

TELEPHONE (562) 437-4499
TOLL-FREE (877) TOX-TORT
TELECOPIER (562) 436-1561
WWW.TOXICTORTS.COM

LAW OFFICES OF
RAPHAEL METZGER
A PROFESSIONAL LAW CORPORATION
401 EAST OCEAN BOULEVARD, SUITE 800
LONG BEACH, CALIFORNIA 90802-4966

PRACTICE CONCENTRATED IN TOXIC
TORT & ENVIRONMENTAL LITIGATION
OCCUPATIONAL & ENVIRONMENTAL LUNG
DISEASE, CANCER, AND TOXIC INJURIES

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

METZGER LAW GROUP
A PROFESSIONAL LAW CORPORATION
RAPHAEL METZGER, ESQ., SBN 116020
KATHRYN A. SALDANA, ESQ., SBN 251364
401 E. OCEAN BLVD., SUITE 800
LONG BEACH, CA 90802-4966
TELEPHONE: (562) 437-4499
TELECOPIER: (562) 436-1561
WEBSITE: www.toxictorts.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff,
Council for Education and
Research on Toxics ("CERT")

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CENTRAL DISTRICT

COUNCIL FOR EDUCATION AND)
RESEARCH ON TOXICS, a California)
corporation, acting as a private)
attorney general in the public)
interest;)

Plaintiff,)

vs.)

BRAD BARRY COMPANY, LTD., a)
California corporation; BRISTOL)
FARMS, a California corporation;)
CARIBOU COFFEE COMPANY, INC., a)
Minnesota corporation; COSTCO)
WHOLESALE CORPORATION, a)
Washington corporation; DD IP)
HOLDER LLC, a Delaware)
corporation; DUNKIN' BRANDS,)
INC., a Delaware corporation;)
DUNKIN' DONUTS LLC, a Delaware)
corporation; F. GAVINA & SONS,)
INC., a California corporation;)
THE FOLGERS COFFEE COMPANY, a)
Delaware corporation; et al.,)
Defendants.)

CASE NO. BC461182

Related to Lead Case No.
BC435759

Assigned to the Honorable Elihu
Berle, Dept. 323

CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO
DEFENDANT KEAN COFFEE, LLC

ORIGINAL FILED

MAY 14 2013

LOS ANGELES
SUPERIOR COURT

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2013
Dept. 323

TELEPHONE (562) 437-4499
TOLL-FREE (877) TOX-TORT
TELECOPIER (562) 436-1561
WWW.TOXICTORTS.COM

LAW OFFICES OF
RAPHAEL METZGER
A PROFESSIONAL LAW CORPORATION
401 EAST OCEAN BOULEVARD, SUITE 800
LONG BEACH, CALIFORNIA 90802-4966

PRACTICE CONCENTRATED IN TOXIC
TORT & ENVIRONMENTAL LITIGATION
OCCUPATIONAL & ENVIRONMENTAL LUNG
DISEASE, CANCER, AND TOXIC INJURIES

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. On May 9, 2011, the Council for Education and Research on Toxics ("CERT") filed a complaint for civil penalties and injunctive relief for violations of Proposition 65 in the Superior Court for the County of Los Angeles. CERT's complaint alleges that the Defendants failed to provide clear and reasonable warnings that ingestion of the Covered Products (as defined in Paragraph 2.1), would result in exposure to acrylamide, a chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer. The complaint further alleges that under the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, Health and Safety Code section 25249.6, also known as "Proposition 65," businesses must provide persons with a "clear and reasonable warning" before exposing individuals to these chemicals, and that the Defendants failed to do so.

1.2. Kean Coffee, LLC ("Settling Defendant"), was not named as a Defendant in Plaintiff's original complaint. However, on June 21, 2012, CERT served Settling Defendant with "Notice of Proposition 65 Violations" consistent with the present lawsuit and thereafter named Settling Defendant as a defendant in this lawsuit under its true name.

1.3. Settling Defendant is a corporation that employs more than 10 persons, or employed 10 or more persons at some time relevant to the allegations of the complaint, and which manufactures, distributes and/or sells Covered Products in the State of California or has done so in the past.

1.4. For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in CERT's complaint and personal jurisdiction over Settling Defendant as to the acts alleged in CERT's complaint, that venue is proper in the County of Los Angeles, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment as a full and final resolution of all claims which were raised in the complaint based on the facts alleged therein.

1.5. CERT and Settling Defendant enter into this Consent Judgment as a full and final settlement of all claims that were raised in the complaint (except as specified in Paragraph 9.1), arising out of the facts or conduct alleged therein. Settling Defendant has expressly waived its statute of limitations defenses with respect to the claims alleged in CERT's complaint. By execution of this

TELEPHONE (562) 437-4499
TOLL-FREE (877) TOX-TORT
TELECOPIER (562) 436-1561
WWW.TOXICTORTS.COM

LAW OFFICES OF
RAPHAEL METZGER
A PROFESSIONAL LAW CORPORATION
401 EAST OCEAN BOULEVARD, SUITE 800
LONG BEACH, CALIFORNIA 90802-4966

PRACTICE CONCENTRATED IN TOXIC
TORT & ENVIRONMENTAL LITIGATION
OCCUPATIONAL & ENVIRONMENTAL LUNG
DISEASE, CANCER, AND TOXIC INJURIES

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Consent Judgment and agreeing to provide the relief and remedies specified herein, Settling Defendant does not admit any violations of Proposition 65, or any other law or legal duty. Except as expressly set forth herein, nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive or impair any right, remedy, or defense that CERT and Settling Defendant may have in any other or in future legal proceedings unrelated to these proceedings. However, this paragraph shall not diminish or otherwise affect the obligations, responsibilities, and duties of the parties under this Consent Judgment.

2. DEFINITIONS

2.1 "Covered Products" means all coffee beans or grounds, flaked, instant or freeze-dried coffee, or any other packaged coffee that is not sold as ready-to-drink and that is sold in any establishment in the State of California, including in restaurants, coffee houses, and retail speciality stores owned and/or operated by Settling Defendant ("Company Restaurants") or restaurants, coffee houses, and retail specialty stores owned and operated by third parties pursuant to franchise or license agreements with Settling Defendant ("Franchise Restaurants").

2.2 "Effective Date" means the date upon which this Court enters this Consent Judgment.

3. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF; CLEAR AND REASONABLE WARNINGS

3.1. Settling Defendant shall provide warnings in the manner required by this Consent Judgment for all Covered Products.

3.2. Warning Message. The warning message provided, under the permitted warning methods, shall be the following:

a. **WARNING**

"Chemicals known to the State of California to cause cancer and reproductive toxicity, including acrylamide, are present in our coffee products. Acrylamide is not added to our products, but results from the roasting of coffee beans. As a result, acrylamide is present in our brewed coffee products. Your personal cancer risk is affected by a wide variety of factors. For more information regarding acrylamide see www.fda.gov. For more information about acrylamide and Proposition 65, visit www.oehha.ca.gov/prop65/acrylamide.html."

//
//

TELEPHONE (562) 437-4499
TOLL-FREE (877) TOX-TORT
TELECOPIER (562) 436-1561
WWW.TOXICTORTS.COM

LAW OFFICES OF
RAPHAEL METZGER
A PROFESSIONAL LAW CORPORATION
401 EAST OCEAN BOULEVARD, SUITE 800
LONG BEACH, CALIFORNIA 90802-4966

PRACTICE CONCENTRATED IN TOXIC
TORT & ENVIRONMENTAL LITIGATION
OCCUPATIONAL & ENVIRONMENTAL LUNG
DISEASE, CANCER, AND TOXIC INJURIES

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

b. Wherever the warning language in this Consent Judgment uses the phrase “chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer,” Settling Defendant, at its option, may use either the phrase “chemical known to cause cancer” or “chemical that causes cancer.”

3.3. Warning Method. The warning shall be affixed to or printed on the back of the package of the Covered Product, in typeface equal to the typeface of other product information on the label so as to render the warning easily read and understood by an ordinary individual under customary conditions of purchase or use. Such warnings shall accompany the Covered Product sold into California beginning no later than sixty (60) days after of the Effective Date.

3.4. Nothing in this Consent Judgment requires that warnings be given for Covered Products sold outside the State of California.

4. CIVIL PENALTIES

4.1. Calculation of Civil Penalties

4.1.1. A company who violates Proposition 65 shall be liable for civil penalties not to exceed two thousand five hundred dollars (\$2,500) per day *for each violation* in addition to any other penalty established by law pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(b)(1).

4.1.2. In assessing the amount of civil penalties for violations of Proposition 65, all of the following factors must be considered pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(b)(2):

- a. The nature and extent of the violation.
- b. The number of, and severity of, the violations.
- c. The economic effect of the penalty on the violator.
- d. Whether the violator took good faith measures to comply with this chapter and the time these measures were taken.
- e. The willfulness of the violator’s misconduct.
- f. The deterrent effect that the imposition of the penalty would have on both the violator and the regulated community as a whole.
- g. Any other factor that justice may require.

4.2. Settling Defendant has agreed to settle this case short of any litigation. Further, Settling Defendant has limited financial resources and cannot afford civil penalties. As a result, the

TELEPHONE (562) 437-4499
TOLL-FREE (877) TOX-TORT
TELECOPIER (562) 436-1561
WWW.TOXICORTS.COM

LAW OFFICES OF
RAPHAEL METZGER
A PROFESSIONAL LAW CORPORATION
401 EAST OCEAN BOULEVARD, SUITE 800
LONG BEACH, CALIFORNIA 90802-4966

PRACTICE CONCENTRATED IN TOXIC
TORT & ENVIRONMENTAL LITIGATION
OCCUPATIONAL & ENVIRONMENTAL LUNG
DISEASE, CANCER, AND TOXIC INJURIES

1 parties have agreed that Settling Defendant will not be required to pay civil penalties in this case. This
2 absence of civil penalties is based on consideration of all the penalty factors set forth in Health and
3 Safety Code Section 25249.7(b)(2), and on 11 C.C.R. § 3203(a) which provides that “[a] settlement
4 of little or not penalty may be entirely appropriate.”

5 **5. PAYMENTS**

6 5.1. Settling Defendant shall pay the total amount identified below in settlement of
7 both this case and the related case, *Council for Education and Research on Toxics v. Starbucks*
8 *Corporation*, Case No. BC435759. The terms of the payment agreement are as follows:

9 Settling Defendant shall pay the total amount of \$2,500 (“Settlement Proceeds”), within
10 thirty days of entry of this Consent Judgment. Settlement Proceeds shall be made payable to the
11 Metzger Law Group Attorney-Client Trust Account, and to no other persons, and delivered to CERT’s
12 counsel, Metzger Law Group, 401 E. Ocean Blvd., Suite 800, Long Beach, California 90802-4966.

13 The settlement proceeds are to reimburse CERT for its attorneys’ fees and costs incurred
14 in investigating this matter, negotiating and preparing this Consent Judgment on behalf of itself and
15 in the public interest, and preparing the motion to approve the Consent Judgment.

16 **6. MODIFICATION OF CONSENT JUDGMENT**

17 6.1. This Consent Judgment may be modified by written agreement of CERT and
18 Settling Defendant, after noticed motion, and upon entry of a modified consent judgment by the court
19 thereon, or upon motion of CERT or Settling Defendant as provided by law and upon entry of a
20 modified consent judgment by the court. Before filing an application with the court for a modification
21 to this Consent Judgment, Settling Defendant may meet and confer with CERT to determine whether
22 CERT will consent to the proposed modification. If a proposed modification is agreed, then Settling
23 Defendant and CERT will present the modification to the court by means of a stipulated modification
24 to the Consent Judgment.

25 6.2 If Proposition 65 or its implementing regulations are changed from their terms
26 as they exist on the date of entry of judgment, the parties may seek modifications in the Consent
27 Judgment as follows:

28 //

TELEPHONE (562) 437-4499
TOLL-FREE (877) TOX-TORT
TELECOPIER (562) 436-1561
WWW.TOXICTORTS.COM

LAW OFFICES OF
RAPHAEL METZGER
A PROFESSIONAL LAW CORPORATION
401 EAST OCEAN BOULEVARD, SUITE 800
LONG BEACH, CALIFORNIA 90802-4966

PRACTICE CONCENTRATED IN TOXIC
TORT & ENVIRONMENTAL LITIGATION
OCCUPATIONAL & ENVIRONMENTAL LUNG
DISEASE, CANCER, AND TOXIC INJURIES

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

a. If the change establishes that warnings for acrylamide in the Covered Products are not required, Settling Defendant may seek a modification of this Consent Judgment to conform to the judgment to the change in law.

b. If the change establishes that the warnings provided by this Consent Judgment would not comply with the law, either party may seek a modification of the Consent Judgment to conform the judgment to the change in law.

c. If the change would provide a new form or manner of an optional or safe-harbor warning, Settling Defendant may seek a modification to provide a warning in the newly permitted form, but the modification shall not be granted unless the court finds that the new warning would not be materially less informative or likely to be seen, read, and understood than the warnings provided under this Consent Judgment.

6.3 If Settling Defendant corresponds in writing to an agency or branch of the United States Government in connection with the application of Proposition 65 to Acrylamide in the Covered Products, then, so long as such correspondence is not confidential and would be retrievable by CERT under the Freedom of Information Act, Settling Defendant originating such communication shall provide CERT with a copy of such communication as soon as practicable, but not more than 10 days after sending or receiving the correspondence; provided, however, that this section shall not apply to correspondence to or from trade associations or other groups of which Settling Defendant is a member.

7. ENFORCEMENT

7.1. CERT may, by motion or application for an order to show cause before this Court, enforce the terms and conditions contained in this Consent Judgment. In any such proceeding, CERT may seek whatever fines, costs, penalties, or remedies are provided by law for failure to comply with the Consent Judgment and where said violations of this Consent Judgment constitute subsequent violations of Proposition 65 or other laws independent of the Consent Judgment and/or those alleged in the complaint, CERT is not limited to enforcement of the Consent Judgment, but may seek in another action, whatever fines, costs, penalties, or remedies are provided for by law for failure to comply with Proposition 65 or other laws. In any action brought by CERT alleging subsequent violations of Proposition 65 or other laws, Settling Defendant may assert all available defenses.

TELEPHONE (562) 437-4499
TOLL-FREE (877) TOX-TORT
TELECOPIER (562) 436-1561
WWW.TOXICORTS.COM

LAW OFFICES OF
RAPHAEL METZGER
A PROFESSIONAL LAW CORPORATION
401 EAST OCEAN BOULEVARD, SUITE 800
LONG BEACH, CALIFORNIA 90802-4966

PRACTICE CONCENTRATED IN TOXIC
TORT & ENVIRONMENTAL LITIGATION
OCCUPATIONAL & ENVIRONMENTAL LUNG
DISEASE, CANCER, AND TOXIC INJURIES

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

8. AUTHORITY TO STIPULATE TO CONSENT JUDGMENT

8.1. Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorized by the party he or she represents to stipulate to this Consent Judgment and to enter into and execute the Consent Judgment on behalf of the party represented and legally to bind that party.

9. CLAIMS COVERED

9.1. This Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution between CERT and Settling Defendant, of any violation of Proposition 65 that has been asserted in the Complaint dated May 9, 2011, up through the Effective Date, for failure to provide clear and reasonable warnings of exposure to acrylamide from the use of the Covered Products, whether based on actions committed by Settling Defendants or by an entity to whom it distributes or sells coffee products, and for any franchisee who sells or has sold Covered Products in the State of California. Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment constitutes compliance with Proposition 65 with respect to exposures to acrylamide from Covered Products as set forth in the Complaint dated May 9, 2011.

10. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION

10.1. This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement the Consent Judgment.

11. PROVISION OF NOTICE

11.1. When any party is entitled to receive any notice under this Consent Judgment, the notice shall be sent by overnight courier service to the person and address set forth in this Paragraph. Any party may modify the person and address to whom the notice is to be sent by sending each other party notice by certified mail, return receipt requested. Said change shall take effect for any notice mailed at least five days after the date the return receipt is signed by the party receiving the change.

//
//
//
//
//

TELEPHONE (562) 437-4499
TOLL-FREE (877) TOX-TORT
TELECOPIER (562) 436-1561
WWW.TOXICTORTS.COM

LAW OFFICES OF
RAPHAEL METZGER
A PROFESSIONAL LAW CORPORATION
401 EAST OCEAN BOULEVARD, SUITE 800
LONG BEACH, CALIFORNIA 90802-4966

PRACTICE CONCENTRATED IN TOXIC
TORT & ENVIRONMENTAL LITIGATION
OCCUPATIONAL & ENVIRONMENTAL LUNG
DISEASE, CANCER, AND TOXIC INJURIES

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

11.2. Notices shall be sent to the following when required:

For CERT:

Raphael Metzger
Metzger Law Group
401 E. Ocean Boulevard, Suite 800
Long Beach, CA 90802
Telephone: (562) 437-4499
Facsimile: (562) 436-1561

For Settling Defendant:

Elizabeth J. Stevens, Esq.
Parravano Witten PC
198 Bonifacio Place
Monterey, CA 93940
Telephone: (831) 373-0486
Facsimile: (831) 373-4207

12. COURT APPROVAL

12.1. This Consent Judgment shall be submitted to the Court for entry by noticed motion. If this Consent Judgment is not approved by the Court, it shall be of no force or effect and may not be used by CERT or Settling Defendant for any purpose.

13. ENTIRE AGREEMENT

13.1 This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the parties with respect to the entire subject matter hereof, and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments and understandings related hereto. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those contained herein have been made by any party hereto. No other agreements not specifically referred to herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the parties.

//
//
//
//
//
//
//

TELEPHONE (562) 437-4499
TOLL-FREE (877) TOX-TORT
TELECOPIER (562) 436-1561
WWW.TOXICORTS.COM

LAW OFFICES OF
RAPHAEL METZGER
A PROFESSIONAL LAW CORPORATION
401 EAST OCEAN BOULEVARD, SUITE 800
LONG BEACH, CALIFORNIA 90802-4966

PRACTICE CONCENTRATED IN TOXIC
TORT & ENVIRONMENTAL LITIGATION
OCCUPATIONAL & ENVIRONMENTAL LUNG
DISEASE, CANCER, AND TOXIC INJURIES

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

14. EXECUTION IN COUNTERPARTS

14.1. The stipulations to this Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by means of facsimile, which taken together shall be deemed to constitute one document.

IT IS SO STIPULATED:

DATED: January 4, 2013

METZGER LAW GROUP
A Professional Law Corporation



RAPHAEL METZGER, ESQ.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
COUNCIL ON EDUCATION AND RESEARCH ON
TOXICS ("CERT")

DATED: January __, 2013

PARRAVANO WITTEN PC

ELIZABETH J. STEVENS, ESQ.
Attorneys for Settling Defendant
KEAN COFFEE, LLC

IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED:

DATED:

HON. ELIHU M. BERLE
Judge of the Superior Court

TELEPHONE (562) 437-4499
TOLL-FREE (877) TOX-TORT
TELECOPIER (562) 436-1561
WWW.TOXICTORTS.COM

ELECTRONIC PROOF OF SERVICE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES)

I am employed in the County of Los Angeles, State of California. I am over the age of 18 years and am not a party to the within action. My business address is 401 E. Ocean Blvd., 8th Floor, Long Beach, CA 90802.

On January 7, 2013, I served the foregoing document, described as: CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO DEFENDANT KEAN COFFEE, LLC on the interested parties to this action by submitting an electronic version of the document via FTP upload to LexisNexis/FileAndServe pursuant to the Court's Order.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct.

Executed on January 7, 2013, at Long Beach, California.

Susan M. Simpson, Declarant

LAW OFFICES OF
RAPHAEL METZGER
A PROFESSIONAL LAW CORPORATION
401 EAST OCEAN BOULEVARD, SUITE 800
LONG BEACH, CALIFORNIA 90802-4966

PRACTICE CONCENTRATED IN TOXIC
TORT & ENVIRONMENTAL LITIGATION
OCCUPATIONAL & ENVIRONMENTAL LUNG
DISEASE, CANCER, AND TOXIC INJURIES

SERVICE LIST

(CERT vs. Starbucks, Case No. BC435759)

-000-

1
2
3
4 Richard W. Lasater II, Esq.
5 Foley & Lardner
6 555 S. Flower St., Suite 3500
7 Los Angeles, CA 90071-2411
(Gloria Jean's Gourmet Coffees Corp.,
Gloria Jean's Gourmet Coffees Franchising
Corp., It's a Grind Inc., Praise
International North America, Inc.)

8 Trenton H. Norris, Esq.
9 Rachel L. Chanin, Esq.
10 Arnold & Porter
11 Three Embarcadero Center, 7th Floor
12 San Francisco, CA 94111
(7-Eleven, Inc. BP America Inc., BP
Products North America Inc., BP West Coast
Products LLC, Winchell's Franchising, LLC,
Yum Yum Donut Shops, Inc.)

13 Gabriel J. Padilla, Esq.
14 Arnold & Porter
15 777 S. Figueroa St., 44th Floor
16 Los Angeles, CA 90017-5844
(7-Eleven, Inc. BP America Inc., BP
Products North America Inc., BP West Coast
Products LLC, Winchell's Franchising, LLC,
Yum Yum Donut Shops, Inc.)

17 Michele B. Corash, Esq.
18 Robin S. Stafford, Esq.
19 Morrison & Foerster
20 425 Market Street
21 San Francisco, CA 94105-2482
(Starbucks Corporation, Starbucks Holding
Company, Seattle Coffee Company, Peet's
Operating Company, Inc. (incorrectly sued
herein as Peet's Coffee and Tea, Inc.);
22 International Coffee & Tea, LLC)

23
24 (Updated June 25, 2012 jlp)
25
26
27
28

TELEPHONE (562) 437-4499
TOLL-FREE (877) TOX-TORT
TELECOPIER (562) 436-1561
WWW.TOXICTORTS.COM

LAW OFFICES OF
RAPHAEL METZGER
A PROFESSIONAL LAW CORPORATION
401 EAST OCEAN BOULEVARD, SUITE 800
LONG BEACH, CALIFORNIA 90802-4966

PRACTICE CONCENTRATED IN TOXIC
TORT & ENVIRONMENTAL LITIGATION
OCCUPATIONAL & ENVIRONMENTAL LUNG
DISEASE, CANCER, AND TOXIC INJURIES

SERVICE LIST

(CERT v. Brad Berry, Case No. BC461182)

-000-

1
2
3
4 Lisa A. Cole, Esq.
Nixon Peabody
2 Palo Alto Square
5 3000 El Camino Real, Suite 500
Palo Alto, CA 94306
6 (The Kroger Co., Ralphs Grocery Company,
Food For Less of Southern California, Food
7 4 Less Holdings, Inc., Food For Less
Merchandising, Inc., Food For Less of
8 California, Inc.)

9 Jeffrey B. Margulies, Esq.
10 Tambry L. Bradford, Esq.
Fulbright & Jaworski
11 555 S. Flower St., 41st Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90071
12 (Target Corporation, Safeway, Inc. Sprouts
Farmers Markets, LLC; Reily Foods Company;
13 H.N. Fernandez, Inc.)

14 Renee D. Wasserman, Esq.
Lauren B. Kramer, Esq.
15 Rogers Joseph O'Donnell
311 California Street
San Francisco, CA 94104
16 (Bristol Farms, Costco Wholesale, Inc. and
Supervalu Inc.)

17 Carla Christofferson, Esq.
18 Margaret A. Moeser, Esq.
Kate Ides, Esq.
19 O'Melveny & Myers
400 S. Hope Street
20 Los Angeles, CA 90071-2899
(Trader Joe's Company; Mountanos Brothers
21 Coffee Company)

22 Michael D. Abraham, Esq.
Robert H. Bunzel, Esq.
23 Kerry L. Duffy, Esq.
Bartko, Zankel, Tarrant & Miller
24 900 Front St., Suite 300
San Francisco, CA 94111
25 (Wal-Mart Stores, Inc. and Sam's West,
26 Inc.)

Michele B. Corash, Esq.
Robert Falk, Esq.
Robin Stafford, Esq.
Travis Brandon, Esq.
Morrison & Foerster
425 Market Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-2482
(Brad Barry Company, Ltd., Caribou Coffee
Company, Inc., F. Gavina & Sons, Inc.,
Green Mountain Coffee Roasters, Inc., Ily
Caffe North America, Inc., International
Coffee & Tea, Llc, the J.M. Smucker
Company, Kraft Foods Inc., Massimo Zanetti
Beverage USA, Inc., Melitta U.S.A., Inc.,
Nestle USA, Inc., Peet's Coffee & Tea,
Inc., Rowland Coffee Roasters, Inc., Sara
Lee Corporation, Seattle's Best Coffee Llc,
Smucker Foodservice, Inc., Starbucks
Corporation, TC Global, Inc., Vilore Foods
Company, Inc., DD IP Holder Llc, Dunkin'
Brands, Inc., The Folgers Coffee Company,
Godiva Chocolatier, Inc., Newman's Own
Organics - the Second Generation, Inc.,
Starbucks Holding Company; Kraft Foods
Global, Inc.; Appfels Coffee, Inc., Coffee
Bean International, Inc., Dona Mireya,
Inc., dba Jones Coffee Roasters; Equator
Coffee & Teas; Boyer Coffee Company; Caffe
Ibis, Inc.; The Coca-Cola Company;
Community Coffee Company, Inc.; Copper Moon
Coffee, LLC; JBR, Inc., dba Rogers Family
Company; Lavazza Premium Coffees Corp.;
Cascade Coffee, Inc.; Coffee Roasters of
Arizona, Inc.; Gold Medal Products Co.;
Millstone Coffee, Inc.; Mother Parkers Tea
& Coffee, Inc.; Southern Wine and Spirits
of America, Inc.; Central Coast Coffee
Roasting Co., Inc.; Eight O'Clock Coffee
Company; James c. Cannell Coffees, Inc. Dba
Jim's Organic Coffee; Pacific Coffee, Inc.
dba Maui Coffee Company; Paradise
Beverages, Inc. dba Hawaii Coffee Company;
Regal Commodities; Steep & Brew, Inc.;
Victor Allen's Coffee, LLC; Napa Valley
Coffee Roasting Company; Kauai Coffee
Company LLC; Peerless Coffee Co., Inc., dba
Adam's Organic Coffees; Montana Coffee
Traders, Inc.; Falcon Trading Company,
Inc.; Intelligentsia Coffee & Tea, Inc.;
Mayorga Coffee, LLC)

TELEPHONE (562) 437-4499
TOLL-FREE (877) TOX-TORT
TELECOPIER (562) 436-1561
WWW.TOXICTORTS.COM

LAW OFFICES OF
RAPHAEL METZGER
A PROFESSIONAL LAW CORPORATION
401 EAST OCEAN BOULEVARD, SUITE 800
LONG BEACH, CALIFORNIA 90802-4966

PRACTICE CONCENTRATED IN TOXIC
TORT & ENVIRONMENTAL LITIGATION
OCCUPATIONAL & ENVIRONMENTAL LUNG
DISEASE, CANCER, AND TOXIC INJURIES

TELEPHONE (562) 437-4489
TOLL-FREE (877) TOX-TORT
TELECOPIER (562) 436-1561
WWW.TOXICTORTS.COM

LAW OFFICES OF
RAPHAEL METZGER
A PROFESSIONAL LAW CORPORATION
401 EAST OCEAN BOULEVARD, SUITE 800
LONG BEACH, CALIFORNIA 90802-4966

PRACTICE CONCENTRATED IN TOXIC
TORT & ENVIRONMENTAL LITIGATION
OCCUPATIONAL & ENVIRONMENTAL LUNG
DISEASE, CANCER, AND TOXIC INJURIES

- | | | |
|----|--|--|
| 1 | Brendan W. Brandt, Esq.
Andrew Ross
Varner & Brandt
3750 University Ave., Suite 610
Riverside, CA 92501
(Stater Bros. Markets) | Stoel Rives LLP
500 Capitol Mall, Suite 1600
Sacramento, CA 95814
(Land O'Lakes, Inc.) |
| 2 | | |
| 3 | | |
| 4 | J.T. Wells Blaxter, Esq.
Blaxter Law
One Bush St., Suite 650
San Francisco, CA 94104
(Whole Foods Market California, Inc.;
Allegro Coffee Company) | Douglas D. Wirth, Esq.
Law Offices of Steven C. Sabbadini
823 North Street
Woodland, CA 95695
(Puroast Coffee Company, Inc.) |
| 5 | | |
| 6 | | |
| 7 | | Thomas M. Brown, Esq.
Brown White & Newhouse LLP
333 South Hope Street, 40 th Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90071
(Comfort Foods, Inc.) |
| 8 | Joshua A. Bloom, Esq.
Samir J. Abdelnour, Esq.
Barg Coffin Lewis & Trapp, LLP
350 California St., 22 nd Flr.
San Francisco, CA 94104-1435
(BYB Brands, Inc.; Snyder's-Lance, Inc.) | Ian K. Boyd, Esq.
Matthew A. Stratton, Esq.
Harvey Siskind LLP
Four Embarcadero Center, 39 th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94111
(Rockstar, Inc.) |
| 9 | | |
| 10 | | |
| 11 | Henry Ben-Zvi, Esq.
Ben-Zvi & Associates
3231 Ocean Park Blvd., Suite 212
Santa Monica, CA 90405
(Cameron's Coffee and Distribution Company) | Tara Sky Woodward, Esq.
Womble Carlyle Sandridge & Rice LLP
10050 N. Wolfe Road, Suite 260
Cupertino, CA 95014
(Specially Appearing for S&D Coffee, Inc.) |
| 12 | | |
| 13 | | |
| 14 | Duane R. Menting, Esq.
705 Magnolia Avenue
Larkspur, CA 94939
(Big River Coffee Company, Inc.) | Michele B. Corash, Esq.
Robin S. Stafford, Esq.
Susan L. Landsittel, Esq.
Morrison & Foerster
425 Market Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-2482
(New England Tea and Coffee Co., Inc.;
Zavida Coffee Company, Inc.) |
| 15 | | |
| 16 | | |
| 17 | Lawrence Y. Wong, Esq.
Darryl J. Horowitz, Esq.
Coleman & Horowitz, LLP
1880 Century Park East, Suite 404
Los Angeles, CA 90067
(Luberski, Inc., dba Hidden Villa Ranch) | |
| 18 | | |
| 19 | | |
| 20 | | |
| 21 | Trevor J. Allen, Esq.
Bryan Cave LLP
3161 Michelson Dr., Suite 1500
Irvine, CA 92612-4414
(Kerry Inc., dba Kerry Ingredients, Inc.) | Jeannette K. Witten, Esq.
Elizabeth J. Stevens, Esq.
Parravano Witten PC
198 Bonifacio Place
Monterey, CA 93940
(Carmel Roasters, Inc.; Kean Coffee, LLC;
Monterey Coffee Company, Inc.; Santa
Barbara Coffee & Tea, Inc. dba Santa
Barbara Roasting Company; Santa Cruz Coffee
Roasting Company) |
| 22 | | |
| 23 | | |
| 24 | Megan I. Lennox, Esq.
Bryan Cave LLP
Two N. Central Avenue, Suite 2200
Phoenix, AZ 85004
(Co-counsel for Kerry Inc., dba Kerry
Ingredients, Inc.) | |
| 25 | | |
| 26 | | |
| 27 | | |
| 28 | Melissa A. Jones, Esq.
Carissa M. Beecham, Esq. | Charles F. Gorla, Esq.
Gorla, Weber & Jarvis |

TELEPHONE (562) 437-4499
TOLL-FREE (877) TOX-TORT
TELECOPIER (562) 436-1561
WWW.TOXICTORTS.COM

LAW OFFICES OF
RAPHAEL METZGER
A PROFESSIONAL LAW CORPORATION
401 EAST OCEAN BOULEVARD, SUITE 800
LONG BEACH, CALIFORNIA 90802-4966

PRACTICE CONCENTRATED IN TOXIC
TORT & ENVIRONMENTAL LITIGATION
OCCUPATIONAL & ENVIRONMENTAL LUNG
DISEASE, CANCER, AND TOXIC INJURIES

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

1011 Camino del Rio South, Suite 210
San Diego, CA 92108
(Café Calabria Coffee Roasting Company)

(Updated 01/02/13 nsv)

PROOF OF SERVICE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES)

I am employed in the County of Los Angeles, State of California. I am over the age of 18 years and am not a party to the within action. My business address is 401 East Ocean Blvd., #800, Long Beach, CA 90802.

On January 7, 2013, I served the foregoing document, described as: CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO DEFENDANT KEAN COFFEE, LLC as follows:

 X (BY MAIL) I caused copies of such document, enclosed in sealed envelopes, to be deposited in the mail at Long Beach, California with postage thereon fully prepaid to the persons and addresses indicated on the attached list. I am "readily familiar" with the firm's practice of collecting and processing correspondence for mailing. It is deposited with U.S. Postal Service on that same day in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that on motion of any party served, service is presumed invalid if the postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one day after the date of deposit for mailing set forth in this affidavit to:

Office of the Attorney General
1515 Clay Street, 20th Floor
Oakland, CA 94612-0550

 X (BY E-MAIL) I delivered such document by electronic mail to: Laura J. Zuckerman, Deputy Attorney General, 1515 Clay Street, 20th Floor, Oakland, California 94612 at Laura.Zuckerman@doj.ca.gov, and Dennis A. Ragen, Deputy Attorney General, 110 West A Street, Suite 1100, San Diego, California 92186-5266 at Dennis.Ragen@doj.ca.gov. Said document was transmitted by email transmission, which was reported complete and without error.

 X (STATE) I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct.

 (FEDERAL) I declare that I am employed in the offices of a member of this court, at whose direction service was made.

Executed on January 7, 2013, at Long Beach, California.

Susan M. Simpson, Declarant

TELEPHONE (562) 437-4499
TOLL-FREE (877) TOX-TORT
TELECOPIER (562) 436-1561
WWW.TOXICTORTS.COM

LAW OFFICES OF
RAPHAEL METZGER
A PROFESSIONAL LAW CORPORATION
401 EAST OCEAN BOULEVARD, SUITE 800
LONG BEACH, CALIFORNIA 90802-4966

PRACTICE CONCENTRATED IN TOXIC
TORT & ENVIRONMENTAL LITIGATION
OCCUPATIONAL & ENVIRONMENTAL LUNG
DISEASE, CANCER, AND TOXIC INJURIES

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Angela Simm

From: LexisNexis File & Serve [TransactionReceipt@fileandserve.lexisnexis.com]
Sent: Monday, January 07, 2013 2:20 PM
To: Angela Simm
Subject: Case: BC435759; Transaction: 48782569 Transaction Receipt

To: Angela Simm
Subject: Transaction Receipt

This email is to confirm receipt of your documents. The transaction option you selected was "Serve Only - Public". The details for this transaction are listed below.

Court: CA Superior Court County of Los Angeles
Case Name: Council for Education & Research on Toxics (CERT) vs Starbucks Corp (BC435759) & Brad Barry Co Ltd (BC461182) et al
Case Number: BC435759
Transaction ID: 48782569

Document Title(s):

Notice of Motion and Motion to Approve Proposition 65 Settlements and [Proposed] Consent Judgments, Memo of Points and Authorities re Brad Barry (31 pages)

Declaration of Kathryn Saldana Submitted in Support of Pltf's Notice of Motion and Motion to Approve Proposition 65 Settlement and [Proposed] Consent Judgment re Brad Barry (134 pages)

Notice of Lodgment in Support of Pltf's Motion to Approve Propositin 65 Settlement as to Kean Coffee, LLC and [Proposed] Consent Judgment re Brad Barry (8 pages)

Request for Judicial Notice in Support of Pltf's Motion to Approve Proposition 65 Settlements and [Proposed] Consent Judgments re Brad Barry (91 pages)

Notice of Lodgment in Support of Pltf's Motion to Approve Proposition 65 Settlement as to Carmel Roasters, Inc. and Monterey Coffee Co. Inc. and [Proposed] Consent Judgment re Brad Barry (8 pages)

Notice of Lodgment in Support of Pltf's Motion to Approve Proposition 65 Settlement as to Santa Barbara Coffee & Tea, Inc. DBA Santa barbara Roasting Co. and [Proposed] Consent Judgment (8 pages)

Notice of Lodgment in Support of Pltf's Motion to Approve Propositin 65 Settlement as to Bronson Baker DBA Santa Cruz Coffee Roasting Co. and [Proposed] Consent Judgment re Brad Barry (8 pages)

Consent Judgment as to Santa Barbara Coffee & Tea Inc. DBA Santa Barbara Roasting Co. re Brad Barry (16 pages)

Consent Judgment as to Carmel Roasters, Inc. and Monterey Coffee Co., Inc. re Brad Barry (16 pages)

Consent Judgment as to Kean Coffee, LLC re Brad Barry (16 pages)

Consent Judgment as to Bronson Baker DBA Santa Cruz Coffee Roasting Co. re Brad Barry (16 pages)

[Proposed] Order Granting Pltf's Motion to Approve Proposition 65 Settlement and Enter Consent Judgment as to Kean Coffee, LLC re Brad Barry (8 pages)

[Proposed] Order Granting Pltf's Motion to Approve Proposition 65 Settlement and Enter Consent Judgment as to Carmel Roasters, Inc. and Monterey Coffee Co., Inc. re Brad Barry (8 pages)

[Proposed] Order Granting Pltf's Motion to Approve Proposition 65 Settlement and Enter Consent Judgment as to Santa Barbara Coffee & Tea, Inc DBA Santa Barbara Roasting Co. re Brad Barry (8 pages)

[Proposed] Order Granting Pltf's Motion to Approve Proposition 65 Settlement and Enter Consent Judgment as to Bronson Baker DBA Santa Cruz Coffee Roasting Co. re Brad Barry (8 pages)

Notice of Motion and Motion to Approve Proposition 65 Settlements and [Proposed] Consent Judgments, Memo of Points and Authorities re Starbucks (32 pages)

Declaration of Kathryn Saldana Submitted in Support of Pltf's Notice of Motion and Motion to Approve Proposition 65 Settlement and [Proposed] Consent Judgment re Starbucks (131 pages)

Notice of Lodgment in Support of Pltf's Motion to Approve Proposition 65 Settlement and [Proposed] Consent Judgment as to Bronson Baker DBA Santa Cruz Coffee Roasting Co. re Starbucks (8 pages)

Notice of Lodgment in Support of Pltf's Motion to Approve Proposition 65 Settlement and [Proposed] Consent Judgment as to Santa Barbara Coffee & Tea, Inc. DBA Santa Barbara Roasting Co. re Starbucks (8 pages)

Notice of Lodgment in Support of Pltf's Motion to Approve Proposition 65 Settlement and [Proposed] Consent Judgment as to Carmel Roasters, Inc. and Monterey Coffee Co., Inc. re Starbucks (8 pages)

Notice of Lodgment in Support of Pltf's Motion to Approve Proposition 65 Settlement and [Proposed] Consent Judgment as to Kean Coffee, LLC re Starbucks (8 pages)

Request for Judicial Notice in Support of Pltf's Motion to Approve Proposition 65 Settlements and [Proposed] Consent Judgments (84 pages)

Consent Judgment as to Kean Coffee, LLC (19 pages)

Consent Judgment as to Carmel Roasters, Inc. and Monterey Coffee Co., Inc re Starbucks (19 pages)

Consent Judgment as to Bronson Baker DBA Santa Cruz Coffee Roasting Co. re Starbucks (19 pages)

Consent Judgment as to Santa Barbara Coffee & Tea Inc. DBA Santa Barbara Roasting Co re Starbucks (19 pages)

[Proposed] Order Granting Pltf's Motion to Approve Proposition 65 Settlement and Enter Consent Judgment as to Kean Coffee, LLC re Starbucks (8 pages)

[Proposed] Order Granting Pltf's Motion to Approve Proposition 65 Settlement and Enter Consent Judgment as to Carmel Roasters, Inc. and Monterey Coffee Co., Inc. re Starbucks (8 pages)

[Proposed] Order Granting Pltf's Motion to Approve Proposition 65 Settlement and Enter Consent Judgment as to Santa Barbara Coffee & Tea, Inc. DBA Santa Barbara Roasting Co. re Starbucks (8 pages)

[Proposed] Order Granting Pltf's Motion to Approve Proposition 65 Settlement and Enter Consent Judgment as to Bronson Baker DBA Santa Cruz Coffee Roasting Co. re Starbucks (8 pages)

Authorized Date/Time: Jan 7 2013 2:18PM PST

Authorizer: Kathryn Saldana

Authorizer's Organization: Metzger Law Group

Sending Parties:

Council for Education & Research on Toxics Served Parties:

45 parties

Thank you for using File & ServeXpress.

Questions? For prompt, courteous assistance please contact File & ServeXpress Customer Service by phone at 1-888-529-7587 (24/7).