METZGER LAW GROUP
A PROFESSIONAL LAW CORPORATION
RAPHAEL METZGER, ESQ., SBN 116020
KATHRYN A. SALDANA, ESQ., SBN 251364
401 E. OCEAN BLVD., SUITE 800
LONG BEACH, CA 90802-4966
TELEPHONE: (562) 437-4499
TELECOPIER: (562) 436-1561
WEBSITE: www.toxictorts.com

FILED
LOS ANGELES SUPERIOR COURT

JUN 28 2013

JOHN A. CLARKE, CLERK

A. MISREINE

BY L. M'GREENE, DEPUTY

Attorneys for Plaintiff, Council for Education and Research on Toxics ("CERT")

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CENTRAL CIVIL WEST

COUNCIL FOR EDUCATION AND)
RESEARCH ON TOXICS, a California)
corporation, acting as a private)
attorney general in the public)
interest;

Plaintiff,

VS.

STARBUCKS CORPORATION, a) Washington corporation; et al.,)

Defendants.

CASE NO. BC435759

Assigned to the Honorable Elihu Berle, Dept. 323 (Lead Case - Consolidated with Case No. BC461182)

NOTICE OF RULING ON PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO APPROVE PROPOSITION 65 SETTLEMENT AND PROPOSED CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO DEFENDANT CAMERON'S COFFEE AND DISTRIBUTION COMPANY

TO ALL PARTIES AND TO THEIR ATTORNEYS OF RECORD:

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE THAT on June 27, 2013, in Department 323 of the above entitled Court, the Honorable Elihu Berle held a hearing on Plaintiff's Motion to Approve Proposition 65 Settlement and Proposed Consent Judgment, during which the Court made the following rulings:

- Plaintiff's Motion ťο Approve Proposition 65 Settlement and Proposed Consent Judgment as to Defendant Cameron's Coffee and Distribution Company is granted.
 - 2. Plaintiff is to provide notice.

DATED: June 27, 2013

METZGER LAW GROUP

A Professional Law Corporation

KIMBERLY MILLER, ESQ. Attorneys for Plaintiff

COUNCIL FOR EDUCATION AND RESEARCH ON

TOXICS (CERT)

401 EAST OCEAN BOULEVARD, SUITE 800 LONG BEACH, CALIFORNIA 90802-4966 A PROFESSIONAL LAW CORPORATION RAPHAEL METZGER

LAW OFFICES OF

2

1

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

TORT & ENVIRONMENTAL LITIGATION OCCUPATIONAL & ENVIRONMENTAL LUNG DISEASE, CANCER, AND TOXIC INJURIES

DISEASE,

26

27

28

ELECTRONIC PROOF OF SERVICE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES)

I am employed in the County of Los Angeles, State of California. I am over the age of 18 years and am not a party to the within action. business address is 401 E. Ocean Blvd., 8th Floor, Long Beach, CA 90802.

On June 27, 2013, I served the foregoing document, described as: NOTICE OF RULING ON PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO APPROVE PROPOSITION 65 SETTLEMENT AND PROPOSED CONSENT JUDGMENT on the interested parties to this action by submitting an electronic version of the document via FTP upload to LexisNexis/FileAndServe pursuant to the Court's Order.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct.

Executed on June 27, 2013, at Long Beach, California.

Susan M. Simpson, Declarant

TOLL-FREE (877) TOX-TORT TELECOPIER (562) 436-1561 1 TELEPHONE (562) 437-4499 www.toxictoRTs.com 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 401 EAST OCEAN BOULEVARD, SUITE 800 LONG BEACH, CALIFORNIA 90802-4966 A PROFESSIONAL LAW CORPORATION 11 RAPHAEL METZGER 12 LAW OFFICES OF 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 OCCUPATIONAL & ENVIRONMENTAL LUNG CANCER, AND TOXIC INJURIES 22 TORT & ENVIRONMENTAL LITIGATION PRACTICE CONCENTRATED IN TOXIC 23 24 25 26 DISEASE, 27

28

SERVICE LIST

(CERT vs. Starbucks, Case No. BC435759)

-000~

Trenton H. Norris, Esq. Rachel L. Chanin, Esq Arnold & Porter Three Embarcadero Center, 7th Floor San Francisco, CA 94111 (7-Eleven, Inc., BP West Coast Products LLC, Winchell's Franchising, LLC, Yum Yum Donut Shops, Inc.)

Robin S. Stafford, Esq. Morrison & Foerster 425 Market Street San Francisco, CA 94105-2482 (Starbucks Corporation, Starbucks Holding Company, Seattle Coffee Company, Peet's Operating Company, Inc. (incorrectly sued herein as Peet's Coffee and Tea, Inc.); International Coffee & Tea, LLC)

(Updated 06/14/13 nsv)

Michele B. Corash, Esq.

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 CORPORATION VARD, SUITE 800 11 12 13 14 15 16 401 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

SERVICE LIST

(CERT v. Brad Berry, Case No. BC461182)

-000-

Lisa A. Cole, Esq.
Nixon Peabody
2 Palo Alto Square
3000 El Camino Real, Suite 500
Palo Alto, CA 94306
(The Kroger Co., Ralphs Grocery Company,
Food For Less of Southern California, Food
4 Less Holdings, Inc., Food For Less
Merchandising, Inc., Food For Less of
California, Inc.)

Jeffrey B. Margulies, Esq.
Tambry L. Bradford, Esq.
Fulbright & Jaworski
555 S. Flower St., 41st Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90071
(Target Corporation, Safeway, Inc. Sprouts
Farmers Markets, LLC; Reily Foods Company;
H.N. Fernandez, Inc.)

Renee D. Wasserman, Esq.
Lauren B. Kramer, Esq.
Rogers Joseph O'Donnell
311 California Street
San Francisco, CA 94104
(Bristol Farms, Costco Wholesale, Inc. and Albertson's LLC, successor-in-interest to Supervalu Inc.)

Carla Christofferson, Esq.
Margaret A. Moeser, Esq.
Kate Ides, Esq.
O'Melveny & Myers
400 S. Hope Street
Los Angeles, CA 90071-2899
(Trader Joe's Company; Mountanos Brothers
Coffee Company)

Michael D. Abraham, Esq.
Robert H. Bunzel, Esq.
Kerry L. Duffy, Esq.
Bartko, Zankel, Tarrant & Miller
900 Front St., Suite 300
San Francisco, CA 94111
(Wal-Mart Stores, Inc. and Sam's West,
Inc.)

Michele B. Corash, Esq.
Robert Falk, Esq.
Robin Stafford, Esq.
Travis Brandon, Esq.
Morrison & Foerster
425 Market Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-2482

(Brad Barry Company, Ltd., Caribou Coffee Company, Inc., F. Gavina & Sons, Inc., Green Mountain Coffee Roasters, Inc., Illy Caffe North America, Inc., International Coffee & Tea, Llc, the J.M. Smucker Company, Kraft Foods Inc., Massimo Zanetti Beverage USA, Inc., Melitta U.S.A., Inc., Nestle USA, Inc., Peet's Coffee & Tea, Inc., Rowland Coffee Roasters, Inc., Sara Lee Corporation, Seattle's Best Coffee Llc, Foodservice, Inc., Starbucks Corporation, TC Global, Inc., Vilore Foods Company, Inc., DD IP Holder Llc, Dunkin' Brands, Inc., The Folgers Coffee Company, Godiva Chocolatier, Inc., Newman's Own Organics - the Second Generation, Inc., Starbucks Holding Company; Kraft Foods Global, Inc.; Apffels Coffee, Inc., Coffee Bean International, Inc., Dona Mireya, Inc., dba Jones Coffee Roasters; Equator Coffee & Teas; Boyer Coffee Company; Caffe Ibis, Inc.; The Coca-Cola Company; Community Coffee Company, Inc.; Copper Moon Coffee, LLC; JBR, Inc., dba Rogers Family Company; Lavazza Premium Coffees Corp.; Cascade Coffee, Inc.; Coffee Roasters of Arizona, Inc.; Gold Medal Products Co.; Millstone Coffee, Inc.; Mother Parkers Tea & Coffee, Inc.; Southern Wine and Spirits of America, Inc.; Central Coast Coffee Roasting Co., Inc.; Eight O'Clock Coffee Company; James c. Cannell Coffees, Inc. Dba Jim's Organic Coffee; Pacific Coffee, Inc. dba Maui Coffee Company; Paradise Beverages, Inc. dba Hawaii Coffee Company; Regal Commodities; Steep & Brew, Inc.; Victor Allen's Coffee, LLC; Napa Valley Coffee Roasting Company; Kauai Coffee Company LLC; Peerless Coffee Co., Inc., dba Adam's Organic Coffees; Montana Coffee Traders, Falcon Trading Company, Intelligentsia Coffee & Tea, Inc.; Mayorga Coffee, LLC; Hometown Coffee Co.; New England Tea and Coffee Co., Inc.; Zavida

4

TELEPHONE (562) 437-4499 TOLL-FREE (877) TOX-TORT TELECOPIER (562) 436-1561 WWW.TOXICTORTS.COM	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Coffee Company, Inc.; Quartermaine Coffee Roasters; S & D Coffee, Inc.) Brendan W. Brandt, Esq. Andrew Ross Varner & Brandt 3750 University Ave., Suite 610 Riverside, CA 92501 (Stater Bros. Markets) J.T. Wells Blaxter, Esq. Blaxter Law One Bush St., Suite 650 San Francisco, CA 94104 (Whole Foods Market California, Inc.; Allegro Coffee Company)	
OF TZGER CORPORATION ARD, SUITE 800 IA 90802-4966	10 11 12	Joshua A. Bloom, Esq. Samir J. Abdelnour, Esq. Barg Coffin Lewis & Trapp, LLP 350 California St., 22nd Flr. San Francisco, cA 94104-1435 (BYB Brands, Inc.; Snyder's-Lance, Inc.)	
W OFFICES OF AEL METZ NAL LAW COINN BOULEVARICALIFORNIA	13 14 15 16	Henry Ben-Zvi, Esq. Ben-Zvi & Associates 3231 Ocean Park Blvd., Suite 212 Santa Monica, CA 90405 (Cameron's Coffee and Distribution Company) Settlement pending	
LA RAPH A PROFESSIC 401 EAST OCE/ LONG BEACH,	17 18 19	Lawrence Y. Wong, Esq. Darryl J. Horowitt, Esq. Coleman & Horowitt, LLP 1880 Century Park East, Suite 404 Los Angeles, CA 90067 (Luberski, Inc., dba Hidden Villa Ranch)	
N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N	202122	Trevor J. Allen, Esq. Bryan Cave LLP 3161 Michelson Dr., Suite 1500 Irvine, CA 92612-4414 (Kerry Inc., dba Kerry Ingredients, Inc.)	
TOXIA WATIO WTAL	23 24	Megan I. Lennox, Esq. Bryan Cave LLP Two N. Central Avenue, Suite 2200	
SE SE	252627	Phoenix, AZ 85004 (Co-counsel for Kerry Inc., dba Kerry Ingredients, Inc.) Douglas D. Wirth, Esq.	
PRACT TORT OCCUR DISEA	28	Law Offices of Steven C. Sabbadini 823 North Street	5

Woodland, CA 95695 (Puroast Coffee Company, Inc.)

Thomas M. Brown, Esq.
Brown White & Newhouse LLP
333 South Hope Street, 40th Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90071
(Comfort Foods, Inc.)

Ian K. Boyd, Esq.
Matthew A. Stratton, Esq.
Harvey Siskind LLP
Four Embarcadero Center, 39th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94111
(Rockstar, Inc.)

Tara Sky Woodward, Esq.
Womble Carlyle Sandridge & Rice LLP
10050 N. Wolfe Road, Suite 260
Cupertino, CA 95014
(Specially Appearing for S&D Coffee, Inc.)

Charles F. Goria, Esq. Goria, Weber & Jarvis 1011 Camino del Rio South, Suite 210 San Diego, CA 92108 (Café Calabria Coffee Roasting Company)

Lawrence E. Skidmore, Esq.
Kathleen C. Lyon, Esq.
Erin J. Tognetti, Esq.
Aronowitz Skidmore Lyon
200 Auburn Folsom Road, Suite 305
Auburn, CA 95603
(L. Paul Phillips dba Safari Morning Coffee)

Nathan C. Benjamin, Esq. Courtney D. Leibrock, Esq. Baskin & Grant, LLP 331 Soquel Avenue, Suite 100 Santa Cruz, CA 95062 (Verve Pacific Avenue Café, LLC)

Gary M. Roberts, Esq.
Melanie A. Tory, Esq.
SNR Denton US LLP
601 S. Figueroa Street, Suite 2500
Los Angeles, CA 90017
(Churchill Coffee Company, LLC)
Settlement pending

(Updated 06/21/13 nsv)

		DECEIVE
1	BEN-ZVI & ASSOCIATES	27 2013
2	HENRY BEN-ZVI (CA SBN 105419)	MAY O I LO
3	henry@ben-zvilaw.com 3231 Ocean Park Blvd., Suite 212	MAY 07 2013 Dept. 323
4	Santa Monica, CA 90405 Telephone: (310) 664-1570	
•	Facsimile: (310) 664-1571	•
5	C D C L (CA) EDONE	
6	Attorneys for Defendant CAMERON'S COFFEE AND DISTRIBUTION COMPANY	
7	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE	
8	FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS AN	IGELES, CENTRAL DISTRICT
9	COUNCIL FOR EDUCATION AND	Case No. BC461182
10	RESEARCH ON TOXICS, a California corporation, acting as a private attorney general	Related to Lead Case No. BC435759
	in the public interest,	Assigned to The Honorable Elihu Berle, Dept.
11	-1.1.120	323
12	Plaintiff, vs.	
13	νδ.	CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO
	BRAD BARRY COMPANY, LTD., a California	
14	corporation; BRISTOL FARMS, a California corporation; CARIBOU COFFEE COMPANY,	DISTRIBUTION COMPANY
15	INC., a Minnesota corporation; COSTCO	
16	WHOLESALE CORPORATION, a Washington	
	corporation; DD IP HOLDER LLC, a Delaware	
17	corporation; DUNKIN' BRANDS, INC., a Delaware corporation; DUNKIN' DONUTS	. •
18	LLC, a Delaware corporation; F. GAVINA &	
19	SONS, INC., a California corporation; THE	
	FOLGERS COFFEE COMPANY, a Delaware corporation; et al.,	
20	corporation, et al.,	
21	Defendants.	
22		
23		
24		
25		•
26		
27		
28		•

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. On May 9, 2011, the Council for Education and Research on Toxics ("CERT") filed a complaint for civil penalties and injunctive relief for violations of Proposition 65 in the Superior Court for the County of Los Angeles. CERT's complaint alleges that the Defendants failed to provide clear and reasonable warnings that ingestion of the Covered Products (as defined in Paragraph 2.1), would result in exposure to acrylamide, a chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer. The complaint further alleges that under the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, Health and Safety Code section 25249.6, also known as "Proposition 65," businesses must provide persons with a "clear and reasonable warning" before exposing individuals to these chemicals, and that the Defendants failed to do so.

- 1.2. Cameron's Coffee and Distribution Company ("Settling Defendant"), was not named as a Defendant in Plaintiff's original complaint. However, Settling Defendant was named in the amended complaint which it received by certified mail on September 17, 2012. Settling Defendant has indicated that it wishes to settle any claims that CERT has asserted or may assert against Settling Defendant in this lawsuit. On June 21, 2012, CERT served Settling Defendant with "Notice of Proposition 65 Violations" consistent with the present lawsuit, and the parties negotiated and agreed upon this Consent Judgment.¹
- 1.3. Settling Defendant is a Minnesota corporation that employs more than 10 persons, or employed 10 or more persons at some time relevant to the allegations of the complaint, and which sells Covered Products to buyers located in the State of California or has done so in the past.
- 1.4. For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in CERT's complaint and personal jurisdiction over Settling Defendant as to the acts alleged in CERT's complaint, that

¹ To avoid any possible jurisdictional defect, the settling parties request that the court not sign and file this Consent Judgment until the 60-day notice period required by Proposition 65 has elapsed.

venue is proper in the County of Los Angeles, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment as a full and final resolution of all claims which were raised in the complaint based on the facts alleged therein.

1.5. CERT and Settling Defendant enter into this Consent Judgment as a full and final settlement of all claims that were raised in the complaint (except as specified in Paragraph 9.1), arising out of the facts or conduct alleged therein. Settling Defendant has expressly waived its statute of limitations defense with respect to the claims alleged in CERT's complaint. By execution of this Consent Judgment and agreeing to provide the relief and remedies specified herein, Settling Defendant does not admit any violations of Proposition 65, or any other law or legal duty. Except as expressly set forth herein, nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive or impair any right, remedy, or defense that CERT and Settling Defendant may have in any other or in future legal proceedings unrelated to these proceedings. However, this paragraph shall not diminish or otherwise affect the obligations, responsibilities, and duties of the parties under this Consent Judgment.

2. **DEFINITIONS**

- 2.1. "Covered Products" means all coffee beans or grounds, flaked, instant or freeze-dried coffee, or any other packaged coffee that is not sold as ready-to-drink and that is sold in any establishment in the State of California, including in restaurants, coffee houses, and retail specialty stores owned and/or operated by Settling Defendant ("Company Restaurants") or resturants, coffee houses, and retail specialty stores owned and operated by third parties pursuant to franchise or license agreements with the Settling Defendant ("Franchise Restaurants").
- 2.2. "Effective Date" means the date upon which this Court enters this Consent Judgment.

3. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF; CLEAR AND REASONABLE WARNINGS

- 3.1. Settling Defendant shall provide warnings in the manner required by this Consent Judgment for all Covered Products.
- 3.2. Warning Message. The warning message provided, under the permitted warning methods, shall be the following:

a. WARNING

"Chemicals known to the State of California to cause cancer and reproductive toxicity, including acrylamide, are present in our coffee products. Acrylamide is not added to our products, but results from the roasting of coffee beans. As a result, acrylamide is present in our brewed coffee products. Your personal cancer risk is affected by a wide variety of factors. For more information regarding acrylamide see www.fda.gov. For more information about acrylamide and Proposition 65, visit www.oehha.ca.gov/prop65/acrylamide.html."

- b. Wherever the warning language in this Consent Judgment uses the phrase "chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer," Settling Defendant, at their option, may use either the phrase "chemical known to cause cancer" or "chemical that causes cancer."
- 3.3. Warning Method. The warning shall be affixed to or printed on the back of the package of the Covered Product, in typeface equal to the typeface of other product information on the label so as to render the warning easily read and understood by an ordinary individual under customary conditions of purchase or use. Such warnings shall accompany the Covered Product sold into California beginning no later than ninety (90) days after the Effective Date.
- 3.4. Nothing in this Consent Judgment requires that warnings be given for Covered Products sold outside the State of California.

4. CIVIL PENALTIES

- 4.1. Calculation of Civil Penalties
- 4.1.1. A company who violates Proposition 65 shall be liable for civil penalties not to exceed two thousand five hundred dollars (\$2,500) per day for each violation in addition to any other penalty established by law pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(b)(1).
- 4.1.2. In assessing the amount of civil penalties for violations of Proposition 65, all of the following factors must be considered pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(b)(2):
 - a. The nature and extent of the violation.

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
1	b. The number of, and severity of, the violations.						
2	c. The economic effect of the penalty on the violator.						
3	d. Whether the violator took good faith measures to comply with this						
4	chapter and the time these measures were taken.						
5	e. The willfulness of the violator's misconduct.						
6	f. The deterrent effect that the imposition of the penalty would have on						
7	both the violator and the regulated community as a whole.						
8	g. Any other factor that justice may require.						
9	4.2. Settling Defendant has provided proof to CERT's counsel, in the form of						
10	financial statements for the previous two fiscal year periods (FYE Febuary 28, 2011 and FYE						
11	February 29, 2012) verified under penalty of perjury, that the Settling Defendant has been						
12	operating at a loss, such that payment of a full penalty would impose a financial hardship upon						
13	Settling Defendant. In addition, Settling Defendant has provided proof to CERT's counsel that its						
14	principal business is selling Covered Products to customers who are not located in the State of						
15	California and that it has made only a few, and sporadic, sales to customers in the State o						
16	California. As a result, the parties have agreed upon a modified (extraordinarily low) settlemen						
17	amount for civil penalties in this case. This low settlement amount is based on consideration of al						
18	the penalty factors set forth in Health and Safety Code Section 25249.7(b)(2), taking into accoun						
19	the Settling Defendant' financial condition and its limited activities in the State of California.						
20	5. PAYMENTS						
21 .	5.1. Settling Defendant shall pay the total amount identified below in settlement						
22	of this case. The terms of the payment agreement are as follows:						
23	Settling Defendant shall pay the total amount of \$5,000 ("Settlement Proceeds")						
24	within thirty days of entry of this Consent Judgment. Settlement Proceeds shall be made payable						
25 26	to the Metzger Law Group Attorney-Client Trust Account, and to no other persons, and delivered						
27	to CERT's counsel, Metzger Law Group, 401 E. Ocean Blvd., Suite 800, Long Beach, Californi						
28	90802-4966. The Settlement Proceeds shall be applied as follows:						

- a. <u>Civil Penalty</u>: Settling Defendant shall pay civil penalties pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(b) in the amount of \$2,500, allocated between CERT and the State of California as directed by Health & Safety Code section 25249.12(c)-(d).
- b. <u>Attorneys' Fees and Costs</u>: Settling Defendant shall pay \$2,500 to reimburse CERT for its attorneys' fees and costs incurred in investigating and litigating this matter, and negotiating this Consent Judgment on behalf of itself and in the public interest.

6. MODIFICATION OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

- 6.1. This Consent Judgment may be modified by written agreement of CERT and Settling Defendant, after noticed motion, and upon entry of a modified consent judgment by the court thereon, or upon motion of CERT or Settling Defendant as provided by law and upon entry of a modified consent judgment by the court. Before filing an application with the court for a modification to this Consent Judgment, Settling Defendant may meet and confer with CERT to determine whether CERT will consent to the proposed modification. If a proposed modification is agreed, then Settling Defendant and CERT will present the modification to the court by means of a stipulated modification to the Consent Judgment
- 6.2. If Proposition 65 or its implementing regulations are changed from their terms as they exist on the date of entry of judgment, the parties may seek modifications in the Consent Judgment as follows:
- a. If the change establishes that warnings for acrylamide in the Covered Products are not required, Settling Defendant may seek a modification of this Consent Judgment to conform to the judgment to the change in law.
- b. If the change establishes that the warnings provided by this Consent Judgment would not comply with the law, either party may seek a modification of the Consent Judgment to conform the judgment to the change in law.
- c. If the change would provide a new form or manner of an optional or safe-harbor warning, Settling Defendant may seek a modification to provide a warning in the newly permitted form, but the modification shall not be granted unless the court finds that the new

warning would not be materially less informative or likely to be seen, read, and understood than the warnings provided under this Consent Judgment.

6.3. If Settling Defendant corresponds in writing to an agency or branch of the United States Government in connection with the application of Proposition 65 to Acrylamide in the Covered Products, then, so long as such correspondence is not confidential and would be retrievable by CERT under the Freedom of Information Act, the Settling Defendant originating such communication shall provide CERT with a copy of such communication as soon as practicable, but not more than 10 days after sending or receiving the correspondence; provided, however, that this section shall not apply to correspondence to or from trade associations or other groups of which Settling Defendant are members.

7. ENFORCEMENT

7.1. CERT may, by motion or application for an order to show cause before this Court, enforce the terms and conditions contained in this Consent Judgment. In any such proceeding, CERT may seek whatever fines, costs, penalties, or remedies are provided by law for failure to comply with the Consent Judgment and where said violations of this Consent Judgment constitute subsequent violations of Proposition 65 or other laws independent of the Consent Judgment and/or those alleged in the complaint, CERT is not limited to enforcement of the Consent Judgment, but may seek in another action, whatever fines, costs, penalties, or remedies are provided for by law for failure to comply with Proposition 65 or other laws. In any action brought by CERT alleging subsequent violations of Proposition 65 or other laws, Settling Defendant may assert all available defenses.

8. AUTHORITY TO STIPULATE TO CONSENT JUDGMENT

8.1. Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorized by the party he or she represents to stipulate to this Consent Judgment and to enter into and execute the Consent Judgment on behalf of the party represented and legally to bind that party.

9. CLAIMS COVERED

9.1. This Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution between CERT and Settling Defendant, of any violation of Proposition 65 that has been asserted in the Complaint and/or in the Notice of Violation dated June 21, 2012, up through the Effective Date, for failure to provide clear and reasonable warnings of exposure to acrylamide from the use of the Covered Products, whether based on actions committed by Settling Defendant or by an entity to whom it distributes or sells coffee products in the State of California. Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment constitutes compliance with Proposition 65 with respect to exposures to acrylamide from Covered Products as set forth in the Complaint and/or in the Notice of Violation dated June 21, 2012.

10. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION

10.1. This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement the Consent Judgment

11. PROVISION OF NOTICE

11.1. When any party is entitled to receive any notice under this Consent Judgment, the notice shall be sent by overnight courier service to the person and address set forth in this Paragraph. Any party may modify the person and address to whom the notice is to be sent by sending each other party notice by certified mail, return receipt requested. Said change shall take effect for any notice mailed at least five days after the date the return receipt is signed by the party receiving the change.

11.2. Notices shall be sent to the following when required:

For CERT:

Raphael Metzger
Metzger Law Group
401 E. Ocean Boulevard, Suite 800
Long Beach, CA 90802
Telephone: (562) 437-4499
Facsimile: (562) 436-1561

.

1	
2	Fo
3	10
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	mo
11	an
12	
13	
14	un
15	dis
16	ora
17	pa
18	de
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

27

28

For Settling Defendant:

Henry Ben-Zvi BEN-ZVI & ASSOCIATES 3231 Ocean Park Blvd., Suite 212 Santa Monica, CA 90405 Telephone: (310) 664-1570 Facsimile: (310) 664-1571

12. COURT APPROVAL

12.1. This Consent Judgment shall be submitted to the Court for entry by noticed motion. If this Consent Judgment is not approved by the Court, it shall be of no force or effect and may not be used by CERT or Settling Defendant for any purpose.

13. ENTIRE AGREEMENT

13.1. This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the parties with respect to the entire subject matter hereof, and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments and understandings related hereto. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those contained herein have been made by any party hereto. No other agreements not specifically referred to herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the parties.

14. EXECUTION IN COUNTERPARTS						
2	14.1. The stipulations to this Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts					
3	and by means of facsimile, which taken together shall be deemed to constitute one document.					
4	IT IS SO STIPULATED:					
5	Dated: METZGER LAW GROUP					
6	A Professional Law Corporation					
7	V L MAIL					
8	By: DARWAY ASSETS OF TOO					
9	RAPHAEL METZGER, ESO. Attorneys for Plaintiff					
10	COUNCIL ON EDUCATION AND RESEARCH ON TOXICS ("CERT")					
11						
12	Dated: March 11, 2013 BEN-ZVI & ASSOCIATES					
13						
14	By:					
15	Henry Ben-Zvi/ Attorneys for Defendant					
16	CAMERON'S COFFEE AND DISTRIBUTION COMPANY					
17	DISTRIBUTION COMPANY					
18						
19						
20	7708607vI					
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						
26						
27						
28						

PROOF OF SERVICE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES)

I am employed in the County of Los Angeles, State of California. I am over the age of 18 years and am not a party to the within action. My business address is 401 East Ocean Blvd., #800, Long Beach, CA 90802.

On May 7, 2013, I served the foregoing document, described as: as follows: CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO DEFENDANT CAMERON'S COFFEE AND DISTRIBUTION COMPANY

X (BY MAIL) I caused copies of such document, enclosed in sealed envelopes, to be deposited in the mail at Long Beach, California with postage thereon fully prepaid to the persons and addresses indicated on the attached list. I am "readily familiar" with the firm's practice of collecting and processing correspondence for mailing. It is deposited with U.S. Postal Service on that same day in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that on motion of any party served, service is presumed invalid if the postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one day after the date of deposit for mailing set forth in this affidavit to:

Office of the Attorney General 1515 Clay Street, 20th Floor Oakland, CA 94612-0550

X (BY E-MAIL) I delivered such document by electronic mail to: Laura J. Zuckerman, Deputy Attorney General, 1515 Clay Street, 20th Floor, Oakland, California 94612 at Laura.Zuckerman@doj.ca.gov, and Dennis A. Ragen, Deputy Attorney General, 110 West A Street, Suite 1100, San Diego, California 92186-5266 at Dennis.Ragen@doj.ca.gov. Said document was transmitted by email transmission, which was reported complete and without error.

X (STATE) I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct.

(FEDERAL) I declare that I am employed in the offices of a member of this court, at whose direction service was made.

Executed on May 7, 2013, at Long Beach, California.

Susan	Μ.	Simpson,	Declarant

ELECTRONIC PROOF OF SERVICE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES)

I am employed in the County of Los Angeles, State of California. I am over the age of 18 years and am not a party to the within action. My business address is 401 E. Ocean Blvd., 8th Floor, Long Beach, CA 90802.

On May 7, 2013, I served the foregoing document, described as: CONSENT JUDGEMENT AS TO CAMERON'S COFFEE AND DISTRIBUTION COMPANY ON the interested parties to this action by submitting an electronic version of the document via FTP upload to LexisNexis/FileAndServe pursuant to the Court's Order.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct.

Executed on May 7, 2013, at Long Beach, California.

Susan M. Simpson, Declarant

PROOF OF SERVICE (CERT v. Brad Berry, Case No. BC461182)

-000-

Lisa A. Cole, Esq.
Nixon Peabody
2 Palo Alto Square
3000 El Camino Real, Suite 500
Palo Alto, CA 94306
(The Kroger Co., Ralphs Grocery
Company, Food For Less of Southern
California, Food 4 Less Holdings,
Inc., Food For Less Merchandising,
Inc., Food For Less of California,
Inc.)

Jeffrey B. Margulies, Esq.
Tambry L. Bradford, Esq.
Fulbright & Jaworski
555 S. Flower St., 41st Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90071
(Target Corporation, Safeway, Inc.
Sprouts Farmers Markets, LLC; Reily
Foods Company; H.N. Fernandez, Inc.)

Renee D. Wasserman, Esq.
Lauren B. Kramer, Esq.
Rogers Joseph O'Donnell
311 California Street
San Francisco, CA 94104
(Bristol Farms, Costco Wholesale, Inc.
and Supervalue Inc.)

Carla Christofferson, Esq.
Margaret A. Moeser, Esq.
Kate Ides, Esq.
O'Melveny & Myers
400 S. Hope Street
Los Angeles, CA 90071-2899
(Trader Joe's Company; Mountanos
Brothers Coffee Company)

Michael D. Abraham, Esq.
Robert H. Bunzel, Esq.
Kerry L. Duffy, Esq.
Bartko, Zankel, Tarrant & Miller
900 Front St., Suite 300
San Francisco, CA 94111
(Wal-Mart Stores, Inc. and Sam's West, Inc.)

Michele B. Corash, Esq. Robert Falk, Esq. Robin Stafford, Esq. Travis Brandon, Esq. Susan L. Landsittel, Esq. Morrison & Foerster 425 Market Street San Francisco, CA 94105-2482 (Brad Barry Company, Ltd., Caribou Coffee Company, Inc., F. Gavina & Sons, Inc., Green Mountain Coffee Roasters, Inc., Illy Caffe North America, Inc., International Coffee & Tea, Llc, the J.M. Smucker Company, Kraft Foods Inc., Massimo Zanetti Beverage USA, Inc., Melitta U.S.A., Inc.; Napa Valley Coffee Roasting Company; Nestle USA, Inc., Peet's Coffee & Tea, Inc., Rowland Coffee Roasters, Inc., Sara Lee Corporation, Seattle's Best Coffee Llc, Smucker Foodservice, Inc., Starbucks Corporation, TC Global, Inc., Vilore Foods Company, Inc., DD IP Holder Llc, Dunkin' Brands, Inc., The Folgers Coffee Company, Godiva Chocolatier, Inc., Newman's Own Organics - the Second Generation, Inc., Starbucks Holding Company; Kraft Foods Global, Inc.; Apffels Coffee, Inc., Coffee Bean International, Inc., Dona Mireya, Inc., dba Jones Coffee Roasters; Equator Coffee & Teas; Boyer Coffee Company; Caffe Ibis, Inc.; The Coca-Cola Company; Community Coffee Company, Inc.; Copper Moon Coffee, LLC; JBR, Inc., dba Rogers Family Company; Lavazza Premium Coffees Corp.; Cascade Coffee, Inc.; Coffee Roasters of Arizona, Inc.; Gold Medal Products Co.; Millstone Coffee, Inc.; Mother Parkers Tea & Coffee, Inc.; Southern Wine and Spirits of America, Inc.; Central Coast Coffee Roasting Co., Inc.; Eight O'Clock Coffee Company; James C. Cannell Coffees, Inc. Dba Jim's Organic Coffee; Pacific Coffee, Inc. dba Maui Coffee Company; Paradise Beverages, Inc. dba Hawaii Coffee Company; Regal Commodities; Steep & Brew, Inc.; Victor Allen's Coffee, LLC; Kauai Coffee Company LLC; Peerless Coffee Co., Inc., dba Adam's Organic Coffees; New England Tea and Coffee Co., Inc.; Zavida Coffee Company, Inc.; Montana Coffee Traders, Inc.; Falcon Trading Company, Inc.;

Intelligentsia Coffee & Tea, Inc.; Mayorga Coffee, LLC; Hometown Coffee Co.; New England Tea and Coffee Co., Inc.; Zavida Coffee Company, Inc.; Quartermaine Coffee Roasters; S & D Coffee, Inc.; Java Trading Company, LLC dba Distant Lands Coffee; Thanksgiving Coffee Company, Inc.)

Brendan W. Brandt, Esq. Andrew Ross Varner & Brandt 3750 University Ave., Suite 610 Riverside, CA 92501 (Stater Bros. Markets)

J.T. Wells Blaxter, Esq.
Blaxter Law
One Bush St., Suite 650
San Francisco, CA 94104
(Whole Foods Market California, Inc.;
Allegro Coffee Company)

Joshua A. Bloom, Esq.
Samir J. Abdelnour, Esq.
Barg Coffin Lewis & Trapp, LLP
350 California St., 22nd Flr.
San Francisco, cA 94104-1435
(BYB Brands, Inc.; Snyder's-Lance, Inc.)

Henry Ben-Zvi, Esq. Ben-Zvi & Associates 3231 Ocean Park Blvd., Suite 212 Santa Monica, CA 90405 (Cameron's Coffee and Distribution Company)

Duane R. Menting, Esq. 705 Magnolia Avenue Larkspur, CA 94939 (Big River Coffee Company, Inc.)

Lawrence Y. Wong, Esq.
Darryl J. Horowitt, Esq.
Coleman & Horowitt, LLP
1880 Century Park East, Suite 404
Los Angeles, CA 90067
(Luberski, Inc., dba Hidden Villa
Ranch)

Trevor J. Allen, Esq. Bryan Cave LLP 3161 Michelson Dr., Suite 1500 Irvine, CA 92612-4414 (Kerry Inc., dba Kerry Ingredients, Inc.)

Megan I. Lennox, Esq. Bryan Cave LLP

Two N. Central Avenue, Suite 2200 Phoenix, AZ 85004 (Co-counsel for Kerry Inc., dba Kerry Ingredients, Inc.)

Melissa A. Jones, Esq. Carissa M. Beecham, Esq. Stoel Rives LLP 500 Capitol Mall, Suite 1600 Sacramento, CA 95814 (Land O'Lakes, Inc.)

Douglas D. Wirth, Esq. Law Offices of Steven C. Sabbadini 823 North Street Woodland, CA 95695 (Puroast Coffee Company, Inc.)

Thomas M. Brown, Esq. Brown White & Newhouse LLP 333 South Hope Street, 40th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90071 (Comfort Foods, Inc.)

Ian K. Boyd, Esq.
Matthew A. Stratton, Esq.
Harvey Siskind LLP
Four Embarcadero Center, 39th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94111
(Rockstar, Inc.)

Tara Sky Woodward, Esq.
Womble Carlyle Sandridge & Rice LLP
10050 N. Wolfe Road, Suite 260
Cupertino, CA 95014
(Specially Appearing for S&D Coffee,
Inc.)

Jeannette K. Witten, Esq.
Elizabeth J. Stevens, Esq.
Parravano Witten PC
198 Bonifacio Place
Monterey, CA 93940
(Carmel Roasters, Inc.; Kean Coffee,
LLC; Monterey Coffee Company, Inc.;
Santa Barbara Coffee & Tea, Inc. dba
Santa Barbara Roasting Company; Santa
Cruz Coffee Roasting Company)
Settlement Pending

Charles F. Goria, Esq. Goria, Weber & Jarvis 1011 Camino del Rio South, Suite 210 San Diego, CA 92108 (Café Calabria Coffee Roasting Company)

Lawrence E. Skidmore, Esq.
Kathleen C. Lyon, Esq.
Erin J. Tognetti, Esq.
Aronowitz Skidmore Lyon
200 Auburn Folsom Road, Suite 305
Auburn, CA 95603

(L. Paul Phillips dba Safari Morning Coffee)

Nathan C. Benjamin, Esq. Courtney D. Leibrock, Esq. Baskin & Grant, LLP 331 Soquel Avenue, Suite 100 Santa Cruz, CA 95062 (Verve Pacific Avenue Café, LLC)

(Updated 03/05/13 nsv)