1	Al .		
1 2	Christopher M. Martin, State Bar No. 186021 Josh Voorhees, State Bar No. 241436 Trave C. Beiley, State Bar No. 277424		
	Troy C. Bailey, State Bar No. 277424 THE CHANLER GROUP 2560 Ninth Street Parker Plaza, Suite 214		
3			
4	Berkeley, CA 94710 Telephone: (510) 848-8880		
5	Facsimile: (510) 848-8118		
6	Attorneys for Plaintiff ANTHONY E. HELD, Ph.D., P.E.		
7			
8		DATE OF THE OF THE POPULA	
9	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
10	FOR THE COUNTY OF MARIN		
11	UNLIMITED CIVIL JURISDICTION		
12			
13	ANTHONY E. HELD, Ph.D., P.E.,	Case No. CIV1201222	
14	Plaintiff,		
15	y.	[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT	
16	MAGGY LONDON INTERNATIONAL, LTD.; and DOES 1 through 150, inclusive,		
17	Defendants.		
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26		4	
27			
28			
	[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT		
H	II.		

1. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>

1.1 The Parties

This Consent Judgment is entered into by and between Plaintiff Anthony E. Held, Ph.D., P.E. ("Dr. Held" or "Plaintiff") and Maggy London International, Ltd. ("Maggy London" or "Defendant"), with Dr. Held and Maggy London collectively referred to as the "Parties."

1.2 Plaintiff

Dr. Held is an individual residing in the state of California who seeks to promote awareness of exposure to toxic chemicals and improve human health by reducing or eliminating hazardous substances contained in consumer products.

1.3 Defendant

Maggy London employs 10 or more persons and is a person in the course of doing business for purposes of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 et seq. (Proposition 65).

1.4 General Allegations

Dr. Held alleges that Maggy London has manufactured, distributed, sold, and/or offered for sale in the state of California belts containing the phthalate chemical di(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate ("DEHP") without the requisite Proposition 65 warnings. DEHP is listed pursuant to Proposition 65 as a chemical known to cause birth defects and other reproductive harm.

1.5 Product Description

The products that are covered by this Consent Judgment are defined as follows: belts containing DEHP, including, but not limited to, *London Times Dress with Belt, LT7740 (#7 21547 29297 9)*, manufactured, distributed, sold and/or offered for sale in California by Maggy London, hereinafter the "Products."

1.6 Notice of Violation

On or about April 8, 2011, Dr. Held served Maggy London and various public enforcement agencies with a document entitled "60-Day Notice of Violation" ("Notice") that provided the recipients with notice of alleged violations of Proposition 65 by Maggy London for

1 2 3

failing to warn its customers and consumers in California that the Products it sold exposed users to DEHP. To the best of the Parties' knowledge, no public enforcer has commenced and is diligently prosecuting the allegations set forth in the Notice.

1.7 Complaint

On March 13, 2012, Dr. Held, who was and is acting in the interest of the general public in California, filed a complaint (hereinafter "Complaint" or "Action") in the Superior Court for the County of Marin against Maggy London International, LTD. And Does 1 through 150, alleging violations of Proposition 65 based on the alleged exposures to DEHP contained in the Products.

1.8 No Admission

Maggy London denies the material factual and legal allegations contained in Moore's Notice and Complaint, and maintains that all Products sold and distributed in California have been and are in compliance with all laws. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission by Maggy London of any fact, finding, issue of law, or violation of law; nor shall compliance with this Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by Maggy London of any fact, finding, conclusion, issue of law, or violation of law, such being specifically denied by Maggy London. However, this section shall not diminish or otherwise affect Maggy London's obligations, responsibilities, and duties under this Consent Judgment.

1.9 Consent to Jurisdiction

For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over Maggy London as to the allegations contained in the Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of Marin, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter and enforce the provisions of this Consent Judgment.

2. <u>INJUNCTIVE RELIEF: REFORMULATION</u>

Commencing on March 31, 2012 (the "Effective Date"), Maggy London shall manufacture, distribute, sell and/or offer for sale in California only Products that are "Phthalate Free." For purposes of this Consent Judgment, "Phthalate Free" Products shall mean Products

containing less than or equal to 1,000 parts per million (0.1%) of DEHP when analyzed pursuant to Environmental Protection Agency testing methodologies 3580A and 8270C.

3. MONETARY PAYMENTS

3.1 Civil Penalty Payment Pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b)

Maggy London shall make a payment of \$8,000 in combined penalty payments and credits in civil penalties. For its cooperation in the settlement process and its commitment to reformulate the Products to be Phthalate Free pursuant to Section 2 above, Dr. Held shall provide Maggy London with a penalty credit of \$3,000. Thereafter, the remaining amount of \$5,000 will be paid by Maggy London and be apportioned in accordance with Health & Safety Code section 25249.12, subdivisions (c)(1) and (d), with 75% of these funds earmarked for the state of California's Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA") and the remaining 25% of these penalty monies earmarked for Dr. Held.

3.2 Reimbursement of Dr. Held's Fees and Costs

The Parties acknowledge that Dr. Held and his counsel offered to resolve this dispute without reaching terms on the amount of fees and costs to be reimbursed to them, thereby leaving this fee issue to be resolved after the material terms of the agreement had been settled. Maggy London then expressed a desire to resolve the fee and cost issue shortly after the other settlement terms had been finalized. The Parties then attempted to (and did) reach an accord on the compensation due to Dr. Held and his counsel under general contract principles and the private attorney general doctrine codified at California Code of Civil Procedure section 1021.5, for all work performed in this matter, except fees that may be incurred on appeal. Under these legal principles, Maggy London shall pay the amount of \$32,000 for fees and costs incurred investigating, litigating and enforcing this matter, including the fees and costs incurred (and yet to be incurred) negotiating, drafting, and obtaining the Court's approval of this Consent Judgment in the public interest.

3.3 Payment Procedures

3.3.1 Funds Held In Trust: All payments required by Sections 3.1 and 3.2 shall be delivered on or before March 31, 2012 to either The Chanler Group or the attorney of record for Maggy London and shall be held in trust pending the Court's approval of this Consent Judgment.

Payments delivered to The Chanler Group shall be made payable, as follows:

- (i) One check made payable to "The Chanler Group in Trust for OEHHA" in the amount of \$3,750;
- (ii) One check made payable to "The Chanler Group in Trust for Anthony E. Held" in the amount of \$1,250; and
- (iii) One check made payable to "The Chanler Group in Trust" in the amount of \$32,000.

Payments delivered to Baker & McKenzie LLP shall be made payable, as follows:

- (i) One check made payable to Baker & McKenzie LLP in Trust for OEHHA" in the amount of \$3,750;
- (ii) One check made payable to "Baker & McKenzie LLP in Trust for Anthony E. Held in the amount of \$1,250; and
- (iii) One check made payable to "Baker & McKenzie LLP in Trust for The Chanler Group" in the amount of \$32,000.

If Maggy London elects to deliver payments to its attorney of record, such attorney of record shall: (a) confirm in writing within five days of receipt that the funds have been deposited in a trust account; and (b) within two days of the date of the hearing on which the Court approves the Consent Judgment, deliver the payment to The Chanler Group in three separate checks, as follows:

- (i) One check made payable to "The Chanler Group in Trust for OEHHA" in the amount of \$3,750;
- (ii) One check made payable to "The Chanler Group in Trust for Anthony E. Held" in the amount of \$1,250; and

[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGEMENT

bar to all actions, causes of action, obligations, costs, expenses, attorneys' fees, damages, losses, claims, liabilities and demands of plaintiff of any nature, character or kind, whether known or unknown, suspected or unsuspected, limited to and arising out of alleged or actual exposures to DEHP in the Products manufactured, distributed or sold by Maggy London.

4.3 Maggy London's Release of Plaintiff

Maggy London on behalf of itself, its past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors, and/or assignees, hereby waives any and all claims against Dr. Held, his attorneys and other representatives, for any and all actions taken or statements made (or those that could have been taken or made) by Dr. Held and his attorneys and other representatives, whether in the course of investigating claims or otherwise seeking to enforce Proposition 65 against it in this matter with respect to the Products.

5. **SEVERABILITY**

If, subsequent to court approval of this Consent Judgment, any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment are held by a court to be unenforceable, the validity of the enforceable provisions remaining shall not be adversely affected unless the Court finds that any unenforceable provision is not severable from the remainder of the Consent Judgment.

6. COURT APPROVAL

This Consent Judgment is not effective until it is approved and entered by the Court and shall be null and void if, for any reason, it is not approved and entered by the Court within one year after it has been fully executed by all Parties, in which event any monies that have been provided to Dr. Held or his counsel pursuant to Section 3 above, shall be refunded withing fifteen (15) days after receiving written demand from Maggy London for return of such funds.

7. GOVERNING LAW

The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the state of California and apply within the state of California. In the event that Proposition 65 is repealed, preempted, or is otherwise rendered inapplicable by reason of law generally, or as to DEHP and/or the Products, then Maggy London shall provide written notice to Dr. Held of any asserted change in the law, and shall have no further obligations pursuant to this Consent Judgment with

respect to, and to the extent that, the Products are so affected.

8. NOTICES

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

When any Party is entitled to receive any notice under this Consent Judgment, the notice shall be in writing and personally delivered or sent by: (i) first-class, (registered or certified mail) return receipt requested; or (ii) overnight courier on any Party by the other Party at the following addresses:

To Maggy London:

Larry Lefkowitz, President Maggy London International, Ltd. 530 7th Ave, 16th Floor New York, NY 10018

With copy to:

Sigurd Sorenson Baker & McKenzie LLP 1114 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10036

To Dr. Held:

The Chanler Group Attn: Proposition 65 Coordinator 2560 Ninth Street Parker Plaza, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710-2565

Any Party may modify the person and address to whom the notice is to be sent by sending each other Party notice by certified mail and/or other verifiable form of written communication.

9. <u>COMPLIANCE WITH HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 25249.7(F)</u>

Dr. Held agrees to comply with the reporting form requirements referenced, in California Health & Safety Code §25249.7(f) and to file a motion for approval of this Consent Judgment.

10. MODIFICATION

This Consent Judgment may be modified only: (1) by written agreement of the parties and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court thereon; or (2) upon a successful motion of any party and entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the court.

8

11. ADDITIONAL POST-EXECUTION ACTIVITIES

The Parties agree to mutually employ their, and their counsel's, reasonable best efforts to support the entry of this agreement as a Consent Judgment and obtain approval of the Consent Judgment by the Court in a timely manner. The Parties acknowledge that, pursuant to California Health and Safety Code section 25249.7, a noticed motion is required to obtain judicial approval of this Consent Judgment, which Dr. Held shall file, and which Maggy London shall not oppose. If any third party objection to the noticed motion is filed, Dr. Held and Maggy London shall work together to file a joint reply and appear at any hearing before the Court. If the Superior Court does not approve the motion to approve this Consent Judgment, and the Parties choose not to pursue a modified Consent Judgment within 30 days of said denial, or in the event that the Superior Court approves this Consent Judgment and any person successfully appeals that approval, all payments made pursuant to this Consent Judgment will be returned to Maggy London.

12. ENTIRE AGREEMENT

This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter hereof, and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments, and understandings related hereto. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those contained herein have been made by any party hereto. No other agreements not specifically referred to herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the parties.

13. COUNTERPARTS, FACSIMILE SIGNATURES

This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by facsimile or portable document format (.pdf), each of which shall be deemed an original, and all of which, when taken together, shall constitute one and the same document. A facsimile or .pdf signature shall be as valid as the original.

- 1		
1	14. <u>AUTHORIZATION</u>	
2	The undersigned are authorized to exec	cute this Consent Judgment and have read,
3	understood, and agree to all of the terms and condi-	tions of this Consent Judgment.
4		
5	AGREED TO:	AGREED TO:
6	APPROVED Date: By Anthony Held at 8:27 am, Mar 29, 2012	Date:
7		Duto.
8	By: Unchony & Kell	By:
9	Plaintiff Anthony E. Held, Ph.D., P.E.	Bob Burg, President Maggy London International, Ltd.
10		,
1		
12		
13		
14		
15	P	
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26 27		
28		
40		

1	14. <u>AUTHORIZATION</u>		
2	The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment and have read,		
3	understood, and agree to all of the terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment.		
4			
5	A CONTROL TO	AGREED TO:	
6	AGREED TO:		
7	Date:	Date:	
8	Dev.	D. C.	
9	By: Plaintiff Anthony E. Held, Ph.D., P.E.	By: Larry Lefkowitz, President Maggy London International, Ltd.	
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGEMENT