1	Troy C. Bailey, State Bar No. 277424	
2	Josh Voorhees, State Bar No. 241436 THE CHANLER GROUP	
3	2560 Ninth Street Parker Plaza, Suite 214	
4	Berkeley, CA 94710-2565 Telephone: (510) 848-8880 Facsimile: (510) 848-8118	
5		
6	Attorneys for Plaintiff ANTHONY E. HELD, Ph.D., P.E.	
7	SUPERIOR COURT	T OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
8	FOR TH	IE COUNTY OF MARIN
9	UNLIMITED CIVIL JURISDICTION	
10		
11	ANTHONY E. HELD, Ph.D., P.E.,) Case No. CIV1104852
12	Plaintiff,) [PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT
13	v.)) Dept:
14	J & D BRUSH CO., INC., et al.,	Judge:
15	Defendants.	Date:
16		Complaint Filed: September 30, 2011
17	·	
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

1. Introduction

1.1 Anthony E. Held, Ph.D., P.E. and J & D Brush Co., Inc.

This Consent Judgment is entered into by and between ANTHONY E. HELD, Ph.D., P.E. ("Held" or "Plaintiff") and J & D BRUSH CO., INC. (collectively "J & D" or "Defendants"), with Held and J & D collectively referred to as the "Parties."

1.2 Anthony E. Held, Ph.D., P.E.

Held is an individual residing in the State of California who seeks to promote awareness of exposure to toxic chemicals and to improve human health by reducing or eliminating hazardous substances contained in consumer and commercial products.

1.3 J & D Brush Co., Inc.

J & D employs ten or more persons and is a person in the course of doing business for purposes of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California Health & Safety Code § 25249.6, et seq. ("Proposition 65").

1.4 General Allegations

J & D has manufactured, imported, distributed, sold and/or offered for sale certain toiletry cases/bags that contain di(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate ("DEHP"), without the requisite Proposition 65 warnings. DEHP is on the Proposition 65 list as a chemical known to the State of California to cause birth defects and other reproductive harm.

1.5 Product Description

The products that are covered by this Consent Judgment are defined as follows: toiletry bags/cases containing DEHP, including, but not limited to, *Pro Results 10 Piece Bone Comb Kit*, *Model #W10C (#7 36658 91030 8)* manufactured, imported, distributed, sold and/or offered for sale by J & D, hereinafter the "Products".

1.6 Notices of Violation

On April 19, 2011, Held served J & D and various public enforcement agencies with documents entitled "60-Day Notice of Violation" that provided the recipients with notice of alleged

violations of Proposition 65 based on J & D's alleged failure to warn consumers that the toiletry bag/cases exposed users in California to DEHP, hereinafter the "Notice". To the best of the Parties' knowledge, no public enforcer has prosecuted the allegations set forth in the Notice.

1.7 Complaint

On September 30, 2011, Held filed a complaint in the Superior Court in and for the County of Marin against J & D, Inc., and Does 1 through 150, *Held v. J & D Brush Co., Inc., et al.*, Case No. CIV1104852 (the "Complaint" or "Action"), alleging violations of Proposition 65, based on the alleged exposures to DEHP contained in certain toiletry bags/cases sold by J & D.

1.8 No Admission

J & D denies the material, factual and legal allegations contained in Held's Notice and Complaint and maintains that all products that they have manufactured, imported, distributed, sold and/or offered for sale in California, including the Products, have been and are in compliance with all laws. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission by J & D of any fact, finding, issue of law, or violation of law, nor shall compliance with this Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by J & D of any fact, finding, conclusion, issue of law, or violation of law. However, this section shall not diminish or otherwise affect J & D's obligations, responsibilities, and duties under this Consent Judgment.

1.9 Consent to Jurisdiction

For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over J & D as to the allegations contained in the Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of Marin and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter and enforce the provisions of this Consent Judgment.

1.10 Effective Date

For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term "Effective Date" shall mean November 22, 2011.

2. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF: REFORMULATION

2.1 Reformulation Standards

"Reformulated Products" are defined as those Products containing DEHP in concentrations less than 0.1 percent (1,000 parts per million ("ppm")) in each accessible component when analyzed pursuant to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency testing methodologies 3580A and 8270C or any other methodology utilized by federal or state agencies for the purpose of determining the DEHP content in a solid substance.

2.2 Reformulation Commitment

As of the Effective Date all Products manufactured, imported, distributed, sold or offered for sale in the state of California by J & D shall be Products that qualify as Reformulated Products as defined in Section 2.1 above

3. MONETARY PAYMENTS

3.1 Civil Penalty Payment Pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b)

J & D shall make a payment of \$8,000 to be apportioned in accordance with Health & Safety Code section 25249.12, subdivisions (c)(1) and (d), with 75% of these funds earmarked for the State of California's Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA") and the remaining 25% of these penalty monies earmarked for Held. This penalty reflects a credit of \$16,000 based on J & D's commitment to reformulate the Products pursuant to Section 2.1 above and due to J & D's implementation of a warning program prior to the Effective Date.

3.2 Reimbursement of Held's Fees and Costs

The Parties acknowledge that Held and his counsel offered to resolve this dispute without reaching terms on the amount of fees and costs to be reimbursed to them, thereby leaving this fee issue to be resolved after the material terms of the agreement had been settled. J & D then expressed a desire to resolve the fee and cost issue shortly after the other settlement terms had been finalized. The Parties then attempted to (and did) reach an accord on the compensation due to Heldand his counsel under general contract principles and the private attorney general doctrine codified at California Code of Civil Procedure section 1021.5, for all work performed, in this

2.5

matter, except fees that may be incurred on appeal. Under these legal principles J & D shall pay the total amount of \$28,000 for fees and costs incurred as a result of investigating, bringing this matter to J & D's attention, and negotiating a settlement in the public interest.

3.3.1 Funds Held In Trust: All payments required by Sections 3.1 and 3.2 shall delivered on or before November 22, 2011 to either The Chanler Group or the attorney of record for the J & D, and shall be held in trust pending the Court's approval of this Consent Judgment.

Payments delivered to The Chanler Group shall be made payable, as follows:

- (a) One check made payable to "The Chanler Group in Trust for OEHHA" in the amount of \$6,000;
- (b) One check made payable to "The Chanler Group in Trust for Anthony E. Held, Ph.D., P.E. in the amount of \$2,000; and
- (c) One check made payable to "The Chanler Group in Trust" in the amount of \$26,500.

Payments delivered to Mintz & Gold, LLP shall be made payable, as follows:

- (a) One check made payable to "Mintz & Gold, LLP in Trust for OEHHA" in the amount of \$6,000;
- (b) One check made payable to "Mintz & Gold, LLP in Trust for Anthony E. Held, Ph.D., P.E." in the amount of \$2,000; and
- (c) One check made payable to "Mintz & Gold, LLP in Trust for The Chanler Group" in the amount of \$26,500.

If J & D elects to deliver payments to its attorney of record, such attorney of record shall:

(a) confirm in writing within five days of receipt that the funds have been deposited in a trust account; and (b) within two days of the date of the hearing on which the Court approves the Consent Judgment, deliver the payment to The Chanler Group in three separate checks, as follows:

(a) One check made payable to "The Chanler Group in Trust for OEHHA" in the amount of \$6,000;

1	(b) One check to "The Chanler Group in Trust for Anthony E. Held,		
2	Ph.D., P.E." in the amount of \$2,000; and		
3	(c) One check to "The Chanler Group" in the amount of \$26,500.		
4	3.3.2 Issuance of 1099 Forms. After the Consent Judgment has been approved		
5	and the settlement funds have been transmitted to Held's counsel, J & D shall issue three separate		
6	1099 forms, as follows:		
7	(a) The first 1099 shall be issued to the Office of Environmental Health	h	
8	Hazard Assessment, P.O. Box 4010, Sacramento, CA 95814 (EIN:	•	
9	68-0284486) in the amount of \$6,000;		
10	(b) The second 1099 shall be issued to Held in the amount of \$2,000		
11	whose address and tax identification number shall be furnished upo	n	
12	request; and		
13	(c) The third 1099 shall be issued to The Chanler Group (EIN: 94-		
14	3171522) in the amount of \$26,500.		
15	3.3.3 Payment Address: All payments to the Chanler Group shall be delivered	to	
16	the following payment address:		
17	The Chanler Group		
18	Attn: Proposition 65 Controller 2560 Ninth Street		
19	Parker Plaza, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710		
20	4. Release Of All Claims		
21	4.1 <u>Full, Final and Binding Resolution of Proposition 65 Allegations</u>		
22	This Consent Judgment is a full, final and binding resolution between Held, on behalf of		
23	himself and the public, and J & D, of any violation of Proposition 65 that was or could have		
24	been asserted by Held against J & D, their parents, subsidiaries, affiliated entities that are under		
25	common ownership, directors, officers, employees, attorneys, and each entity to whom J & D		
26	directly or indirectly distribute or sell Products, including but not limited to downstream		
27	distributors, wholesalers, customers, retailers, franchisees, cooperative members, licensors, and		

3

4

4.2

5 6

7

8

9 10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

22 23

24

25 26

27

28

licensees ("Releasees"), based on their failure to warn about alleged exposures to DEHP contained in the Products that were sold by J & D.

Held's Public Release of Proposition 65 Claims

In further consideration of the promises and agreements herein contained, Held on behalf of himself, his past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors, and/or assignees, and in the interest of the general public, hereby waives all rights to institute or participate in, directly or indirectly, any form of legal action and releases all claims, including, without limitation, all actions, and causes of action, in law or in equity, suits, liabilities, demands, obligations, damages, costs, fines, penalties, losses, or expenses, including, but not limited to, investigation fees, expert fees, and attorneys' fees, but exclusive of fees and costs on appeal, limited to and arising under Proposition 65 with respect to DEHP in the Products sold by J & D (collectively "claims"), against J & D and Releasees.

4.3 Held's Individual Release of Claims

Held also, in his individual capacity only and *not* in his representative capacity, provides a release herein which shall be effective as a full and final accord and satisfaction, as a bar to all actions, causes of action, obligations, costs, expenses, attorneys' fees, damages, losses, claims, liabilities and demands of Held of any nature, character or kind, whether known or unknown, suspected or unsuspected, against J & D and Releasees, limited to and arising out of alleged or actual exposures DEHP in the Products manufactured, imported, distributed or sold and/or offered for sale by Defendants.

4.4 J & D's Release of Held

J & D on behalf of themselves, their past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors, and/or assignees, hereby waive any and all claims against Held, his attorneys and other representatives, for any and all actions taken or statements made (or those that could have been taken or made) by Held and his attorneys and other representatives, whether in the course of investigating claims or otherwise seeking to enforce Proposition 65 against it in this matter with respect to the Products.

5. Court Approval

This Consent Judgment is not effective until it is approved and entered by the Court and shall be null and void if, for any reason, it is not approved and entered by the Court within one year after it has been fully executed by all Parties.

6. Severability

If, subsequent to the execution of this Consent Judgment, any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment are held by a court to be unenforceable, the validity of the enforceable provisions remaining shall not be adversely affected.

7. Governing Law

The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California and apply within the State of California. In the event that Proposition 65 is repealed, preempted or is otherwise rendered inapplicable by reason of law generally, or if any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment are rendered inapplicable or no longer require as a result of any such repeal or preemption or rendered inapplicable by reason of law generally as to the Products, then J & D shall notify Held and have no further obligations pursuant to this Consent Judgment with respect to, and to the extent that, the Products are so affected.

8. Notices

Unless specified herein, all correspondence and notices required to be provided pursuant to this Consent Judgment shall be in writing and personally delivered or sent by: (i) first-class, (registered or certified mail) return receipt requested; or (ii) overnight courier on any Party by the other Party at the following addresses:

To J & D:	To Held:
10360.	10110101

Steven Gold, Esq.	Proposition 65 Coordinator
Mintz & Gold, LLP	The Chanler Group
470 Park Avenue South	2560 Ninth Street
New York, NY 10016	Parker Plaza, Suite 214
,	Berkeley, CA 94710-2565

Any Party, from time to time, may specify in writing to the other Party a change of address to which all notices and other communications shall be sent.

9. Counterparts; Facsimile Signatures

This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by facsimile or pdf signature, each of which shall be deemed an original, and all of which, when taken together, shall constitute one and the same document. A facsimile or pdf signature shall be as valid as the original.

10. Compliance With Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(f)

Held and his attorneys agree to comply with the reporting form requirements referenced in California Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(f).

11. Additional Post Execution Activities

Held and J & D agree to mutually employ their best efforts to support the entry of this agreement as a Consent Judgment and obtain approval of the Consent Judgment by the Court in a timely manner. The Parties acknowledge that, pursuant to California Health & Safety Code § 25249.7, a noticed motion is required to obtain judicial approval of this Consent Judgment, which Held shall draft and file, and Defendants shall join. If any third party objection to the noticed motion is filed, Held and J & D shall work together to file a joint reply and appear at any hearing before the Court. This provision is a material component of the Consent Judgment and shall be treated as such in the event of a breach.

12. Modification

This Consent Judgment may be modified only: (1) by written agreement of the Parties and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court thereon; or (2) upon a successful motion of any Party and entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court.

13. Authorization

AGREED TO:

By:

The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment on behalf of their respective Parties and have read, understood, and agree to all of the terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment.

AGREED TO:

Date: APPROVED

By Anthony Held at 3:02 pm, Nov 29, 2011

2011 D

By:

I & D Brush Co., I