.1	LEXINGTON LAW GROUP Mark N. Todzo, State Bar No. 168389							
2	Howard Hirsch, State Bar No. 213209 Lisa Burger, State Bar No. 239676							
3	1627 Irving Street San Francisco, CA 94122							
4	Telephone: (415) 759-4111 Facsimile: (415) 759-4112							
5								
6	Attorneys for Plaintiff CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH							
7								
8								
9	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA							
10	COUNTY OF MARIN							
11								
12								
13	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH,) Case No. CIV1100300							
14	a non-profit corporation,							
15	Plaintiff, (PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT (AS TO KITTRICH CORPORATION							
16	v. {							
17	OO CENTE ONLY STORES, MITTRICH							
18	99 CENTS ONLY STORES; KITTRICH) CORPORATION; and Defendant DOES 1)							
19	through 200, inclusive,							
20	Defendants.)							
21								
22								
23								
24								
25								
26								
27								
28								

1.1 On January 18, 2011, Plaintiff Center for Environmental Health ("CEH"), a non-profit corporation acting in the public interest, filed a complaint entitled *Center for Environmental Health v. 99 Cents Only Stores, et al.*, Marin County Superior Court Case Number CIV1100300, for civil penalties and injunctive relief pursuant to the provisions of Cal. Health & Safety Code § 25249.5, *et seq.* ("Proposition 65") and naming Kittrich Corporation ("Defendant") as a defendant.

- 1.2 Defendant is a corporation that employs ten or more persons and manufactured, distributed and/or sold shelf paper containing insecticide (the "Products") in the State of California.
- appropriate public enforcement agencies with the requisite 60-day Notice (the "Notice") alleging that Defendant was in violation of Proposition 65. CEH's Notice and the Complaint in the CEH action allege that Defendant exposes people who use or otherwise handle the Products to propoxur, a chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer, without first providing clear and reasonable warning to such persons regarding the carcinogenicity of propoxur. The Notice and Complaint allege that Defendant's conduct violates Health & Safety Code § 25249.6, the warning provision of Proposition 65. Defendant disputes such allegations and asserts that all of its Products comply with all applicable laws.
- 1.4 For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of the violations alleged in CEH's Complaint and personal jurisdiction over Defendant as to the acts alleged in CEH's Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of Marin, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment as a full and final resolution of all claims which were or could have been raised in the Complaint based on the facts alleged therein.
- 1.5 The Parties enter into this Consent Judgment pursuant to a settlement of certain disputed claims between the Parties as alleged in the Complaint. By executing this Consent Judgment, the Parties do not admit any facts or conclusions of law. It is the Parties'

intent that nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law or violation of law, nor shall compliance with the Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive or impair any right, remedy, argument or defense the Parties may have in this or any other or future legal proceedings.

2. **COMPLIANCE – WARNING**

2.1 Warnings on Product Labels. Upon entry of this Consent Judgment (the "Compliance Date"), Defendant shall not distribute, ship, or sell, or cause to be distributed, shipped or sold, any Product that contains propoxur unless such Product bears a label containing the following warning language:

"WARNING:

This product contains propoxur, a chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer. Do not use this product near food or any items that touch food.

Wash hands after handling this product or items stored on this product."

The warning statement shall be prominently displayed in bold on the front of the outside of the packaging for the Product, and shall be displayed in a separate outlined box set apart from any other print and that contains no other language. The warning must be displayed with such conspicuousness, as compared with other words, statements, or designs as to render it likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual. The warning statement shall not be preceded, followed, or surrounded by words, symbols, or other matter that reduces its conspicuousness or that introduces, modifies, qualifies, or explains the required text, such as "legal notice required by law." The parties agree that the copy of the label attached hereto as Exhibit A satisfies the requirements of this section. Defendant shall not provide the warning described in this paragraph for Products that do not contain propoxur. Defendant shall maintain documentation demonstrating its compliance with this Section 2.1, which shall be made available to CEH upon

2.2 Warnings on Defendant's Website. Defendant intends to cease all internet sales of the Products from its website. However, should Defendant, at any time after the Compliance Date, begin selling Products over the internet from its website, Defendant shall not distribute, ship, or sell, or cause to be distributed, shipped or sold, any Product over the internet unless the following warning is provided to any internet purchaser prior to payment for the Product:

"WARNING:

This product contains propoxur, a chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer. Do not use this product near food or any items that touch food. Wash hands after handling this product or items stored on this product."

The warning statement shall be prominently displayed in at least 14 point font on the webpage to which a customer is directed prior to commencing a purchase of any Product. The warning must be displayed with such conspicuousness, as compared with other words, statements, or designs as to render it likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual. The warning statement shall not be preceded, followed, or surrounded by words, symbols, or other matter that reduces its conspicuousness or that introduces, modifies, qualifies, or explains the required text, such as "legal notice required by law." Defendant shall not provide the warning described in this paragraph for Products that do not contain propoxur. Defendant shall maintain documentation demonstrating its compliance with this Section 2.2, which shall be made available to CEH upon request.

3. SETTLEMENT PAYMENTS

- 3.1 Payments From Defendant. Within ten (10) days of entry of this Consent Judgment, Defendant shall pay the total sum of \$32,500 as a settlement payment.
- 3.2 Allocation of Payments. The total settlement amount for Defendant shall be paid in three separate checks delivered to the offices of the Lexington Law Group at the address set forth in Section 10 below, and made payable and allocated as follows:

3.2.1 Civil Penalty. Defendant shall pay \$3,000 as a civil penalty pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b), such money to be apportioned by CEH in accordance with Health & Safety Code § 25249.12. The penalty check shall be made payable to the Center For Environmental Health.

3.2.2 Monetary Payment in Lieu of Civil Penalty. Defendant shall pay to CEH \$9,000 in lieu of penalty pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b). CEH shall use such funds to continue its work protecting people from exposures to toxic chemicals. In addition, as part of its *Community Environmental Action and Justice Fund*, CEH will use four percent of such funds to award grants to grassroots environmental justice groups working to educate and protect people from exposures to toxic chemicals. The method of selection of such groups can be found at the CEH web site at www.ceh.org/justicefund. The payment in lieu of penalty check shall be made payable to the Center For Environmental Health.

3.2.3 Attorneys' Fees and Costs. Defendant shall pay \$20,500 to reimburse CEH and its attorneys for their reasonable investigation fees and costs, attorneys' fees, and any other costs incurred as a result of investigating, bringing this matter to Defendant's attention, litigating and negotiating a settlement in the public interest. The attorneys' fees and cost reimbursement check shall be made payable to the Lexington Law Group.

4. MODIFICATION OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

4.1 This Consent Judgment may be modified by written agreement of CEH and Defendant, or upon motion of CEH or Defendant as provided by law.

5. ENFORCEMENT OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

5.1 Enforcement Procedures. Prior to bringing any motion or order to show cause to enforce the terms of this Consent Judgment, a Party seeking to enforce shall provide the violating party thirty (30) days advanced written notice of the alleged violation. The Parties shall meet and confer during such thirty (30) day period in an effort to try to reach agreement on an appropriate cure for the alleged violation. After such thirty (30) day period, the Party seeking to enforce may, by new action, motion or order to show cause before the Superior Court of Marin, seek to enforce the terms and conditions contained in this Consent Judgment. Should the Party

2.4

seeking to enforce prevail on any motion or application under this section, such Party shall be entitled to recover its reasonable attorneys' fees and costs associated with such motion or order to show cause from the non-moving Party.

6. APPLICATION OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

6.1 This Consent Judgment shall apply to and be binding upon the Parties hereto, their divisions, subdivisions and subsidiaries, and the successors or assigns of any of them.

7. CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASE OF CLAIMS

CEH and Defendant of any violation of Proposition 65 that was or could have been asserted in the Complaint against Defendant (including any claims that could be asserted in connection with any of the Products covered by this Consent Judgment) or its parents, subsidiaries, affiliates, directors, officers, employees, agents, attorneys, distributors, customers or retailers including defendant 99 Cents Only Stores (collectively, "Defendant Releasees") based on failure to warn about alleged exposures to propoxur resulting from any Products manufactured, distributed or sold by Defendant ("Covered Claims") on or prior to the date of entry of this Consent Judgment. CEH, its directors, officers, employees and attorneys hereby release all Covered Claims against Defendant Releasees. Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment constitutes compliance with Proposition 65 for purposes of propoxur exposures from the Products.

8. GOVERNING LAW

8.1 The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California.

9. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION

9.1 This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement and enforce the terms this Consent Judgment.

10. PROVISION OF NOTICE

10.1 All notices required pursuant to this Consent Judgment and correspondence shall be sent to the following:

1	For CEH:		
2			Mark N. Todzo
3			Lexington Law Group 503 Divisidero Street
4			San Francisco, CA 94117
5	For Defendan	ıt:	
6 7			Todd Winter Winter LLP 1901 Newport Blvd., Ste. 350
8			Costa Mesa, CA 92627
9	11.	COUI	RT APPROVAL
10		11.1	CEH will comply with the settlement notice provisions of Health & Safety
11	Code § 25249	9.7(f) an	d Title 11 of the California Code of Regulations § 3003 by preparing and
12	filing a motio	n for ap	proval of this Consent Judgment and Defendant shall support approval of
13	such motion.		
14	12.	COU	NTERPARTS
15		12.1	The stipulations to this Consent Judgment may be executed in
16	counterparts.		
17	13.	AUTI	HORIZATION
18		13.1	Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully
19	authorized by	the par	ty he or she represents to stipulate to this Consent Judgment and to enter
20	into and exec	ute the	Consent Judgment on behalf of the party represented and legally bind that
21	party. The ur	ndersign	ed have read, understand and agree to all of the terms and conditions of this
22	Consent Judg	ment. I	Except as explicitly provided herein, each party is to bear its own fees and
23	costs.	•	
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

	A CONTED TO				
1	AGREED TO:				
2	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH				
3	chi				
. 4	Charlie Pizarro		4		
5	Associate Director				
6					
7	KITTRICH CORPORATION				
8					
9					
10					
11	[Name]				
12					
13					
14	[Title]				
15					
16		·			
17					•
18					
19					* .
20					
21					
22					
23					
24		·			
25					
26					
27					
28					
	- 7 - CEH v. 99 CENTS ONLY STORES, ET AL CONSENT J				
	CEH v. 99 CENTS ONLY STORES, ET AL CONSENT J	UDGMENT RE: KIT	TRICH - Case	No. CIVI100	300

	<u> </u>
1	AGREED TO:
2	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH
3	
4	
5	Charlie Pizarro Associate Director
6	Associate Director
7	KITTRICH CORPORATION
8	
9	
10	O(1) + O(1)
11	Kobert Friedland [Name]
12	
13	President
14	[Title]
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	·
24	
25	
26	
27 28	
28	

1	<u>JUDGMENT</u>
2	Based upon the stipulated Consent Judgment between CEH and Kittrich
3.	Corporation, the settlement is approved and the clerk is directed to enter judgment in accordance
4	with the terms herein.
5	
6	Dated:
7	
8	Judge, Superior Court of the State of California
9	Judge, Superior Court of the State of Camornia
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
2728	
∠ŏ	

Exhibit A

