San Mateo County 400 County Ctr., 3rd Floor Redwood City, CA 94063

District Attorney, Santa Barbara County 1105 Santa Barbara Street Santa Barbara, CA 93101

District Attorney, Santa Clara County 70 West Hedding Street San Jose, CA 95110

District Attorney, Santa Cruz County 701 Ocean Street, Room 200 Santa Cruz, CA 95060

District Attorney, Shasta County 1525 Court Street, Third Floor Redding, CA 96001-1632

District Attorney, Sierra County PO Box 457 Downieville, CA 95936 District Altorney, Siskiyou County Post Office Box 986 Yreka, CA 96097

District Attorney, Solano County 675 Texas Street, Ste 4500 Fairfield, CA 94533

District Attorney, Sonoma County 600 Administration Drive, Room 212J Santa Rosa, CA 95403

District Attorney, Stanislaus County 832 12th Street, Ste 300 Modesto, CA 95353

District Attorney, Sutter County 446 Second Street Yuba City, CA 95991

District Attorney, Tehama County Post Office Box 519 Red Biuff, CA 96080

District Attorney, Trinity County Post Office Box 310 Weaverville, CA 96093

District Attorney, Tulare County 221 S. Mooney Avenue, Room 224 Visalia, CA 93291

District Attorney, Tuolumne County 423 N. Washington Street Sonora, CA 95370

District Attorney, Ventura County 800 South Victoria Avenue Ventura, CA 93009

District Attorney, Yolo County 301 2nd Street Woodland, CA 95695

District Attorney, Yuba County 215 Fifth Street Marysville, CA 95901

Los Angeles City Attorney's Office City Hall East 200 N. Main Street, Rm 800 Los Angeles, CA 90012

San Diego City Attorney's Office 1200 3rd Avenue, Ste 1620 San Diego, CA 92101

San Francisco City Attorney's Office City Hall, Room 234 1 Drive Carlton B Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102

San Jose City Attorney's Office 200 East Santa Clara Street San Jose, CA 95113

THE SAFE DRINKING WATER AND TOXIC ENFORCEMENT ACT OF 1986 (PROPOSITION 65): A SUMMARY

The following summary has been prepared by the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment, the lead agency for the implementation of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986 (commonly known as "Proposition 65"). A copy of this summary must be included as an attachment to any notice of violation served upon an alleged violator of the Act. The summary provides basic information about the provisions of the law, and is intended to serve only as a convenient source of general information. It is not intended to provide authoritative guidance on the meaning or application of the law. The reader is directed to the statute and its implementing regulations (see citations below) for further information. Proposition 65 appears in California law as Health and Safety Code Sections 25249.5 through 25249.13. Regulations that provide more specific guidance on compliance, and that specify procedures to be followed by the State in carrying out certain aspects of the law, are found in Title 22 of the California Code of Regulations, Sections 12000 through 14000.

WHAT DOES PROPOSITION 65 REQUIRE?

The "Governor's List." Proposition 65 requires the Governor to publish a list of chemicals that are known to the State of California to cause cancer, or birth defects or other reproductive harm. This list must be updated at least once a year. Over 550 chemicals have been listed as of May 1, 1996. Only those chemicals that are on the list are regulated under this law. Businesses that produce, use, release or otherwise engage in activities involving those chemicals must comply with the following:

Clear and reasonable warnings. A business is required to warn a person before "knowingly and intentionally" exposing that person to a listed chemical. The warning given must be "clear and reasonable." This means that the warning must: (1) clearly make known that the chemical involved is known to cause cancer, or birth defects or other reproductive harm; and (2) be given in such a way that it will effectively reach the person before he or she is exposed. Exposures are exempt from the warning requirement if they occur less than twelve months after the date of listing of the chemical.

Prohibition from discharges into drinking water. A business must not knowingly discharge or release a listed chemical into water or onto land where it passes or probably will pass into a source of drinking water. Discharges are exempt from this requirement if they occur less than twenty months after the date of listing of the chemical.

DOES PROPOSITION 65 PROVIDE ANY EXEMPTIONS?

Yes. The law exempts:

Governmental agencies and public water utilities. All agencies of the federal, State or local government, as well as entities operating public water systems, are exempt. Businesses with nine or fewer employees. Neither the warning requirement nor the discharge prohibition applies to a business that employs a total of nine or fewer employees.

Exposures that pose no significant risk of cancer. For chemicals that are listed as known to the State to cause cancer ("carcinogens"), a warning is not required if the business can demonstrate that the exposure occurs at a level that poses "no significant risk." This means that the exposure is calculated to result in not more than one excess case of cancer in 100,000 individuals exposed over a 70-year lifetime. The Proposition 65 regulations identify specific "no significant risk" levels for more than 250 listed carcinogens. Exposures that will produce no observable reproductive effect at 1,000 times the level in question. For chemicals known to the State to cause birth defects or other reproductive harm ("reproductive toxicants"), a warning is not required if the business can demonstrate that the exposure will produce no observable effect, even at 1,000 times the level in question. In other words, the level of exposure must be below the "no observable effect level (NOEL)," divided by a 1,000-fold safety or uncertainty factor. The "no observable effect level" is the highest dose level which has not been associated with an observable adverse reproductive or developmental effect. Discharges that do not result in a "significant amount" of the listed chemical entering into any source of drinking water. The prohibition from discharges into drinking water does not apply if the discharger is able to demonstrate that a "significant amount" of the listed chemical has not, does not, or will not enter any drinking water source, and that the discharge complies with all other applicable laws, regulations, permits, requirements, or orders. A "significant amount" means any detectable amount, except an amount that would meet the "no significant risk" or "no observable effect" test if an individual were exposed to such an amount in drinking water.

HOW IS PROPOSITION 65 ENFORCED?

Enforcement is carried out through civil lawsuits. These lawsuits may be brought by the Attorney General, any district attorney, or certain city attorneys (those in cities with a population exceeding 750,000). Lawsuits may also be brought by private parties acting in the public interest, but only after providing notice of the alleged violation to the Attorney General, the appropriate district attorney and city attorney, and the business accused of the violation. The notice must provide adequate information to allow the recipient to assess the nature of the alleged violation. A notice must comply with the information and procedural requirements specified in regulations (Title 22, California Code of Regulations, Section 12903). A private party may not pursue an enforcement action directly under Proposition 65 if one of the governmental officials noted above initiates an action within sixty days of the notice. A business found to be in violation of Proposition 65 is subject to civil penalties of up to \$2,500 per day for each violation. In addition, the business may be ordered by a court of law to stop committing the violation.

LAW OFFICE OF PHILIP T. EMMONS

208 Normandy Lane Walnut Creek, CA 94598 Tel: (925) 349-4029 E-Mail: p-emmons@hotmail.com

January 14, 2011

VIA CERTIFIED MAIL

Current President or CEO Healthy Body Services Inc. 4576 Yonge St Suite 509 North York ON M2N 6N4

Current CEO or President Healthy Body Services, LLC 711 S Carson Street, Suite 4 Carson City, NV 89701

Resident Agents of Nevada, Inc. (Healthy Body Services, LLC's Registered Agent for Service of Process) 711 S. Carson Street, Suite 4 Carson City, NV 89701

Office of the California Attorney General Prop 65 Enforcement Reporting 1515 Clay Street, Suite 2000 P.O. Box 70550 Oakland, CA 94612-0550

VIA PRIORITY MAIL

District Attorneys of All California Counties and Select City Attorneys (See Attached Certificate of Service)

Re: Notice of Violations of California Health & Safety Code Section 25249.5 et sea.

Dear Addressees:

I represent the Environmental Research Center ("ERC") in connection with this Notice of Violations of California's Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, which is codified at California Health & Safety Code Section 25249.5 et seq. and also referred to as Proposition 65.

ERC is a California non-profit corporation dedicated to, among other causes, helping safeguard the public from health hazards by bringing about a reduction in the use and misuse of hazardous and toxic chemicals, facilitating a safe environment for consumers and employees, and encouraging corporate responsibility.

The names of the Companies covered by this notice that violated Proposition 65 (hereinafter "the Violators") are:

Healthy Body Services Inc. Healthy Body Services, LLC

The product that is the subject of this notice and the chemical in that product identified as exceeding allowable levels is:

HBS International Corp. AllMax Nutrition TribX90 Ultra Concentrated Bulgarian Species 90 Capsules - Lead

On February 27, 1987, the State of California officially listed lead as a chemical known to cause developmental toxicity, and male and female reproductive toxicity. On October 1, 1992, the State of California officially listed lead as chemical known to cause cancer.

This letter is a notice to each of the Violators and the appropriate governmental authorities of the Proposition 65 violations concerning the listed products. This notice covers all violations of Proposition 65 involving the Violators currently known to ERC from the information now available. ERC may continue to investigate other products that may reveal further violations. A summary of Proposition 65, prepared by the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment, is enclosed with the copy of this letter to each of the Violators.

Each of the Violators has manufactured, marketed, distributed, and/or sold the listed products, which have exposed and continue to expose numerous individuals within California to the identified chemicals. The consumer exposures that are the subject of this notice result from the purchase, acquisition, handling and/or recommended use of these products by consumers. The primary route of exposure to these chemicals has been through ingestion, but may have also occurred through inhalation and/or dermal contact. Proposition 65 requires that a clear and reasonable warning be provided prior to exposure to the identified chemicals. The method of warning should be a warning that appears on the product's label. Each of the Violators violated Proposition 65 because they failed to provide an appropriate warning to persons using and/or handling these products that they are being exposed to the identified chemicals. Each of these ongoing violations has occurred on every day since January 14, 2011, as well as every day since the products were introduced in the California marketplace, and will continue every day until clear and reasonable warnings are provided to product purchasers and users.

Pursuant to Section 25249.7(d) of the statute, ERC intends to file a citizen enforcement action sixty days after effective service of this notice unless each of the Violators agrees in an enforceable written instrument to: (1) reformulate the listed products so as to eliminate further exposures to the identified chemicals; and (2) pay an appropriate civil penalty. Consistent with the public interest goals of Proposition 65 and my client's objectives in pursuing this notice, ERC is interested in seeking a constructive resolution to this matter. Such resolution will avoid both further unwarned consumer exposures to the identified chemicals and expensive and time consuming litigation.

ERC's address 5694 Mission Center Road #199, San Diego, CA 92108; Tel. (619) 309-4194. However, ERC has retained me in connection with this matter, and all communications regarding this Notice of Violations should be directed to my attention at the above listed law office address and telephone number.

Sincerely,

Philip T. Emmons, Esq.

cc: Karen Evans

Attachments

Certificate of Merit Certificate of Service

OEHHA Summary (to Healthy Body Services Inc. and Healthy Body Services, LLC and its Registered Agent for Service of Process Only)

Additional Supporting Information for Certificate of Merit (to AG only)

CERTIFICATE OF MERIT

Re: Environmental Research Center's Notice of Proposition 65 Violations by Healthy Body Services Inc. and Healthy Body Services, LLC

- I, Philip T. Emmons, declare:
 - 1. This Certificate of Merit accompanies the attached sixty-day notice in which it is alleged the party identified in the notice violated California Health & Safety Code Section 25249.6 by failing to provide clear and reasonable warnings.
 - 2. I am an attorney for the noticing party.
 - 3. I have consulted with one or more persons with relevant and appropriate experience or expertise who have reviewed facts, studies, or other data regarding the exposure to the listed chemical that is the subject of the notice.
 - 4. Based on the information obtained through those consultants, and on other information in my possession, I believe there is a reasonable and meritorious case for the private action. I understand that "reasonable and meritorious case for the private action" means that the information provides a credible basis that all elements of the plaintiff's case can be established and that the information did not prove that the alleged violator will be able to establish any of the affirmative defenses set forth in the statute.
 - 5. Along with the copy of this Certificate of Merit served on the Attorney General is attached additional factual information sufficient to establish the basis for this certificate, including the information identified in California Health & Safety Code §25249.7(h)(2), i.e., (1) the identity of the persons consulted with and relied on by the certifier, and (2) the facts, studies, or other data reviewed by those persons.

Dated: January 14, 2011

Philip T. Emmons

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, the undersigned, declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the following is true and correct:

I am a citizen of the United States, over the age of 18 years of age, and am not a party to the within entitled action. My business address is 306 Joy Street, Fort Oglethorpe, Georgia 30742

On January 14, 2011, I served the following documents: NOTICE OF VIOLATIONS OF CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.5 ET SEQ.; CERTIFICATE OF MERIT; "THE SAFE DRINKING WATER AND TOXIC ENFORCEMENT ACT OF 1986 (PROPOSITION 65): A SUMMARY" on the following parties by placing a true and correct copy thereof in a sealed envelope, addressed to the party listed below and depositing it in a US Postal Service Office for delivery by Certified Mail:

Current President or CEO Healthy Body Services Inc. 4576 Yonge St Suite 509 North York ON M2N 6N4

Current CEO or President Healthy Body Services, LLC 711 S Carson Street, Suite 4 Carson City, NV 89701

Resident Agents of Nevada, Inc. (Healthy Body Services, LLC's Registered Agent for Service of Process) 711 S. Carson Street, Suite 4 Carson City, NV 89701

On January 14, 2011, I served the following documents: NOTICE OF VIOLATION, CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.5 ET SEQ.; CERTIFICATE OF MERIT; ADDITIONAL SUPPORTING INFORMATION FOR CERTIFICATE OF MERIT AS REQUIRED BY CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.7(d)(1) on the following parties by placing a true and correct copy thereof in a sealed envelope, addressed to the party listed below and depositing it in a US Postal Service Office for delivery by Certified Mail:

Office of the California Attorney General Prop 65 Enforcement Reporting 1515 Clay Street, Suite 2000 Post Office Box 70550 Oakland, CA 94612-0550

On January 14, 2011, I served the following documents: **NOTICE OF VIOLATION, CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.5** ET SEQ.; CERTIFICATE OF MERIT on each of the parties on the Service List attached hereto by placing a true and correct copy thereof in a sealed envelope, addressed to each of the parties on the Service List attached hereto, and depositing it with the U.S. Postal Service for delivery by Priority Mail.

Executed on January 14, 2011, in Fort Oglethorpe, Georgia.



Service List

District Attorney, Alameda County 1225 Fallon Street, Room 900 Oakland, CA 94612

District Attorney, Alpine County P.O. Box 248 Markleeville, CA 96120

District Attorney, Amador County 708 Court Street, #202 Jackson, CA 95642

District Attorney, Butte County 25 County Center Drive Orovitle, CA 95965

District Attorney, Calaveras County 891 Mountain Ranch Road San Andreas, CA 95249

District Attorney, Colusa County 547 Market Street Colusa, CA 95932

District Attorney, Contra Costa County 900 Ward Street Martinez, CA 94553

District Attorney, Del Norte County 450 H Street, Ste. 171 Crescent City, CA 95531

District Attorney, El Dorado County 515 Main Street Placery IIe, CA 95667

District Attorney, Fresno County 2220 Tulare Street, #1000 Fresno, CA 93721

District Attorney, Glenn County Post Office Box 430 Willows, CA 95988

District Attorney, Humboldt County 825 5th Street Fureka, CA 95501

District Attorney, Imperial County 939 West Main Street, Ste 102 El Centro, CA 92243

District Attorney, Inyo County 230 W. Line Street Bishop, CA 93514

District Attorney, Kern County 1215 Truxtun Avenue Bakersheld, CA 93301 District Attorney, Kings County 1400 West Lacey Boulevard Hanford, CA 93230

District Attorney, Lake County 255 N. Forbes Street Lakeport, CA 95453

District Attorney, Lassen County 220 South Lassen Street, Ste. 8 Susanvitle, CA 96130

District Attorney, Los Angeles County 210 West Temple Street, Rm 345 Los Angeles, CA 90012

District Attorney, Madera County 209 West Yosemite Avenue Madera, CA 93637

District Attorney, Marin County 3501 Civic Center. Room 130 San Rafael, CA 94903

District Attorney, Mariposa County Post Office Box 730 Mariposa, CA 95338

District Attorney, Mendocino County Post Office Box 1000 Ukiah, CA 95482

District Attorney, Merced County 2222 M Street Merced, CA 95340

District Attorney, Modoc County 204 S Court Street, Room 202 Alturas, CA 96101-4020

District Attorney, Mono County Post Office Box 617 Bridgeport, CA 93517

District Attorney, Monterey County 230 Church Street, Bldg 2 Salinas, CA 93901

District Attorney, Napa County 931 Parkway Mall Napa, CA 94559

District Attorney, Nevada County 110 Union Street Nevada City, CA 95959

District Attorney, Orange County 401 Civic Center Drive West Santa Ana, CA 92701

District Attorney, Placer County 10810 Justice Center Drive, Ste 240 Roseville, CA 95678

District Attorney, Plumas County 520 Main Street, Room 404 Quincy, CA 95971

District Attorney, Riverside County 4075 Main Street, 1st Floor Riverside, CA 92501

Distric Attorney, Sacramento County 901 "G" Street Sacramento, CA 9581

District Attorney, San Benito County 419 Fourth Street, 2nd Floor Hollister, CA 95023

District Attorney San Bernardino County 316 N. Mountain View Avenue San Bernardino, CA 92415-0004

District Attorney, San Diego County 330 West Broadway, Room 1300 San Diego, CA 92101

District Attorney, San Francisco County 850 Bryant Street, Room 325 San Francisco, CA 94103

District Attorney, San Joaquin County Post Office Box 990 Stockton, CA 95201

District Attorney, San Luis Obispo County 1050 Monterey Street, Room 450 San Luis Obispo, CA 93408

District Attorney, San Mateo County 400 County Ctr., 3rd Floor Redwood City, CA 94063

District Attorney, Santa Barbara County 1105 Santa Barbara Street Santa Barbara, CA 93101

District Attorney, Santa Clara County 70 West Hedding Street San Jose, CA 95110

District Attorney, Santa Cruz County 701 Ocean Street, Room 200 Santa Cruz, CA 95060

District Attorney, Shasta County 1525 Court Street, Third Floor Redding, CA 96001-1632

District Attorney, Sierra County PO Box 457 Downleydle, CA 95936 District Attorney, Siskiyou County Post Office Box 986 Yreka, CA 96097

District Attorney, Solano County 675 Texas Street, Ste 4500 Fairfield, CA 94533

District Attorney, Sonoma County 600 Administration Drive, Room 212J Santa Rosa, CA 95403

District Attorney, Stanislaus County 832-12th Street, Ste 300 Modesto, CA 95353

District Attorney, Suffer County 446 Second Street Yuba City, CA 95991

District Attorney, Tehama County Post Office Box 519 Red Bluff, CA 96080

District Attorney, Frinity County Post Office Box 310 Weaverville, CA 96093

District Attorney, Fulare County 221 S. Mooney Avenue, Room 224 Visalia, CA 93291

District Attorney, Tuolumne County 423 N. Washington Street Sonora, CA 95370

District Attorney, Ventura County 800 South Victoria Avenue Ventura, CA 93009

District Attorney, Volo County 301 2nd Street Woodland, CA 95695

District Attorney, Yuba County 215 Fifth Street Marysville, CA 95901

Los Angeles City Attorney's Office City Hall East 200 N. Main Street, Rm 800 Los Angeles, CA 90012

San Diego City Attorney's Office 1200 3rd Avenue, Ste 1620 San Diego, CA 92101

San Francisco City Attorney's Office City Hall, Room 234 1 Drive Carlton B Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102

San Jose City Attorney's Office 200 East Santa Clara Street San Jose, CA 95113

THE SAFE DRINKING WATER AND TOXIC ENFORCEMENT ACT OF 1986 (PROPOSITION 65): A SUMMARY

The following summary has been prepared by the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment, the lead agency for the implementation of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986 (commonly known as "Proposition 65"). A copy of this summary must be included as an attachment to any notice of violation served upon an alleged violator of the Act. The summary provides basic information about the provisions of the law, and is intended to serve only as a convenient source of general information. It is not intended to provide authoritative guidance on the meaning or application of the law. The reader is directed to the statute and its implementing regulations (see citations below) for further information. Proposition 65 appears in California law as Health and Safety Code Sections 25249.5 through 25249.13. Regulations that provide more specific guidance on compliance, and that specify procedures to be followed by the State in carrying out certain aspects of the law, are found in Title 22 of the California Code of Regulations, Sections 12000 through 14000.

WHAT DOES PROPOSITION 65 REQUIRE?

The "Governor's List." Proposition 65 requires the Governor to publish a list of chemicals that are known to the State of California to cause cancer, or birth defects or other reproductive harm. This list must be updated at least once a year. Over 550 chemicals have been listed as of May 1, 1996. Only those chemicals that are on the list are regulated under this law. Businesses that produce, use, release or otherwise engage in activities involving those chemicals must comply with the following:

Clear and reasonable warnings. A business is required to warn a person before "knowingly and intentionally" exposing that person to a listed chemical. The warning given must be "clear and reasonable." This means that the warning must: (1) clearly make known that the chemical involved is known to cause cancer, or birth defects or other reproductive harm; and (2) be given in such a way that it will effectively reach the person before he or she is exposed. Exposures are exempt from the warning requirement if they occur less than twelve months after the date of listing of the chemical.

Prohibition from discharges into drinking water. A business must not knowingly discharge or release a listed chemical into water or onto land where it passes or probably will pass into a source of drinking water. Discharges are exempt from this requirement if they occur less than twenty months after the date of listing of the chemical.

DOES PROPOSITION 65 PROVIDE ANY EXEMPTIONS?

Yes. The law exempts:

Governmental agencies and public water utilities. All agencies of the federal, State or local government, as well as entities operating public water systems, are exempt. Businesses with nine or fewer employees. Neither the warning requirement nor the discharge prohibition applies to a business that employs a total of nine or fewer employees.

Exposures that pose no significant risk of cancer. For chemicals that are listed as known to the State to cause cancer ("carcinogens"), a warning is not required if the business can demonstrate that the exposure occurs at a level that poses "no significant risk." This means that the exposure is calculated to result in not more than one excess case of cancer in 100,000 individuals exposed over a 70-year lifetime. The Proposition 65 regulations identify specific "no significant risk" levels for more than 250 listed carcinogens. Exposures that will produce no observable reproductive effect at 1,000 times the level in question. For chemicals known to the State to cause birth defects or other reproductive harm ("reproductive toxicants"), a warning is not required if the business can demonstrate that the exposure will produce no observable effect, even at 1,000 times the level in question. In other words, the level of exposure must be below the "no observable effect level (NOEL)," divided by a 1,000-fold safety or uncertainty factor. The "no observable effect level" is the highest dose level which has not been associated with an observable adverse reproductive or developmental effect. Discharges that do not result in a "significant amount" of the listed chemical entering into any source of drinking water. The prohibition from discharges into drinking water does not apply if the discharger is able to demonstrate that a "significant amount" of the listed chemical has not, does not, or will not enter any drinking water source, and that the discharge complies with all other applicable laws, regulations, permits, requirements, or orders. A "significant amount" means any detectable amount, except an amount that would meet the "no significant risk" or "no observable effect" test if an individual were exposed to such an amount in drinking water.

HOW IS PROPOSITION 65 ENFORCED?

Enforcement is carried out through civil lawsuits. These lawsuits may be brought by the Attorney General, any district attorney, or certain city attorneys (those in cities with a population exceeding 750,000). Lawsuits may also be brought by private parties acting in the public interest, but only after providing notice of the alleged violation to the Attorney General, the appropriate district attorney and city attorney, and the business accused of the violation. The notice must provide adequate information to allow the recipient to assess the nature of the alleged violation. A notice must comply with the information and procedural requirements specified in regulations (Title 22, California Code of Regulations, Section 12903). A private party may not pursue an enforcement action directly under Proposition 65 if one of the governmental officials noted above initiates an action within sixty days of the notice. A business found to be in violation of Proposition 65 is subject to civil penalties of up to \$2,500 per day for each violation. In addition, the business may be ordered by a court of law to stop committing the violation.

LAW OFFICE OF PHILIP T. EMMONS

1990 North California Blvd., 8th Floor Walnut Creek, CA 94596-3742

> Telephone: (925) 287-6436 Fax: (925) 287-6437 E-Mail: p-emmons@hotmail.com

> > January 6, 2012

NOTICE OF VIOLATIONS OF CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE SECTION 25249.5 ET SEQ. (PROPOSITION 65)

Dear Alleged Violators and the Appropriate Public Enforcement Agencies:

I represent Environmental Research Center ("ERC"), 5694 Mission Center Road #199, San Diego, CA 92108; Tel. (619) 500-3090. ERC's Executive Director is Chris Heptinstall. ERC is a California non-profit corporation dedicated to, among other causes, helping safeguard the public from health hazards by bringing about a reduction in the use and misuse of hazardous and toxic chemicals, facilitating a safe environment for consumers and employees, and encouraging corporate responsibility.

ERC has identified violations of California's Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986 ("Proposition 65"), which is codified at California Health & Safety Code §25249.5 et seq., with respect to the products identified below. These violations have occurred and continue to occur because the alleged Violators identified below failed to provide required clear and reasonable warnings with these products. This letter serves as a notice of these violations to the alleged Violators and the appropriate public enforcement agencies. Pursuant to Section 25249.7(d) of the statute, ERC intends to file a private enforcement action in the public interest 60 days after effective service of this notice unless the public enforcement agencies have commenced and are diligently prosecuting an action to rectify these violations.

General Information about Proposition 65. A copy of a summary of Proposition 65, prepared by the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment, is an attachment with the copy of this letter served on the alleged Violators identified below.

Alleged Violators. The names of the companies covered by this notice that violated Proposition 65 (hereinafter "the Violators") are:

Healthy Body Services Inc. Healthy Body Services, LLC

<u>Consumer Products and Listed Chemicals</u>. The products that are the subject of this notice and the chemicals in those products identified as exceeding allowable levels are:

Healthy Body Services Inc. Allmax Nutrition Pro-Competition Series HydraDry 14-Day Pre-Contest Water Loss System – Lead

Healthy Body Services Inc. Allmax Nutrition Quick Mass Vanilla - Lead

On February 27, 1987, the State of California officially listed lead as a chemical known to cause developmental toxicity, and male and female reproductive toxicity. On October 1, 1992, the State of California officially listed lead and lead compounds as chemicals known to cause cancer.

It should be noted that ERC may continue to investigate other products that may reveal further violations and result in subsequent notices of violations.

Route of Exposure. The consumer exposures that are the subject of this notice result from the purchase, acquisition, handling and recommended use of these products. Consequently, the primary route of exposure to these chemicals has been and continues to be through ingestion, but may have also occurred and may continue to occur through inhalation and/or dermal contact.

Approximate Time Period of Violations. Ongoing violations have occurred every day since at least January 6, 2009, as well as every day since the products were introduced into the California marketplace, and will continue every day until clear and reasonable warnings are provided to product purchasers and users or until these known toxic chemicals are either removed from or reduced to allowable levels in the products. Proposition 65 requires that a clear and reasonable warning be provided prior to exposure to the identified chemicals. The method of warning should be a warning that appears on the product label. The Violators violated Proposition 65 because they failed to provide persons handling and/or using these products with appropriate warnings that such persons are being exposed to these chemicals.

Consistent with the public interest goals of Proposition 65 and a desire to have these ongoing violations of California law quickly rectified, ERC is interested in seeking a constructive resolution of this matter that includes an enforceable written agreement by the Violators to: (1) reformulate the identified products so as to eliminate further exposures to the identified chemicals, or provide appropriate warnings on the labels of these products; and (2) pay an appropriate civil penalty. Such a resolution will prevent further unwarned consumer exposures to the identified chemicals, as well as an expensive and time consuming litigation.

ERC has retained me as legal counsel in connection with this matter. Please direct all communications regarding this Notice of Violations to my attention at the law office address and telephone number indicated on the letterhead.

Sincerely,

Philip T. Emmons, Esq.

Attachments

Certificate of Merit Certificate of Service

OEHHA Summary (to Healthy Body Services, Inc. and Healthy Body Services, LLC and its Registered Agent for Service of Process Only)

Additional Supporting Information for Certificate of Merit (to AG only)

CERTIFICATE OF MERIT

Re: Environmental Research Center's Notice of Proposition 65 Violations by Healthy Body Services, Inc. and Healthy Body Services, LLC

I, Philip T. Emmons, dectare:

- 1. This Certificate of Merit accompanies the attached 60-day notice in which it is alleged the parties identified in the notice violated California Health & Safety Code Section 25249.6 by failing to provide clear and reasonable warnings.
 - 2. I am an attorney for the noticing party.
- 3. I have consulted with one or more persons with relevant and appropriate experience or expertise who have reviewed facts, studies, or other data regarding the exposure to the listed chemicals that are the subject of the notice.
- 4. Based on the information obtained through those consultants, and on other information in my possession, I believe there is a reasonable and meritorious case for the private action. I understand that "reasonable and meritorious case for the private action" means that the information provides a credible basis that all elements of the plaintiff's case can be established and that the information did not prove that the alleged Violators will be able to establish any of the affirmative defenses set forth in the statute.
- 5. Along with the copy of this Certificate of Merit served on the Attorney General is attached additional factual information sufficient to establish the basis for this certificate, including the information identified in California Health & Safety Code §25249.7(h)(2), i.e., (1) the identity of the persons consulted with and relied on by the certifier, and (2) the facts, studies, or other data reviewed by those persons.

Dated: January 6, 2012

Philip T, Emmons

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, the undersigned, declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the following is true and correct:

I am a citizen of the United States, over the age of 18 years of age, and am not a party to the within entitled action. My business address is 306 Joy Street, Fort Oglethorpe, Georgia 30742

On January 6, 2012, I served the following documents: NOTICE OF VIOLATIONS OF CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.5 ET SEQ.; CERTIFICATE OF MERIT; "THE SAFE DRINKING WATER AND TOXIC ENFORCEMENT ACT OF 1986 (PROPOSITION 65): A SUMMARY" on the following parties by placing a true and correct copy thereof in a sealed envelope, addressed to the party listed below and depositing it in a US Postal Service Office for delivery by Certified Mail:

Current President or CEO Healthy Body Services Inc. 4576 Yonge St Suite 509 North York ON M2N 6N4

Current CEO or President Healthy Body Services, LLC 711 S Carson Street, Suite 4 Carson City, NV 89701

Resident Agents of Nevada, Inc. (Healthy Body Services, LLC's Registered Agent for Service of Process) 711 S. Carson Street, Suite 4 Carson City, NV 89701

On January 6, 2012, I served the following documents: NOTICE OF VIOLATION, CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.5 ET SEQ.; CERTIFICATE OF MERIT; ADDITIONAL SUPPORTING INFORMATION FOR CERTIFICATE OF MERIT AS REQUIRED BY CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.7(d)(1) on the following parties by placing a true and correct copy thereof in a sealed envelope, addressed to the party listed below and depositing it in a US Postal Service Office for delivery by Certified Mail:

Office of the California Attorney General Prop 65 Enforcement Reporting 1515 Clay Street, Suite 2000 Post Office Box 70550 Oakland, CA 94612-0550

On January 6, 2012, I served the following documents: **NOTICE OF VIOLATION**, **CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.5** ET SEQ.; **CERTIFICATE OF MERIT** on each of the parties on the Service List attached hereto by placing a true and correct copy thereof in a sealed envelope, addressed to each of the parties on the Service List attached hereto, and depositing it with the U.S. Postal Service for delivery by Priority Mail.

Executed on January 6, 2012, in Fort Oglethorpe, Georgia.

Chris Heptinstall

Service List

District Attorney, Alameda County 1225 Fallon Street, Room 900 Oakland, CA 94612

District Attorney, Alpine County P.O. Box 248 Markleeville, CA 96120

District Attorney, Amador County 708 Court Street, #202 Jackson, CA 95642

District Attorney, Butte County 25 County Center Drive Orovelle CA 95965

District Attorney, Calaveras County 891 Mountain Ranch Road San Andreas, CA 95249

District Attorney, Colusa County 547 Market Street Colusa, CA 95932

District Attorney, Contra Costa County 900 Ward Street Martinez, CA 94553

District Attorney, Del Norte County 45t/11 Street, Ste. 171 Crescent City, CA 95531

District Attorney, El Dorado County 515 Main Street Placerville, CA 95667

District Attorney Fresno County 2220 Tulare Street, #1000 Fresno, CA 93721

District Attorney, Glenn County Post Office Box 430 Willows, CA 95988

District Attorney, Humboldt County 825 5th Street Fureka, CA 95501

District Attorney, Imperial County 939 West Main Street, Ste 102 El Centro, CA 92243

District Attorney, Inyo County 230 W. Line Street Bishop, CA 93514

District Attorney, Kern County 1215 Truxtun Avenue Bakersheld, CA 93301 District Attorney, Kings County 1400 West Lacey Boulevard Hanford, CA 93230

District Attorney, Lake County 255 N. Forbes Street Lakeport, CA 95453

District Attorney, Lassen County 220 South Lassen Street, Ste. 8 Susanville, CA 96130

District Attorney, Los Angeles County 210 West Temple Street, Rm 345 Los Angeles, CA 90012

District Attorney, Madera County 209 West Yosemite Avenue Madera, CA 93637

District Attorney, Marin County 3501 Civic Center, Room 130 San Rafael, CA 94903

District Attorney, Mariposa County Post Office Box 730 Mariposa, CA 95338

District Attorney, Mendocino County Post Office Box 1000 Ukiah, CA 95482

District Attorney, Merced County 2222 M Street Merced, CA 95340

District Attorney, Modoc County 204 S Court Street, Room 202 Alturas, CA 96101-4020

District Attorney, Mono County Post Office Box 617 Bridgeport, CA 93517

District Attorney, Monterey County 230 Church Street, Bldg 2 Salinas, CA 93901

District Attorney, Napa County 931 Parkway Mall Napa, CA 94559

District Attorney, Nevada County 110 Union Street Nevada City, CA 95959

District Attorney, Orange County 401 Civic Center Drive West Santa Ana, CA 92701

District Attorney, Placer County 10810 Justice Center Drive, Ste 240 Roseville, CA 95678

District Attorney, Plumas County 520 Main Street, Room 404 Quincy, CA 95971

District Attorney, Riverside County 4075 Main Street, 1st Floor Riverside, CA 92501

District Attorney, Sacramento County 901 °C - Street Sacramento, CA 9581

District Afforney, San Benito County 419 Fourth Street, 2nd Floor Hollister, CA 95023

District Attorney, San Bernardino County 316 N. Mountain View Avenue San Bernardino, CA 92415-0004

District Attorney, San Diego County 330 West Broadway, Room 1300 San Diego, CA 92101

District Attorney, San Francisco County 850 Bryant Street, Room 325 San Francisco, CA 94103

District Attorney San Joaquin County Post Office Box 990 Stockton, CA 95201

District Attorney, San Luis Obispo County 1050 Monterey Street, Room 450 San Luis Obispo, CA 93408

District Attorney, San Mateo County 400 County Ctr., 3rd Floor Redwood City, CA 94063

District Attorney, Santa Barbara County 1105 Santa Barbara Street Santa Barbara, CA 93101

District Attorney, Santa Clara County 70 West Hedding Street San Jose | CA 95110

District Attorney, Santa Cruz County 701 Ocean Street, Room 200 Santa Cruz, CA 95060

District Attorney, Shasta County 1525 Court Street, Third Floor Redding, CA 96001-1632

District Attorney, Sierra County PO Box 457 Downieville, CA 95936 District Attorney, Siskiyou County Post Office Box 986 Yreka, CA 96097

District Attorney, Solano County 675 Texas Street, Ste 4500 Fairfield, CA 94533

District Attorney, Sonoma County 600 Administration Drive, Room 212J Santa Rosa, CA 95403

District Attorney, Stanislaus County 832-12th Street, Ste 300 Modesto, CA 95353

District Attorney, Sutter County 446 Second Street Yuba City, CA 95991

District Attorney, Tehama County Post Office Box 519 Red Bluff, CA 96080

District Attorney, Frinity County Post Office Box 310 Weaverville, CA 96093

District Attorney, Tulare County 221 S. Mooney Avenue, Room 224 Visalia, CA 93291

District Attorney, Fuolumne County 423 N. Washington Street Sonora, CA 95370

District Attorney, Ventura County 800 South Victoria Avenue Ventura, CA 93009

District Attorney, Yolo County 301 2nd Street Woodland, CA 95695

District Attorney, Yuba County 215 Fifth Street Marysville, CA 95901

Los Angeles City Attorney's Office City Hall East 200 N. Main Street, Rm 800 Los Angeles, CA 90012

San Diego City Attorney's Office 1200 3rd Avenue, Ste 1620 San Diego, CA 92101

San Francisco City Attorney's Office City Hall, Room 234 1 Drive Carlton B Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102

San Jose City Attorney's Office 200 East Santa Clara Street San Jose, CA 951:3

THE SAFE DRINKING WATER AND TOXIC ENFORCEMENT ACT OF 1986 (PROPOSITION 65): A SUMMARY

The following summary has been prepared by the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment, the lead agency for the implementation of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986 (commonly known as "Proposition 65"). A copy of this summary must be included as an attachment to any notice of violation served upon an alleged violator of the Act. The summary provides basic information about the provisions of the law, and is intended to serve only as a convenient source of general information. It is not intended to provide authoritative guidance on the meaning or application of the law. The reader is directed to the statute and its implementing regulations (see citations below) for further information. Proposition 65 appears in California law as Health and Safety Code Sections 25249.5 through 25249.13. Regulations that provide more specific guidance on compliance, and that specify procedures to be followed by the State in carrying out certain aspects of the law, are found in Title 22 of the California Code of Regulations, Sections 12000 through 14000.

WHAT DOES PROPOSITION 65 REQUIRE?

The "Governor's List." Proposition 65 requires the Governor to publish a list of chemicals that are known to the State of California to cause cancer, or birth defects or other reproductive harm. This list must be updated at least once a year. Over 550 chemicals have been listed as of May 1, 1996. Only those chemicals that are on the list are regulated under this law. Businesses that produce, use, release or otherwise engage in activities involving those chemicals must comply with the following:

Clear and reasonable warnings. A business is required to warn a person before "knowingly and intentionally" exposing that person to a listed chemical. The warning given must be "clear and reasonable." This means that the warning must: (1) clearly make known that the chemical involved is known to cause cancer, or birth defects or other reproductive harm; and (2) be given in such a way that it will effectively reach the person before he or she is exposed. Exposures are exempt from the warning requirement if they occur less than twelve months after the date of listing of the chemical.

Prohibition from discharges into drinking water. A business must not knowingly discharge or release a listed chemical into water or onto land where it passes or probably will pass into a source of drinking water. Discharges are exempt from this requirement if they occur less than twenty months after the date of listing of the chemical.

DOES PROPOSITION 65 PROVIDE ANY EXEMPTIONS?

Yes. The law exempts:

Governmental agencies and public water utilities. All agencies of the federal, State or local government, as well as entities operating public water systems, are exempt. Businesses with nine or fewer employees. Neither the warning requirement nor the discharge prohibition applies to a business that employs a total of nine or fewer employees.

Exposures that pose no significant risk of cancer. For chemicals that are listed as known to the State to cause cancer ("carcinogens"), a warning is not required if the business can demonstrate that the exposure occurs at a level that poses "no significant risk." This means that the exposure is calculated to result in not more than one excess case of cancer in 100,000 individuals exposed over a 70-year lifetime. The Proposition 65 regulations identify specific "no significant risk" levels for more than 250 listed carcinogens. Exposures that will produce no observable reproductive effect at 1,000 times the level in question. For chemicals known to the State to cause birth defects or other reproductive harm ("reproductive toxicants"), a warning is not required if the business can demonstrate that the exposure will produce no observable effect, even at 1,000 times the level in question. In other words, the level of exposure must be below the "no observable effect level (NOEL)," divided by a 1,000-fold safety or uncertainty factor. The "no observable effect level" is the highest dose level which has not been associated with an observable adverse reproductive or developmental effect. Discharges that do not result in a "significant amount" of the listed chemical entering into any source of drinking water. The prohibition from discharges into drinking water does not apply if the discharger is able to demonstrate that a "significant amount" of the listed chemical has not, does not, or will not enter any drinking water source, and that the discharge complies with all other applicable laws, regulations, permits, requirements, or orders. A "significant amount" means any detectable amount, except an amount that would meet the "no significant risk" or "no observable effect" test if an individual were exposed to such an amount in drinking water.

HOW IS PROPOSITION 65 ENFORCED?

Enforcement is carried out through civil lawsuits. These lawsuits may be brought by the Attorney General, any district attorney, or certain city attorneys (those in cities with a population exceeding 750,000). Lawsuits may also be brought by private parties acting in the public interest, but only after providing notice of the alleged violation to the Attorney General, the appropriate district attorney and city attorney, and the business accused of the violation. The notice must provide adequate information to allow the recipient to assess the nature of the alleged violation. A notice must comply with the information and procedural requirements specified in regulations (Title 22, California Code of Regulations, Section 12903). A private party may not pursue an enforcement action directly under Proposition 65 if one of the governmental officials noted above initiates an action within sixty days of the notice. A business found to be in violation of Proposition 65 is subject to civil penalties of up to \$2,500 per day for each violation. In addition, the business may be ordered by a court of law to stop committing the violation.

EXHIBIT B

PROP 65

EXHIBIT C

CALIFORNIA PROPOSITION 65 WARNING

Products bearing this symbol



contain chemicals known to the State of California to cause cancer, birth defects and other reproductive harm.

For more information regarding Proposition 65, visit http://www.oehha.ca.gov/prop65.html

EXHIBIT D

CALIFORNIA PROPOSITION 65 WARNING

Products bearing this symbol:

PROP 65

contain chemicals known to the State of California to cause cancer, birth defects and other reproductive harm.

If after reading this warning, you want to return any product with the above symbol, please contact the retailer where you purchased the item within 30 days of receipt of the product and they will arrange the product return at no cost to you.

For more information regarding Proposition 65, visit http://www.oehha.ca.gov/prop65.html

