1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Daniel Bornstein, State Bar No. 235965 THE CHANLER GROUP 2560 Ninth Street Parker Plaza, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710-2565 Telephone: (510) 848-8880 Facsimile: (510) 848-8118 Attorneys for Plaintiff RUSSELL BRIMER	
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE S	TATE OF CALIFORNIA
9	COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO - UNLIMITED CIVIL JURISDICTION	
10		
11	RUSSELL BRIMER,) Case No. 34-2011-00106090
12	Plaintiff,) CONSENT JUDGMENT
13	V.))
14	26 INTERNATIONAL, INC.; and DOES 1))
15	through 150, inclusive,))
16	Defendants.))
17)
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26 27		
28		
DOCUMENT PREPARED	BN 12900706v1	
ON RECYCLED PAPER	CONSENT JUDG	MENT

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 The Parties

This Consent Judgment is entered into by and between Plaintiff Russell Brimer ("Brimer" or "Plaintiff") and Defendant 26 International, Inc. ("26" or "Defendant"), with Plaintiff and Defendant collectively referred to as the "Parties."

1.2 Plaintiff

Brimer is an individual residing in the State of California who seeks to promote awareness of exposures to toxic chemicals and improve human health by reducing or eliminating hazardous substances contained in consumer products.

1.3 Defendant

Defendant employs 10 or more persons and is a person in the course of doing business for purposes of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 *et seq.* ("Proposition 65").

1.4 General Allegations

Brimer alleges that Defendant has manufactured, distributed and/or sold, in the State of California, belts that expose users to lead, without first providing "clear and reasonable warnings" under Proposition 65. Lead is listed as a reproductive and developmental toxicant pursuant to Proposition 65 and is referred to hereinafter as the "Listed Chemical." All such belts containing the Listed Chemical are referred to herein as the "Products."

1.5 Notice of Violation

On November 12, 2010, Brimer served Defendant and various public enforcement agencies with a document entitled "60-Day Notice of Violation" that provided public enforcers and Defendant with notice of alleged violations of Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 for failing to warn consumers that the Products that Defendant manufactured, distributed and/or sold exposed users in California to the Listed Chemical.

1.6 Complaint

On May 27, 2011, Brimer, acting in the interest of the general public in California, filed the instant action in the Superior Court for the County of Sacramento, alleging violations of BN 12900706v1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.2

13

14

15

1617

1,

18

19

20

2122

23

24

25

26

27

Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 based on the exposures to the Listed Chemical contained in Products manufactured, distributed, and/or sold by Defendant ("Complaint").

1.7 No Admission

This Consent Judgment resolves claims that are denied and disputed by Defendant. The Parties enter into this Consent Judgment pursuant to a full and final settlement of any and all claims between the Parties for the purpose of avoiding prolonged litigation. Defendant denies the material, factual, and legal allegations contained in the Notice and Complaint and maintains that all Products it has manufactured, distributed and/or sold in California, including the Products, have been, and are, in compliance with all applicable laws. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission by Defendant of any fact, finding, conclusion, issue of law, or violation of law, nor shall compliance with this Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by Defendant of any fact, finding, conclusion, issue of law, or violation of law, such being specifically denied by Defendant. However, this Section shall not diminish or otherwise affect Defendant's obligations, responsibilities, and duties under this Consent Judgment.

1.8 Consent to Jurisdiction

For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over Defendant as to the allegations contained in the Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of Sacramento, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter and enforce the provisions of this Consent Judgment.

1.9 Effective Date

For the purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term "Effective Date" shall mean the date the Court approves this Consent Judgment.

2. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF: REFORMULATION

Beginning no later than sixty days after the Effective Date, 26 shall not purchase, import, manufacture, or supply to an unaffiliated third party any Product that will be sold or offered for sale to consumers in California unless such Product contains no more than to 100 parts per million ("ppm") of the Listed Chemical when analyzed pursuant to Environmental

DOCUMENT PREPARED

ON RECYCLED PAPER

Protection Agency testing methodologies 3050B and 6010B ("Digest Test"), or equivalent methodologies utilized by federal or state agencies for the purpose of determining the Listed Chemical content in a solid substance and yields no more than 1.0 micrograms per sample ("µg/s") when any surface is tested according to NIOSH 9100 testing methodology ("Wipe Test") applied to the Products.

3. MONETARY PAYMENTS

3.1 Civil Penalty Payment Pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b)

Pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b), 26 shall pay \$3,500 in civil penalties. 26 shall pay an initial civil penalty of \$1,000 and a final civil penalty of \$2,500 on the dates provided in Section 3.3. However, the final civil penalty shall be waived in its entirety if an officer of 26 provides Brimer with a written certification that, as of the Effective Date, and continuing on into the future, 26 has sold and will continue to sell only products in compliance with the reformulation set forth in Section 2 of this Consent Judgment. Brimer must receive any such certification no later than March 15, 2013, and time is of the essence. Payments pursuant to this subsection shall be apportioned in accordance with Health & Safety Code § 25249.12, subdivisions (c)(1) and (d), with 75% of these funds earmarked for the State of California's Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA") and the remaining 25% of these penalty monies earmarked for Brimer.

3.2 Reimbursement of Plaintiff's Fees and Costs

The Parties acknowledge that Brimer and his counsel offered to resolve this dispute without reaching terms on the amount of fees and costs to be reimbursed to them, thereby leaving this fee issue to be resolved after the material terms of the agreement had been settled. 26 then expressed a desire to resolve the fee and cost issue shortly after the other settlement terms had been finalized. The Parties then attempted to (and did) reach an accord on the compensation due to Brimer and his counsel under general contract principles and the private attorney general doctrine codified at California Code of Civil Procedure § 1021.5, for all work performed in this matter, except fees that may be incurred on appeal. Under these legal principles, 26 shall pay the amount of \$26,500 for fees and costs incurred investigating,

litigating and enforcing this matter, including the fees and costs incurred (and yet to be incurred) negotiating, drafting, and obtaining the Court's approval of this Consent Judgment in the public interest.

Payment Procedures

Funds Held In Trust: The initial civil penalty payments required by Section 3.1 and the payment required by Section 3.2 shall delivered on or before the February 15, 2013 to The Chanler Group and shall be held in trust pending the Court's approval of this Consent Judgment.

Payments delivered to The Chanler Group shall be made payable, as follows:

- One check made payable to "The Chanler Group in Trust for (a) OEHHA" in the amount of \$750;
- (b) One check made payable to "The Chanler Group in Trust for Russell Brimer" in the amount of \$250; and
- One check made payable to "The Chanler Group in Trust" in the (c) amount of \$4,000.
- 3.3.2 Final Civil Penalty. If the final civil penalty of \$2,500 referenced in Section 3.1 above is not waived, payments shall be delivered on or before March 29, 2013, to The Chanler Group and made payable, as follows:
 - One check made payable to "The Chanler Group in Trust for (a) OEHHA" in the amount of \$1,875;
 - One check made payable to "The Chanler Group in Trust for (b) Russell Brimer" in the amount of \$625.
- Reimbursement of Plaintiff's Fees and Costs. Beginning on March 15, 2013, 26 shall deliver to The Chanler Group payments in the amount of \$4,000 to reimburse plaintiff for fees and costs incurred in this matter. Such payments in the same amount shall be made on (and delivered by) the 15th of every month thereafter with the final payment of \$6,500 occurring on July 15, 2013.

DOCUMENT PREPARED

ON RECYCLED PAPER

plaintiff of any nature, character or kind, whether known or unknown, suspected or unsuspected, limited to and arising out of alleged or actual exposures to the Listed Chemical in the Products manufactured, distributed or sold by Defendant.

5.3 Defendant's Release of Plaintiff. Defendant on behalf of itself, its past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors, and/or assignees, hereby waives any and all claims against Plaintiff, his attorneys and other representatives, for any and all actions taken or statements made (or those that could have been taken or made) by Plaintiff and his attorneys and other representatives, whether in the course of investigating claims or otherwise seeking to enforce Proposition 65 against it in this matter with respect to the Products.

6. SEVERABILITY

If, subsequent to Court approval of this Consent Judgment, any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment are held by a court to be unenforceable, the validity of the enforceable provisions remaining shall not be adversely affected unless the Court finds that any unenforceable provision is not severable from the remainder of the Consent Judgment.

7. COURT APPROVAL

This Consent Judgment is not effective until it is approved and entered by the Court and shall be null and void if, for any reason, it is not approved and entered by the Court within nine months after it has been fully executed by all Parties. In the event this Consent Judgment is (a) not entered by this Court within nine months (or thereafter) of the Effective Date for any reason whatsoever; or (b) is entered by the Court and subsequently overturned by any appellate court, any monies that have been provided to Brimer or his counsel pursuant to Sections 3 and/or 4 above shall be refunded within fifteen (15) days after receiving a written demand from Defendant requesting the return of such funds.

8. GOVERNING LAW

The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California. In the event that Proposition 65 is repealed, preempted, or is otherwise rendered inapplicable by reason of law generally, or as to the Listed Chemical and/or the Products, then Defendant shall have no further obligations pursuant to this Consent Judgment with respect to,

and to the extent that, the Products are so affected.

9. NOTICES

When any Party is entitled to receive any notice under this Consent Judgment, the notice shall be sent by certified mail and electronic mail to the person(s) identified below:

To Defendant:

To Brimer:

Mordechay Reuben, President 29 International, Inc. 1500 South Griffith Avenue Los Angeles, CA 90021

The Chanler Group Attn: Proposition 65 Coodinator 2560 Ninth Street Parker Plaza, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710-2565

With Copy to:

Michael B. Fisher, Esq. Buchalter Nemer A Professional Corporation 1000 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 1500 Los Angeles, CA 90017-2457

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

Any Party may modify the person and address to whom the notice is to be sent by sending each other Party notice by certified mail and/or other verifiable form of written communication.

10. POST EXECUTION ACTIVITIES

Brimer agrees to comply with the reporting form requirements referenced, in California Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(f). The parties acknowledge that, pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7, a noticed motion is required to obtain judicial approval of this Consent Judgment. In furtherance of obtaining such approval, Brimer and Defendant, and their respective counsel agree to mutually employ their best efforts to support the entry of this agreement as a Consent Judgment and obtain approval of the Consent Judgment by the Court in a timely manner. For purposes of this paragraph, "best efforts" shall include, at a minimum, cooperating on the drafting and filing of any papers in support of the requisite motion for judicial approval.

11. MODIFICATION

This Consent Judgment may be modified only: (1) by written agreement of the Parties; or (2) upon a successful motion of any party and entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the

DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER

Court.

12. ENTIRE AGREEMENT

This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter hereof, and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments, and understandings related hereto. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those contained herein have been made by any party hereto. No other agreements not specifically referred to herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the parties.

13. ATTORNEY'S FEES

- 13.1 A Party who unsuccessfully brings or contests an action arising out of this Consent Judgment shall be required to pay the prevailing Party's reasonable attorney's fees and costs unless the unsuccessful Party has acted with substantial justification. For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term "substantial justification" shall carry the same meaning as used in the Civil Discovery Act, Code of Civil Procedure § 2016.010 *et seq*.
- 13.2 Except as specifically provided in the above paragraph and in Section 4.1 above, each Party shall bear its own costs and attorney's fees in connection with this action.

14. COUNTERPARTS, FACSIMILE SIGNATURES

This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by facsimile or portable document format (PDF), each of which shall be deemed an original, and all of which, when taken together, shall constitute one and the same document.

15. AUTHORIZATION

The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment and have read, understood, and agree to all of the terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment.

1	AGREED TO:	AGREED TO:
2	Date: December 13, 2012	
3		
4		
5		By: Mordechay Reuben, President 26 International, Inc.
6		26 International, Inc.
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER

AGREED TO:	AGREED TO: Date: 12/10/2012 -	
Date:	Date: 12/10/20/2 -	
By: Plaintiff Russell Brimer	By: Mordechay Reuben, President 26 International, Inc.	

DOCUMENT P

BN 12949468v1