1 2 3	WILLIAM VERICK, SBN 140972 FREDRIC EVENSON, SBN 198059 KLAMATH ENVIRONMENTAL LAW CE 424 First Street Eureka, CA 95501	NTER			
4	Telephone: (707) 268-8900 Facsimile: (707) 268-8901				
5	Email: wverick@igc.org Email: ecorights@earthlink.net				
6	DAVID WILLIAMS, SBN 144479 BRIAN ACREE, SBN 202505				
7	370 Grand Avenue, Suite 5 Oakland, CA 94610				
8	Telephone: (510) 271-0826 Facsimile: (510) 271-0829				
9	Email: <u>davidhwilliams@earthlink.net</u> Email: brianacree@earthlink.net				
10	Attorneys for Plaintiff, MATEEL				
11	ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE FOUNDATION				
12	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA				
13	FOR THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO				
14 15					
16	MATEEL ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE FOUNDATION,	Case No. CGC 11-512375			
17	Plaintiff,	CONSENT JUDGMENT			
18	v.				
19					
20	WILBUR CURTIS CO., INC.,				
21	Defendant.				
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28	Mateel v. Wilbur Curtis Co., Inc.,				
	Case No CONSENT JUDGMENT				
l					

3

12

11

1314

1516

17

18

1920

2122

2324

2526

2728

1. INTRODUCTION

- On or about July 7 2011, the Mateel Environmental Justice Foundation ("Mateel") by its attorneys, the Klamath Environmental Law Center ("KELC"), acting on behalf of the public interest, filed a complaint for civil penalties and injunctive relief in the Superior Court for the City and County of San Francisco in the action entitled Mateel Environmental Justice Foundation v. Wilbur Curtis Co., Inc., Case No. CGC 11-512375 (the "Complaint") against Wilbur Curtis Co. Inc. ("Wilbur Curtis" or "Settling Defendant"). The Complaint in the action alleges, among other things, that Wilbur Curtis violated provisions of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, Health and Safety Code Section 25249.5, et seq. ("Proposition 65"). In particular, Mateel alleges that Wilbur Curtis, knowingly and intentionally exposed persons to lead or lead compounds, which under Proposition 65 are chemicals known to the State of California to cause cancer and birth defects of other reproductive harm. The alleged exposure arose through consumer use of beverage dispensing vessels that incorporate components that contain lead and/or lead compounds ("Covered Products"). The term "Covered Products" specifically does not include model numbers RU-150, RU-225, RU-300, RU-600, RU-1000, and MWMGT. Mateel alleges that Settling Defendant marketed Covered Products that utilize leaded brass components without first providing clear and reasonable warnings in compliance with Proposition 65 to such individuals. On or about February 10, 2011, Mateel sent a 60-Day Notice Letter to Wilbur Curtis, the California Attorney General, all California District Attorneys, and all City Attorneys of each California city with a population exceeding 750,000, providing notice of these alleged violations ("60 Day Notice Letter"). A copy of that 60-Day Notice letter is attached to the Complaint in this action.
- **1.2** Wilbur Curtis, is a business that employs ten or more persons and markets within the State of California Covered Products, which are alleged to contain lead and/or lead compounds.
- **1.3** Lead and lead compounds are chemicals known to the State of California to cause cancer, and lead is a chemical known to the State of California to cause reproductive toxicity

10 11

9

1213

15

14

17

16

1819

20

2122

23

2. <u>SETTLEMENT PAYMENT</u>

2.1

242526

27

reduce exposures to and pollution from toxic chemicals. Ecological Rights Foundation and

Mateel v. Wilbur Curtis, Co., Inc.
Case No CGC-11-512375__ -3 -

subject to Proposition 65's warning requirement. Mateel alleges that the Covered Products manufactured, distributed, sold and/or marketed by the Settling Defendant for use in California require Proposition 65 warnings.

containing lead and/or lead compounds that are sold or distributed in the State of California are

pursuant to Health and Safety Code Section 25249.9. Under certain circumstances, products

- 1.4 For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the 60-Day Notice Letter and the Complaint, as well as personal jurisdiction over the Settling Defendant as to the acts alleged in the 60 Day Notice Letter and the Complaint; that venue is proper in the City and County of San Francisco and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment as a full and final settlement and resolution of the allegations made against the Settling Defendant contained in the 60 Day Notice Letter and Complaint and of all claims that were or could have been raised against the Settling Defendant based on the facts alleged therein or arising therefrom.
- 1.5 This Consent Judgment resolves claims that are denied and disputed. The Parties enter into this Consent Judgment pursuant to a full and final settlement of any and all claims between the Parties for the purpose of avoiding prolonged litigation. This Consent Judgment shall not constitute an admission with respect to any allegation made in the 60 Day Notice Letter or Complaint, most of which allegations Settling Defendant denies, nor may this Consent Judgment or compliance with it be used as an admission or evidence of any fact, wrongdoing, misconduct, culpability, violation of law or liability on the part of the Settling Defendant.

The Settling Defendant shall pay a penalty amount of \$10,000 all of which shall

be payable to the State of California, Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment

(OEHHA); as well as an offset payment of \$10,000 to the Ecological Rights Foundation and an

offset payment of \$10,000 to the Californians for Alternatives to Toxics for work informing

California consumers about the hazards of and exposures to toxic chemicals and for work to

Mateel v. Wilbur Curtis, Co., Inc. Case No CGC-11-512375

that advocate for consumers' safety, and for awareness and reduction of toxic exposures.

Californians for Alternatives to Toxics are California non-profit environmental organizations

- **2.2** Wilbur Curtis shall pay \$50,000 to Klamath Environmental Law Center to cover a portion of Mateel's attorneys' fees and costs.
- 2.3 The above described payments shall be forwarded by Settling Defendant to its counsel so that they are received at least 5 days prior to the hearing date scheduled for approval of this Consent Judgment. Defendant's counsel shall notify via email Klamath Environmental Law Center upon receipt of the funds. If the Consent Judgment is not approved within 120 days of the date scheduled for approval, the above described payments shall be returned and the provisions of this Consent judgment shall become null and void. If the Consent Judgment is approved and entered by the Court, on that day Defendant's counsel shall ensure the above described payments are delivered, via UPS or Fedex for next business day delivery, to Klamath Environmental Law Center. The Parties acknowledge and agree that, except as provided in Section 2.2 of this Consent Judgment, each party shall bear its own costs, expenses, consultant and expert fees, and attorneys' fees.

3. ENTRY OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

3.1 The Parties hereby request that the Court promptly enter this Consent Judgment. Upon entry of this Consent Judgment, the Parties waive their respective rights to a hearing or trial on the allegations of the Complaint.

4. MATTERS COVERED BY THIS CONSENT JUDGMENT

4.1 As to alleged exposures to lead or lead compounds from Covered Products, this Consent Judgment provides a full release of liability on behalf of the Public Interest to Wilbur Curtis, (as well as its past, present and future parents, subsidiaries affiliates, predecessors, successors, and assigns "Released Entities"), as to all claims and matters raised in the Notice of Violation. Notwithstanding any other provision of this Consent Judgment, no claim or matter is

4.2 As to alleged exposures to lead or lead compounds from Covered Products, MEJF, by and on behalf of itself and its respective agents, successors and assigns, waives any and all rights to institute any form of legal action, and releases all claims against Wilbur Curtis and the Released Entities, and all of their respective parents, subsidiaries or affiliates, and all of their suppliers, customers, distributors, wholesalers, retailers, or any other person in the course of doing business, and the successors and assigns of any of them, who may use, maintain, distribute or sell the Covered Products, whether, under Proposition 65 or otherwise, arising out of or resulting from, or related directly or indirectly to, in whole or in part, the Covered Products, including but not limited to any exposure to, or failure to warn with respect to, the Covered Products (referred to collectively in this paragraph as the "Claims"). In furtherance of the foregoing, as to alleged exposures to Covered Products, MEJF hereby waives any and all rights and benefits which it now has, or in the future may have, conferred upon it with respect to the Claims by virtue of the provisions of section 1542 of the California Civil Code, which provides as follows:

A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS WHICH THE CREDITOR DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE, WHICH IF KNOWN BY HIM, MUST HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR.

4.3 MEJF understands and acknowledges that the significance and consequence of this waiver of California Civil Code section 1542 is that even if MEJF suffers future damages arising out of or resulting from, or related directly or indirectly to, in whole or in part, the Covered Products, including but not limited to any exposure to, or failure to warn with respect to exposure to, lead or lead compounds from Covered Products, MEJF will not be able to make any claim for those damages against Wilbur Curtis or the Released Entities. Furthermore, MEJF acknowledges that it intends these consequences for any such Claims as may exist as of the date of this release but which MEJF does not know exist, and which, if known, would materially Mateel v. Wilbur Curtis, Co., Inc. - 5 -

Case No CGC-11-512375

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21

22 23

24 25

26

27

28

affect their decision to enter into this Consent Judgment, regardless of whether their lack of knowledge is the result of ignorance, oversight, error, negligence, or any other cause.

4.4 Notwithstanding the above, to the extent any Downstream Entity, after one year has passed from the entry of this Consent Judgment, sells or distributes beverages or other foods from any Covered Product that does not meet the injunctive relief requirement of paragraph 7 and fails to provide an otherwise clear and reasonable Proposition 65 warning, then that Downstream Entity shall not benefit from any release or other protection with respect to the sale and use of the Dispenser or Covered Product that would otherwise be provided by this Consent Judgment. To the extent that a warning is not provided and the Downstream Entity can establish that no warning is required pursuant to the provisions of the statute or this Consent Judgment, the release and protection related to the sale and use of the identified Dispenser shall remain in full force and effect.

5. ENFORCEMENT OF JUDGMENT

5.1 The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be enforced exclusively by the Parties hereto.

6. MODIFICATION OF JUDGMENT

6.1 This Consent Judgment may be modified only upon written agreement of the Parties and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court, or upon motion of any party as provided by law and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court.

7. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

Wilbur Curtis agrees that after June 1, 2012, Wilbur Curtis will not knowingly ship for sale or use in California Covered Products that use leaded brass components or which otherwise cause a detectable amount of lead to be added to the dispensed beverage, including those models specifically identified in the 60 Day Notice letter attached to the Complaint in this action.

Mateel v. Wilbur Curtis, Co., Inc. Case No CGC-11-512375

1	8.	NOT	<u> ICE</u>
2		8.1	Wh
3	Judg	gment, t	he no
4	ove	night de	eliver
5			(a)

8.1 When any party is entitled to receive any notice or report under this Consent Judgment, the notice report shall be made in writing and sent via U.S. Mail or other manner of overnight delivery to the following:

(a) for Mateel: William Verick, Esq., Klamath Environmental Justice Foundation, 424 First Street, Eureka, CA 95501;

(b) for Wilbur Curtis: Joe Laws, Chief Operating Officer, Wilbur Curtis Co. Inc., 6913 Acco Street, Montebello, CA 90640; and,

Todd Hunt, Esq., Seyfarth Shaw LLP, 2029 Century Park East, Suite 3500, Los Angeles, CA 90067.

9. <u>AUTHORITY TO STIPULATE</u>

9.1 Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorized by the party he or she represents to enter into this Consent Judgment and to execute it on behalf of the party represented and legally to bind that party.

10. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION

10.1 This Court shall retain jurisdiction to implement the Consent Judgment.

11. ENTIRE AGREEMENT

11.1 This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter hereof, and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments and understandings related hereto. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those contained herein have been made by any party hereto. No other agreements not specifically referred to herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties.

1	12. GOVERNING LAW
2	12.1 The validity, construction and performance of this Consent Judgment shall be
3	governed by the laws of the State of California, without reference to any conflicts of law
4	provisions of California law.
5	
6	13. COMPLIANCE WITH HEALTH & SAFETY CODE SECTION 25249.7(f)
7	13.1 Mateel agrees to comply with Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(f)'s reporting form
8	and approval requirements and as implemented by various regulations.
9	
10	14. <u>EXECUTION IN COUNTERPARTS</u>
11	14.1 This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and/or by facsimile,
12	which taken together shall be deemed to constitute one original document.
13	
14	//
15	//
16	//
17	//
18	//
19	//
20	//
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	Metael v. Wilham Cautie Co. Inc.
	Mateel v. Wilbur Curtis, Co., Inc. Case No CGC-11-512375 8 -

CONSENT JUDGMENT

1	15. <u>COURT APPROVAL</u>
2	15.1 If this Consent Judgment is not approved by the Court, it shall be of no force or
3	effect, and cannot be used in any proceeding for any purpose.
4	
5	IT IS SO STIPULATED:
6 7	Dated: MATEEL ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE FOUNDATION
8	gillamVITIL
9	William Verick CEO Mateel Environmental Justice Foundation, Klamath Environmental Law Center
10	Klamatii Environmentai Law Center
l 1 l 2	Dated: WILBUR CURTIS CO., INC.,
13	
14	Joe Laws COO, Wilbur Curtis Co. Inc.
15	IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED:
l6 l7	
18	Dated: JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	•
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	Mateel v. Wilbur Curtis, Co., Inc.

CONSENT JUDGMENT