1				
2				
3				
4				
5				
6				
7				
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA			
9	FOR THE COUNTY OF MARIN			
10				
11				
12	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH, )	Case No. CIV-1102079		
13	a non-profit corporation, )			
14	Plaintiff, )	[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO SPRADLING INTERNATIONAL, INC., SPRADLING INTERNATIONAL, INC WEST, AND PROQUINAL, S.A		
15	VS. )	INC., SPRADLING INTERNATIONAL, INC WEST, AND PROQUINAL, S.A		
16	FABRIC.COM, INC., et al.,			
17	Defendants. )			
18				
19	1. INTRODUCTION			
20		ed into by the Center For Environmental		
21	Health, a California non-profit corporation ("CEH") on the one hand, and Spradling International,			
22	Inc. and Spradling International, Inc West, and Proquinal S.A. ("Defendants") on the other			
23	hand, to settle certain claims asserted by CEH against Defendants as set forth in the operative			
24	complaint in the matter entitled <i>Center for Environmental Health v. Fabric.com, Inc., et al.</i> ,			
25	Marin County Superior Court Case No. CIV-1102079 (the "Action").			
26	1.2 On February 4, 2011, CEH provided a "Notice of Violation of Proposition 65"			
27	to the California Attorney General, the District Attorneys of every county in California, the City			
28				
DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER	-1-			

CONSENT JUDGMENT - SPRADLING, ET AL. - Case No. CIV-1102079

Attorneys of every California city with a population greater than 750,000, and to Defendants regarding the presence of lead and lead compounds (collectively referred to herein as "Lead") in vinyl, oilcloth or imitation leather fabric ("Covered Products").

- 1.3 On April 25, 2011, CEH filed its complaint in the Action to name each Defendant as a party.
- 1.4 Each Defendant is a corporation that employs 10 or more persons, and that manufactures, distributes and/or sells Covered Products in the State of California in the course of doing business.
- 1.5 For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, CEH and Defendants (the "Parties") stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the complaint and personal jurisdiction over Defendants as to the acts alleged in the Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of Marin, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment as a full and final resolution of all claims which were or could have been raised in the Complaint based on the facts alleged therein with respect to Covered Products manufactured, distributed, and/or sold by Defendants.
- 1.6 CEH and Defendants enter into this Consent Judgment as a full and final settlement of all claims that were raised in the Complaint, or which could have been raised in the Complaint, arising out of the facts or conduct related to Defendants alleged therein. By execution of this Consent Judgment and agreeing to comply with its terms, the Parties do not admit any facts or conclusions of law including, but not limited to, any facts or conclusions of law suggesting or demonstrating any violations of Proposition 65 or any other statutory, common law or equitable requirements. Nothing in this Consent Judgment is or shall be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law or violation of law, nor shall compliance with the Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law. Defendants deny the material, factual and legal allegations in CEH's Complaint and expressly deny any wrong doing whatsoever. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive or impair any right, remedy, argument or defense the Parties may have in this or any other pending or future legal

9

6

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

22

21

23

24

25 26

27

28

proceedings. This Consent Judgment is the product of negotiation and compromise and is accepted by the Parties solely for purposes of settling, compromising, and resolving issues disputed in this action.

#### INJUNCTIVE RELIEF 2.

- 2.1 **Reformulation of Covered Products.** As of sixty days after the date of entry of this Consent Judgment (the "Effective Date"), Defendants shall not manufacture, distribute, ship, sell or offer for sale any Covered Product unless such Covered Product contains no more than .03 percent total Lead by weight (300 parts per million ("ppm")), as determined by the sample preparation method used in USEPA Method 3050 or 3050B followed by ICP/MS (Inductively coupled plasma-mass spectrometry) testing method 6020, as described in "SW846" - "Test Methods for Evaluating Solid Waste, Physical/Chemical Methods," Third Edition, Nov. 1986 and updates (http://www.epa.gov/osw/hazard/testmethods/sw846/online/).
- On or before the Effective Date, Defendants shall provide samples of reformulated 2.2 Spradling Proquinal Red Marine Vinyl to CEH for demonstration and confirmation purposes.
- 2.3 On or before the Effective Date, Defendants shall provide CEH with a means sufficient to allow CEH to determine whether Covered Products were manufactured, distributed, shipped, sold or offered for sale by Defendants after the Effective Date.

#### 3. **ENFORCEMENT**

3.1 **Enforcement Procedures**. Prior to bringing any motion or order to show cause to enforce the terms of this Consent Judgment, any Party seeking to enforce shall provide the violating Party thirty (30) days advance written notice of the alleged violation. To the extent CEH alleges that Defendants have violated the requirements of Section 2.1 above, the notice from CEH shall include a description of the Covered Product giving rise to the alleged violation, including any item, lot or SKU numbers on the Covered Product and its packaging, labeling and Any enforcement by CEH of Paragraph 2.1 will be limited to Covered Products purchased by CEH in California. The Parties shall meet and confer during such thirty (30) day period in an effort to try to reach agreement on whether a violation has occurred and an appropriate remedy for the alleged violation. After such thirty (30) day period, the Party seeking to enforce may, after meeting and conferring, by motion or application for an order to show cause before this Court, enforce the terms and conditions contained in this Consent Judgment.

### 4. PAYMENTS

- 4.1 **Payments From Defendants.** Within five (5) days of the entry of this Consent Judgment, Defendants shall pay the total sum of \$40,000 as a settlement payment.
- 4.2 **Allocation of Payments.** The total settlement amount for Defendants shall be paid in three separate checks delivered to the offices of the Lexington Law Group (Attn: Howard Hirsch), 503 Divisadero Street, San Francisco, California 94117, and made payable and allocated as follows:
- 4.2.1 Defendants shall pay the sum of \$5,250 as a penalty pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b), such money to be apportioned by CEH in accordance with Health & Safety Code § 25249.12. The penalty check shall be made payable to the Center For Environmental Health.
- 4.2.2 Defendants shall pay the sum of \$7,900 as payment to CEH in lieu of penalty pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b), and California Code of Regulations, Title 11, § 3202(b). CEH will use such funds to continue its work educating and protecting people from exposures to toxic chemicals, including heavy metals. CEH may also use a portion of such funds to monitor compliance with the reformulation requirements of this and other similar Consent Judgments and to purchase and test Covered Products to confirm compliance with such reformulation requirements. In addition, as part of its *Community Environmental Action and Justice Fund*, CEH will use four percent of such funds to award grants to grassroots environmental justice groups working to educate and protect people from exposures to toxic chemicals. The method of selection of such groups can be found at the CEH web site at <a href="https://www.ceh.org/what-we-do/supporting-communities/the-justice-fund">www.ceh.org/what-we-do/supporting-communities/the-justice-fund</a>. The payment in lieu of penalty check shall be made payable to the Center for Environmental Health.
- 4.2.3 Defendants shall pay the sum of \$26,850 as reimbursement of reasonable attorneys' fees and costs. The attorneys' fees and cost reimbursement check shall be made payable to the Lexington Law Group.

## 5. MODIFICATION AND DISPUTE RESOLUTION

- 5.1 **Modification.** This Consent Judgment may be modified from time to time by express written agreement of the Parties, with the approval of the Court, or by an order of this Court upon motion and in accordance with law.
- 5.2 **Notice; Meet and Confer.** Any Party seeking to modify this Consent Judgment shall, after thirty (30) days written notice to any other Party, attempt in good faith to meet and confer with the other Party prior to filing a motion to modify the Consent Judgment.

# 6. CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASE

- and Defendants and Defendants' parents, shareholders, divisions, subdivisions, subsidiaries, affiliated entities under common or joint ownership, directors, officers, employees, and their successors and assigns ("Defendant Releasees"), and all to whom they directly or indirectly distribute or sell Covered Products including, but not limited to distributors, wholesalers, customers, retailers, franchisees, cooperative members, licensors and licensees (including but not limited to Jo-Ann Stores, Inc.) ("Downstream Defendant Releasees"), of any violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory or common law claims that have been or could have been asserted in the Complaint against Defendants, Defendant Releasees, and Downstream Defendant Releasees, regarding exposure to Lead or the failure to warn about exposure to Lead arising in connection with Covered Products manufactured, distributed, or sold by Defendants prior to the Effective Date as set forth in the "Notice of Violation."
- 6.2 CEH, for itself and acting on behalf of the public interest pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(d), releases, waives, and forever discharges any and all claims against Defendants, Defendant Releasees, and Downstream Defendant Releasees arising from any violation of Proposition 65 that has been or could have been asserted regarding exposure to Lead or the failure to warn about exposure to Lead arising in connection with Covered Products manufactured, distributed or sold by Defendants prior to the Effective Date as set forth in the "Notice of Violation." CEH will dismiss the action without prejudice as to Jo-Ann Stores, Inc. promptly following the Effective Date.

6.3 CEH releases, waives, and forever discharges any and all claims against		
Defendants, Defendant Releasees, and Downstream Defendant Releasees arising from any		
statutory or common law claims, other than Proposition 65 that have been or could have been		
asserted regarding exposure to Lead or the failure to warn about exposure to Lead arising in		
connection with Covered Products manufactured, distributed or sold by Defendants prior to the		
Effective Date.		
6.4 Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment by Defendants and the		
Defendant Releasees shall constitute compliance with Proposition 65 by Defendants, the		
Defendant Releasees and their Downstream Defendant Releasees with respect to any exposure to		
Lead or alleged failure to warn about any exposure to Lead in Covered Products manufactured,		
distributed or sold by Defendants after the Effective Date.		
7. PROVISION OF NOTICE		
7.1 When any Party is entitled to receive any notice under this Consent Judgment,		
the notice shall be sent by certified mail, return receipt requested, and electronic mail as follows:		
7.1.1 <b>Notices to Defendants.</b> The person for Defendants to receive Notices		
pursuant to this Consent Judgment shall be:		
Mark Goldstone President		
Spradling International, Inc. 200 Cahaba Valley Pkwy North		
Pelham, AL 35124 markg@spradlingvinyl.com		
with copies to:		
Steven G. McKinney		
Balch & Bingham LLP 1901 Sixth Ave., North, Ste. 2600		
Birmingham, AL 35203-2628 smckinney@balch.com		
James R. Arnold		
The Arnold Law Practice		
225 Bush Street, 16th Floor San Francisco, CA 94104 LArnold@arnoldln.com		
JArnold@arnoldlp.com		

1	7.1.2 <b>Notices to Plaintiff.</b> The person for CEH to receive Notices pursuant to		
2	this Consent Judgment shall be:		
3 4 5	Howard Hirsch Lexington Law Group 503 Divisadero Street San Francisco, CA 94117 hhirsch@lexlawgroup.com		
6	7.2 Any Party may modify the person and address to whom the notice is to be sent		
7	by sending the other Party notice by certified mail, return receipt requested, and/or other		
8	verifiable form of written communication.		
9	8. COURT APPROVAL		
10	8.1 This Consent Judgment shall become effective on the date of entry, provided		
11	however, that CEH shall prepare and file a Motion for Approval of this Consent Judgment and		
12	Defendants shall support approval of such Motion.		
13	8.2 If this Consent Judgment is not entered by the Court, it shall be of no force or		
14	effect and shall not be introduced into evidence or otherwise used in any proceeding for any		
15	purpose.		
16	9. GOVERNING LAW AND CONSTRUCTION		
17	9.1 The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State		
18	of California.		
19	10. ENTIRE AGREEMENT		
20	This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and		
21	understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter hereof, and any and all prior		
22	discussions, negotiations, commitments, or understandings related thereto, if any, are hereby		
23	merged herein and therein. There are no warranties, representations, or other agreements between		
24	the Parties except as expressly set forth herein. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or		
25	implied, other than those specifically referred to in this Consent Judgment have been made by any		
26	Party hereto. No other agreements not specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or		
27	otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties hereto. Any agreements		
28	specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind		

any of the Parties hereto only to the extent that they are expressly incorporated herein. No supplementation, modification, waiver, or termination of this Consent Judgment shall be binding unless executed in writing by the Party to be bound thereby. No waiver of any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment shall be deemed or shall constitute a waiver of any of the other provisions hereof whether or not similar, nor shall such waiver constitute a continuing waiver.

### 11. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION

11.1 This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement, modify or enforce this Consent Judgment.

# 12. AUTHORITY TO STIPULATE TO CONSENT JUDGMENT

12.1 Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorized by the Party he or she represents to stipulate to this Consent Judgment and to enter into and execute the Consent Judgment on behalf of the Party represented and legally to bind that Party.

### 13. NO EFFECT ON OTHER SETTLEMENTS

- 13.1 Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall preclude CEH from resolving any claim against another entity on terms that are different than those contained in this Consent Judgment.
- 13.2 Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall release, or in any way affect any rights that any Defendant may have against any other party, whether or not that party is a Defendant.

### 14. EXECUTION IN COUNTERPARTS

14.1 The stipulations to this Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by means of facsimile or portable document format (pdf), which taken together shall be deemed to constitute one document.

### 15. PREPARATION OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

The Parties, including their counsel, have participated in the preparation of this Consent Judgment and this Consent Judgment is the result of the joint efforts of the Parties. This Consent Judgment was subject to revision and modification by the Parties and has been accepted and approved in its final form by all Parties and their counsel. Accordingly, any

1	uncertainty or ambiguity existing in this Consent Judgment shall not be interpreted against any		
2	Party as a result of the manner of the preparation of this Consent Judgment. Each Party to this		
3	Consent Judgment agrees that any statute or rule of construction providing that ambiguities are to		
4	be resolved against the drafting Party shall not be employed in the interpretation of this Consent		
5	Judgment and, in this regard, the Parties hereby waive California Civil Code §1654.		
6	IT IS SO STIPULATED:		
7			
8	Dated: 17 , 2012	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH	
9		Ca	
10		Signature	
11			
12		CHARLIE PIZANES	
13		Printed Name	
14			
15		Associate DiRector	
16		Title	
17			
18	Dated:, 2012	SPRADLING INTERNATIONAL, INC.	
19			
20			
21		Signature	
22			
23		Printed Name	
24		Trintou (Marie	
25			
26 27		Title	
28			
DOCUMENT PREPARED		-9-	
ON RECYCLED PAPER			

CONSENT JUDGMENT - SPRADLING, ET Al. - Case No. CIV-1102079

uncertainty or ambiguity existing in this Consent Judgment shall not be interpreted against any Party as a result of the manner of the preparation of this Consent Judgment. Each Party to this Consent Judgment agrees that any statute or rule of construction providing that ambiguities are to be resolved against the drafting Party shall not be employed in the interpretation of this Consent Judgment and, in this regard, the Parties hereby waive California Civil Code §1654. IT IS SO STIPULATED: Dated: , 2012 CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH Signature Printed Name Title SPRADLING INTERNATIONAL, INC. Dated: Feb. 16 ,2012 Signature Mark E. Goldstone Printed Name President Title

DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

1	Dated: Feb. 16 ,2012	SPRADLING INTERNATIONAL, INC
2		WEST
3 4		10000
5		Signature Signature
6		Signature
7		W 3
8		Mark E. Goldstone Printed Name
9		
10		President
11		Title
12		
13	Dated: Feb. 16 , 2012	PROQUINAL, S.A.
14		00005
15		Carly 1. June.
16		Signature
17		
18		Mark E. Goldstone Printed Name
19		
20		
21		Title
22	(PROPOSED) ORDER IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED,	
23		
24	AND DECREED	
25	Dated:	
26	Dated.	JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE
27		STATE OF CALIFORNIA
28		
ON RECYCLED PAPER	-10-	
	CONSENT JUDGMENT – SPRADLING, ET AL. – Case No. CIV-1102079	