1 2 3	WILLIAM F. WRAITH, SBN 185927 WRAITH LAW 16485 Laguna Canyon Rd., Suite 250 Irvine, California 92618 Tel: (949) 251-9977 Fax: (949) 251-9978		
4 5	Attorneys for Plaintiff Environmental Research Center		
6 7 8 9	YOUNGSOO LEE, SBN 247383 LEE ANAV CHUNG WHITE & KIM LLP 520 S. Grand Ave, Suite 1070 Los Angeles, CA 90071 Tel: (213) 341-1602 Fax: (213) 785-3205		
10	Attorneys for Defendant EROM, INC.		
11	SUDERIOD CO	UIRT OF CALIFORNIA	
12	SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF ORANGE, CENTRAL JUSTICE CENTER		
13			
14 15	ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH CENTER, a California non-profit) Case No.: 30-2013-00673734-CU-MC-CJC	
16	corporation,) Judge: William M. Monroe	
17 18	Plaintiff,) [PROPOSED] STIPULATED CONSENT) JUDGMENT; [PROPOSED] ORDER	
10	VS.) [Health & Safety Code § 25249.5, <i>et seq.</i>]	
20	EROM, INC. and DOES 1-50, Inclusive, Defendants.		
21)	
22	1. INTRODUCTION		
23	1.1. This Action arises out of the alleged violations of California's Safe Drinking		
24	Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California Health and Safety Code Section 25249.5		
25	<i>et seq.</i> (also known as and herein after referred to as "Proposition 65") regarding the following		
26	products (hereinafter collectively the "Covered Products" or "Covered Product" to refer to a single product):		
27		lim Natural Raw Meal	
28			
		-1-	
	[PROPOSED] STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT		

1 2

3

- (2). Erom Inc. Juvo Natural Raw Meal Whole Food
- 2

(3). Erom Inc. Juvo YogaFood

(4). Erom Inc. Juvo Raw Green Protein Organic Blend

1.2. ERC is a California non-profit corporation acting as a private enforcer of
Proposition 65 that is dedicated to, among other causes, helping safeguard the public from health
hazards by reducing the use and misuse of hazardous and toxic chemicals, facilitating a safe
environment for consumers and employees, and encouraging corporate responsibility. ERC
brings this Action in the public interest pursuant to California Health and Safety Code Section
25249.7.

10 **1.3.** EROM, INC. is a California Corporation that is a person within the meaning of
11 H&S Code §25249.11(a) and is sometimes referred to herein as "EROM." EROM manufactures,
12 distributes and sells the Covered Products.

13 **1.4.** ERC and EROM are hereinafter sometimes referred to individually as a "Party" or
14 collectively as the "Parties."

15 1.5. On April 15, 2011 and January 18, 2013, pursuant to California Health and Safety
16 Code Section 25249.7(d)(1), ERC served Notices of Violations of Proposition 65 ("Notices of
17 Violations") on the California Attorney General, other public enforcers, and EROM. A true and
18 correct copy of the April 15, 2011 Notice of Violations is attached hereto as Exhibit "A." A true
19 and correct copy of the January 18, 2013 Notice of Violations is attached hereto as Exhibit "B."

1.6. After more than sixty (60) days passed since service of the Notices of Violations,
and no designated governmental agency filed a complaint against EROM with regard to the
Covered Products or the alleged violations, on September 5, 2013, ERC filed the Complaint in
this Action (the "Complaint") for injunctive relief and civil penalties. The Complaint is based on
the allegations in the Notices of Violations.

1.7. The Complaint and the Notices of Violations each allege that EROM
manufactured, distributed, and/or sold in California the Covered Products, which contain lead, a
chemical listed under Proposition 65 as a carcinogen and reproductive toxin, and expose
consumers at a level requiring a Proposition 65 warning. They further allege that use of the

-2-

Covered Products exposes persons in California to lead without first providing clear and
 reasonable warnings, in violation of California Health and Safety Code Section 25249.6. EROM
 denies all material allegations of the Notices of Violations and the Complaint, asserts numerous
 affirmative defenses, and specifically denies that the Covered Products require a Proposition 65
 warning or otherwise cause harm to any person.

6 1.8. The Parties enter into this Consent Judgment in order to settle, compromise and 7 resolve disputed claims and avoid prolonged and costly litigation. Nothing in this Consent 8 Judgment, nor compliance with its terms, shall constitute or be construed as an admission by any 9 of the Parties, or by any of their respective officers, directors, shareholders, employees, agents, 10 parent companies, subsidiaries, divisions, affiliates, suppliers, franchisees, licensees, distributors, 11 wholesalers, or retailers, of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, violation of law, fault, 12 wrongdoing, or liability, including without limitation, any admission concerning any alleged 13 violation of Proposition 65. Except as expressly set forth herein, nothing in this Consent 14 Judgment shall prejudice, waive, or impair any right, remedy, argument, or defense the Parties may have in any other or future legal proceeding unrelated to these proceedings. However, 15 16 nothing in this Section shall affect the enforceability of this Consent Judgment.

17 **1.9.** The "Effective Date" of this Consent Judgment shall be the date this Consent
18 Judgment is entered by the Court.

19

2. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this Action and personal jurisdiction over the Parties, that venue is proper in this Court, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment pursuant to the terms set forth herein.

24

3. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF, REFORMULATION, TESTING, AND WARNINGS

3.1 Beginning on the Effective Date, EROM shall be permanently enjoined from
manufacturing for sale in California, directly selling to a consumer in California or "Distributing
into California" any of the Covered Products for which the maximum daily dose recommended
on the label contains more than 0.5 micrograms of lead, unless such Covered Product complies

-3-

with the warning requirements in Section 3.3 or qualifies a "Reformulated Covered Product"
pursuant to Section 3.4, or unless EROM can show that the excess exposure is caused solely by
"naturally occurring" lead at the "lowest level currently feasible," as set forth in California Code
of Regulations, Title 27, section 25501, subdivision(a). "Distributing into California" means to
directly ship any of the Covered Products into California for sale or to sell any of the Covered
Products to a distributor that EROM knows or has reason to know will sell the Covered Product
in California.

8 3.2 Calculation of Lead Levels: As used in this Consent Judgment, lead levels are
9 calculated pursuant to the testing protocol described in Section 3.5. For purposes of measuring
10 the lead, the highest lead detection result of the 5 randomly selected samples of the Covered
11 Products will be controlling.

3.3 Clear and Reasonable Warning: For those Covered Products that are subject to
the warning requirement of Section 3.1, EROM shall provide either of the following warning
statements ("Warning") as specified below:

15

16

17

18

19

20

"WARNING: This product contains [lead,] a chemical known to the State of California to cause [cancer and] birth defects or other reproductive harm."

"WARNING: This product contains chemicals known to the State of California to cause [cancer and] birth defects or other reproductive harm."

The text in brackets in the warnings above is optional, except that the term "cancer" must
be included only if the maximum daily dose recommended on the label contains more than 15
micrograms of lead.

The Warning shall be permanently affixed to or printed on (at the point of manufacture, prior to shipment to California, or prior to distribution within California) the outside packaging or container of each unit of the Covered Product. The Warning shall be displayed with such conspicuousness, as compared with other words, statements designs or devices on the packaging or labeling, as to render it likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual prior to

-4-

purchase. If the Warning is displayed on the product container or labeling, the Warning shall be
at least the same size as the largest of any other health or safety warnings on the product
container or labeling, and the word "WARNING" shall be in all capital letters and in bold print.
If printed on the labeling itself, the Warning shall be contained in the same section of the
labeling that states other safety warnings concerning the use of the Covered Product. No other
statements regarding Proposition 65 or lead will accompany the Warning.

7 3.4 Reformulated Covered Products: A Reformulated Covered Product is one for
8 which the maximum recommended daily serving on the label contains no more than 0.5
9 micrograms of lead per day.

3.5 Testing and Quality Control Methodology: Beginning within one year of the
Effective Date, EROM shall test five (5) randomly selected samples of each of the Covered
Products (in the form intended for sale to the end-user) for lead content. The testing requirement
does not apply to any of the Covered Products for which EROM has provided the Warning
specified in Section 3.3.

(a) Testing for lead shall be performed using Inductively Coupled PlasmaMass Spectrometry ("ICP-MS") or any other testing method subsequently agreed to in writing by
the Parties.

(b) All testing pursuant to this Consent Judgment shall be performed by an
independent third-party laboratory certified by the California Environmental Laboratory
Accreditation Program or a laboratory that is registered with the United States Food & Drug
Administration.

(c) EROM shall retain all test results and documentation for a period of four
(4) years from the date of the test. EROM shall provide copies of the test results to ERC within
10 days of EROM's receipt of the test results.

(d) EROM shall test each of the Covered Products at least once a year for a
minimum of four (4) consecutive years by testing five (5) randomly selected samples of each
Covered Product which EROM intends to sell or is manufacturing for sale in California, directly
selling to a consumer in California, or Distributing into California. If tests conducted pursuant to

-5-

this Section demonstrate that no warning is required for a Covered Product during each of four
 (4) consecutive years, then the testing requirements of this Section will no longer be required as
 to that Covered Product.

(e) For purposes of this Consent Judgment, daily lead exposure levels shall be
measured in micrograms, and shall be calculated using the following formula: Micrograms of
lead per gram of product, multiplied by grams per serving of the product (using the largest
serving size appearing on the product label), multiplied by servings of the product per day (using
the largest number of servings in the recommended dosage appearing on the product label),
which equals micrograms of lead exposure per day.

10 (f) The daily lead exposure levels shall be calculated excluding the following
11 amounts of naturally occurring lead in the ingredients listed below in Table 1A:

TABLE 1A

12

13			
14	<u>INGREDIENT</u>	NATURALLY OCCURRING AMOUNT OF	
15		LEAD	
16	Calcium	.8 mcg (per 1000 milligrams)	
17	Ferrous Fumarate	<u>.4 mcg/g</u>	
18	Zinc Oxide	<u>8.0 mcg/g</u>	
19	Magnesium Oxide	<u>.4 mcg/g</u>	
20	Magnesium Carbonate	<u>.332 mcg/g</u>	
21	Magnesium Hydroxide	<u>.4 mcg/g</u>	
22	Zinc Gluconate	<u>.8 mcg/g</u>	
23	Potassium Chloride	<u>1.1 mcg/g</u>	
24	For any Covered Product for which the warning in Section 3.3 has not been provided,		
25	should EROM exclude from its calculation of overall lead content any quantity which is		
26	"naturally occurring," and should EROM seek to exclude naturally occurring lead in its		
27	calculation of overall lead content for any Covered Product pursuant to any modification		
28	incorporating Alternative Lead Standards, EROM will provide separate documentation to ERC		
	-6-		
	[PROPOSED] STIPULATE	ED CONSENT JUDGMENT	

to include a complete list of all ingredients in the Covered Product and the corresponding
percentage of each ingredient and quantity in grams of each ingredient within each product,
including lab test results that independently confirm the percentage of the ingredients and
quantity in grams of the ingredients being used in each Covered Product, and other data that
independently supports EROM's contention that the lead it seeks to exclude is naturally
occurring. If such information is confidential, EROM will label it "Confidential" and ERC will
keep such information in confidence.

8

9

10

4. SETTLEMENT PAYMENT

4.1 EROM shall make a total payment of \$70,000.00 ("Total Settlement Amount") to ERC. The Total Settlement Amount shall be paid in 6 payments as follows:

11		Payment	Amount	Due Date
12		Number 1	\$20,000.00	Within 10 days of the Effective Date
13		Number 2	\$10,000.00	Within 40 days of the Effective Date
14		Number 3	\$10,000.00	Within 70 days of the Effective Date
15		Number 4	\$10,000.00	Within 100 days of the Effective Date
16		Number 5	\$10,000.00	Within 130 days of the Effective Date
17		Number 6	\$10,000.00	Within 160 days of the Effective Date
18	4.1	The Total Settleme	ent Amount sł	nall be for the following:

(a) As a portion of the Total Settlement Amount, \$6,980.00 shall be
considered a civil penalty pursuant to California Health and Safety Code §25249.7(b)(1). ERC
shall remit 75% (\$5,235.00) of the civil penalty to the Office of Environmental Health Hazard
Assessment ("OEHHA") for deposit in the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Fund in
accordance with California Health and Safety Code §25249.12(c). ERC will retain the remaining
25% (\$1,745.00) of the civil penalty.

(b) As a portion of the Total Settlement Amount, \$20,943.00 shall be
considered a payment to ERC in lieu of further civil penalties for activities such as (1) funding
the investigating, researching, and testing of consumer products that may contain Proposition 65
listed chemicals; (2) funding grants to California non-profit foundations/entities dedicated to

-7-

public health; (3) funding ERC's Got Lead? Program to assist consumers in testing products for
lead content; (4) funding post-settlement monitoring of past consent judgments; (5) funding to
maintain ERC's database of lead-free products, Proposition 65-compliant products, and
contaminated products; (6) funding to track and catalog Proposition 65 complaints and
contamination-free sources of ingredients used in the products ERC tests; and (7) funding the
continued enforcement of Proposition 65 matters which address contaminated ingestible
products, similar to the subject matter of this Action.

8 (c) As a portion of the Total Settlement Amount, \$20,449.00 shall be
9 considered a reimbursement to ERC for its reasonable work, analysis, and testing costs
10 associated with the enforcement of Proposition 65 and other expenses and costs incurred as a
11 result of gathering information, bringing this matter to EROM's attention, and negotiating a
12 settlement in the public interest.

(d) As a portion of the Total Settlement Amount, \$20,615.00 shall be
considered payment to William Wraith as reimbursement of ERC's attorney's fees, and
\$1,013.00 shall be considered payment to Karen Evans as reimbursement of ERC's attorney's
fees.

17 (e) On or prior to each due date identified in Section 4.1, EROM shall make
18 each payment by check, payable to "The Wraith Law Client Trust Account," sent by first-class
19 registered or certified mail, or overnight delivery, and delivered to William F. Wraith, Esq.,
20 Wraith Law, 16485 Laguna Canyon Road, Suite 250, Irvine, CA 92618.

21 In the event of EROM's failure to make a timely payment pursuant to this (f)22 Section, ERC may provide notice to EROM in whatever form (including but not limited to by e-23 mail, telephone, or in writing) of EROM's failure to make timely payment. EROM shall "Cure," 24 which means EROM shall have 3 business days from the transmission of said notice to deliver 25 payment. EROM's failure on any occasion to (1) make any payment such that it is received 26 within 14 days of the date due, (2) timely Cure, as set forth in this Section, or (3) on two or more 27 occasions make timely payment pursuant to this Section, shall be deemed a material breach of 28 this Agreement. The requirements of Notice in Section 10, shall not apply to this subsection

-8-

1 || 4.1(f).

2

7

5. MODIFICATION OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

This Consent Judgment may be modified only by: (i) Written agreement and stipulation
of the Parties and (ii) upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court. ERC is entitled
to reimbursement of all reasonable attorneys' fees and costs regarding any modification
requested or initiated by EROM.

6. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION, ENFORCEMENT OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

8 6.1 This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to enforce, modify or terminate
9 this Consent Judgment.

6.2 Any Party may, by motion or application for an order to show cause filed with
this Court, enforce the terms and conditions contained in this Consent Judgment. The prevailing
party in any such motion or application may request that the Court award its reasonable
attorneys' fees and costs associated with such motion or application.

14 6.3 Notwithstanding Section 6.2, No motion to enforce this Judgment or application to show cause may be filed by ERC, unless ERC notifies EROM of the specific acts alleged to 15 16 breach this Consent Judgment at least thirty (30) days before filing and serving any such motion 17 or application. Any notice to EROM must contain (1) the name of the product; (2) the lead 18 content of the product with a copy of the analytical results and description of the testing 19 methodology; (3) specific dates when the product was sold in California; (4) the store or other 20 place at which the product was purchased; and (5) any other evidence or other support for the 21 allegations in the notice. Should the Parties be unable to resolve the dispute, any Party may seek 22 relief under Section 6.2 of this Consent Judgment.

23

7. APPLICATION OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

This Consent Judgment shall apply to, be binding upon, and benefit the Parties and their
respective officers, directors, shareholders, employees, agents, parent companies, subsidiaries,
divisions, affiliates, franchisees, licensees, customers (excluding private labelers), distributors,
wholesalers, retailers, predecessors, successors, and assigns.

28 **8.** BINDING EFFECT, CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASED

-9-

8.1 This Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution between ERC, on 1 2 behalf of itself and in the public interest, and EROM, of any alleged violation of Proposition 65 3 or its implementing regulations and fully resolves all claims that have been or could have been 4 asserted in this Action up to and including the Effective Date for failure to provide Proposition 5 65 warnings of exposure to lead from the handling, use or consumption of the Covered Products. ERC, on behalf of itself and in the public interest, hereby releases and forever discharges EROM, 6 7 JUVO, Lucky Vitamin Corporation, GNC Corporation, GNC Parent Corporation, General 8 Nutrition Centers, Inc., GNC, Inc., GNC Holdings, Inc., and GNC Parent, LLC and their 9 respective officers, directors, shareholders, employees, agents, parent companies, subsidiaries, 10 divisions, affiliates, suppliers, franchisees, licensees, customers (not including private label 11 customers of EROM), distributors, wholesalers, retailers, and all other downstream entities in the 12 distribution chain of any Covered Product, and the predecessors, successors and assigns of any of 13 them (collectively, "Released Parties"), from all claims for violations of Proposition 65 up 14 through the Effective Date based on exposure to lead from the Covered Products as set forth in 15 the Notices of Violations and the Complaint.

16 8.2 ERC, on behalf of itself only, hereby releases and discharges the Released Parties
17 from all known and unknown claims for alleged violations of Proposition 65 arising from or
18 relating to alleged exposures to lead in the Covered Products as set forth in the Notices of
19 Violations and the Complaint.

8.3 It is possible that other claims not known to the Parties arising out of the facts
alleged in the Notices of Violations or the Complaint and relating to lead in the Covered
Products that were manufactured before the Effective Date will develop or be discovered. ERC,
on behalf of itself only, acknowledges in this Consent Judgment that the claims released herein
may include unknown claims, and nevertheless waives California Civil Code Section 1542 as to
any such unknown claims. California Civil Code Section 1542 reads as follows:

26

27 28 "A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS WHICH THE CREDITOR DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE, WHICH IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER MUST HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR." ERC, on behalf of itself only, acknowledges and understands the significance and consequences
 of this specific waiver of California Civil Code section 1542.

3

4

5

8.4 Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment shall constitute compliance by the Released Parties with Proposition 65 with respect to alleged exposures to lead from the Covered Products as set forth in the Notices of Violations.

8.5 ERC, on one hand, and the Released Parties, on the other hand, each release and
waive all claims they may have against each other for any statements or actions made or
undertaken by them in connection with the Notices of Violations or the Complaint. However,
this shall not affect or limit any Party's right to seek to enforce the terms of this Consent
Judgment.

11

9. CONSTRUCTION AND SEVERABILITY

9.1 The terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment have been reviewed by the
respective counsel for the Parties prior to its signing, and each Party has had an opportunity to
fully discuss the terms and conditions with its counsel. In any subsequent interpretation or
construction of this Consent Judgment, the terms and conditions shall not be construed against
any Party.

17 9.2 In the event that any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment is held by a court
18 to be unenforceable, the validity of the remaining enforceable provisions shall not be adversely
19 affected.

9.3 The terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by and
construed in accordance with the laws of the State of California.

22

10. PROVISION OF NOTICE

All notices required to be given to either Party to this Consent Judgment by the other
shall be in writing and sent to the following agents listed below by: (a) first-class, registered, (b)
certified mail, (b) overnight courier, or (c) personal delivery to the following

26 For Environmental Research Center:

27 Chris Heptinstall Executive Director

28 Environmental Research Center

1	3111 Camino Del Rio North, Suite 400 San Diego, CA 92108		
2	With a copy to:		
3	William F. Wraith, Esq.		
4	Wraith Law 16485 Laguna Canyon Road, Suite 250		
5	Irvine, CA 92618		
6	and		
7	Karen Evans, Esq. Environmental Research Center		
8	4218 Biona Place San Diego, CA 92116		
9			
10	For EROM		
11	Mihoun Park		
12	Erom, Inc. 14630 Industry Cir.		
13	La Mirada, CÅ 90638		
14	With a copy to:		
15	Youngsoo Lee, Esq. Lee Anav Chung White & Kim LLP		
16	520 S. Grand Ave., Suite 1070 Los Angeles, CA 90071		
17			
18	11. CHANGE IN THE LAW/ COMPLIANCE		
19	11.1 Should there be an amendment to Proposition 65 or should OEHHA promulgate		
20	regulations that establish a Maximum Allowable Dose Level that is more or less stringent than		
21	the current 0.5 micrograms per day, this Consent Judgment shall be deemed modified on the date		
22	the amendment becomes final or the regulations become effective to incorporate that new		
23	standard into Section 3.		
24	11.2 This Consent Judgment shall have no application or effect on EROM for sales of		
25	the Covered Products or other products EROM sells only to consumers outside the State of		
26	California. Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment shall be deemed to constitute		
27	compliance regarding alleged exposures to lead in the Covered Products as set forth in the		
28	Notices of Violations.		
	-12-		
	[PROPOSED] STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT		

1

12. COURT APPROVAL

11.1 Upon execution of this Consent Judgment by the Parties, ERC shall file a Motion
for Court Approval. The Parties shall use their best efforts to support entry of this Consent
Judgment.

5 11.2 If the California Attorney General objects to any term in this Consent Judgment,
6 the Parties shall use their best efforts to resolve the concern in a timely manner, and if possible
7 prior to the hearing on the motion.

8 11.3 If the Court, despite the Parties' best efforts, does not approve this Stipulated
9 Consent Judgment it shall be null and void and have no force or effect.

10

13. EXECUTION AND COUNTERPARTS

This Stipulated Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts, which taken together
shall be deemed one document. A facsimile or .pdf signature shall be construed as valid and as
the original signature.

14

14. ENTIRE AGREEMENT, AUTHORIZATION

15 14.1 This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding
of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter herein, and any and all prior discussions,
negotiations, commitments and understandings related hereto. No representations, oral or
otherwise, express or implied, other than those contained herein have been made by any Party.
No other agreements, oral or otherwise, unless specifically referred to herein, shall be deemed to
exist or to bind any Party.

14.2 Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorized
by the Party he or she represents to stipulate to this Consent Judgment. Except as explicitly
provided herein, each Party shall bear its own fees and costs.

24

15. REQUEST FOR FINDINGS AND FOR APPROVAL

15.1 This Consent Judgment has come before the Court upon the request of the Parties.
The Parties request the Court to fully review this Consent Judgment and, being fully informed
regarding the matters which are the subject of this Action, to:

28

(a) Find that the terms and provisions of this Consent Judgment represent a good

1	faith settlement of all matters raised by the allegations of the Complaint, that the matter has been
2	diligently prosecuted, and that the public interest is served by such settlement; and
3	(b) Make the findings pursuant to California Health and Safety Code Section
4	25249.7(f)(4), and approve this settlement and this Consent Judgment.
5	
6	IT IS SO STIPULATED:
7	ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH CENTER
8	North Alland
9	Chris Hephastall, Executive Birector
10	
11	EROM, INC.
12	
13	Datadi
14	Dated:
15 16	
10	APPROVED AS TO FORM:
18	WRAITH LAW
19	
20	Dated:
21	William F. Wraith Counsel for Environmental Research Center
22	
23	
24	LEE ANAV CHUNG WHITE & KIM LLP
25	
26	Youngsoo Lee Dated:
27	Counsel for EROM, Inc.
28	
	-14-
	[PROPOSED] STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT

1 2 3 4 5 6	diligently prosecuted, and that the public interest is served by such settlement; and
7	ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH CENTER
8	
9 10	Chris Heptinstall, Executive Director Dated:
11 12	EROM, INC.
13 14 15	Jong Il Hong, President Dated: 11/18/2013
16 17	APPROVED AS TO FORM:
18	WRAITH LAW
19 20 21 22	William F. Wraith Dated: Counsel for Environmental Research Center Dated:
23 24	LEE ANAV CHUNG WHITE & KIM LLP
25 26 27 28	Youngsoo Lee Dated: Counsel for EROM, Inc.
	-14-
	[PROPOSED] STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT

faith settlement of all matters raised by the alleg	ations of the Complaint, that the matter ha
diligently prosecuted, and that the public interes	t is served by such settlement; and
(b) Make the findings pursuant to Ca	lifornia Health and Safety Code Section
25249.7(f)(4), and approve this settlement and the	his Consent Judgment.
IT IS SO STIPULATED:	
ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH CENTER	
Chris Heptinstall, Executive Director	Dated:
1	
EROM, INC.	
	Dated:
APPROVED AS TO FORM:	
WRAITH LAW	
•	
Mile Aliant	Dated: 11/23/2013
William F. Wraith Counsel for Environmental Research Center	
LEE ANAV CHUNG WHITE & KIM LLP	
Youngsoo Lee	Dated:
Counsel for EROM, Inc.	
-]	4-

1	faith settlement of all matters raised by the allegations of the Complaint, that the matter has been
2	diligently prosecuted, and that the public interest is served by such settlement; and
3	(b) Make the findings pursuant to California Health and Safety Code Section
4	25249.7(f)(4), and approve this settlement and this Consent Judgment.
5	
6	IT IS SO STIPULATED:
7	ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH CENTER
8	
9	Chris Heptinstall, Executive Director
10	
11	EROM, INC.
12	
13 14	Dated:
14	Jong Il Hong, President
16	APPROVED AS TO FORM:
17	APPROVED AS TO FORM.
18	WRAITH LAW
19	
20	Dated:
21	William F. Wraith Counsel for Environmental Research Center
22	
23	
24	LEE ANAV CHUNG WHITE & KIM LLP
25	Dated: 11/25/2013
26	Youngsoo Lee
27	Counsel for EROM, Inc.
28	
	-14-
	[PROPOSED] STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT

1	ORDER AND JUDGMENT
2	Based upon the Parties' Stipulation, and good cause appearing therefor, this Consent
3	Judgment is approved and judgment is hereby entered according to its terms.
4	IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED.
5	
6	
7	Dated:
8	Judge, Superior Court of the State of California
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18 19	
20	
20	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	-15-
	[PROPOSED] STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT