1		
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
9	FOR THE COU	UNTY OF ALAMEDA
10		
11	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH, a non-profit corporation,) Case No. RG 10-514803
12	Plaintiffs,	() [PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT() AS TO BURLINGTON COAT
13	VS.) FACTORY WAREHOUSE) CORPORATION
14	AEROPOSTALE, INC., et al.,)
15	Defendants.))
16))
17		´)
18		
19	1. INTRODUCTION	
20		ered into by the Center for Environmental Health, a
21		•
22	- · · · · · ·	d defendant Burlington Coat Factory Warehouse
23	, , ,	certain claims asserted by CEH against Settling
24		aint in the matter entitled Center for Environmental
25	-	County Superior Court Case No. RG 10-514803.
26	•	eved a Notice of Violation under Proposition 65
27	alleging that Settling Defendant, along with o	
28	Proposition 65 by exposing persons to cadmit	am contained in jewelry, without first providing a
DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER		- 1 -
	CONSENT JUDGMENT – BURLINGTO	ON COAT FACTORY – CASE NO. RG 10-514803

clear and reasonable warning pursuant to Proposition 65.

2 3 1.3 On April 8, 2011, CEH filed the operative Second Amended Complaint

4

5

manufactures, distributes and/or sells Covered Products (as defined herein) in the State of

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

DOCUMENT PREPAREI ON RECYCLED PAPER

- California.
- 1.5 For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, CEH and Settling Defendant (the "Parties") stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the Complaint and personal jurisdiction over Settling Defendant as to the acts alleged in the Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of Alameda, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment as a full and final resolution of all claims which were or could have been raised in the Complaint based on the facts alleged therein with respect to Covered Products manufactured, distributed, and/or sold by Settling Defendant.
- 1.6 CEH and Settling Defendant enter into this Consent Judgment as a full and final settlement of all claims that were raised in the Complaint, or which could have been raised in the Complaint, arising out of the facts or conduct related to Settling Defendant alleged therein. By execution of this Consent Judgment and agreeing to comply with its terms, the Parties do not admit any facts or conclusions of law including, but not limited to, any facts or conclusions of law suggesting or demonstrating any violations of Proposition 65 (California Health & Safety Code sections 25249.5, et seq.) or any other statutory, common law or equitable requirements relating to cadmium in jewelry. Nothing in this Consent Judgment is or shall be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law or violation of law, nor shall compliance with the Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law. Settling Defendant denies the material, factual and legal allegations in CEH's Complaint and expressly denies any wrong doing whatsoever. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive or impair any right, remedy, argument or defense the Parties may have in this or any other pending or future legal proceedings. This Consent Judgment is the product of negotiation and compromise and is accepted by the Parties

solely for purposes of settling, compromising, and resolving issues disputed in this action.

2. **DEFINITIONS**

- 2.1 The term "Cadmium Limit" means a concentration of 0.03 percent (300 parts per million ("ppm")) by weight cadmium in any component of a Covered Product, or in any material used in a Covered Product. The forgoing shall not apply to components of or materials used in Covered Product made from cubic zirconia (sometimes called cubic zirconium, CZ), glass, rhinestones or vitrified ceramics except where the Covered Products in question are subject to California Health & Safety Code section 25214.2(d).
- 2.2 The term "Covered Product" means (a) the following ornaments worn by a person: an anklet, arm cuff, bracelet, charm, brooch, chain, crown, cuff link, watch (excluding the timepiece itself if removable), decorated hair accessory, earring, necklace, pin, ring; similar clothing or shoe ornaments which are detachable; and body piercing jewelry; or (b) any bead, chain, link, pendant, or other component of such an ornament.
 - 2.3 The term "Effective Date" means the date of entry of this Consent Judgment.

3. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

- 3.1 **Reformulation of Covered Products.** Settling Defendant shall comply with the following requirements to achieve expeditious reformulation of the Covered Products to reduce or eliminate exposures to cadmium arising from the Covered Products:
- 3.1.1 **Specification Compliance Date**. To the extent it has not already done so, no more than 30 days after the Effective Date, Settling Defendant shall provide the Cadmium Limit to its vendors of Covered Products and shall instruct each vendor to expeditiously provide Covered Products that do not exceed the Cadmium Limit on a nationwide basis.
- 3.1.2 **Cadmium Limit.** After the Effective Date, Settling Defendant shall not manufacture, purchase, import, sell or offer for sale in California any Covered Product that exceeds the Cadmium Limit.

4. ENFORCEMENT

4.1 **Enforcement Procedures**. Prior to bringing any motion or order to show cause to enforce the terms of this Consent Judgment, a Party seeking to enforce shall provide the violating

Party thirty (30) days advanced written notice of the alleged violation. The Parties shall meet and confer during such thirty (30) day period in an effort to try to reach agreement on an appropriate cure for the alleged violation. After such thirty (30) day period, the Party seeking to enforce may, by new action, motion or order to show cause before the Superior Court of Alameda, seek to enforce the terms and conditions contained in this Consent Judgment. In any such proceeding, CEH may seek whatever fines, costs, penalties attorneys' fees or remedies are provided by law for failure to comply with this Consent Judgment.

5. PAYMENTS

- 5.1 **Payments From Settling Defendant.** Within five (5) days of entry of this Consent Judgment, Settling Defendant shall pay the amount set forth as a settlement payment on Exhibit A, as further specified in Section 5.2 below.
- 5.2 **Allocation of Payments.** The total settlement amount for Settling Defendant shall be paid in four separate checks delivered to the offices of the Lexington Law Group (Attn: Eric Somers), 503 Divisadero Street, San Francisco, California 94117, and made payable and allocated as follows:
- 5.2.1 Settling Defendant shall pay the amount designated on Exhibit A as a Penalty pursuant to Health & Safety Code §25249.7(b,) to be apportioned in accordance with California Health & Safety Code § 25249.12(c) & (d), with 75% of these funds remitted to the State of California's Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA") and the remaining 25% of the penalty remitted to CEH. Accordingly, one penalty payment check for the amount designated on Exhibit A as OEHHA Portion of Penalty shall be made payable to "OEHHA" for the Safe Drinking Water & Toxic Enforcement Fund and be attributed to taxpayer identification number 68-0284486. A second penalty payment check in the amount designated for Settling Defendant on Exhibit A as CEH Portion of Penalty shall made payable to the "Center for Environmental Health" and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-3251981.
- 5.2.2 Settling Defendant shall also separately pay to CEH the amount designated on Exhibit A as Payment In Lieu of Civil Penalty pursuant to Health & Safety Code §25249.7(b), and California Code of Regulations, Title 11, §3202(b). CEH will restrict the use of such funds to

1	t
2	a
3	c
4	(
5	F
6	i
7	t
8	r
9	s
10	i
11	c
12	i
13	ć
14	s
15	Ċ
16	V
17	ŗ
18	e
19	g
20	ŗ
21	V

he following purposes: (a) monitoring compliance with the reformulation requirements of this and other similar Consent Judgments; (b) purchasing and testing jewelry; (c) preparing and compiling the information and documentation necessary to support enforcement efforts under this Consent Judgment; (d) contributions to CEH's Community Environmental Action and Justice Fund; and (e) supporting CEH programs and activities that seek to reduce the public health mpacts or risks of exposure to heavy metals, including cadmium, known to the State of California to cause cancer or reproductive harm. Such programs and activities currently include (i) CEH's nembership on the ASTM toy safety committee and participation in a workgroup that is drafting a standard to limit cadmium and other heavy metals in toys; (ii) CEH's work in support of policy nitiatives at the state and federal level to restrict the use of cadmium and other heavy metals in consumer products; and (iii) CEH's advocacy for a reduction in the use of toxic chemicals, ncluding heavy metals such as cadmium, in electronic devices and standards for the disposal/recycling of such products, including CEH's participation in an EPA-sponsored multistakeholder workgroup seeking to set standards for the design, manufacture, sale, labeling and disposal of televisions and printers. CEH will maintain records that document how these funds were spent. As part of its Community Environmental Action and Justice Fund, CEH will use four percent of such funds to award grants to grassroots environmental justice groups working to educate and protect people from exposures to toxic chemicals. The method of selection of such groups can be found at the CEH web site at www.ceh.org/justicefund. The payment in lieu of penalty check shall be made payable to the "Center For Environmental Health" and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-3251981.

Settling Defendant shall also separately pay to the Lexington Law Group the amount designated for Settling Defendant on Exhibit A as Attorneys' Fees and Costs Reimbursement as reimbursement for a portion of reasonable attorneys' fees and costs. The attorneys' fees and cost reimbursement check shall be made payable to the "Lexington Law Group" and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-3317175.

6. MODIFICATION AND DISPUTE RESOLUTION

6.1 **Modification.** This Consent Judgment may be modified from time to time by

ON RECYCLED PAPE

22

23

24

25

26

27

express written agreement of the Parties with the approval of the Court, or by an order of this Court upon motion and in accordance with law.

6.2 **Notice; Meet and Confer.** Any Party seeking to modify this Consent Judgment shall attempt in good faith to meet and confer with all affected Parties prior to filing a motion to modify the Consent Judgment.

7. CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASE

- 7.1 This Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution between CEH acting in the public interest and Settling Defendant and Settling Defendant's parents, shareholders, divisions, subdivisions, subsidiaries, partners, sister companies and their successors and assigns ("Defendant Releasees"), and all entities other than those entities listed on Exhibit B to whom they distribute or sell Covered Products including, but not limited to, distributors, wholesalers, customers, retailers, franchisees, cooperative members, and licensees ("Downstream Defendant Releasees"), of all claims of violation of Proposition 65 up through the Effective Date based on the failure to warn about exposure to cadmium in the Covered Products manufactured, distributed, or sold by Settling Defendant prior to the Effective Date as set forth in the Notice of Violation.
- 7.2 CEH, for itself releases, waives, and forever discharges any and all claims against Settling Defendant, Defendant Releasees, and Downstream Defendant Releasees arising from any violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory or common law claims that have been or could have been asserted by CEH regarding the failure to warn about exposure to cadmium arising in connection with Covered Products manufactured, distributed or sold by Settling Defendant prior to the Effective Date.
- 7.3 Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment by Settling Defendant and the Defendant Releasees shall constitute compliance with Proposition 65 by Settling Defendant, the Defendant Releasees and the Downstream Defendant Releasees with respect to any alleged failure to warn about cadmium in Covered Products manufactured, distributed or sold by Settling Defendant after the Effective Date.

8. PROVISION OF NOTICE

8.1 When any Party is entitled to receive any notice under this Consent Judgment, the

1	contain	ned or referenced herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the
2	Parties	hereto only to the extent that they are expressly incorporated herein. No supplementation,
3	modifie	cation, waiver, or termination of this Consent Judgment shall be binding unless executed in
4	writing	by the Party to be bound thereby. No waiver of any of the provisions of this Consent
5	Judgm	ent shall be deemed or shall constitute a waiver of any of the other provisions hereof
6	whethe	er or not similar, nor shall such waiver constitute a continuing waiver.
7	12.	RETENTION OF JURISDICTION
8		12.1 This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement, enforce or modify
9	the Co	nsent Judgment.
10	13.	AUTHORITY TO STIPULATE TO CONSENT JUDGMENT
11		13.1 Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorized
12	by the	Party he or she represents to stipulate to this Consent Judgment and to enter into and
13	execute	e the Consent Judgment on behalf of the Party represented and legally to bind that Party.
14	14.	NO EFFECT ON OTHER SETTLEMENTS
15		14.1 Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall preclude CEH from resolving any claim
16	against	any other entity on terms that are different than those contained in this Consent Judgment.
17	15.	EXECUTION IN COUNTERPARTS
18		15.1 The stipulations to this Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by
19	means	of facsimile, which taken together shall be deemed to constitute one document.
20		
21	IT IS S	SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED, DECREED
22		
23	Dated:	
24		Judge of the Superior Court of the State of California
25		
26		
27		
28		

1	IT IS SO STIPULATED:	
2	Dated: February 8, 2012	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH
3	Dated: February & 2012	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH
4		
5		
6		
7		CHARLIZ PIZAMAD
8		Printed Name
9		AssociATE DIRECTOR
10		Title
11	D . 1 . 5 . 1	
12	Dated: February_, 2012	BURLINGTON COAT FACTORY WAREHOUSE CORPORATION
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		Printed Name
18		
19		
20		Title
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER		- 9 - ON COAT FACTORY - CASE NO. RG 10-514803
ı	CONSENT SUDGINERY I - BUKEINGI	DIS COME FACTORE - CASE NO. NG 10-514803

1	IT IS SO STIPULATED:	
2		<u> </u>
3	Dated: February_, 2012 CE	NTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH
4		
5		
6		
7	<u> </u>	
8	Pr	inted Name
9		
10	Tir	tle
11		
12	Dated: February 7, 2012 BU WA	RLINGTON COAT FACTORY AREHOUSE CORPORATION
13		,
14		Q AA(1)
15		390 M
16		BTACY J HAIGNEY
17		inted Name
18		
19		VP-Assit Genil Counse
20	Tit	ue
21		
22		
23 24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER		_
S. H. L.	CONSENT JUDGMENT – BURLINGTON CO	DAT FACTORY - CASE NO. RG 10-514803

1	EXHIBIT A
2	
3	Settling Defendant: Burlington Coat Factory Warehouse Corporation
4	
5	1. Settling Defendant's Settlement Payment and Allocation:
6	Total Settlement Payment \$55,000.00
7	Total Civil Penalty \$ 7,270.00
8	OEHHA Portion of Civil Penalty (75%) \$ 5,452.50
9	CEH Portion of Civil Penalty (25%) \$ 1,817.50
10	Payment in Lieu of Civil Penalty \$10,900.00
11	Attorneys' Fees and Costs \$36,830.00
12	
13	2. Person to Receive Notice for Setting Defendant:
14	Constitution and
15	Stacy Haigney Vice President, Assistant General Counsel
16	Burlington Coat Factory 1400 Broadway, 11th Floor
17	New York, NY 10018
18	With a copy to:
19	Jeffrey Margulies Fulbright & Jaworski L.L.P.
20	555 South Flower Street, 41 st Floor Los Angeles, CA 90071
21	jmargulies@fulbright.com
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER	- 10 -
	CONSENT JUDGMENT – BURLINGTON COAT FACTORY – CASE NO. RG 10-514803

1	EXHIBIT B
2	List of Entities Not Subject to Downstream Release
3	American Accessories, Inc.
4	Any Time Costumes.com, Inc.
5	• Basic
6	• C2:8
7	Cornerstone Apparel, Inc.
8	• FAD, Inc.
9	Fashion Plaza
10	Forum Novelties, Inc.
11	Halloween Express
12	High Accessories, Inc.
13	INVU Accessories
14	• Joppa, Inc.
15	Love Culture Inc. and Love Culture LLC
16	M&P Central, Inc.
17	Metropark USA, Inc.
18	New Ashley Stewart, Inc.
19	NY Style
20	Rubie's Costume Company, Inc.
21	Sears, Roebuck and Co.
22	Spencer Gifts, LLC
23	Toynk Toys, LLC
24	• Urban Brands, Inc.
25	Wal-Mart Stores Inc.
26	Western Fashion, Inc.
27	• Xpose
28	- 1 -
DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER	EXHIBIT B (ENTITIES NOT SUBJECT TO RELEASE)

EXHIBIT B (ENTITIES NOT SUBJECT TO RELEASE)