1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
9	FOR THE COUNTY OF MARIN		
10			
11			
12	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH, a non-profit corporation,	Case No. CIV-1102079	
13	Plaintiff,	[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT	
14)	AS TO OSGOOD TEXTILE COMPANY,	
15	VS.	INC.	
16	FABRIC.COM, INC., et al., Defendants.		
17	Defendants.		
18			
19	1. INTRODUCTION		
20	1.1 This Consent Judgment is entered into by the Center For Environmental Health, a		
21	California non-profit corporation (" CEH ") on the one hand, and Osgood Textile Company, Inc.		
22	(" Defendant " or " Osgood ") on the other hand, to settle certain claims asserted by CEH against		
23	Defendant as set forth in the operative complaint in the matter entitled <i>Center for Environmental</i>		
24	Health v. Fabric.com, Inc., et al., Marin County Superior Court Case No. CIV-1102079 (the		
25	"Action").		
26	1.2 On March 25, 2011, CEH provided a "Notice of Violation of Proposition 65" to		
27	the California Attorney General, the District Attorneys of every county in California, the City		
28	<u>-</u>		
DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER	-1-		

CONSENT JUDGMENT - OSGOOD TEXTILE COMPANY, INC. - Case No. CIV-1102079

Attorneys of every California city with a population greater than 750,000, and to Defendant regarding the presence of lead and lead compounds (collectively referred to as "**Lead**") in vinyl, oilcloth or imitation leather fabric ("**Covered Products**").

- 1.3 On June 23, 2011, CEH amended the operative complaint in the Action to name Defendant as a party.
- 1.4 Defendant is a corporation that employs 10 or more persons, and that manufactures, distributes and/or sells Covered Products in the State of California in the course of doing business.
- 1.5 For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, CEH and Defendant (the "Parties") stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the Complaint and personal jurisdiction over Defendant as to the acts alleged in the Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of Marin, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment as a full and final resolution of all claims which were or could have been raised in the Complaint based on the facts alleged with respect to Covered Products manufactured, distributed, and/or sold by Defendant.
- 1.6 CEH and Defendant enter into this Consent Judgment as a full and final settlement of all claims that were raised in the Complaint, or which could have been raised in the Complaint, arising out of the facts or conduct related to Defendant alleged in such Complaint. By execution of this Consent Judgment and agreeing to comply with its terms, the Parties do not admit any facts or conclusions of law including, but not limited to, any facts or conclusions of law suggesting or demonstrating any violations of Proposition 65 or any other statutory, common law or equitable requirements. Nothing in this Consent Judgment is or shall be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law or violation of law, nor shall compliance with the Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law. Defendant denies the material, factual and legal allegations in CEH's Complaint and expressly denies any wrong doing whatsoever. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive or impair any right, remedy, argument or defense the Parties may have in this or any other pending or future legal

DOCUMENT PREPARED

ON RECYCLED PAPER

proceedings. This Consent Judgment is the product of negotiation and compromise and is entered into and accepted by the Parties solely for purposes of settling, compromising, and resolving issues disputed in the Action.

- 1.7 CEH acknowledges that Osgood is principally a retailer of the Covered Products, and not a manufacturer of such products. CEH has also named as Defendants in the Action two of Osgood's suppliers of the Covered Products. CEH has reached a settlement with Nassimi, LLC as to all products manufactured by Nassimi, LLC, including Nassimi products sold by Osgood, and the settlement payment by Nassimi included a payment for the products later sold by Osgood, and therefore the settlement amount described below reflects a credit in favor of Osgood for amounts to be paid by Nassimi.
- 1.8 CEH represents and warrants that, as of the date of its execution of this Consent Judgment, other than the violations alleged in the Notice as to the Covered Products, it: (a) has no current knowledge or information based upon any investigation or otherwise that Osgood is currently manufacturing, distributing, shipping, selling or offering for sale in California any product(s) that CEH believes is causing a violation of Proposition 65; and (b) that it has no present intention of filing suit or providing a 60-Day Notice to Defendant with respect to any other listed chemical under Proposition 65 with respect to the Covered Products.

2. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

2.1 **Reformulation of Covered Products.** As of the date of entry of this Consent Judgment (the "**Effective Date**"), Defendant shall not manufacture, purchase, or import any Covered Product unless such Covered Product contains no more than 0.03 percent total Lead by weight (300 parts per million ("**ppm**")), as determined by the sample preparation method used in USEPA Method 3050 or 3050B followed by ICP/MS (Inductively coupled plasmamass spectrometry) testing method 6020, as described in "SW846" – "Test Methods for Evaluating Solid Waste, Physical/Chemical Methods," Third Edition, Nov. 1986 and updates (http://www.epa.gov/osw/hazard/testmethods/sw846/online/). As of 120 days after the date of entry of this Consent Judgment, Defendant shall not distribute, ship, sell or offer for sale any Covered Product unless such Covered Product contains no more than .03 percent total Lead by

-3-

weight (300 ppm), as determined by the sample preparation method used in USEPA Method 3050 or 3050B followed by ICP/MS (Inductively coupled plasma-mass spectrometry) testing method 6020, as described in "SW846" – "Test Methods for Evaluating Solid Waste, Physical/Chemical Methods," Third Edition, Nov. 1986 and updates.

2.2 **Certifications from Suppliers.** As of the Effective Date, Defendant shall obtain written certifications from its suppliers of Covered Products covering each shipment confirming that each Covered Product included in such shipment complies with the Lead Limits established by Section 2.1.

3. ENFORCEMENT

2.1 **Enforcement Procedures**. Prior to bringing any motion or order to show cause to enforce the terms of this Consent Judgment, any Party seeking to enforce shall provide the violating Party thirty (30) days advance written notice of the alleged violation. To the extent CEH alleges that Defendant has violated the requirements of Section 2.1 above, the notice from CEH shall include a description of the Covered Product giving rise to the alleged violation, including any item, lot or SKU numbers on the Covered Product and its packaging, labeling and receipt. The Parties shall meet and confer during such thirty (30) day period in an effort to try to reach agreement on whether a violation has occurred and an appropriate remedy for the alleged violation. After such thirty (30) day period, the Party seeking to enforce may, after meeting and conferring, by motion or application for an order to show cause before this Court, enforce the terms and conditions contained in this Consent Judgment.

4. PAYMENTS

- 4.1 **Payments From Defendant.** Within ten (10) days of the entry of this Consent Judgment, Defendant shall pay the total sum of \$15,000 as a settlement payment.
- 4.2 **Allocation of Payments.** The total settlement amount for Defendant shall be paid in three separate checks delivered to the offices of the Lexington Law Group (Attn: Howard Hirsch), 503 Divisadero Street, San Francisco, California 94117, and made payable and allocated as follows:
 - 4.2.1 Defendant shall pay the sum of \$1,930 as a penalty pursuant to Health &

Safety Code § 25249.7(b), such money to be apportioned by CEH in accordance with Health & Safety Code § 25249.12. The penalty check shall be made payable to the Center For Environmental Health.

- 4.2.2 Defendant shall pay the sum of \$2,900 as payment to CEH in lieu of penalty pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b), and California Code of Regulations, Title 11, § 3202(b). CEH will use such funds to continue its work educating and protecting people from exposures to toxic chemicals, including heavy metals. CEH may also use a portion of such funds to monitor compliance with the reformulation requirements of this and other similar Consent Judgments and to purchase and test Covered Products to confirm compliance with such reformulation requirements. In addition, as part of its *Community Environmental Action and Justice Fund*, CEH will use four percent of such funds to award grants to grassroots environmental justice groups working to educate and protect people from exposures to toxic chemicals. The method of selection of such groups can be found at the CEH web site at www.ceh.org/justicefund. The payment in lieu of penalty check shall be made payable to the Center for Environmental Health.
- 4.2.3 Defendant shall pay the sum of \$10,170 as reimbursement of reasonable attorneys' fees and costs. The attorneys' fees and cost reimbursement check shall be made payable to the Lexington Law Group.

5. MODIFICATION AND DISPUTE RESOLUTION

- 5.1 **Modification.** This Consent Judgment may be modified from time-to-time by express written agreement of the Parties, with the approval of the Court, or by an order of this Court upon motion and in accordance with law.
- 5.2 **Notice; Meet and Confer.** Any Party seeking to modify this Consent Judgment shall, after thirty (30) days written notice to any other Party, attempt in good faith to meet and confer with the other Party prior to filing a motion to modify the Consent Judgment.

6. CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASE

6.1 This Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution between CEH and

Defendant and Defendant's parents, shareholders, divisions, subdivisions, subsidiaries, affiliated entities under common or joint ownership, directors, officers, employees, and their successors and assigns ("Defendant Releasees"), and all to whom they directly or indirectly distribute or sell Covered Products including, but not limited to distributors, wholesalers, customers, retailers, franchisees, cooperative members, licensors and licensees ("Downstream Defendant Releasees"), of any violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory or common law claims that have been or could have been asserted against Defendant, Defendant Releasees, and/or Downstream Defendant Releasees, based on (a) any exposure to Lead in any Covered Product, or (b) the failure to warn about exposure to Lead arising in connection with any Covered Product manufactured, distributed, or sold by Defendant prior to the Effective Date as set forth in the "Notice of Violation" (collectively the "CEH Claims") and CEH expressly releases, waives and forever discharges the Defendant, the Defendant Releasees and the Downstream Defendant Releasees from with respect to all of the CEH Claims.

- 6.2 CEH, for itself and acting in the public interest pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(d), releases, waives, and forever discharges any and all claims against Defendant, Defendant Releasees, and Downstream Defendant Releasees through the Effective Date arising from any violation(s) of Proposition 65 that have been or could have been asserted in the public interest regarding any exposure to Lead, including but not limited to violations alleging a failure to warn, arising out of, relating to, or in connection with any Covered Product manufactured, distributed or sold by Defendant prior to the Effective Date as set forth in the "Notice of Violation."
- 6.3 Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment by Defendant constitutes compliance with Proposition 65 by Defendant, the Defendant Releasees and their Downstream Defendant Releasees with respect to any alleged failure to warn about any (a) exposures to Lead in any Covered Product, and (b) alleged failure to warn about any exposure to Lead in any Covered Product manufactured, distributed or sold by Defendant after the Effective Date, as set forth in the Notice of Violation.

-7-

prevailing Party's reasonable attorneys' fees and costs unless the unsuccessful Party has acted with substantial justification. For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term substantial justification shall carry the same meaning as used in the California Civil Discovery Act in force as of the date of this Consent Judgment.

- 9.2 Notwithstanding Section 9.1, a Party who prevails in a contested enforcement action brought pursuant to this Consent Judgment may seek an award of attorneys' fees pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure Section 1021.5 against a Party that acted with substantial justification. The Party seeking such an award shall bear the burden of meeting all of the elements of Section 1021.5, and this provision shall not be construed as altering any procedural or substantive requirements for obtaining such an award.
- 9.2 Nothing in this Section 9 shall preclude a party from seeking an award of sanctions pursuant to law.

10. GOVERNING LAW AND CONSTRUCTION

10.1 The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California.

11. ENTIRE AGREEMENT

11.1 This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the Parties with respect to its subject matter, and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments, or understandings related to it, if any, are merged into this Consent Judgment. There are no warranties, representations, or other agreements between the Parties except as expressly set forth in this Consent Judgment. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those specifically referred to in this Consent Judgment have been made by any Party. No other agreements not specifically contained or referenced in this Consent Judgment, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties. Any agreements specifically contained or referenced in this Consent Judgment, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties only to the extent that they are expressly incorporated in this Consent Judgment. No supplementation, modification, waiver, or termination of this Consent Judgment shall be binding unless executed in writing by the Party to be bound. No waiver of any

of the provisions of this Consent Judgment shall be deemed or shall constitute a waiver of any of the other provisions of this Consent Judgment whether or not similar, nor shall such waiver constitute a continuing waiver.

12. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION

12.1 This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement, modify or enforce this Consent Judgment.

13. AUTHORITY TO STIPULATE TO CONSENT JUDGMENT

13.1 Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorized by the Party he or she represents to stipulate to this Consent Judgment and to enter into and execute the Consent Judgment on behalf of the Party represented and legally to bind that Party.

14. NO EFFECT ON OTHER SETTLEMENTS

- 14.1 Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall preclude CEH from resolving any claim against another entity on terms that are different than those contained in this Consent Judgment.
- 14.2 Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall release, or in any way affect any rights that any Defendant may have against any other person or party to the Action, whether or not that party is a Defendant, or has already entered into a settlement and/or Consent Judgment with CEH.

15. EXECUTION IN COUNTERPARTS

15.1 The stipulations to this Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and transmitted by facsimile or electronic mail of an electronic file in portable document format (PDF) to counsel for each Party, which taken together shall be deemed to constitute one document.

16. PREPARATION OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

16.1 The Parties, including their counsel, have participated in the preparation of this Consent Judgment and it is the result of the joint efforts of the Parties. This Consent Judgment was subject to revision and modification by the Parties and has been accepted and approved in its final form by all Parties and their counsel. Accordingly, any uncertainty or ambiguity existing in this Consent Judgment shall not be interpreted against any Party as a result of the manner of the preparation of this Consent Judgment. Each Party to this Consent Judgment agrees that any

1	statute or rule of construction providing that ambiguities are to be resolved against the drafting	
2	Party shall not be employed in the interpretation of this Consent Judgment and, in this regard, the	
3	Parties waive California Civil Code Section 1654.	
4		
5	IT IS SO STIPULATED:	
6	7	
7	Dated: $\frac{8}{2}$ /12, 2012	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH
8		
9		Miloel
10		Signature
11		
12		MCHABZ GROOM
13	. 2	Printed Name
14	2	No Hall D. A.
15		Title
16		
17		
18	Dated:, 2012	OSGOOD TEXTILE COMPANY, INC.
19		
20		By:
21		Mayer Kahan Its: Secretary
22		,
23		
24	IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED	
25	III.D DECKEED	
26	Dated:	
27		JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
28		
ON RECYCLED PAPER		-10-

CONSENT JUDGMENT - OSGOOD TEXTILE COMPANY, INC. - Case No. CIV-1102079

	1	statute or rule of construction providing that ambiguities are to be resolved against the drafting		
	2	Party shall not be employed in the interpretation of this Consent Judgment and, in this regard, the		
3	3	Parties waive California Civil Code Section 1654.		
	4			
	5	IT IS SO STIPULATED:		
	6			
	7	Dated:, 2012	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH	
	8			
	9			
	10		Signature	
	11			
	12		Printed Name	
	13		Finited Name	
	14	2 9		
	15		Title	
	16			
	17			
	18	Dated: <u></u> 8/2/, 2012	OSGOOD TEXTILE COMPANY, INC.	
	19	-		
	20		By: had	
	21		Mayer Kahan Its: Secretary	
	22			
	23			
	24	IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED		
	25			
,	26	Dated:	JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE	
	27		STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
DOCUMENT PR	28 EPARED		-10-	
ON RECYCLED	PAPER		10	

CONSENT JUDGMENT - OSGOOD TEXTILE COMPANY, INC. - Case No. CIV-1102079

ci i j gs